arXiv:q-bio/0310037v2 [q-bio.PE] 3 Nov 2003

October 2003 UTAS-PHYS-03-07

q-bio/

$U(1)\times U(1)\times U(1)$ symmetry of the Kimura 3ST model and phylogenetic branching processes

J D Bashford*, P D Jarvis† and J G Sumner,

School of Mathematics and Physics, University of Tasmania
GPO Box 252-21, Hobart Tas 7001, Australia

and M A Steel†,

Biomathematics Research Centre, University of Canterbury,
Christchurch, New Zealand

An analysis of the Kimura 3ST model of DNA sequence evolution is given on the basis of its continuous Lie symmetries. The rate matrix commutes with a $U(1)\times U(1)\times U(1)$ phase subgroup of the group $GL(4)$ of 4×4 invertible complex matrices acting on a linear space spanned by the 4 nucleic acid base letters. The diagonal 'branching operator' representing speciation is defined, and shown to intertwine the $U(1)\times U(1)\times U(1)$ action. Using the intertwining property, a general formula for the probability density on the leaves of a binary tree under the Kimura model is derived, which is shown to be equivalent to established phylogenetic spectral transform methods.

PACS numbers: 87.23 Kg, 89.75 He, 02.50 Ey, 02.50 Ga, 03.65 Fd

---

* Australian Postdoctoral Fellow

† Alexander von Humboldt Fellow

The use of Markov models of stochastic change to taxonomic character distributions is part of the standard armoury of techniques for describing mutations and inferring ancestral relationships between taxa. For the simplest models, symmetries of the rate matrix under discrete group actions ($\mathbb{Z}_2$ for binary types, or $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ for DNA or RNA bases in molecular applications, for example) have been used to good effect in simplifying phylogenetic analysis. In particular, much attention has been centred on properties of the frequently used Kimura 3ST model[1] which possesses such symmetry.

In this letter we describe an approach to the analysis of symmetries using *continous* transformation groups. Rather than identify the character types with elements of a (non-abelian or abelian) discrete 'colour' group which patterns the rate matrix for transitions between types into orbit classes in the traditional way, we look at linear transformations on the 'character space' spanned by the character types, and consider (complex, invertible) matrices which *commute* with the rate matrix. As we shall show, this approach, when implemented in the Kimura model, leads to an analysis which is well adapted to the established Hadamard discrete Fourier transform formalism[3, 4, 5, 6], but which importantly has potential generalisations going beyond the colour groups.

Generically, let $\{p_a(t), a = 1, \ldots, K\}$ be the probabilities that the system has trait $a = 1, 2, \ldots, K$ respectively. Introducing unit vectors e_a, $a = 1, \ldots, K$ the state vector representing the system

$$p(t) = p_1(t)e_1 + p_2(t)e_2 + \ldots + p_K(t)e_K \tag{1}$$

is subject to linear time evolution,

$$\frac{d}{dt}p(t) = \widehat{R} \cdot p(t), \tag{2}$$

where the operator $\widehat{R}$ is a suitable $K \times K$ Markov rate matrix. It is natural to decompose $\widehat{R}$ as

$$\widehat{R} = \lambda(-\mathbb{1} + \widehat{T}) \tag{3}$$

where the traceless part $\widehat{T}$ belongs by definition to the Lie algebra $sl(K)$ (see below for the $K = 4$ case). The usual (positive) rates for substitution between different characters are thus the off-diagonal elements of $\widehat{T}$. A formal solution to (2) for time-independent rates is

$$p(t) = e^{-\lambda t} \cdot e^{\lambda t \widehat{T}} \cdot p(0). \tag{4}$$

The vector $p(t)$ in $\mathbb{C}^K$ is a probability density if each $p_a \geq 0$ and $\sum_a p_a = 1$. Consistent with the time dependence imposed by the master equation, given a starting density, probability conservation is implemented by demanding that $\widehat{R}$ is a unit column sum matrix. Introducing the vector Ω representing the sum of all the unit vectors in the distinguished basis

$$\Omega = e_1 + e_2 + \ldots e_K,$$

probability conservation requires that the dual $\Omega^\perp$ (the row vector with unit entries) is annihilated by $\widehat{R}$ regarded as an operator on the dual space, $\Omega^\perp \cdot \widehat{R} = 0$. Equivalently, $\Omega^\perp$ is a left *unit* eigenvector of $\widehat{T}$.

