# Dynamics of Semi exible Polymers in a Flow Field

Tobias Munk<sup>1</sup>, Oskar Hallatschek<sup>2</sup>, Chris H.W iggins<sup>3</sup>, and Erwin Frey<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Arnold Sommerfeld Center and Center for NanoScience,

Ludwig-Maxim ilians-Universitat Munchen, Theresienstra e 37, 80333 Munchen, Germany

<sup>2</sup>Lym an Laboratory of Physics, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA

 $^3$ D epartm ent of Applied Physics and Applied M athem atics and C enter for C om putational B iology and B ioinform atics,

Colum bia University, 500 W est 120th Street, New York, NY 10027, USA

(Dated: 26. A pril 2006)

We present a novel method to investigate the dynamics of a single semi exible polymer, subject to anisotropic friction in a viscous uid. In contrast to previous approaches, we do not rely on a discrete bead-rod model, but introduce a suitable norm almode decomposition of a continuous space curve. By means of a perturbation expansion for stillaments we derive a closed set of coupled Langevin equations in mode space for the nonlinear dynamics in two dimensions, taking into account exactly the local constraint of inextensibility. The stochastic dimential equations obtained this way are solved numerically, with parameters adjusted to describe the motion of actinnal ments. As an example, we show results for the tumbling motion in shear low.

PACS num bers: 87.15 He, 87.15 Aa, 87.16 Ka, 83.50 Ax

#### I. IN TRODUCTION

In the course of evolution, nature found a both am azingly simple and robust way to sustain the mechanical stability of biological cells, while at the same time providing them with extraordinary dynam ic capabilities, like growing, moving, and dividing. The basic structure elements making this possible are semi exible polymers, in the cytoskeleton present in form of F-actin, interm ediate laments and microtubuli. Two characteristic properties distinguish them from most of the other natural and synthetic polymers: They possess a certain sti ness which energetically suppresses bending, and they are to a high degree inextensible, i.e., their backbone cannot be stretched or compressed. Moreover, electric charge and polarity e ects as well as the ability to assem ble and disassemble are essential for the dynamics of the whole cytoskeleton network.

In the last 15 years enorm ous progress has been m ade in experim ental observation and theoretical description of these physical aspects. To give som e examples, uorescence video m icroscopy of labeled lam ents has allowed for the detailed study of statistic properties of F-actin [1], DNA [2], and m icrotubules [3]. Quantities like the end-to-end distance [4] and force-extension relations [5] have been calculated and veri ed experim entally  $\{0, 7\}$ , the latter being accessible by m eans of optical and m agnetical tweezers. Furtherm ore, uorescence correlation spectroscopy [8, 9, 10] and light scattering [11] have been used to obtain, e.g., the m ean square displacem ent and dynam ic structure factor.

In this paper we concentrate on the theoretical description of the dynamics of a single semi exible polymer. This is the relevant model not only in the dilute limit, but also essential for the understanding of the medium and high frequency response of networks of sem i exible

lam ents [2]. C oncerning the theory, the textbook m odels of Rouse and Zimm [13] have to be extended, since they apply to G aussian chains only and thus fail to incorporate the e ects of sem i exibility. A system atic analysis of the dynamics was limited in this eld to the linear regim e until recently, when the dynam ic propagation and relaxation of tension could be elucidated [14, 15], and a comprehensive, uni ed theory worked out 16]. The num erical approach usually adopted for polym eric systems is to construct a polymer from a nite number of beads, each of which is connected with two neighbors by a sti rod or spring [7]. O ur goal is to set up a di erent method more suited to the subtleties of semi exibility, such that the num erical description of these lam ents becomes tractable when they are subjected to uid ow. The purpose of this work is twofold: First, we want to establish a new method that covers the above-mentioned goals, and second, the results we present in applying this technique are directly relevant to experim ents with polymers in a shear ow.

M any authors have addressed systems with semi exible polymers by means of di erent bead{rod/spring based techniques [18, 19, 20, 21, 22]. However, maintaining the mechanical constraint of a constant bond length becom es com plicated with increasing resolution, since it couples the motion of all beads. The controversial question arises whether this requirem ent has to be implemented as a literally rigid constraint or by an in nitely sti potential, since these two cases di er in their statistical mechanics [23]. One often chooses to address an in nitely sti bead-spring chain by means of a rigidly constrained system, which is more feasible concerning computational time. Then, an additional pseudo-potential has to be applied to guarantee the correct equilibrium Boltzmann distribution [24, 25]. Moreover, tim escales present major limitations when solving

E lectronic address: tobias.m unk@ lm u.de

sti system s num erically 26]. The characteristic relaxation time of a bending mode imposed on the lam ent is inversely proportional to the fourth power of the corresponding wavenum ber. The largest wavenum ber that can be resolved by discretized models is proportional to the number of beads. Hence the time step one has to choose is inversely proportional to the quartic num ber of m onom ers, for the shortest wavelength undulations to be sam pled correctly. At the sam e time, the longest mode needs to have enough time to relax to equilibrium, and since these two times di erby the fourth power of the resolution of the spatial discretization, the necessary com putational time can become prohibitively long.

As a consequence, the num erical approach has been limited, when sem i exible system s with constraints were to be investigated. Some dynamic properties could be grasped by a suitable combination of multiple short runs [26], but this is not possible when further external tim escales enter, which do not m atch the intrinsic lim itations. For instance, this is the case when the polymer is subject to a uid ow. Corresponding simulations have been reported with a quite sm all resolution of nine beads [27]. On the other hand, experiments of this type have already been carried out for DNA [28, 29, 30, 31, 32], and simulations have been presented to exam ine their

ndings \$3, 34, 35]. Indeed, the latter have always been done with models that allow for a nite or even in nite extensibility of the chains. This might be a suitable approach for coil{like DNA molecules, but it would miss im portant physics, if applied to stier laments like Factin or (pre{) stretched DNA [36].

