Full Paper

D iversity in Free Energy Landscape of Proteins with the Sam e N ative Topology

H iroo K en zak $i^{1;2;3}$ and M acoto K ikuch $i^{2;1y}$

¹D epartm ent of P hysics, O saka U niversity, Toyonaka 560-0043 ²C yberm edia C enter, O saka U niversity, Toyonaka 560-0043 ³JST-CREST, N agoya 464-8601

In order to elucidate the role of the native state topology and the stability of subdom ains in protein folding, we investigate free energy landscape of hum an lysozym e, which is composed of two subdom ains, by M onte C arlo simulations. A realistic lattice m odel with G o-like interaction is used. We take the relative interaction strength (stability, in other word) of two subdom ains as a variable parameter and study the folding process. A variety of folding process is observed and we obtained a phase diagram of folding in terms of tem perature and the relative stability. Experimentally-observed diversity in folding process of c-type lysozim es is thus understood as a consequence of the di erence in the relative stability of subdom ains.

KEYW ORDS: protein folding, free energy landscape, native topology, lysozyme, multicanonical ensemble, Gomodel

P roteins take particular conform ations called the native states under the physiological condition. How proteins fold into their native states through vast conform ational spaces has been a fundam ental problem in biophysics. Recent developm ent of the energy landscape theory has m ade it m ore and m ore evident that the folding processes are determ ined largely by the chain conform ations of the native states (offen called as native topology). A coording to the theory, conform ation spaces of proteins becom e narrow er tow ards the native states and the resulted energy landscapes are said sym bolically as finnellike shaped.^{1,2} In other word, proteins are designed so that the energetical frustration is m inim ized.

R ise and developm ent of the energy landscape theory has induced the recent revival of G o m odel, which includes interactions only between am ino acids that contact in the native state (such an am ino acid pair is called as a native pair) and thus the native state is autom atically the ground state. Actually, G o model is considered as a m in in a listic realization of the funnel-like energy landscape. Som e variants of G o m odel have been show n to reproduce various properties of protein folding.^{4,5} Rem arkably, validity of Go-likem odels is not limited only to the proteins that exhibit simple folding processes; som e proteins having interm ediate states in the folding processes are also described well by G o-like models. Recent success of G o-like m odels supports the abovem entioned postulate that the native topology is the most important factor that determ ines the folding process, since they are based on the inform ation only of the native state. In fact, proteins having a sim ilar native structure follow a sim ilar folding path in m any cases. Som e proteins with the sam e native topology, however, are known to fold via di erent pathways.⁶ Thus, it is true that the folding process is governed largely by the native topology, but there are som e cases that other factors dom inate.

Following the statistical concept of the energy land-

scape theory, the folding route of a protein is determ ined by the free energy of the transition state and, if exists, the interm ediate state. How ever, analysis of folding process along this line has been limited mainly to singledom ain proteins. Validity of the G o-like models as well as the funnel picture of the energy landscape for larger multi-dom ain proteins is still an open question. Considering the fact that most of the real proteins consist of two orm ore subdom ains, understanding the folding processes of multi-dom ain proteins is an important subject. In this letter, we investigate folding process of proteins of two subdom ains within the fram ework of G o-like model. In particular, we focus on in uence of inhom ogeneity in energetic stability of subdom ains.

W e dealw ith chicken-type (c-type) lysozym e, a class of two-dom ain proteins, in which a num ber of proteins with a similar native structure are included such as several variants of lysozym es and -lactalbum in. Folding processes of c-type lysozym es have been extensively investigated by experim ents, because they are relatively sm all. am ong multi-dom ain proteins. In fact, it is currently one of the standard materials for study of the folding processes of multi-dom ain proteins. The c-type lysozym es have two subdom ains: subdom ain, which is composed oftwo helical regions both of N-term inal and C-term inal, subdom ain, which is the middle beta region Fig. and 1 (a)]. M any proteins in this class exhibit three-state folding kinetics; in other words, interm ediate states are observed in the folding processes. Nature of the interm ediate states are di erent from protein to protein. Hen lysozym e and hum an lysozym e have interm ediate states in the folding processes (kinetic interm ediates), while no corresponding equilibrium state (equilibrium interm ediate) has been observed under sweeping of external param eters such as tem perature.^{7,8} Thus, the interm ediates states exist only as therm odynam ically m etastable states in these cases. On the other hand, canine mik lysozym e and -lactalbum in have equilibrium interm ediates.^{9,10} Considering the native topology, we intuitively expect that subdom ain will fold rst, and then sub-

E-m ailaddress: kenzaki@ tbp.cse.nagoya-u.ac.jp

 $^{{}^{\}mathrm{y}}\mathrm{E}\,\text{-}\mathrm{m}\,\text{ail}\,\mathrm{add}\,\mathrm{ress:}\,kikuchi@$ cm c.osaka-u.ac.jp

dom ain, because form ation of subdom ain requires contacts of am ino acids that are distantly located along the chain. A G o-like m odel with hom ogeneous interactions predicts the same folding route as will be shown later. But the experim ental evidences indicate opposite; dom ain already folded partially both in the kinetic interm ediates and the equilibrium ones, while dom ain is still disordered there.

Interactions in G o-like models are often taken to be hom ogeneous, that is, the same interaction parameter is applied to all the native pairs. A blough such setting is the simplest choice, we are allowed also to use different interaction parameters for di erent pairs within the framework of G o-like models, if only the native pairs are taken into account. In fact, G o-like models using M iyazawa{Jemigan type heterogeneous interactions¹¹ have also been used,^{12{14} considering that interactions between am ino acid residues are heterogeneous in reality. In this letter, however, we propose a totally di erent treatment for the interaction parameters. Instead of

xing the interaction param eters to particular values, we regard them as variable param eters, and thereby we discuss diversity of possible folding routes within proteins of the same topology in a unit ed manner.

It is widely recognized that a folding simulation of a protein is a di cult task even with today's high perform ance com puters. Calculation of the global free energy landscape should be even m ore di cult, because w e need to sam ple conform ations of wide energy range with accurate weight. Lattice models are favorable for this purpose from the view point of computational cost. Som e realistic lattice models that can represent exible structures of realproteins in a satisfactory level of approxim ation have been proposed.¹⁵ In the present work, we use the 210-211 hybrid lattice model in which an amino acid residue is represented by its C atom located on a simple cubic lattice; All other atom s such as N and H are not explicitly considered and the side chain as well. Consecutive C atom s are connected by vectors of the type (2,1,0)or (2,1,1) and all the possible permutations. In order to take into account the excluded volume e ect of am ino acid residues, we assume that each am ino acid occupies seven lattice sites, that is, a center site where C atom is located and all of its nearest-neighbor sites. Construction of G o-like model requires know ledge about the native structure. For that purpose, we take the X-ray crystal structure of hum an lysozym e (Protein Data Bank Code: 1 jsf), which consists of 130 am ino acid residues, as a reference structure; then the native structure of the lattice protein model is obtained by thing to its C trace. Figure 1 (b) shows the native structure of the lattice model thus obtained and that of hum an lysozym e. Their root m ean square deviation (m sd) is 0:85 A, which we regard is satisfactorily accurate for the present purpose.

W e introduce G o-like interactions which act only in the native pairs. A harm onic-type local interaction is also introduced, which expresses the excess energy due to the bond stretching. Then the Ham iltonian for the hom ogeneous case is de ned as follows:




Fig. 1. (a)X-ray crystal structure of hum an lysozym e. B lue indicates dom ain (residues 1-38 and 88-130) and red indicates dom ain (residues 39-87). This im age was prepared using MOLSCRIPT¹⁶ and Raster3D¹⁷ (b) Superposition of the C trace of X-ray crystal structure of hum an lysozym e (red) and its lattice realization (blue)

$$V_{h} = \frac{K_{b}}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{X} (r_{i;i+2} - r_{i;i+2}^{nat})^{2} \qquad X = C_{i;j} (r_{i;j}; r_{i;j}^{nat});$$

$$j = 2 \qquad (1)$$

$$(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{y}) = \begin{array}{ccc} 1 & \mathbf{j}\mathbf{x}^2 & \mathbf{y}^2 \mathbf{j} & \mathbf{W} \\ 0; & \text{otherw ise} \end{array}$$
(2)

where i and j indicate the residue number counted along the chain, $r_{i;j}$ is the distance between C atom s of i-th and j-th residues, $r_{i;j}^{nat}$ is their native distance, W is the width of the G o potential, K_b is strength of the local interaction, " is strength of the G o potential and $C_{i;j}$ is assigned a value 1 or 0 depending on whether the pair is the native pair or not. W e use W = 2, $K_b = 1$ and " = 1 throughout this work. A pair of residues is considered as a native pair if the m inim um distance between their heavy atom s is less than 4.5 A in the X-ray crystal structure.




Fig. 2. Phase diagram of folding of lysozym e. Transition points, estim ated from the peaks of heat capacity, are shown for various R _ .N indicates the native state and R is for the random coil. I is an interm ediate state with domain form ed, and I is an interm ediate state with domain form ed.Values of R _ and T indicated by the arrows are: (a) R _ = 0:74 and T = 0:845, (b) R _ = 1:06 and T = 0:846, (c) R _ = 1:28 and T = 0:853.

Then we introduce inhom ogeneity in interactions. For the purpose of investigating the e ect of subdom ain stability, we divide the interaction V_h into two parts, the interactions within the dom ain and the rest, and write the new potential as V = R V + R V, where R and R are variable parameters. We should note that inter-subdom ain interaction is included in V. For efcient sampling of conform ations, we employ a variant of two-energy multicanonical ensem ble M onte C arlo m ethod,¹⁸⁽²¹ in which two dim ensional histogram in (V, V) (space is made to be at. This m ethod enables us to study equilibrium properties for any values of R and R through the reweighting procedure.

W e investigate how does the weight parameter R $_{=}$ R $_{=}$ R in uences the folding behavior. Figure 2 shows the phase diagram in terms of temperature T and R $_{=}$. The total energy of the native state is xed same. We de ne the transition point as temperature that the heat capacity takes a (local) maximal value. We found that number of transitions varies between one and three in the range of 0.5 R $_{=}$ 2. Lysozym e folds into the native state N below the lowest transition temperature and unfolds to be a random coil R above the highest transition temperature irrespective of the value of R $_{=}$

(these two transitions coincide in the single transition case) For R = 0:74, an equilibrium interm ediate state is observed in a nite temperature range, which we call as I. Another equilibrium interm ediate state, which we call as I, is observed for R = 128. An additional weak transition is found within the interm ediate state region when R = close to 0:5 or 2; peak of the heat capacity is relatively low at these additional transitions and thus only a slight structural change is considered to take place; we will not pursue this transition further.

Figure 3(a)-(c) shows the free energy landscapes at three selected points (a)-(c) indicated by the allows in Fig. 2, respectively. Three m inim a corresponding to R, I and N are observed at (a). The free energy landscape

clearly shows that subdom ain forms in the interm ediate states I. Three minim a are also seen at (b), which in this case correspond to R, I and N. subdom ain form $\operatorname{s}\operatorname{in}$ the interm ediate state I . Thus di erent folding pathways are followed in these two cases. Two pathways between R and N have the same weight at (c), which are divided by a free energy barrier. In contrast to the above two cases, neither of two pathways contains a metastable state in this case, so that the folding at this point should be of two-state type without a kinetic interm ediate state. Considering also the free energy landscapes for other values of $R_{=}$ (qures not show n), the folding behavior at the lower transition point (folding tem perature) is sum m arized as follows: (i)For R = 0:74(a), I is the equilibrium intermediate state. Thus it also is a kinetic interm ediate at the folding tem perature. (ii) I becom es a m etastable state as R = increases from 0:74, and thus I acts as a kinetic intermediate. (iii) the metastable state disappears at some R $_{=}$ < 1:06 (b). The folding becom es two-state type and beta subdom ain form s rst in the principal pathway. (iv) Two-state folding with two equally possible pathway at (c). (v)Two-state folding with alpha subdom ain form st in the principal pathway for R = > 1.06. (vi) the m etastable state corresponding to I appears at some R = > 1.06. I acts as a kinetic interm ediate. (vii)For R = > 1:28 (c), I is the equilibrium intermediate state and thus also is a kinetic interm ediate.

Change in a single parameter R = thus results in a wide spectrum of folding behavior. The cases (i) and (vii) m ay be expected naturally from the energy balance, but existence of the nite region of two-state folding with two folding pathways is rather a nontrivial result. The hom openeous G o-like model R = 1 is included in the case (iii), while the experim ental evidence suggests that the hum an lysozym e actually corresponds to the case (vi).⁸ This discrepancy indicates that the subdom ain has high stability compared to the subdom ain in hum an lysozym e. Di erences found in folding behavior of other c-type lysozym es, such as existence or nonexistence of an equilibrium intermediate state, can also be understood as a result of di erence in R = . This postulate is further supported by an experim ental evidence that the hum an lysozym e becom es to have an equilibrium interm ediate state when a part of the protein is replaced by the corresponding part of $-lactalbum in;^{22}$ in the present context, the hum an lysozym e seem s to shift from the case (vi) to the case (vii) due to the change of interaction param eters.

Lysozym e is not the only example of proteins that a subdom ain or, for smaller proteins, a folding unit com – posed both of N-term inal and C-term inal regions rather than the central region is form ed rst in the equilibrium intermediate state 23 W e expect that the results obtained above can be generalized for understanding the folding mechanism of these proteins, because existence of the cases (i) and (vii) is considered to be a natural consequence of two dom ains. In this view point, the folding processes of the above proteins correspond to the case (vii). O focurse, details such as the num ber of pathways in the two-state transition region will di er largely




Fig. 3. Free energy landscape of lysozym e as a function of V and V . (a) R $_{=}$ = 0:74 and T = 0:845, (b) R $_{=}$ = 1:06 and T = 0:846, (c) R $_{=}$ = 1:28 and T = 0:853.

from protein to protein. M any experimental evidences have also been reported that the folding processes can be modied by articial mutation methods leaving the native topology unchanged.⁶ A lthough these experiments are mainly for single-domain proteins, the mechanism of these changes may be understood as a consequences of the relative interaction strength of folding units, which are smaller units than a subdomain.

To sum marize, we observed a variety of folding processes of lysozym e by varying the relative strength of the interactions in two subdom ains in spite that the native conform ation is kept unchanged. This result suggests that the experim entally observed diversity in folding behavior of c-type lysozym es can be understood as a consequence of di erence in the subdom ain stability. It should be stressed that the model is still within the fram ew ork of G o-like model. Thus, a variety of folding route for proteins of the same native topology can be understood by the funnel picture. We expect that folding sequences of multi-dom ain proteins are in general in uenced by subdom ain stability as well as the native topology. In order to describe such a variation of folding processes in a uni ed m anner, G o-like model with variable interaction strength will be usefully.

A cknow ledgm ent

W e would like to thank M. Sasai, S. Takada and G. Chiken ji for critical reading of the m anuscript and valuable com m ents. The present work is partially supported by IT-program of M inistry of E ducation, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, The 21st C entury COE program nam ed "Towards a new basic science: depth and synthesis", G rant-in-A id for Scienti c R esearch (C) (17540383) from Japan Society for the Promotion of Science and JST-CREST.

- 1) JN.Onuchic, Z.Luthey-Schulten and P.G.W olynes: Anuu. Rev.Phys.Chem. 48 (1997) 545.
- 2) V S.Pande, A.Y.G rosberg and T.Tanaka: Rev.M od.Phys. 72 (2000) 259.
- 3) H.Taketom i, Y.Ueda and N.Go: Int.J.Peptide Protein Res. 7 (1975) 445.
- 4) C.Clementi, H.Nymeyer and JN.Onuchic: J.M ol.B iol.298 (2000) 937.
- 5) N.Koga and S.Takada: (2001) J.M ol.B iol. 313 (2001) 171.
- 6) A .Zarrine-A fsar, S M .Larson and A R .D avidson: (2005) Curr.
- Opin.Struct.Biol.15 (2005) 42.
 S E.Radford, C M .D obson and P A .Evans:Nature 358 (1992) 302.
- S.D. Hooke, S.E. R adford and C.M. Dobson: Biochem istry 33 (1994) 5867.
- 9) M.Kikuchi, K.Kawano and K.Nitta: Protein Sci. 7 (1998) 2150.
- 10) B A .Schulm an, P.S.K in , C M .D obson and C .Red eld: Nature Struct. Biol. 4 (1997) 630.
- 11) S.M iyazawa and R.L.Jemigan: J.M ol.Biol. 256 (1996) 623.
- 12) J.K aranicolas and C.L.B rooks III: J.M ol.B iol. 334 (2003) 309.
- 13) M R.E juehadi, S.P.A valland S.S.P lotkin: Proc.N atl. A cad. Sci.USA 101 (2004) 15088.
- 14) P.Das, S.M atysiak and C.C lem enti: Proc. Natl. A cad. Sci. U SA 102 (2005) 10141.
- 15) A.Kolinskiand J.Skolnick: Polymer 45 (2004) 511.
- 16) P.J.K raulis: J.Appl. Crystallogr. 24 (1991) 946.
- 17) E A.M erritt and D J.Bacon, M ethods Enzym ol. 277 (1997) 505.
- 18) B A.Berg and T.Neuhaus: Phys. Rev. Lett. 68 (1992) 9.
- 19) J.Lee: Phys.Rev.Lett. 71 (1993) 211.
- 20) Y. Iba, G. Chikenji and M. Kikuchi: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 67 (1998) 3327.
- 21) G.Chikenji, M.Kikuchiand Y.Iba: Phys. Rev. Lett. 83 (1999) 1886.
- 22) E.Pardon, P.Haezebrouck, A.DeBaetselier, Shaun D.Hooke, K.T.Fancourt, J.Desmet, C.M.Dobson, H.Van Daeland M. Joniau: J.Biol.Chem. 270 (1995) 10514.
- 23) R.Liand C.W oodward: Protein Sci. 8 (1999) 1571.