In the Kimura model the characters a are of course the standard nucleic acid base letters A, G, U and C, and the rate matrix is[1]

$$\begin{bmatrix} \widehat{R}_{AA} & \widehat{R}_{AG} & \widehat{R}_{AU} & \widehat{R}_{AC} \\ \widehat{R}_{GA} & \widehat{R}_{GG} & \widehat{R}_{GU} & \widehat{R}_{GC} \\ \widehat{R}_{UA} & \widehat{R}_{UG} & \widehat{R}_{UU} & \widehat{R}_{UC} \\ \widehat{R}_{CA} & \widehat{R}_{CG} & \widehat{R}_{CU} & \widehat{R}_{CC} \end{bmatrix} = -(\alpha+\beta+\gamma)\mathbb{1} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \alpha & \beta & \gamma \\ \alpha & 0 & \gamma & \beta \\ \beta & \gamma & 0 & \alpha \\ \gamma & \beta & \alpha & 0 \end{bmatrix} \tag{5}$$

wherein the total change rate parameter in (3) above is $\lambda = \alpha + \beta + \gamma$, and the traceless part of the rate operator can be written in the form

$$\widehat{T} = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta+\gamma}\widehat{K}_\alpha + \frac{\beta}{\alpha+\beta+\gamma}\widehat{K}_\beta + \frac{\gamma}{\alpha+\beta+\gamma}\widehat{K}_\gamma. \tag{6}$$

Remarkably the 3 Kimura matrices

$$\widehat{K}_\alpha = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \widehat{K}_\beta = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \widehat{K}_\gamma = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{7}$$

provide a *maximal set of commuting generators* for the Lie algebra $sl(4)$ and thus can be chosen as the basis for a Cartan subalgebra; equivalently there exists a transformation of the basis spanned by e_A, e_G, e_U and e_C onto a new basis, in which the Kimura generators are diagonal (with doubly degenerate eigenvalues ± 1 by the traceless property, and the fact that they are square roots of $\mathbb{1}$). This transformation is well known to be generated by the Hadamard matrix H, which sends $\widehat{K}_i$ as matrices to $\mathsf{H}\widehat{K}_i\mathsf{H}^{-1}$, $i \in \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$:

$$\mathsf{H} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathsf{H}\widehat{K}_\alpha\mathsf{H}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\mathsf{H}\widehat{K}_\beta\mathsf{H}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathsf{H}\widehat{K}_\gamma\mathsf{H}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note finally that H can be decomposed as a tensor product of two-dimensional forms

$$\mathsf{H} = \mathsf{h} \otimes \mathsf{h}, \qquad \mathsf{h} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{8}$$

Thus far, we have recovered the standard analysis, with the emergence of the Hadamard transformation as the key to resolving the Kimura model. For (multi)-taxon probability densities *evolving independently*, the time evolution after time t is by extension of (4)

$$P(t) = e^{-\lambda t} \cdot e^{\lambda t\widehat{\mathbb{T}}} \cdot P(0), \tag{9}$$

[1]The rate parameters α, β, γ describe base transitions, and two classes of transversions, respectively.

where P is a tensor of rank ≥ 2 carrying the probability density on a sample space spanned by the appropriate Cartesian product of character sets, and $\widehat{\mathbb{T}} := \widehat{T}\otimes\mathbb{1}\otimes\ldots\mathbb{1}+\mathbb{1}\otimes\widehat{T}\ldots+\ldots$ the corresponding off-diagonal rate operator lifted to the tensor product space. Clearly, the higher rank Hadamard operator $\mathsf{H}\otimes\mathsf{H}\otimes\ldots$ again implements the correct diagonalisation in this case. The work of [3, 4, 5, 6] using discrete Fourier analysis on trees establishes that, remarkably, the Hadamard transform technique *still* applies, even when the multi-taxon system has evolved via a phylogenetic tree.

In order to pursue the alternative analysis via Lie symmetries, we exploit the observation that the Kimura operators $\widehat{K}_i$, $i \in \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$ provide a Cartan subalgebra for transformations belonging to the Lie algebra $sl(4)$ of the group $SL(4)$ of (complex) matrices[2]. Clearly the Hadamard basis vectors $h_a := \mathsf{H} \cdot e_a$ are simultaneous eigenvectors of the Kimura generators. In a general representation of $SL(4)$, the eigenvalues of the Kimura generators simply correspond to the weight decomposition with respect to the Cartan subalgebra. Aside from the overall scaling by $e^{-\lambda t}$, the Markov transition operator $e^{-\lambda t} \cdot e^{\lambda t \widehat{\mathbb{T}}}$ appends an exponential time dependence given by the sum of these weights, multiplied by the Kimura 'charge' parameters α, β, γ. Thus, in principle, provided the Markov model respects the symmetry, its spectral properties, and hence the time development of a multi-taxon density, can be deduced from an appropriate weight decomposition of the corresponding tensor representation of $SL(4)$.

To confirm that the analysis does indeed carry through in the presence of phylogenetic trees, we now turn to the description of the branching process itself. The usual formalism of stochastic models of base substitution[2] can conveniently be encapsulated via a linear operator δ, which changes the state vector representing a single taxon, to that representing independent progeny after branching[3]. In the nucleic acid basis we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \cdot e_A &= e_A \otimes e_A, \qquad & \delta \cdot e_G &= e_G \otimes e_G, \\ \delta \cdot e_U &= e_U \otimes e_U, \qquad & \delta \cdot e_C &= e_C \otimes e_C, \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

so that, when applied to a vector p representing the density on bases for one taxon, we have

$$\begin{aligned} p &= p_A e_A + p_G e_G + p_U e_U + p_C e_C \\ \rightarrow\ \delta \cdot p &= p_A e_A \otimes e_A + p_G e_G \otimes e_G + p_U e_U \otimes e_U + p_C e_C \otimes e_C, \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

after which evolution proceeds for the model on two taxa (with all operations lifted to the tensor product space carrying the Cartesian square of the character set, as described by (9) above).

A stochastic model may be said to possess a *symmetry* under a continuous transformation group G *if the rate matrix commutes with its generators*, and hence intertwines the group action. Formally if the action is $p(t) \rightarrow p'(t) \equiv g \cdot p(t)$ then the master equation (2) retains its form, for all $g \in G$ and for arbitrary $p(t)$, as

$$\frac{dp'(t)}{dt} = \widehat{R} \cdot p'(t)$$

[2] $SL(4) \simeq GL(4)/\mathbb{C}^\times$ where invertible matrices are factored by the multiplicative group of complex numbers corresponding to their (nonzero) determinants.

[3] A dynamical, many-body formulation of phylogenetic branching processes has been presented in [7].

iff $g\widehat{R} = \widehat{R}g$, or $[\widehat{R}, \widehat{K}] = 0$ with $\widehat{K}$ a *generator* of the group G ($g \sim e^{\widehat{K}}$). Similarly a branching operator δ admits a symmetry under such transformations if it intertwines the action of G on the character space of a single taxon, with some action on the tensor product space:

$$\delta \circ g = \widetilde{g} \circ \delta. \tag{12}$$

Such symmetry considerations lead to useful ways of analysing the tree structure of general phylogenetic branching processes, which we hope to take up in a separate work. Here we examine the implications for the Kimura model as a first example. It is clear from the above remarks that the rate matrix admits a $GL(1) \times GL(1) \times GL(1) \simeq \mathbb{C}^\times \times \mathbb{C}^\times \times \mathbb{C}^\times$ group of symmetry tansformations. For present purposes it is sufficient to take the corresponding unitary phase subgroup, $U(1) \times U(1) \times U(1)$. Turning to the diagonal branching operator (10), it is obvious that the Kimura generators in the distinguished basis simply act as permutations of the basic unit vectors e_A, e_G, e_U, e_C and hence themselves have a diagonal intertwining property[4]:

$$\delta \circ \widehat{K}_i = \widehat{K}_i \otimes \widehat{K}_i \circ \delta, \quad i \in \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}. \tag{13}$$

Thus we conclude that the Kimura model has $U(1) \times U(1) \times U(1)$ symmetry, *both* in the sense of commuting with the rate matrix, *and* in the intertwining property for the branching operator.

With the above preliminaries we sketch briefly the the way in which the above algebraic structure can be applied to an analysis of the Kimura model for phylogenetic trees, which is consistent with the Fourier transform methods. Fixing a rooted tree on L leaves, the full time evolution from the initial root density to the leaf density can be represented abstractly as a product of strings of terms of the form

$$\ldots (M'_1 \otimes M'_2 \otimes \ldots \otimes M'_{r+1}) \cdot (\mathbb{1} \otimes \mathbb{1} \otimes \ldots \delta \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathbb{1}) \cdot (M_1 \otimes M_2 \otimes \ldots \otimes M_r) \ldots \tag{14}$$

where it is implied that, for the time slices of the tree under consideration, with r taxa evolving, a branching event[5] took place on a particular edge leading to $r+1$ taxa evolving, the M being simply the appropriate Markov transition matrices $e^{\Delta t \widehat{R}}$. The intertwining property (13) can now be used to pull all the δ operators back to the root node, so that the final expression for the leaf density is of the form of products of exponentials of tensor products of Kimura operators, acting on the fully branched state[6]

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^{(L-1)} p(0) = {} & p_A(0) e_A \otimes e_A \ldots \otimes e_A + p_G(0) e_G \otimes e_G \ldots \otimes e_G + \\ & p_U(0) e_U \otimes e_U \ldots \otimes e_U + p_C(0) e_C \otimes e_C \ldots \otimes e_C. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Working in the Hadamard basis allows the exponentials to be diagonalised in terms of the weights of the tensor product states under the induced $U(1) \times U(1) \times U(1)$ action.

[4] In the case of abelian algebras, a 'group-like' coproduct $\widehat{K} \to \widehat{K} \otimes \widehat{K}$ gives a coassociative coalgebra structure, and the tensor product spaces carry a consistent comodule action.

[5] See also [7].

[6] The operator δ is coassociative, $(\mathbb{1} \otimes \delta) \circ \delta = (\delta \otimes \mathbb{1}) \circ \delta \equiv \delta^{(2)}$.

The combinatorics of the tree is of course encoded, in that the change on each edge explicit in (14) is inherited by the differing total weights of each factor, and hence different exponential time dependence, in the L edges emanating from (15) above.

As an example we specialise to the binary character case (the symmetric two colour model [8, 9]). Suppose the character set is $\{Y, R\}$ for definiteness. The analogue of the Kimura operator is $\widehat{\mathrm{k}}$, and there is only one rate parameter α with $\widehat{R} = \alpha(-\mathbb{1} + \widehat{\mathrm{k}})$. The analogue of (8) is

$$\widehat{\mathrm{k}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathsf{h} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathsf{h}\widehat{\mathrm{k}}\mathsf{h}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{16}$$

Consider the descending rooted 4-leaf tree $(1(2(34)))$. Labelling the non-leaf edges $5, 6$ in order of ascending level away from the leaves, define the total edge change parameters (including time intervals) as

$$\alpha_e := \Delta t_e \alpha, \quad e \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 6\}$$

(effectively allowing the α parameter in the rate matrix to be edge-dependent), and also the leaf operators

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathrm{k}}_1 &= \widehat{\mathrm{k}} \otimes \mathbb{1} \otimes \mathbb{1} \otimes \mathbb{1}, \qquad & \widehat{\mathrm{k}}_2 &= \mathbb{1} \otimes \widehat{\mathrm{k}} \otimes \mathbb{1} \otimes \mathbb{1}, \\ \widehat{\mathrm{k}}_3 &= \mathbb{1} \otimes \mathbb{1} \otimes \widehat{\mathrm{k}} \otimes \mathbb{1}, \qquad & \widehat{\mathrm{k}}_4 &= \mathbb{1} \otimes \mathbb{1} \otimes \mathbb{1} \otimes \widehat{\mathrm{k}}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying (13), (14), we have for the leaf density

$$\begin{aligned} P_{leaf} &= e^{-\sum_e \alpha_e} \cdot e^{\alpha_1 \widehat{\mathrm{k}}_1 + \alpha_2 \widehat{\mathrm{k}}_2 + \alpha_3 \widehat{\mathrm{k}}_3 + \alpha_4 \widehat{\mathrm{k}}_4 + \alpha_5 \widehat{\mathrm{k}}_5 + \alpha_6 \widehat{\mathrm{k}}_6} \cdot \delta^3 p(0), \\ \text{where also} \quad \widehat{\mathrm{k}}_5 &= \mathbb{1} \otimes \mathbb{1} \otimes \widehat{\mathrm{k}} \otimes \widehat{\mathrm{k}}, \qquad \widehat{\mathrm{k}}_6 = \mathbb{1} \otimes \widehat{\mathrm{k}} \otimes \widehat{\mathrm{k}} \otimes \widehat{\mathrm{k}}. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

The composite operator in (17) acts in the Hadamard basis to give a signed sum of edge parameters, with the signs determined by products of $\widehat{\mathrm{k}}$-weights, eigenvalues of the various leaf operators acting on $\delta^3 p(0) = p_Y(0) e_Y \otimes e_Y \otimes e_Y \otimes e_Y + p_R(0) e_R \otimes e_R \otimes e_R \otimes e_R$ expanded via the inverse Hadamard transform (see (16)),

$$e_Y = \frac{1}{2}(h_+ + h_-), \qquad e_R = \frac{1}{2}(h_+ - h_-). \tag{18}$$

Multiplying through by the overall prefactor, the *positively* signed edge parameters cancel in the exponent. For example the coefficient of $h_+ \otimes h_- \otimes h_+ \otimes h_-$ in the expansion of (17) becomes

$$P_{+-+-} = (\tfrac{1}{2})^4 \cdot e^{-2(\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5)} p_Y(0) + (\tfrac{1}{2})^2 \cdot (-\tfrac{1}{2})^2 \cdot e^{-2(\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5)} p_R(0).$$

As explained above, the use of (13), (14) in generalising (17) to an arbitrary tree $\mathcal{T}$ amounts to considering how the symmetry group on the linear space spanned by the evolving probability density of a single system, extends after branching to transformations acting on the L-fold tensor product (in the Kimura 3ST model, the symmetry group is

$U(1)\times U(1)\times U(1)$, and in the symmetric two-colour model just $U(1)$). Taking the binary case for simplicity, the general form of (17) reads (*cf* (9) and (4))

$$P_{leaf} = e^{-\sum_e \alpha_e} \cdot e^{\widehat{\mathrm{k}}_{\mathcal{T}}} \cdot \delta^{(L-1)} p(0). \tag{19}$$

The operator $\widehat{\mathrm{k}}_{\mathcal{T}}$ is the induced generator of $U(1)$ after pulling back through the branching nodes of the tree. We define (following the above example)

$$\widehat{\mathrm{k}}_{(e)} = \prod_{\ell\in\mathcal{T}_e} \widehat{\mathrm{k}}_\ell$$

for each edge e to be the product, over all leaves in the subtree $\mathcal{T}_e$ determined by e, of the leaf operators

$$\widehat{\mathrm{k}}_\ell = 1\otimes 1\otimes\ldots\widehat{\mathrm{k}}\otimes 1\otimes\ldots\otimes 1$$

($\widehat{\mathrm{k}}$ acting on the ℓ'th place in the L-fold tensor product – obviously if ℓ is a leaf edge, $\widehat{\mathrm{k}}_{(\ell)} \equiv \widehat{\mathrm{k}}_\ell$). Then

$$\widehat{\mathrm{k}}_{\mathcal{T}} = \sum_e \alpha_e \widehat{\mathrm{k}}_{(e)}.$$

Finally, $\delta^{L-1}p(0)$ is the maximally branched state (as would derive from a multifurcating branching). Note however, that this decomposition does not imply that the leaf density is equivalent to independent stochastic evolution from this initial branched state – the operator $\widehat{\mathrm{k}}_{\mathcal{T}}$ is not of separable form.

While (19) is independent of basis, it is obviously beneficial to analyse the components of each side in terms of the (tensor products of) Hadamard vectors (eigenstates of the $\widehat{\mathrm{k}}$ operator), as both the separable and non-separable parts of the tree operator $\widehat{\mathrm{k}}_{\mathcal{T}}$ are diagonal in this basis. Briefly the algorithm for determining the weight attributed to a term of P_{leaf} in the Hadamard basis can be described as follows (for a formal analysis see [10]). Take an arbitrary binary tree, and fix a tree 'split', to be associated with the coefficient, in the expansion of P_{leaf}, of the basis element consisting of the L-fold tensor product of $-$ Hadamard vectors on a chosen subset of distinguished leaves, with $+$ Hadamard vectors at the remaining non-distinguished leaf positions. On the graph of the tree assign $-$ signs to the distinguished leaf edges, and $+$ signs to the remainder, and propagate signs to the remaining edges multiplicatively (*eg* adjacent siblings with $-$ signs will generate a $+$ sign on their ancestral edge). The corresponding signed sum of edge parameters α_e is precisely the exponent generated by the action of $\widehat{\mathrm{k}}_{\mathcal{T}}$ on this basis element. After the overall $e^{-\sum_e \alpha_e}$ prefactor is multiplied through, *only* the negatively signed edge terms are present in the exponent (with coefficient -2). Finally the numerical factors accompanying the terms proportional to $p_Y(0)$ and $p_R(0)$ can easily be read off from (18).

It is clear that the above presentation is equivalent to the standard discrete Fourier analysis on trees techniques involving the Hadamard transform[3, 4, 5, 6]. Specifically, the surviving edge parameters which provide the argument of the exponential are nothing but the nonintersecting path edge sums for a given leaf split, as emerges from the Hadamard transform in edge space. The standard $\mathbb{Z}_2\times\mathbb{Z}_2$ colour symmetry is of course inherent in

the Hadamard matrix, which is also mandatory for the simultaneous diagonalisation of the Kimura generators. However, from the viewpoint of Lie symmetries, the latter determine 3 (infinite) continuous symmetry groups, rather than being identified with the 3 non-unit elements of a discrete group. Crucial for our derivation is the coproduct property (12), and the fact that the combinatorics of the tree determines the final action of the symmetry group on the L-fold tensor product carrying the leaf probability density.

In this letter we have provided a framework for the analysis of phylogenetic branching models on the basis of continuous transformation symmetries of the rate matrix and the branching operator. The formalism can be applied to the Kimura 3ST (and also the 2P) model, as well as the symmetric binary character model [8, 9] and it reproduces the standard spectral transform analysis. Importantly, it can potentially be applied to any model where the off-diagonal rates can be associated with an abelian subalgebra of $SL(K)$ whose generators have the form of permutation matrices (so that the intertwining property holds). We defer a formal presentation of such generalisations, and of the role of Lie symmetries and representation theory in branching models to a separate paper[10].

Acknowledgements

PDJ and JGS thank the Department of Physics and Astronomy, and also the Biomathematics Research Centre, University of Canterbury, Christchurch, New Zealand, for hosting a visit during which this work was initiated. This research was supported by the Australian Research Council grant DP0344996.

References

[1] M Kimura, *Estimation of evolutionary distances between homologous nucleotide sequences*, Proc Nat Acad Sci USA **78** (1981) 454–458

[2] F Rodriguez, J L Oliver, A Marin and J R Medina, *The general stochastic model of nucleotide substitution*, J Theor Biol **142** (1990) 485–501

[3] M Steel, M D Hendy and D Penny, *Reconstructing phylogenies from nucleotide pattern probabilities: a survey and some new results*, Discrete Appl Math **88** (1998) 367–96

[4] L Székely, M A Steel and P L Erdös, *Fourier calculus on evolutionary trees*, Advances in Applied Mathematics **14** (1993) 200–216

[5] M D Hendy, D Penny, M A Steel, *Discrete Fourier analysis for evolutionary trees*, Proc Nat Acad Sci (USA) **91** (1994) 3339–43

[6] S N Evans and T P Speed, *Invariants of some probability models used in phylogenetic inference*, Annals of Statistics **21** (1993) 355–377

[7] P D Jarvis and J D Bashford, *Quantum field theory and phylogenetic branching*, J Phys A **A34** (2001) L703–L707

[8] J S Farris, *A probability model for inferring evolutionary trees*, Syst Zool **22** (1973) 250–256

[9] J A Cavender, *Taxonomy with confidence*, Math Biosci **40** (1978) 271–80

[10] P D Jarvis, J D Bashford and J G Sumner, in preparation