Recently, a new idea has been presented [37] for an alternative approach that avoids these di culties for twodimensional systems. This concept starts from a continuous model for the semi exible polymer and uses a suitable norm alm ode analysis. Som e of these ideas have been utilized before to describe the determ inistic [38], linearbehaviour of semi exible laments in a viscous solution [39]. However, the extension to the nonlinear case at nite tem perature has proven to be subtle, and it is the goal of this work to consistently establish the approach and explore some of the possibilities it can o er.

#### II. M ETHOD

In ourmodel, a sem i exible polymer is represented by a space curve r(s;t), parameterised by the arclength s (Fig. 1). The minimal model for the description of a sem i exible polymer in this representation is the worm like chain [40, 41], valid when the detailed properties on the atom ic and m onom eric scale are not im portant anym ore [13]. Then, the ham iltonian for the elastic energy is given by the integral of the squared curvature c(s;t), multiplied with the bending modulus ,

$$H_{el} = \frac{Z_{L}}{2} ds c^{2}:$$
 (1)

$$= k_B T'_p (dim 1) = 2;$$
 (2)

 $s \equiv I$ 

where \dim " denotes the dim ension of the embedding space. For synthetic polymers, the persistence length is typically of the order of the polymer's diameter, 'p a. The tangential correlations thus decay very fast, hence these polymers are called exible. Sem i exible are polymers with 'p a, which is the case for the biopolymers inside the cell. Depending on the ratio of contour length and persistence length we can distinguish di erent regimes: In case of DNA one usually deals with the

'; in this work how ever we are explorexible lim it, L L. The latter m atches in ing the sti regime, where  $b_{p}$ nature, e.g., to the properties of F-actin and m icrotubuli.

R

 $\vec{t}(s,t)$ 

s=0



tinuous space curve r(s;t). An example is shown for the local unit tangent vector  $\hat{t}(s;t)$ , as well as the end-to-end distance vector  $\hat{R}$  .

Due to low Reynolds numbers, the motion of m-sized objects like biopolymers in solution is overdam ped, i.e., friction exceeds inertia by several orders of m agnitude [43]. As a consequence, inertia term s can safely be neglected, and the equations of m otion are of st order in time. The stochastic dynamics of a polymer in a quiescent solvent can thus be described by the Langevin equation [13, 44]

$$\frac{\theta}{\theta t} \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{s}; t) = \int_{0}^{Z_{\mathrm{L}}} d\mathbf{s}^{0} \mathbf{H} [\mathbf{r}] \frac{\mathbf{H} [\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{s}^{\mathrm{m}}; t)]}{\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{s}^{0}; t)} + \tilde{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{s}^{0}; t) :$$
(3)

Here H [ r] is the mobility tensor, with r \*(s;t)  $r(s^{0};t)$ , and ~ the noise, which we assume to be G aussian distributed with mean zero. The energetic part of the Ham iltonian H  $[\!\!r\,]$  is given by H  $_{\rm el}$  and we will discuss necessary additions below .

Concerning hydrodynam ics, we adopt the free draining approximation, i.e., neglect all nonlocal interactions. This approximation can be justilled by evaluating the Fourier transform ation of the G reen's function of a hydrodynam ic force eld (O seen tensor), which gives only a very weak, i.e. logarithm ic, mode dependence of the m obility [45]. The underlying physical rationale is the

m ostly straight conformation of a still ament. For the same reason, excluded volume e ects can safely be neglected. We implement the local friction of the polymer by using the anisotropic mobility of a still rod, which diers by a factor of two for the motion parallel and perpendicular to its tangent vector  $\hat{t} = e_s r$ . Hence H [r] ! P (r(s;t)) (s  $s^0$ ), with the local mobility [13, 46]

$$P = {}_{?}\hat{n} \quad \hat{n} + {}_{k}\hat{t} \quad \hat{t} : \tag{4}$$

Here, fi denotes the unit norm alvector. The tangent and norm alvector are related by the Frenet-Serret-equations, which in two dimensions read  $(\theta_s f) = cf$ ,  $(\theta_s f) = cf$ . The friction coe cients (per length) are obtained from the solvent viscosity and polymer diameter a by  $c_s^2 = \frac{1}{2} c_k = \ln(L=a)=2$ .

D ue to the presum ed G aussian nature of the noise we only need to specify the second m om ent of ~. By relating Eq. (3) to the appropriate Sm oluchowski equation [47] and requiring a Boltzm ann distribution in equilibrium we obtain

$$h^{\sim}(s;t) \sim (s^{0};t^{0})i = 2k_{\rm B} TP^{-1} (s s^{0}) (t t^{0}):$$
 (5)

Based on physical considerations given in appendix A we will interpret the noise according to Ito [48]. In fact, some of the algebra necessary in the following relies on this interpretation.

A further in portant ingredient to the worm like chain m odel is the inextensibility of the lament: W e assume the local length to be constant by in posing the constraint  $\theta_s r^2$   $t^2 = 1$ . To satisfy it, we introduce a Lagrange multiplier function (s;t) such that the full H am iltonian reads [49]

$$H = H_{el} \quad \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{Z_{L}} ds \quad (s;t) \left[ \mathbb{Q}_{s} \boldsymbol{r}(s;t) \right]^{2} : \qquad (6)$$

A lthough the constraint is identically satis ed in the arclength param eterization, we do need a Lagrange multiplier function here to make the variation of the coordinates in Eq. (3) independent of each other [63]. In the following, we will be able to solve the equations of motion perturbatively for the Lagrange multiplier (s;t), hence the validity of the inextensibility constraint is guaranteed locally for all times. Physically, (s;t) corresponds to the tension acting against forces that would elongate or compress the lament's backbone.

In evaluating the functional derivative of Eq. (6) we obtain from Eq. (3) the nonlinear equation of motion

$$\frac{n}{2} = P + 2^{000} + 2^{000} = (2^{0})^{0} + 2^{0} = (7)$$

On the left hand side we have subtracted the incom pressible, hom ogeneous [64] ow u = r to account for the in uence of an externally driven ow eld. In Eq7) and the following, a prime indicates a derivative with respect to the arclength.

W hen constrained system s sim ilar to Eq. (7) are approximated by discrete bead (rod models, one has to introduce a pseudo-potential (also called metric force) for the system to evolve into the correct equilibrium state [19, 24, 27]. In contrast, we use a spectral approach, in which the arclength dependence is continuous (before explicitly evaluated on a computer, of course), but we truncate the expansion in terms of a nite number of wavelengths. Evaluating the metric determinant by means of the recursion relation proposed in Ref. [50] leads to contributions proportional to powers of the spatial resolution. Thus in case of a continuous representation, we do not need to bother corrections of this kind.

To grasp the essential physics we will from now on consider the two-dimensionalmotion of a lament in a threedimensionalem bedding uid. This is actually a standard situation for experim ents [2, 30], since the interesting dynam ics is often restricted to two dimensions, while still allowing for a three-dimensional transfer of momentum to the environm ent. Note that this is distinct from system swhere the hydrodynam ics is con ned to two dim ensions. Thus in the following, the analysis will be presented for  $\dim = 2$ , cf. Eq. (2). The tangent and norm al vectors then are given by (Fig. 1)  $\hat{t} = (\cos ; \sin )$ , and  $\hat{n} = (\sin i \cos )$ . To transform the equation of motion to variables which are scalar, we di erentiate Eq. (7)with respect to the arclength and project the result onto the norm al and tangent vector, respectively. Using the Frenet-Serret-Equations, we arrive at

These equations describe the motion of a semi exible lament in its center of mass inertia frame, since the information on the absolute coordinate gets lost in evaluating the additional derivative of Eq. (7). The constant d gives the ratio of parallel and perpendicular friction, ? = k = d. Slender-body hydrodynamics is described by the anisotropic case (d = 2), physically corresponding to the di erence in drag between normal and tangential motion of a slender body in Stokes ow. The simpler isotropic equations are obtained with d = 1.

#### A. Norm alM ode Analysis

The leading contribution governing the elastic dynam – ics of Eq. (8) is the second term on the right hand side,  $\theta_s^3 c(s;t) = \theta_s^4$  (s;t). For a suitable norm al mode decomposition of the equations (8) and (9) we thus may use the eigenfunctions of the biharm onic operator  $\theta_s^4$ . In this paper, we consider free boundary conditions

 $r^{(0)}(0) = r^{(0)}(L) = 0, r^{(0)}(0) = r^{(0)}(L) = 0$  [51, 52], corresponding to the situation of a lament uctuating freely in ow. In angular coordinates this translate into

$$^{0}(0) = ^{0}(L) = 0$$
, and  $^{00}(0) = ^{00}(L) = 0$ : (10)

Furtherm ore, the tension has to vanish at the boundaries, (0) = (L) = 0.

The biharm onic operator is not H erm itian with respect to a single set of eigenfunctions obeying (10); how ever, it is H erm itian with respect to a biorthogonal set of functions, where the rst set w (s) obeys the boundary conditions of Eqs. (10), and the second set w (s) satis es

w (0) = w (L) = 0, and 
$$w^{000}(0) = w^{000}(L) = 0$$
: (11)

These functions are solutions of the eigenvalue problem s  $\theta_s^4 w = k^4 = L^4 w$ ,  $\theta_s^4 w = k^4 = L^4 w$ , respectively, with identical eigenvalues  $k^4$ , to be found from the solvability condition cosk cosh k = 1. The general solutions w (s), w (s) are linear combinations of trigonom etric and hyperbolic functions [51], and a polynom ial of third order for the zeroth eigenvalue  $k_0 = 0$ . They are explicitly given in App.B. Som e nice experimental snapshots of the mode dynam ics of F-actin can be found in Ref. [53].

The completeness of these two sets of eigenfunctions has not been shown yet. Neither a variational approach [54] nor a comparison with a complete basis [55] seem to work in our case. However, we do not regard this as a major issue, since the failure is only due to our speci c nonstandard set of boundary conditions | for other boundary conditions completeness can be shown [54]. Furtherm ore, in order to implement the spectral approach, we will have to truncate all mode expansions, anyway.

W em ake use of the two sets of eigenfunctions to obtain norm alm ode expansions of the angle and tension (latin indices always start from 1, greek ones from 0):

$$(s;t) = {}_{0}(t) + {}_{j}(t)w^{j}(s);$$
 (12)

$$(s;t) = {\overset{X^{1}}{\underset{= 0}{X}}}$$
 (t)w (s): (13)

In the rst line we have separately written the zeroth m ode  $_0$  (t), since it is independent of the arclength; it describes the m otion of a sti rod. The higher m odes include undulations with successively smaller wavelength. The perturbation parameter will be de ned by equipartition, as illustrated below. Equivalently, the zeroth tension m ode  $^0$  (t) gives the tension distribution in a straight rod. By using the spectral expansion of the tension in the second line we assigned two additional boundary conditions to the tension [rightm ost part of Eq. (11)]. We do not expect this vanishing cubic contribution to be a noticeable restriction to the tension at the edges. How – ever, there is no simple physical interpretation to it.

As a rst application of the mode expansions we insert Eq. (12) into the worm like chain Ham iltonian (1), which in two dimensions can be written as

$$H_{el} = \frac{Z_{L}}{2} ds (\theta_{s})^{2}$$
:

By m eans of the equipartition theorem and Eq. (2) we get an expression for the m ean size of the m ode am plitudes (cf. App. B), dependent only on the relative persistence length:

$$q \frac{s}{h_{j}^{2}i} = 2\frac{L}{\frac{L}{p}k_{j}}$$
(14)

J his suggests to de ne a exibility parameter =  $L = r_p$ , which is small for still am ents. In the following section we will use to set up a perturbation expansion for the equations of motion. By de nition Eq. (12), all angular modes are of order 1 with respect to this expansion.

#### B. Perturbation Expansion

The temperature T enters the equation of motion in two ways: First, in the amplitude of the noise, Eq. (5), and second, in the expression for the bending sti ness, Eq. (2). A perturbation theory with respect to a single independent parameter will thus in general lead to different results, depending on the choice of the dependent parameter, or T. However, a di erence only appears in the expressions of cubic order in . Since we aim to a solution in second order, this is of no concern for us. For concreteness, we choose the example of a constant curvature. Then, enters the equations only via the tem perature in the noise correlator. W e m ake all variables dimensionless by t=B ! t, B =  $L^4$  =  $_2$ ,  $^{-}L^3$  = !  $^{-}$ ,  $L^2 = !$  ,  $P^{-1} ! P^{-1}$ , insert the expansions (12), (13) into the equations of motion and project them on mode w . The projection, if not evaluated, is abbreviated by

$$[:::] = dsw :::::$$

W e furtherm ore introduce for the ow dependent term s

where \_is the strength of the ow in units of inverse seconds. g are dimensionless, -dependent functions that have to be expanded to the appropriate order. In these term swe obtain the following equations of motion:

(15b)

D

$$0 = dk^{2} + k + B g^{k} + O(2):$$
 (15c)

Here, a threefold overlap integral of eigenfunctions is abbreviated by

and the projected noise is expressed as

$${}^{?} = {}^{n} \hat{e}_{s} + (d 1) (\hat{q}_{s}) \hat{t} {}^{-1} ;$$

$${}^{h} = {}^{h} \hat{e}_{s} + (d 1) (\hat{q}_{s}) \hat{n} {}^{-1} ;$$
(17)

Note its dependence on , via and via the unit vectors  $\hat{t},\,\hat{n}$ . Furtherm ore we would like to mention that  $g_j^2$  has no contribution to zeroth order in , thus all terms of Eq. (15a) are at least linear in . A linear stability analysis of the bending modes described by Eq. (15a) is obtained by expanding  $g_j^2~$  [37]. Finally, the dimensionless noise has the second moment

$$h^{\sim}(s;t) \sim (s^{0};t^{0})i = 4P^{-1} (s s^{0}) (t t^{0}):$$

Since the tension contribution to Eqs. (15a) and (15b) is always of rst order in , it is su cient to expand it to one order less than the angular equations. For this reason Eq. (15c) is an algebraic equation. To obtain the given expression we have furtherm ore taken advantage of the eigenfunction's property  $w^{00} = K^2 w$  (see App.B). The tension modes can thus be inserted directly into the angular equations. [65]

C oncerning the noise, one obvious method to simplify Eqs. (17) would be to evaluate the projection integrals and nd an expression for the correlation of the integrated noise. However, there are some complications in our case. It turns out that the correlation of the integrated noise is neither diagonal with respect to the mode projection (subscript ) nor with respect to the direction of the vector projection (superscripts ?, k). Furthermore, the order of the nondiagonal corrections is such that they have to be accounted for in an expansion up to order <sup>2</sup>. Thus a computational solution would have to include a num erical diagonalization of the conform ation dependent noise in each step. To avoid this we diagonalize the inverse mobility matrix P<sup>-1</sup> analytically and calculate the arclength integrals in each time step by numericalmeans. As a drawback this includes the necessity of a fast random number generator, but still the implementation seems to us to be easier and faster.

The eigensystem of P<sup>1</sup> = ft ft+ft ft=d can immediately be read o , and the corresponding transform ation matrix S is used to rotate the noise locally,  $\approx$  s<sup>-</sup>. For the calculation of the second moment of the rotated noise we necessarily need it to be of Ito type, since the matrix S is nonanticipating only in this case. We obtain

$$\approx^{(t;s)} \approx^{(t;s)} = 4P_{D}^{1} (t t) (s s); \quad (18)$$

where the diagonalized m obility m atrix

$$P_{D}^{1} = S P^{1} S = \frac{1}{0} \frac{0}{1=d}$$
:

The new stochastic variable  $\approx$  simpli es the stochastic integrals (17) of the equations of motion:

$$^{2} = {}^{2} ds dw (@_{s})^{2} w^{0}^{1};$$
 (19)

$${}^{k} = d ds w^{0} \tilde{}_{2} + 0 ():$$
 (20)

U sing this in Eqs. (15) allow s us to conveniently solve the coupled stochastic di erential equations by num erical integration [56]. The nalequations without ow are a coupled set of linear equations (although of quadratic order in ) with multiplicative noise. Nevertheless, they cannot be solved analytically, due to the complicated structure of the coe cients.

### III. RESULTS

### A. Veri cation W ithout Flow Field

We have computed equilibrium averages of the squared mode amplitudes to compare them with the equipartition result, Eq. (14). This is to check the validity of the approxim ations we made in the previous section and of the num erical solution technique. For the st eleven m odes shown in Fig. 2, the agreement is excellent in case of 1=3. Slight deviations due to the lim -נ<sub>מ</sub>י=L 9,ie. ited validity of the -expansion become visible at = 1=3; in case of = 1, results di er by about 14%. To analyze these errors in more detail, we have plotted the relative di erences of analytical and num erical values for the mean square mode amplitudes versus  $^{1}$  in Fig. 3. These relative errors decrease like  $^2$ , as shown by the grey bar. This is consistent with our second order perturbation expansion, since the st term s we neglected are of third order, thus their contribution to the relative error is proportional to  $^2$ .

In addition to that, Fig. 3 shows the relative errors of the mean end-to-end distance R of the polymer. The corresponding exact result is  $hR^2i = L^2f_D$  (L='\_p), with  $f_D(x) = 2 (e^x + 1 + x) = x^2$  [40, 41]. The deviations again decrease as expected proportional to  $^2$ .

To validate dynam ic properties, we com pare the scaling behaviour of uctuations of the mean square end-toend distance (MSD)  $_{R}$  (t) = h[R (t) R (0) ji with the analytically known and experimentally veried [, 57] behaviour: For short times,  $_{\rm R}$  (t) grows subdi usively like  $t^{3=4}$ , for long times it approaches the equilibrium value of <sub>R</sub> (t ! 1) = 2 (L= $_{p}^{\prime}$ )<sup>2</sup>=45. Our num erical results in Fig. 4 reproduce this pattern in excellent quality; effects of the perturbation expansion can only be seen in slight deviations from the expected plateau value at long tim es. Com paring Fig. 4 to corresponding experim ental results (Fig. 3 of Ref. [7]) even shows a similar downtum for times t=  $_1 < 10^{-3}$ . In case of Ref. [7] this is due to insu cient statistics at sm all tim es because of a limited observation period. In our case, the nite num ber of m odes accounted for causes a slight suppression of uctuations at these short tim es. [6]

To sum marize this part, we nd that our method reproduces all tested equilibrium and dynam ic observables very well within the accuracy expected from the perturbation expansion. In principle, one could think of even enhancing the accuracy of the equations. However, in spite of the simplicity of the equipartition expression, such a correction by means of an additional drift term is quite involved. This is caused by the strongly coupled nature of the equations, coming from the Lagrangian constraint and the noise projection. We dism issed such additions for this work, since the deviations are of minor im portance for the applications we have in mind.



FIG. 2: M ean squared amplitudes of norm almodes for different persistence length. Open circles are numerical results, + " interconnected by lines are given by Eq. (14). Signi cant deviations between both are visible only for  $= \frac{1}{L} = \frac{1}{p} = 1$ . They are of order <sup>3</sup>, term s neglected in the perturbative solution Eq. (15a) (cf. also Fig. 3).

In the following section we will turn to an example of a nonequilibrium system that can nicely be worked out by means of the technique presented above. We always present results for laments with persistence length  $\gamma_p=L$  4, thus the system s show the correct equilibrium behaviour, as demonstrated by now.

### B. M otion in ShearFlow

A shear ow is a laminar ow with a velocity eld as depicted in Fig. 5. In terms of the velocity gradient matrix this reads =  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , with respect to the coordinate axes of Fig. 1.

To gain some understanding of the basics we brie y discuss the case of a sti rod exposed to shear. By re-im plem enting dimensionalized quantities in Eq. (15b) and afterwards taking the limit ! 0 we obtain the equation of motion (cf. Ref. [35])

$$\mathcal{Q}_{t 0}(t) = _{sin^{2} 0}(t) + \overset{p}{D}(t):$$
 (21)

Here, the noise is -correlated in time, and the di usion constant D = 2  $_{\rm r}k_{\rm B}$  T=L, with the rotational mobility of a rod  $_{\rm r}$  =  $_{?}$  12=L<sup>2</sup> [13]. In the determ inistic case, i.e. 0, Eq. (21) can be solved easily and results in a single rotation of the rod, which reaches the stall line at = 0 for long times like t<sup>-1</sup>. In terms of stability analysis the determ inistic dynam ics corresponds to a ow on a circle with a half stable xed point at = 0. When noise is present, is the control parameter of a saddle node bifurcation at = 0 [58]. The e ect of this is that the stochastic forces drive the rod across the stall line after some time, such that a new rotational cycle begins. D riven by the shear and the noise, the rod will now rotate again and again, such that one can e.g.m easure the



FIG.3: (Color on  $\frac{10}{h}$ ) R elative errors of som e m ean square m ode am plitudes  $\frac{1}{h^2 i}$  (colored) and m ean end-to-end distance (black circles) versus inverse expansion parameter . Lines are shown to guide the eye. As expected from the order of the expansion of the equations of m otion, the relative error vanishes proportional to  $^2$ , thus the absolute error is /  $^3$ .



FIG. 4: (Color online) T in e dependence of the mean square displacement  $_{\rm R}$  (t) of the end-to-end distance. The calculations are done in 12-dimensional mode space. Symbols are replaced by lines were they would become very dense. The rescaled data for dimensional mode  $t^{3=4}$  for short times. The rescaled data for dimensional mode,  $_1 = k_1^{4}$ , in our units (cf. Eq. (15a), and Ref. [7]). The inset shows a magnication of the long-time behaviour. The stime the lament, the closer the numerical results are to the correct equilibrium value 1.



FIG.5: Sketch of a lament in shear ow. The two grey arrows indicate walls moving with constant speed, black arrows the velocity of the uid. At the uid {wall interface, the uid is transported with the velocity of the wall, due to no-slip boundary conditions.

rotational times to characterize the stochastic process.

For nite , we have the additional in uence of the bending modes. A part from shear-induced bending this can also change the behaviour close to the stagnation line, since laments with curved conform ations might wiggle easier across this threshold.

In Fig. 6 we show a sample trajectory of a lament with relative sti ness p=L = 6.5. Here the rotations appear as a ip of the angular mode 0 from =2 to + =2. These ips are usually accompanied by a peak in the rst mode 1, indicating a sudden bending event triggered by the rotation. Furtherm ore, the end-to-end distance often shows a short dip corresponding to this interm ediate bending.



FIG.6: (Color online) Sam ple trajectories of the end-to-end distance R and the rst two angular modes, for a ow of strength  $\_= 1.03/s$ 

Extensive experimental [29, 30, 31, 32], numerical [27, 33, 34, 35], and some theoretical work [35, 59] has been presented to characterize the motion of DNA in shear ow. However, the relative persistence length <sup>2</sup> of DNA is typically about two to three orders of magnitude smaller than that of F-actin, as already denoted in the beginning of Sec. II. Consequently, the physics of these two kinds of sem i exible polymers will be quite different. When rotating in shear, for example, DNA more or less craw is along itself [31], whereas F-actin shows a clear stretch-out in our computations even at intermediate conformations, i.e., when the end-to-end distance is oriented perpendicular to the ow direction. Due to the di erent physics, the numerical methods used for DNA in the references above are not applicable in case of sti er

laments like F-actin. In contrast to those techniques, ourmethod always guarantees the local inextensibility of the lament.

A useful observable to get insight into the periodic and stochastic behaviour is the power spectral density (PSD) P (f), the Fourier transform ation of the autocorrelation function of an observable x:

## P (f) ⊨ F T fhx (t)x (0)ig:

In Fig. 7 we show the PSD of the end-to-end distance R of a lam ent with persistence length  $_{p}^{\prime}=L = 6.5$ , both with shear and without. The strength of the ow is \_= 1:03=s or W i = 0:55, where W i =  $_c$  is the dimensionless W eissenberg number, the product of ow rate and characteristic relaxation time  $_{\rm c}$  of the system . For  $_{\rm c}$  one may choose the m ean exponential decay rate of the autocorrelation function of the end-to-end distance. In term softhe dim ensionless form ulation chosen in Sec. IIB, the bending sti ness is constant, and only tem perature varies when changing the sti ness parameter . Thus in this formulation the relaxation rate is identical for all  $_{p}$ . Our data result in a mean of  $_{\rm c} = 0.53$ 0:02 s, so ow rates \_have to be multiplied by this factor to obtain them in Wi-units.

Without shear, the PSD shows a characteristic



FIG. 7: (Color online) Power spectral densities P (f) of the end-to-end distance without ow, and with a shear of strength \_ = 1:03 (W i = 0:55). G reen X': 'p=L = 49, red +': 'p=L = 6:5. The sm ooth black curve is a t of the result in quiescent solvent with the appropriate Lorentzian [60], used for the plot of the relative PSD in Fig. 9. W ith shear, a bum p between 0.05 and 0.09 Hz shows the characteristic time of rotation of the lam ent. A di erent scaling regime appears for frequencies sm aller than 1 Hz, with an exponent depending on properties of the lam ent. PSD data for 'p=L = 49 have been multiplied by a factor 60 for the purpose of an easier visualization. Calculated with 10 ('p=L = 6:5) and 8 ('p=L = 49) m odes resolution. Inset: N on logarithm ic version of the region around the bum p for 'p=L = 6:5, with estim ated error bars. The num ber of data points has been reduced by averaging.

Lorentz-like behaviour, where the only timescale in the system separates the long time plateau from the short time decay. In case of the end-to-end distance R, the short time regime obeys the power law P (f) / f<sup>7=4</sup> [60], which immediately follows from the mean square displacement /  $t^{3=4}$ . W ith shear, there is rst of all a pronounced increase in correlations at small frequencies, indicating stronger long-time correlations due to periodic tum bling events. A shallow bump appears in the region between 0.05 and 0.09 Hz, indicating a typical frequency of rotation for the given ow strength. This bump is visible more clearly in Fig. 9, where we show the ratio of the PSD s with and without ow.

C om ing back to Fig. 7, we identify an additional tim escale in the decay for frequencies below 1 Hz, separating the high frequency power law f<sup>7=4</sup> from a regime with an exponent with a larger absolute value. This intermediate sharp decay arises due to a subtle interplay between thermal uctuations and frictional driving of the shear ow 33, 34]. However, the power law of this decay is not generic it depends on 'p in a nontrivialm anner. The detailed study of this phenom enon is deferred to a later publication.

In the experiments with DNA cited above, the endto-end distance itself could not be measured due to the limited optical resolution; instead, the molecular extension was recorded, as measured by the mean projected extension in ow direction. However, it is reported that this observable does not show a typical frequency for a determ inistic cycle associated with the tum bling motion in ow, in contrast to our results for the end-to-end distance of F-actin. We conjecture that this relatively weak e ect might also be connected to the inextensibility of the lament.



FIG. 8: (Color online) Power spectral density of tension modes  $^{0}$ ,  $^{1}$ , and angularmodes  $_{0}$ ,  $_{1}$ . Symbols give results with a shear ow of strength \_= 1:03=s (W i= 0.55). The light line (green online) shows the behaviour of  $_{1}$  without ow, sm ooth black curves are tted Lorentzians, as explained in the text. A peak in  $_{0}$  and  $^{0}$  around 0.5 Hz is a sign of the tum bling time of the lament. Concerning  $_{1}$ , shear still increases correlations at low frequencies.

Fig.8 show sPSDs of the rst two modes for the angle and tension. In the absence of ow, the still ament mode  $_0$  is to rst order given by the rotational dilusion of a still rod. This leads to a f<sup>2</sup> decay in the PSD with nonperiodic boundary conditions (not shown), which still gives the high frequency regime of the results in shear. The bumps of the PSD s of R and  $_0$  are located at about the same frequency in this regard it is interesting to note that the end-to-end distance is independent of  $_0$ .

In a quiescent solvent, Eqs. (15) give Omstein-Uhlenbeck behaviour for the higher angular modes i, with small deviations due to the coupling of the equations and the multiplicative noise. In Fig. 8 we only show the rst mode 1, where the case without ow has been tted to a Lorentzian, whose coe cients di er from the Omstein-Uhlenbeck process by at most some percent. The di erences between observables recorded with and without ow are better visible in Fig.9, where we identify an increase below 0.3 Hz and a slight decrease for higher frequencies. The increase of the plateau for 1 is a characteristic of laments with a relative persistence length of the order one: Sti er lam ents do not buck le at all during a rotation, and oppier ones show a di erent behaviour for strong ows (craw ling near the stall line), or them ally uctuate so heavily that a buckling due to



FIG.9: (Color online) Ratio of power spectral density P (f) with shear ow to that without ow, for the data of Figs. 7 and 8. Correlations of R are increased by a factor of m ore than 10 at frequencies of 0.3 Hz and below, with a peak between 0.05 and 0.09 Hz. Sim ilarly, correlations of the rst angular mode  $_1$  are pronounced by a factor of 2 below 0.1 Hz, and slightly decreased around 1 Hz. This is a signature of the sem i exible nature of the polymers under investigation, since it refers to the buckling events occurring periodically during their rotation in shear. Concerning the second angular mode 2 (not shown in Fig. 8), there is still a change from decreased to increased correlations visible when going from f = 1 H zto 0.1 Hz and below. The tension mode <sup>0</sup> shows a strong tenfold peak at 0.1 H z when com pared to a Lorentzian which has been tted to the generic short-and long-time behaviour.

shear cannot be identi ed. The next m ode  $_2$  still show s a sim ilar behaviour, but in an already m uch weaker m anner.

The tension modes nally only show noise uctuations around zero when no ow is present (not shown), which is obvious from Eq. (15c). In shear ow the power spectrum changes towards a behaviour as characteristic to Lorentzian curves for low and high frequencies. This is caused by the fact that there is a strong linear coupling between each tension mode and its corresponding angularmode, visible by expanding the ow dependent part of Eq. (15c). The interm ediate frequency region of the PSDs of the tension modes clearly shows signs of driving by shear: A bump, indicating a typical correlation time, followed by a sharp decay. These properties are even more pronounced in the tension modes, when com paring to the angular m ode with the same index, respectively. In case of <sup>0</sup> we identify a strong peak at the very same position of maximum height already mentioned for the PSDs of  $_{0}$  and R , and a sharper power law decay f <sup>3:6</sup> towardshigher frequencies. We conclude from this that the periodicity of the tum bling events can be found directly in the autocorrelation of the tension modes. This is very reasonable, since it follows that a rotation in shear triggers speci c frictional forces acting on the backbone of the polymer, which have to be withstand by the constraining forces. In Fig. 9 we show the

PSD of <sup>0</sup> relative to a Lorentzian tted to the generic short- and long-time behaviour to demonstrate the ow specic peak.

#### C. Statistics of Tum bling in Shear

A further point of interest is the mean time it takes the polymer to rotate. Experiments with DNA report a power law increase of the tum bling frequency with shear strength proportional to W  $i^{0.67}$ , con med by appropriate simulations and scaling analysis [31]. Furtherm ore, analytic results for B rownian rods in strong shear consistently give W  $i^{2=3}$  [35].

Fig. 10 shows our results for the mean rotating frequency of laments with various persistence lengths. We dened a ip to be a single half turn of an angle . As a check of consistency, the frequency of rotation of a lament corresponding to Figs. 7 and 8 is  $0.066 \quad 0.002 \text{ Hz}$ , which lies very well within the observed peak width of these gures.



FIG.10: (Color online) Tum bling frequency of sem i exible polymers with dierent persistence length versus strength of shear ow. The change of the power law form  $_{^{0;72}}$  at  $_{p}^{^{}}=L = 6.5$  towards  $_{^{^{2=3}}}$  for a sti rod indicates a crossover behaviour between rods and exible polymers. The amplitude shift appears due to the parameterization we have chosen (cf. Sec. IIB) and has not been rem oved for reasons of readability.

The maximum ow strength for which we can obtain results numerically is limited by the -expansion we have exploited in Sec. IIB. Since this expansion requires a small curvature, it breaks down when a powerful ow strongly bends the lament. For comparison we also plotted numerical results for a rotating sti rod, which obeys the expected  $\_^{2=3}$  power law. The exponent shows a slight crossover behaviour in the sem i exible regime, in deviation from both the sti and the exible limit: As an example, the rotational frequency of a lament with persistence length  $`_p=L = 6:5$  scales like  $\_^{0:72}$  <sup>0:01</sup>. The data of Fig. 10 furtherm ore demonstrate that the exponent becomes closer to 2/3 the sti er the lament, as expected from the sti rod limit. Note that this relatively weak crossover e ect does not vanish /  $^3$ , and thus seem s not to be caused by deviations due to the perturbation expansion.

#### IV. CONCLUSION

W e have presented a new ly developed m ethod for describing the dynamics of single semi exible lam ents in a viscous solution, subject to external ow elds. Due to the mainly elongated conformations, hydrodynamic back ow e ects are marginal and thus the dynam ics can be form ulated in the free draining approximation. In contrast to previous approaches based on bead-rod/spring m odels in real space we have adopted a spectral method of the equations of motion. A further simpli cation can be achieved upon using an angular representation of the polymer conformations. This has the advantage that there is no approximation in the bending energy. All of the above allow sus to give an e cient com putational approach for calculating B row nian trajectories for the polymers, and to include nonlinear e ects of the environment without inherent lim itations.

The computational time necessary for these num erical solutions is proportional to the fourth power of the m ode number, and linear in the spatial resolution of the noise along the space curve. Them ain advantages regarding num erics are, com pared to bead { rod m odels, rst. mode dynamics o ers a natural approach to the longtime dynamics, since the high wavenum ber uctuations are increasingly irrelevant (cf. Fig. 2). Second, the additional time necessary to satisfy the local constraint of constant length is very sm all. O ne reason for this is that no pseudo { potentials have to be calculated. Finally, one could even enhance the speed by adapting time and spatial resolution appropriately to each individual mode, a technique we did not apply, yet. In summary, we are able to m on itor conform ational properties very precisely, while taking account to all modes that give essential contributions to the dynamics of sti sem i exible laments.

Conceptually, our approach should be understood as being complementary to bead-rod and bead-spring models. In some cases the latter are advantageous, e.g. when dealing with dense solutions of exible polymers, such that excluded volume e ects are important.

Q uantitative tests of the num erical solution show that the method correctly describes the uctuations of sem i-

exible laments in a quiescent solvent. We have dem onstrated that our technique is capable of describing the long time dynamics in a sheared environment within reasonable computational time. For the rst time we have calculated power spectral densities and mean rotational frequencies of F-actin in shear, taking into account exactly the local inextensibility constraint. The results have enabled us to point on two crossover phenomena still to be described in more detail. For the future, extensions of the method should be possible to include e.g. charge e ects or di erent boundary conditions. A more challenging task is to extend the analysis to 3D .

An experimental test of the behaviour of Actin laments in shear or elongational ow has not been reported, to our knowledge. However, the setup used for sheared DNA [29, 31] might also work for F-actin, and elongational ows are constructed easily by means of micro uidic techniques [61]. Thus experiments could in principle be possible without too much technicale ort.

A cknow ledgm ents

W e are indebted to Thom as Franosch and Abhik B asu for very valuable discussions. M oreover, we would like to acknowledge nancial support from the Intern.G raduate School\N ano-B io-Technology" of the E litenetzwerk B ayem (TM), the G erm an A cadem ic Exchange P rogram m e DAAD (OH), and the DFG through grant SFB 486 (EF).

# APPENDIX A: THE STOCHASTIC INTEGRATION

Stochastic equations are of Stratonovich type when the white noise they imply constitutes an approximation to a noise with nite correlation time. This seems to apply to us, since physically realistic random forces are never completely uncorrelated. However, this is not the only criterion for the decision, we also have to grasp correctly the physical relationship between the stochastic variable r and the noise ~ present in our speci c system [48]. The term we have to decide about is the mobility P, since it multiplies the noise in Eq. (7). Its physical meaning is to separate locally the velocity of the lament into a component parallel to its local tangent and another perpendicular to it. This separation will always refer to the current conformation and velocity of the space curve at that very m om ent of time; it will be una ected by any stochastic forces in the future. This amounts to the de nition of a nonanticipating function 47], and is equivalent to the dem and to interpret the noise according to Ito.

The norm alized biharm onic eigenfunctions obeying the boundary conditions of Eqs. (10) and (11) are

$$w^{0} = 1;$$
 (B1)

$$w^{i} = \frac{\cos k_{i} \quad \cosh k_{i}}{\sin k_{i} \quad \sinh k_{i}} \quad \cos \frac{k_{i}}{L} s + \cosh \frac{k_{i}}{L} s$$
(B2)

$$+\sin\frac{k_{i}}{L}s \quad \sinh\frac{k_{i}}{L}s;$$

$$w_{0} = 6\frac{s}{L} \quad 1 \quad \frac{s}{L} \quad ; \quad (B3)$$

$$w_{i} = \frac{\cos k_{i} \quad \cosh k_{i}}{\sin k_{i} \quad \sinh k_{i}} \quad \cos \frac{k_{i}}{L} s \quad \cosh \frac{k_{i}}{L} s \\ + \sin \frac{k_{i}}{L} s + \sinh \frac{k_{i}}{L} s :$$
(B4)

- [L] J.Kas, H.Strey, J.Tang, D.Finger, R.Ezzell, E.Sackmann, and P.Janmey, Biophys.J.70, 609 (1996).
- [2] B. Maier and J. O. Radler, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 1911 (1999).
- [3] F. Pam paloni, G. Lattanzi, A. Jonas, T. Surrey, E. Frey, and E.-L. Florin (2006), q-bio/0503037.
- [4] J.W ilhelm and E.Frey, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 2581 (1996).
- [5] K.Kroy and E.Frey, Phys.Rev.Lett. 77, 306 (1995).
- [6] C. Bustam ante, Z. Bryant, and S. Sm ith, Nature 421, 423 (2003).
- [7] L.LeGo, O.Hallatschek, E.Frey, and F.Amblard, Phys.Rev.Lett.89,258101 (2002).
- [B] D. Lum m a, S. K eller, T. V ilgis, and J.O. