

Inverse Harish-Chandra transform and difference operators

By Ivan Cherednik*

In the paper we calculate the images of the operators of multiplication by Laurent polynomials with respect to the Harish-Chandra transform and its non-symmetric generalization due to Opdam. It readily leads to a new simple proof of the Harish-Chandra inversion theorem in the zonal case (see [HC, Hel]) and the corresponding theorem from [D1]. We assume that $k > 0$ and restrict ourselves to compactly supported functions, borrowing the growth estimates from [D1].

The Harish-Chandra transform is the integration of symmetric functions on the maximal real split torus A of a semi-simple Lie group G multiplied by the spherical zonal function $(X; \cdot)$, where $X \in A$; $\cdot \in (\text{Lie } A \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C})$: The measure is the restriction of the invariant measure on G to the space of double cosets $K \backslash G / K = K \backslash A / W$ for the maximal compact subgroup $K \subset G$ and the restricted Weyl group W . The function $(X; \cdot)$ is a symmetric (W -invariant) eigenfunction of the radial parts of the G -invariant differential operators on G/K ; $\cdot$ determines the set of eigenvalues. The parameter k is given by the root multiplicities ($k = 1$ in the group case). There is a generalization to arbitrary k due to Calogero, Sutherland, Koornwinder, Moser, Olshanetsky, Perelomov, Heckman, and Opdam. See [H01, H1, O2] for a systematic theory. In the non-symmetric variant due to Opdam [D1], the operators from [C5] replace the radial parts of G -invariant operators and their k -generalizations. The problem is to define the inverse transforms for various classes of functions.

In the papers [C1-C4], a difference counterpart of the Harish-Chandra transform was suggested, which is also a deformation of the Fourier transform in the p -adic theory of spherical functions. Its kernel (a q -generalization of $(X; \cdot)$) is defined as an eigenfunction of the q -difference "radial parts" (Macdonald's operators and their generalizations). There are applications in combinatorics (the Macdonald polynomials), the representation theory (say, quantum groups at roots of unity), and mathematical physics (the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations and more). The difference Fourier transform is self-dual, i.e. the

* Partially supported by NSF grant DMS{9622829

kernel is x^λ symmetric for $X = q^\lambda$. This holds in the differential theory only for the so-called rational degeneration with the tangent space $T_e(G/K)$ instead of G/K (see [Hel, DJ]) and for special $k = 0, 1$. In the latter cases, the differential and difference transforms coincide up to a normalization.

The differential case is recovered when q goes to 1. At the moment the analytic methods are not mature enough to manage the limiting procedure in detail. So we develop the corresponding technique without any reference to the difference Fourier transform, which is not introduced and discussed in the paper, switching from the double affine Hecke algebra [C9] to the degenerate one from [C7]. The latter generalizes Lusztig's graded affine Hecke algebra [L] (the GL_n -case is due to Drinfeld). Mainly we need the intertwiners and creation operators from [C2] (see [C9] and [KS] for GL_n). It is worth mentioning that the p -adic theory corresponds to the limit $q \rightarrow 0$, which does not violate the standard difference convergence condition $|q| < 1$ and hardly creates any analytic problems.

The key result is that the Opdam transforms of the operators of multiplication by the coordinates coincide with the operators from [C6] (see (4.11) below). Respectively, the Harish-Chandra transforms of the multiplications by W -invariant Laurent polynomials are the difference operators from (4.14). It is not very surprising that the transforms of these important operators haven't been found before. The calculation, not difficult by itself, involves the following ingredients new in the harmonic analysis on symmetric spaces:

- The Dunkl-type operators [C5], their relation to degenerate (graded) affine Hecke algebras [C8], and the Opdam transform [D1].
- The Macdonald operators, difference counterparts of Dunkl operators, and double affine Hecke algebras [M1, M2, C6, C9].

We begin the paper with some basic facts about double affine Hecke algebras and their polynomial representations which are necessary to produce difference Dunkl-type operators. Then we discuss the two degeneration procedures leading respectively to the operators from [C5] (differential) and from [C6] (difference). Both are governed by the same degenerate double affine Hecke algebra (introduced in [C7]). It eventually results in the inversion theorem.

Hopefully this approach can be extended to any k and, moreover, may help to (re)establish the analytic properties of the direct and inverse transforms. I believe that this paper will stimulate a systematic renewal of the Harish-Chandra theory and related representation theory on the basis of the difference-operator methods.

The paper was written during my stay at RIMS (Kyoto University). I am grateful for the kind invitation and hospitality. I thank M. Kashiwara for valuable remarks and M. Duro, J. Faraut, D. Kazhdan, T. Miwa, E. Mukhin, and E. Opdam for useful discussions.

1. A new Weyl groups

Let $R = f g \quad R^n$ be a root system of type $A; B; \dots; F; G$ with respect to a euclidean form $(z; z^0)$ on $R^n \supset z; z^0$, normalized by the standard condition that $(;) = 2$ for long . Let us x the set R_+ of positive roots, the corresponding simple roots $\alpha_1; \dots; \alpha_n$, and their dual counterparts $a_1; \dots; a_n; a_i = \frac{1}{\alpha_i};$ where $\alpha_i = 2 = (\alpha_i; \alpha_i)$. The dual fundamental weights $b_1; \dots; b_n$ are determined by the relations $(b_i; \alpha_j) = \delta_{ij}$ for the Kronecker delta. We will also use the dual root system $R^- = f -\alpha; \alpha \in R; \alpha \neq 0g; R_+,$ and

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^n Z a_i \quad B = \sum_{i=1}^n Z b_i; B_+ = \sum_{i=1}^n Z_+ b_i \text{ for } Z_+ = \text{fin } 0g;$$

In the standard notations, $A = Q^-; B = P^-$ { see [B]. Later on,

$$= (\alpha_i; \alpha_i); \alpha_i = \alpha_i; R = f -\alpha; \alpha \in R; \alpha \neq 0g; f 2; 1; 2=3g;$$

The vectors $\tilde{\alpha} = [\alpha; k] \in R^n \quad R = R^{n+1}$ for $\alpha \in R; k \in Z$ form the a new root system $R^a \subset R \quad (z \in R^n \text{ are identified with } [z; 0])$. We add $\alpha_0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [\alpha_1; 1]$ to the simple roots for the maximal root $\alpha \in R$. The corresponding set R_+^a of positive roots coincides with $R_+ \cup \{f[\alpha; k]; \alpha \in R; k > 0\}$. Let $\alpha_0 = \alpha_0$.

We will use the a new Dynkin diagram $\tilde{a}$ with $f \alpha_j; 0 \leq j \leq n$ as the vertices ($m_{ij} = 2; 3; 4; 6$ if α_i and α_j are joined by 0, 1, 2, 3 lines respectively). The set of the indices of the images of α_0 by all the automorphisms of $\tilde{a}$ will be denoted by O ($O = f0g$ for $E_8; F_4; G_2$). Let $O = fr \in O; r \in 0g$. The elements b_r for $r \in O$ are the so-called minuscule coweights ($(b_r; \alpha_i) = 1$ for $\alpha_i \in R_+$).

Given $\tilde{\alpha} = [\alpha; k] \in R^a; b \in B$, let

$$(1.1) \quad s_{\tilde{\alpha}}(z) = z - (z; \tilde{\alpha}) \tilde{\alpha}; b^0(z) = [z; (z; b)]$$

for $z = [z; \lambda] \in R^{n+1}$.

The a new Weyl group W^a is generated by all $s_{\tilde{\alpha}}$ (simple reflections $s_j = s_{\alpha_j}$ for $0 \leq j \leq n$ are enough). It is the semidirect product $W \ltimes A$, where the non-a new Weyl group W is the span of $s_{\alpha}; \alpha \in R_+$. Here and further we identify $b \in B$ with the corresponding translations. For instance,

$$(1.2) \quad \tilde{\alpha} = s_{\alpha} s_{[\alpha_1]} = s_{[\alpha_1]} s_{\alpha} \text{ for } \alpha \in R:$$

The extended Weyl group W^b generated by W and B is isomorphic to $W \ltimes B$:

$$(1.3) \quad (wb)([z; \lambda]) = [w(z); (z; b)] \text{ for } w \in W; b \in B:$$

For $b_+ \in B_+$, let

$$(1.4) \quad !_{b_+} = w_0 w_0^+ \in W; b_+ = b_+ (!_{b_+})^{-1} \in W^b; !_i = !_{b_i}; i = b_i;$$

where w_0 (respectively, w_0^+) is the longest element in W (respectively, in W_{b_+} generated by s_i preserving b_+) relative to the set of generators $fs_i g$ for $i > 0$.

The elements $r \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} b_r$; $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$; and $0 = \text{id}$ leave a invariant and form a group denoted by $\mathcal{B}$, which is isomorphic to B/A by the natural projection $b_r \mapsto r$. As to $f!_r g$, they preserve the set f ; $i; i > 0 g$. The relations $r(0) = r = (!_r)^{-1}(\)$ distinguish the indices $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Moreover (see e.g. [C6]):

$$(1.5) \quad W^b = \bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} W^a; \text{ where } r s_i r^{-1} = s_j \text{ if } r(i) = j; 0 \leq j \leq n:$$

The length $l(\hat{w})$ of $\hat{w} = r w \in W^b$ is the length of a reduced decomposition $w \in W^a$ with respect to $fs_j; 0 \leq j \leq n g$:

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{aligned} l(\hat{w}) &= j'(\hat{w}); \quad j'(\hat{w}) = R_+^a \setminus \hat{w}^{-1}(R_+^a) \\ &= \{f \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n; l(\hat{w} s_{-f}) < l(\hat{w})\} g; \end{aligned}$$

For instance, given $b_+ \in B_+$,

$$(1.7) \quad \begin{aligned} j'(b_+) &= \{f \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n; \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \leq k\}; \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \text{ and } (b_+;) > k \cdot 0 g; \\ l(b_+) &= \sum_{i=1}^n (b_i;); \text{ where } (b_i;) = (1=2) : \end{aligned}$$

We will also use the dominant affine Weyl chamber

$$(1.8) \quad C_+^a = \{z \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n \text{ such that } (z; i) > 0 \text{ for } i > 0; (z;) < 1 g\}$$

2. Double affine Hecke algebras

We put $m = 2$ for D_{2l} and C_{2l+1} ; $m = 1$ for $C_{2l}; B_l$, otherwise $m = j$. We consider $q; ft(\); \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n g; X_1; \dots; X_n$ as independent variables, setting

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} t_{-} &= t(\); t_j = t_j; \text{ where } \sim = [; k] \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n; 0 \leq j \leq n; \\ X_b &= \prod_{i=1}^n X_i^{k_i} q^k \text{ if } b = [b; k] \text{ for } b = \sum_{i=1}^n k_i b_i \in B: \end{aligned}$$

Later on $k \in (1=2m)\mathbb{Z}$, $C_{q,t}$ is the field of rational functions in terms of $q^{1=2m}; ft(\)^{1=2} g$, $C_{q,t}[X] = C_{q,t}[X_b]$ means the algebra of polynomials in terms of X_i^{-1} with the coefficients from $C_{q,t}$. We set $r \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} r^{-1}(\)$, so $!_r!_r = 1 = r r$.

Definition [C9] 2.1. The double affine Hecke algebra H is generated over the field $C_{q,t}$ by the elements $ft_j; 0 \leq j \leq n g$, pairwise commutative $fX_b; b \in B g$ satisfying (2.1), and the group where the following relations are imposed:

- (o) $(T_j - t_j^{1=2})(T_j + t_j^{1=2}) = 0; 0 \leq j \leq n;$
- (i) $T_i T_j T_i \cdots = T_j T_i T_j \cdots; m_{ij}$ factors on each side;
- (ii) ${}_r T_i {}_r^{-1} = T_j$ if ${}_r(i) = j;$
- (iii) $T_i X_b T_i = X_b X_{a_i}^{-1}$ if $(b; i) = 1; 1 \leq i \leq n;$
- (iv) $T_0 X_b T_0 = X_{s_0(b)} = X_b X_q^{-1}$ if $(b;) = 1;$
- (v) $T_i X_b = X_b T_i$ if $(b; i) = 0 (i > 0); (b;) = 0 (i = 0);$
- (vi) ${}_r X_b {}_r^{-1} = X_{{}_r(b)} = X_{!_r^{-1}(b)} q^{(b_r, {}^b)}; r \in O.$

Given $w \in W^a; r \in O;$ the product

$$(2.2) \quad T_{rw} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \prod_{k=1}^{Y^1} T_{i_k}; \text{ where } w = \prod_{k=1}^{Y^1} s_{i_k}; l = l(w);$$

does not depend on the choice of the reduced decomposition (because fTg satisfy the same "braid" relations as fsg do). Moreover,

$$(2.3) \quad T_{\hat{v}} T_{\hat{w}} = T_{\hat{v}\hat{w}} \text{ whenever } l(\hat{v}\hat{w}) = l(\hat{v}) + l(\hat{w}) \text{ for } \hat{v}, \hat{w} \in W^b;$$

In particular, we arrive at the pairwise commutative elements

$$(2.4) \quad Y_b = \prod_{i=1}^{Y^n} Y_i^{k_i} \text{ if } b = \prod_{i=1}^{X^n} k_i b_i \in B; \text{ where } Y_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} T_{b_i};$$

satisfying the relations

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} T_i^{-1} Y_b T_i^{-1} &= Y_b Y_{a_i}^{-1} \text{ if } (b; i) = 1; \\ T_i Y_b &= Y_b T_i \text{ if } (b; i) = 0; 1 \leq i \leq n; \end{aligned}$$

The following basic anti-involution [C11] plays the key role for the so-called polynomial (basic) representation of H and difference counterparts of the Dunkl operators:

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} X_i &= X_i^{-1}; Y_i = Y_i^{-1}; T_i = T_i^{-1}; {}_r = {}_r^{-1}; \\ t(\cdot) &= t(\cdot)^{-1}; q = q^{-1}; 0 \leq i \leq n; (AB) = B A; A, B \in H; \end{aligned}$$

The Y -intertwiners (see [C2]) are introduced as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} j &= T_j + (t_j^{1=2} - t_j^{1=2})(Y_{a_j}^{-1} - 1)^{-1}; 1 \leq j \leq n; \\ 0 &= X T_s - (t_0^{1=2} - t_0^{1=2})(q^{-1} Y^{-1} - 1)^{-1}; \\ P_r &= X {}_r T_{!_r^{-1}}; r \in O; \end{aligned}$$

They belong to the extension H by the field $C_{q,t}(Y)$ of rational functions in fYg . The elements $f_j; P_r g$ satisfy the relations for $fT_j; {}_r g$. Hence the elements

$$(2.7) \quad \hat{w} = P_r j_1 \cdots j_l; \text{ where } \hat{w} = {}_r s_{j_1} \cdots s_{j_l} \in W^b;$$

are well-defined for reduced decompositions of w , and $l(w\hat{u}) = l(w) + l(\hat{u})$ whenever $l(w\hat{u}) = l(w) + l(\hat{u})$.

The following property of f_g fixes them uniquely up to left or right multiplications by functions of Y :

$$(2.8) \quad wY_b = Y_{w(b)} w; w \in W^b;$$

where $Y_{[b;k]} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Y_b q^{-k}$.

The f_w are exactly the images of the X -intertwiners (see [C2], (2.12)) with respect to the involution $"$ from (2.6) *ibidem*.

Note that

$$(2.9) \quad w = w^{-1}; w \in W^b;$$

Use the quadratic relation for T_j to check it for $f_j; 0 \leq j \leq n$.

In the case of GL_n , the element P_1 (it is of infinite order) was used by Knop and Sahi (see [Kn]) to construct the non-symmetric Macdonald polynomials and eventually confirm the Macdonald integrality conjecture. They introduced it independently of [C9] and checked the "intertwining" property directly. Generally speaking, a direct verification of (2.8) without the X -intertwiners and the involution $"$ is possible but much more difficult.

3. Polynomial representation

We will identify X_b with the corresponding multiplication operators:

$$(3.1) \quad X_b(p(X)) = X_b p(X); p(X) \in C_{q,t}[X];$$

and use the action (1.1):

$$w(X_b) = X_{w(b)}; \text{ for instance,}$$

$$(3.2) \quad s_0(X_b) = X_b X^{-b} q^{b}; a(X_b) = q^{(a,b)} X_b \text{ for } a, b \in B;$$

The Demazure-Lusztig operators

$$(3.3) \quad \hat{T}_j = t_j^{1=2} s_j + (t_j^{1=2} - t_j^{-1=2})(X_{a_j} - 1)^{-1}(s_j - 1); 0 \leq j \leq n;$$

are well-defined on $C_{q,t}[X]$.

We note that only $\hat{T}_0$ depends on q :

$$(3.4) \quad \hat{T}_0 = t_0^{1=2} s_0 + (t_0^{1=2} - t_0^{-1=2})(qX^{-1} - 1)^{-1}(s_0 - 1);$$

Theorem [C9] 3.1. The map $T_j \mapsto \hat{T}_j; X_b \mapsto X_b, \tau \mapsto \tau$ can be extended to a faithful representation $H \mapsto \hat{H}$ of $H(\text{sing } q; t)$. The operators $L_p = p(\hat{Y}_1; \dots; \hat{Y}_n)$ are W -invariant for W -invariant polynomials p and preserve $C_{q,t}[X]^W$.

□

The exact formulas for the restrictions L_p of L_p to W -invariant functions are known only for rather simple p .

Proposition [C11] 3.2. Given $r \geq 0$, let $m_r = \sum_{w \in W_r} X_{w(b_r)}$, where W_r is the stabilizer of b_r in W . Then $\lambda_r = \lambda(b_r) \in R_+$ and

$$(3.5) \quad L_r = L_{m_r} = \sum_{w \in W_r} \frac{t^{1/2} X_{w(-)} t^{1/2}}{X_{w(-)} 1} w(b);$$

where $w(b) = w(b) \in B$ acts as in (3.2).

□

The operators L_r were introduced by Macdonald [M1, M2]. For GL_n , they were found independently by Ruijsenaars [R]. Later we will use these formulas in the "rational" limit.

The coefficient of $X^0 = 1$ (the constant term) of a polynomial $f \in C_{qt}[X]$ will be denoted by hfi . Let

$$(3.6) \quad = \sum_{a \in R_+} \frac{Y^i Y^j (1 - X_a q_a^i) (1 - X_a^{-1} q_a^{i+1})}{(1 - X_a t_a q_a^i) (1 - X_a^{-1} t_a q_a^{i+1})};$$

where $q_a = q^{(a,a)/2} = q^{2=(:)}$ for $a = -$.

The coefficients of $0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} hfi_0$ are from $C(q;t)$, and $0 = 0$ with respect to the involution

$$X_b = X_{-b}; t = t^{-1}; q = q^{-1}.$$

Hence

$$(3.7) \quad ff;gg \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} hfg_0i = (fg;fg) \quad \text{for } f,g \in C(q;t)[X];$$

Here and further see [C3, C11].

Proposition 3.3. For any $H \in H$ and the anti-involution from (2.6), $f\hat{H}(f);gg = ff;\hat{H}(g)g$ for $f,g \in C_{qt}[X]$. The operators $fX_b;Y_b;T_j;rg$ and $q;t$ are unitary with respect to the form $f;g$:

□

Actually this proposition is entirely algebraic. It holds for other inner products. For instance, the inner product in terms of Jackson integrals considered in [C2] can be taken, which is expected to have applications to negative k .

4. Degenerations

Let us $x = \sum_P f(-) \in C; \sum_{R_+} g; = ((;)); j = j$ and introduce $= (1/2) \sum_{R_+} : One has: (; \bar{j}) = j$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$.

Definition 4.1. The degenerate (graded) double affine Hecke algebra H^0 is generated by the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[W^b]$ and the pairwise commutative

$$(4.1) \quad Y_b \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \prod_{i=1}^n (b; -i) Y_i + u \quad \text{for } b = [b; u] \in R^n \times R;$$

satisfying the following relations:

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} s_j Y_b - Y_{s_j(b)} s_j &= -j(b; -j); \quad (b; 0) = (b; -); \\ r Y_b - Y_{r(b)} r &\text{ for } 0 \leq j \leq n; r \in O: \end{aligned}$$

Without s_0 and r , we arrive at the defining relations of the graded affine Hecke algebra from [L] (see also [C8]). It is a natural degeneration of the double affine Hecke algebra when $q \rightarrow 1; t \rightarrow 1$.

We will also use the parameters $k \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\gamma; \delta) = 2; k_i = k_{-i}$; and the derivatives of $\mathbb{C}[X]$:

$$\partial_a(X_b) = (a; b) X_b; \quad a, b \in B:$$

Note that $w(\partial_b) = \partial_{w(b)}; w \in W$.

Differential degeneration. Following [C2, C10], let

$$(4.3) \quad q = \exp(h); \quad t_j = q_j^{k_j} = q^{-j}; \quad Y_b = 1 - h Y_b;$$

and h tend to zero. The terms of order h^2 are ignored (without touching $X_{b; -j}$). We will readily arrive at the relations (4.2) for Y_b .

Setting $X_b = e^{x_b}$, the limit of (3.6) is

$$(4.4) \quad \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \prod_{b \in 2R_+} \int \sinh(x_{-2}) j^{2k} dx;$$

Proposition 4.2. a) The following operators acting on Laurent polynomials $f \in \mathbb{C}[X]$

$$(4.5) \quad D_b \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \partial_b + \sum_{2R_+} \frac{(b; -)}{(1 - X_{-1})} 1 - s \quad (\gamma; b)$$

are pairwise commutative, and $Y_{[b; u]} = D_b + u$ satisfy (4.2) for the following action of the group W^b :

$$w^x(f) = w(f) \quad \text{for } w \in W; \quad b^x(f) = X_b f \quad \text{for } b \in B:$$

For instance, $s_0^x(f) = X_{-s}(f); \quad r^x(f) = X_r!_r^{-1}(f)$:

b) The operators D_b are formally self-adjoint with respect to the inner product

$$(4.6) \quad \langle f, g \rangle \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{\mathbb{Z}} f(x) g(-x) dx;$$

ie. ${}^1D_b^+ = D_b$ for the anti-involution $+$ sending $w^x \mapsto (w^x)^{-1}$; $@_b \mapsto @_b$; where $w \in W^b$.

Proof. First, $y_b = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} (1 - \hat{Y}_b)h$ is precisely (4.5). Degenerate the product formulas for $Y_i = T_{b_i}$ in the polynomial representation (cf. [C6, C11], and [C10]). As to the term $(\cdot; b)$, use (1.7). The condition $q = q^{-1}$ formally leads to $h = h$, and $k = k$. Similarly, x_b must be equal to x_b , and all these give that $D_b = D_b$ for the inner product (4.6). Use Proposition 3.3. Actually the claim can be checked directly without the limiting procedure. However we want to demonstrate that the pairing (4.6) is an exact counterpart of the difference one. We mention that Opdam uses somewhat different pairing and involution in [O1]. $\square$

Degenerating f, g , we get the intertwiners of H^0 :

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \begin{matrix} 0 \\ i \end{matrix} &= s_i + \frac{k_i}{y_i}; \quad \begin{matrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{matrix} = X s + \frac{k_0}{1 - y}; \\ P_r^0 &= X_r!_r^{-1}; \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n; r \geq 0 : \end{aligned}$$

The operator P_1^0 in the case of GL_n (it is of infinite order) plays the key role in [KS]. The formulas for $\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ i \end{smallmatrix}$ when $1 \leq i \leq n$ are well-known in the theory of degenerate (graded) Lusztig algebras. See [LC5] and [O1], Definition 8.2. However the main applications (say, the raising operators) require a new ones.

Let us mention that equating renormalized $\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}$ and $\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}$ ([C5], Corollary 2.5 and [C2], Appendix) one comes to a Lusztig-type isomorphism [L] between proper completions of the algebras H^0 and H .

Difference-rational limit. We follow the same procedure (4.3), but now assume that

$$(4.8) \quad X_b = q^b; \quad a+b = a + b; \quad [b, u] = b + u:$$

It changes the result drastically. Degenerating $f\hat{T}_jg$ from (3.3), we come to the rational Demazure-Lusztig operators from [C6]:

$$(4.9) \quad S_j = s_j + \frac{k_j}{j} (s_j - 1); \quad 0 \leq j \leq n;$$

where by $\hat{w}$ we mean the action on f, g : $\hat{w}(\cdot) = w(\cdot)$. For instance, $S_0 = s_0 + \frac{k_0}{1} (s_0 - 1)$:

We set

$$(4.10) \quad S_{\hat{w}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} {}_r S_{i_1} \cdots S_{i_l} \text{ for } \hat{w} = {}_r s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_l};$$

$$(4.11) \quad \begin{matrix} \text{def} \\ b \end{matrix} S_b \text{ for } b \in B; \quad i = b_i:$$

The definition does not depend on the particular choice of the decomposition of $\hat{w} \in W^b$, and the map $\hat{w} \mapsto S_{\hat{w}}$ is a homomorphism. The operators S_b are pairwise commutative.

The limit of is the asymmetric Harish-Chandra function:

$$(4.12) \quad = \prod_{a \in R_+} \frac{(\lambda + k_a)(\lambda + k + 1)}{(\lambda)(\lambda + 1)};$$

where $k = (\lambda;) = 2$, is the classical λ -function.

Proposition 4.3. a) The operators S_w are well-defined and preserve the space of polynomials $C[\lambda]$ in terms of λ_b . The map $\hat{w} \mapsto S_w; \lambda_b \mapsto \lambda_b$ is a representation of H^0 .

b) The operators S_w are formally unitary with respect to the inner product

$$(4.13) \quad \langle f, g \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{Z}} f(\lambda) g(\lambda) d\lambda;$$

i.e. $S_w^+ = S_w^{-1}$ for the anti-involution $+$ sending $\lambda_b \mapsto \lambda_b; \hat{w} \mapsto \hat{w}^{-1}$; where $\hat{w} \in W^b$.

Proof. Statement a) is a straightforward degeneration of the polynomial representation of H . Since $(X_b) = (q^b) = (X_b^{-1})$ and $q = q^{-1}$, we get the λ -invariance of and come to (4.13). $\square$

Following Theorem 3.1 (and [C6]), the operators

$$(4.14) \quad p = p(\lambda_1; \dots; \lambda_n) \text{ for } p \in C[X_1^{-1}; \dots; X_n^{-1}]^W$$

are W -invariant and preserve $C[\lambda]^W$ (usual symmetric polynomials in λ).

Let us degenerate Proposition 3.2 (the notations $\lambda; m_r; \lambda_r$ remain the same). The restriction of $\lambda_r(\lambda_b)$ onto $C[\lambda]^W$ is as follows:

$$(4.15) \quad \lambda_r = \prod_{w \in W} \frac{X(\lambda) + k}{w(\lambda)} w(\lambda_b);$$

In the differential case the formulas (and the corresponding limiting procedure) are more complicated.

5. Opdam transform

From now on we assume that $R \geq k > 0$ for all λ and keep the notation from the previous section; i is the imaginary unit, $\lambda_r = \lambda_r$ the real and imaginary parts.

Theorem 5.1. There exists a solution $G(x; \lambda)$ of the eigenvalue problem

$$(5.1) \quad D_b(G(x; \lambda)) = \lambda_b G(x; \lambda); \lambda_b \in B; G(0; \lambda) = 1$$

holomorphic for all λ and for x in $\mathbb{R}^n + iU$ for a neighbourhood $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of zero. If $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ then $|G(x; \lambda)| \leq C \exp(\max_w (w(x); \lambda_r))$, so G is

bounded for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ when $\lambda \in i\mathbb{R}^n$. The solution of (5.1) is unique in the class of continuously differentiable functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$ (for a given λ).

This theorem is from [O1] (Theorem 3.15 and Proposition 6.1). Opdam uses that

$$(5.2) \quad F \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{W \setminus W} \int_1^X G(w(x); \lambda)$$

is a generalized hypergeometric function, i.e. a W -symmetric eigenfunction of the restrictions L_p^0 of the operators $p(D_{b_1}; \dots; D_{b_n})$ to symmetric functions:

$$L_p^0 F(x; \lambda) = p(\lambda_1; \dots; \lambda_n) F(x; \lambda);$$

where p is any W -invariant polynomial of $\lambda = \lambda_1$. The operators L_p^0 generalize the radial parts of Laplace operators on the corresponding symmetric space (see Introduction). The normalization is the same: $F(0; \lambda) = 1$. It fixes F uniquely. So it is W -invariant with respect to λ as well.

A systematic algebraic and analytic theory of F -functions is due to Heckman and Opdam (see [H01, H102, HS]). There is a formula for G in terms of F (at least for generic λ) via the operators D_b from (4.5). The positivity of k implies that it holds for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^n$. See [O1] for a nice and simple argument (Lemma 3.14). This formula and the relation to the ane Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equation [CMaC801] are applied to establish the growth estimates for G . Actually it gives more than was formulated in the theorem (see Corollary 6.5, [O1]).

We introduce the Opdam transform (the first component of what he called "\Cherednik's transform") as follows:

$$(5.3) \quad F(f)(\lambda) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) G(x; \lambda) dx;$$

for the standard measure dx on $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Proposition 5.2. a) Let us assume that $f(x)$ are taken from the space $C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of $\mathbb{C}$ -valued compactly supported 1-differentiable functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$. The inner product

$$(5.4) \quad (f, g) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \overline{g(x)} dx$$

satisfies the conditions of part b), Proposition 4.2. Namely, $f D_b g$ preserve $C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and are self-adjoint with respect to the pairing (5.4).

b) The Opdam transforms of such functions are analytic in λ on the whole $\mathbb{C}^n$ and satisfy the Paley-Wiener condition. A function $g(\lambda)$ is of PW-type ($g \in PW(\mathbb{C}^n)$) if there exists a constant $A = A(g) > 0$ such that for any $N > 0$

$$(5.5) \quad |g(\lambda)| \leq C (1 + |\lambda|)^N \exp(A |\lambda|)$$

for a proper constant $C = C(N; g)$.

Proof. The first claim is obvious. The Paley-Wiener condition follows from Theorem 8.6 [O1]. The transform under consideration is actually the first component of Opdam's transform from Definition 7.9 (ibid.). We also omit the complex conjugation in his definition and change the sign of x (instead of y). The estimates remain the same. $\square$

6. Inverse transform

We are coming to the inversion procedure (for positive k). The inverse transform is well-defined for Paley-Wiener functions $g(\cdot)$ on C^n by the formula

$$(6.1) \quad G(g)(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{i\mathbb{R}^n} g(\cdot) G(x; \cdot) d$$

for the standard measure d . The transforms of such g belong to $C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

The existence readily follows from (5.5) and known properties of the Harish-Chandra c -function (see below). The embedding $G(PW(C^n)) \subset C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is due to Opdam. It is similar to the classical one from [Hel] (see also [GV]).

Let us first discuss the shift of integration contour in (6.1). There exists an open neighborhood $U_+^a \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of the closure $C_+^a \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of the affine Weyl chamber C_+^a from (1.8) such that

$$(6.2) \quad G(g)(x) = \int_{U_+^a + i\mathbb{R}^n} g(\cdot) G(x; \cdot) d$$

for $x \in U_+^a$. Indeed, $k > 0$ and $\cdot$ has no singularities on $U_+^a + i\mathbb{R}^n$. Then we use the classical formulas for $j(x + iy) = (x)y$ for real $x, y; x > 0$. It gives that (cf. [Hel, O1])

$$(6.3) \quad j(\cdot) j(\cdot) \leq C(1 + j(\cdot))^K; \text{ where } K = 2 \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} k_\alpha; \quad x \in U_+^a + i\mathbb{R}^n; \\ > 0$$

for sufficiently big $C > 0$. So the products of PW-functions by $j(\cdot)$ tend to 0 for $j(\cdot) \rightarrow 1$, and we can switch to $\cdot$. Actually we can do this for any integrand analytic in $U_+^a + i\mathbb{R}^n$ and approaching 0 at 1. We come to the following:

Proposition 6.1. The conditions of part b), Proposition 4.3 are satisfied for

$$(6.4) \quad fg; g^0 g \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{i\mathbb{R}^n} g(\cdot) g^0(\cdot) d$$

in the class of PW-functions, i.e. the operators S_Ψ are well-defined on such functions and unitary.

Proof. It is sufficient to check the unitarity for the generators $S_j = S_{s_j}$ ($0 \leq j \leq n$) and s_r ($r \geq 0$). For instance, let us consider s_0 . We

will integrate over $\mathbb{R}^n + i\mathbb{R}^n$, assuming that $s_0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} s(\lambda) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} \alpha$ and avoiding the wall $(\lambda; \lambda) = 1$.

We will apply (4.13), the formula

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n + i\mathbb{R}^n} s_0(g(\lambda)) d\lambda = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n + i\mathbb{R}^n} g(\lambda) d\lambda = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n + i\mathbb{R}^n} g(\lambda) d\lambda;$$

and a similar formula for $(1 - \lambda)^{-1} g$, where g is of PW-type on $U_+^a + i\mathbb{R}^n$. Note that $(1 - \lambda)^{-1}$ is regular in this domain. One has:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^n + i\mathbb{R}^n} S_0(g(\lambda)) g^0(\lambda) d\lambda \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n + i\mathbb{R}^n} (s_0 + k_0(1 - \lambda)^{-1} (s_0 - 1)) (g(\lambda)) g^0(\lambda) d\lambda \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n + i\mathbb{R}^n} g(\lambda) (s_0 + k_0(s_0 - 1)(1 - \lambda)^{-1}) (g^0(\lambda)) d\lambda \\ (6.5) \quad &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n + i\mathbb{R}^n} g(\lambda) S_0^{-1}(g^0(\lambda)) d\lambda : \end{aligned}$$

Since (6.5) holds for one λ it is valid for all of them in U_+^a including 0. The consideration of the other generators is the same. $\square$

Main Theorem 6.2. Given $\hat{w} \in W^b$; $b \in B$; $f(x) \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$; $g(\lambda) \in \text{PW}(\mathbb{C}^n)$;

$$(6.6) \quad \hat{w}^x(G(x; \lambda)) = S_{\hat{w}}^{-1} G(x; \lambda); \text{ e.g. } X_b G = \lambda_b^{-1} (G);$$

$$(6.7) \quad F(\hat{w}^x(f(x))) = S_{\hat{w}} F(f(x)); G(S_{\hat{w}}(g(\lambda))) = \hat{w}^x(F(f(x)));$$

$$(6.8) \quad F(X_b f(x)) = \lambda_b(F(f(x))); G(\lambda_b(g(\lambda))) = X_b G(g(\lambda));$$

$$(6.9) \quad F(D_b(f(x))) = \lambda_b F(f(x)); G(\lambda_b g(\lambda)) = D_b(G(g(\lambda)));$$

Proof. Applying the intertwiners from (4.7) to $G(x; \lambda)$, we see that

$$(6.10) \quad (1 + \frac{k_i}{1})^{-1} (s_i + \frac{k_i}{1}) (G) = s_i (G); \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n;$$

$$(1 + \frac{k_0}{1})^{-1} (X_s + \frac{k_0}{1}) (G) = s_0 (G);$$

$$P_r^0(G) = X_r!_r^{-1} (G) = (\lambda_r)^{-1} (G) \text{ for } r \geq 0 :$$

The scalar factors on the left are necessary to preserve the normalization $G(0; \lambda) = 1$, so we can use the main property of the intertwiners (2.8) and the uniqueness of $G(x; \lambda)$ (Theorem 5.1). Expressing s_j^x in terms of s_j (when applied to G !), we get (6.6) for $\hat{w} = s_j$. It is obvious for $\hat{w} = \lambda_r$. Using the commutativity of $\hat{w}^x$ and $S_{\hat{w}}$ for $\hat{w}, \hat{w}' \in W^b$, we establish this relation in the general case. For $w \in W$ it is due to Opdam.

Formula (6.7) results directly from (6.6) because we already know that W^x are unitary for $ff; f^0g$ and S_W are unitary with respect to $fg; g^0g$ for the considered classes of functions. See Theorems 4.2, 4.3 and (5.4), (6.4).

For instance, let us check (6.8) for F , which is a particular case of (6.7):

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{Z}} F(X_b f(x)) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) X_b^{-1} G(x; \cdot) dx = \\ (6.11) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \int_{\mathbb{Z}} G(x; y) dy dx &= \int_{\mathbb{Z}} (F(f(x))) dy: \end{aligned}$$

Thus the Opdam transforms of the "multiplications by the coordinates" X_b are the operators $\int_{\mathbb{Z}}$.

Since D_b and $\int_{\mathbb{Z}}$ are selfadjoint for the corresponding inner products (see Theorem 4.2), we get (6.9), which is in fact the defining property of F and G . $\square$

Corollary 6.3. The compositions $GF : C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $FG : PW(\mathbb{C}^n) \rightarrow PW(\mathbb{C}^n)$ are multiplications by nonzero constants. The transforms $F; G$ establish isomorphisms between the corresponding spaces identifying $f; g$ and $f; g$ up to proportionality.

Proof. The first statement readily follows from the Main Theorem. The composition GF sends $C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into itself, is continuous (due to Opdam), and commutes with the operators X_b (multiplications by X_b). So it is the multiplication by a function $u(x)$ of C^1 -type. It must also commute with D_b . Hence $G(x; \cdot)u(x)$ is another solution of the eigenvalue problem (5.1) and $u(x)$ has to be a constant.

Let us check that FG , which is a continuous operator on $PW(\mathbb{C}^n)$ (for any fixed A) commuting with multiplications by any ϕ_b , has to be a multiplication by an analytic function $v(\cdot)$. Indeed, the image of FG with respect to the standard Fourier transform ($k=0$) is a continuous operator on $C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ commuting with the derivatives $\partial = \partial x_i$. So it is the convolution with some function and its inverse Fourier transform is the multiplication by a certain $v(\cdot)$. Since $FG(g) = \text{const } g$ for any $g(\cdot)$ from $PW(\mathbb{C}^n)$, v is constant.

The claim about the inner products is obvious because $FF(f); gg = ff; G(g)g$ for $f \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $g \in PW(\mathbb{C}^n)$. $\square$

The corollary is due to Opdam ([O1], Theorem 9.13 (1)). He uses Peetre's characterization of differential operators (similar to what Van Den Ban and Schlichtkrull did in [BS]). Anyway a certain nontrivial analytic argument is involved to check that GF is multiplication by a constant.

The symmetric case. The transforms can be readily reduced to the symmetric level. If f is W -invariant then

$$(6.12) \quad F(f(x)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) F(x; \cdot) dx$$

for F from (5.2). Here we applied the W -symmetrization to the integrand of the (5.3) and used that χ is W -invariant. So F coincides with the k -deformation of the Harish-Chandra transform on W -invariant functions up to a minor technical detail. The W -invariance of F in χ results in the W -invariance of $F(f)$.

As to G , we W -symmetrize the integrand in the definition with respect to x and χ , replacing G by F and χ by its W -symmetrization. The latter is the genuine Harish-Chandra measure"

$$(6.13) \quad \chi_0 = \prod_{a \in 2R_+} \frac{(\chi_a + k_a)(\chi_a + k)}{(\chi_a)(\chi_a)}$$

up to a coefficient of proportionality.

Finally, given a W -invariant function $f \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$;

$$(6.14) \quad f(x) = \text{Const} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(\chi) F(x; \chi)^0 d\chi \quad \text{for } g = F(f):$$

A similar formula holds for G . See [HC, He2] and [GV], Ch.6 for the classical theory.

As an application, we are able to calculate the Fourier transforms of the operators $p(X) \in C[X_b]^W$ (symmetric Laurent polynomials acting by multiplication) in the Harish-Chandra theory and its k -deformation. They are exactly the operators p from Section 4. In the minuscule case, we get formulas (4.15). We mention that in [D1] and other papers the pairings serving the Fourier transforms are hermitian. Complex conjugations can be added to ours.

We hope that the method used in this paper can be generalized to negative k and to other classes of functions (cf. [BS, HO2]). Relations (6.6) considered as difference equations for $G(x; \chi)$ with respect to χ may help with the growth estimates via the theory of difference equations and the equivalence with difference Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations. However I believe that the main progress here will be connected with the difference Fourier transform.

Math. Dept, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, N.C. 27599-3250
Internet: chered@math.unc.edu

References

- [BS] E.P. van den Ban, and H. Schlichtkrull, The most continuous part of the Plancherel decomposition for a reductive symmetric space, Preprint (1993).
- [B] N. Bourbaki, Groupes et algebres de Lie, Ch. 4{6, Hermann, Paris (1969).
- [C1] I. Cherednik, Difference Macdonald-Mehta conjectures, IMRN (1997).
- [C2] ———, Intertwining operators of double affine Hecke algebras, Selecta Math. (1997).
- [C3] ———, Macdonald's evaluation conjectures and difference Fourier transform, Inventiones Math. 122 (1995), 119{145.

- [C 4] ———, Nonsymmetric Macdonald polynomials, *IMRN* 10 (1995), 483{515.
- [C 5] ———, A unification of Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov and Dunkl operators via a new Hecke algebras, *Inventiones Math.* 106:2 (1991), 411{432.
- [C 6] ———, Quantum Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations and a new root system, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 150 (1992), 109{136.
- [C 7] ———, Elliptic quantum many-body problem and double a new Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equation, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 169:2 (1995), 441{461.
- [C 8] ———, Integration of quantum many-body problems by a new Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations, *Advances in Math.* 106:1 (1994), 65{95 (Preprint RIMS-776 (1991)).
- [C 9] ———, Double a new Hecke algebras, Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations, and Macdonald's operators, *IMRN (Duke Math. J.)* 9 (1992), 171{180.
- [C 10] ———, Lectures on a new Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equations, quantum many-body problems, Hecke algebras, and Macdonald theory, Preprint RIMS-1144, in *MSJ Memoirs* (1997).
- [C 11] ———, Double a new Hecke algebras and Macdonald's conjectures, *Annals of Mathematics* 141 (1995), 191{216.
- [D] C.F. Dunkl, Hankel transforms associated to finite reflection groups, *Comp. Math.* 138 (1992), 123{138.
- [GV] R. Gandolfi, and V.S. Varadarajan, Harmonic analysis of spherical functions on real reductive groups, Springer-Verlag (1988).
- [HC] Harish-Chandra Discrete series for semisimple Lie groups, *Acta Math.* 116 (1986), 1-111.
- [H 1] G.J. Heckman, Root systems and hypergeometric functions II, *Comp. Math.* 64 (1987), 353-374.
- [H O 1] G.J. Heckman, and E.M. Opdam, Root systems and hypergeometric functions I, *Comp. Math.* 64 (1987), 329{352.
- [H O 2] ———, Harmonic analysis for a new Hecke algebras, Preprint (1996).
- [HS] G.J. Heckman, and H. Schlichtkrull, Harmonic analysis and special functions on symmetric spaces, *Persp. in Math.*, Academic Press (1994).
- [Hel] S. Helgason, Groups and geometric analysis, Academic Press, New York (1984).
- [He 2] S. Helgason, An analogue of the Paley-Wiener theorem for the Fourier transform on certain symmetric spaces, *Math. Ann.*, 165 (1966), 297-308.
- [J] M.F.E. de Jeu, The Dunkl transform, *Invent. Math.* 113 (1993), 147{162.
- [Kn] F. Knop, Integrality of two variable Kostka functions, Preprint (1996).
- [KS] F. Knop, and S. Sahi, A recursion and a combinatorial formula for Jack polynomials, Preprint (1996).
- [L] G. Lusztig, A new Hecke algebras and their graded version, *J. of the AMS* 2:3 (1989), 599{685.
- [M 1] I.G. Macdonald, A new class of symmetric functions, *Publ. I.R.M.A., Strassbourg, Actes 20-e Seminaire Lotharingen*, (1988), 131{171.
- [M 2] ———, Orthogonal polynomials associated with root systems, Preprint (1988).
- [Ma] A. Matsuo, Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov type equations and zonal spherical functions, *Inv Math.* 110, (1992), 95{121.
- [O 1] E.M. Opdam, Harmonic analysis for certain representations of graded Hecke algebras, *Acta Math.* 175, (1995), 75{121.
- [O 2] ———, Some applications of hypergeometric shift operators, *Inventiones Math.* 98 (1989), 1{18.
- [R] S.N.M. Ruijsenaars, Complete integrability of relativistic Calogero-Moser systems and elliptic function identities, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 110 (1987), 191{213.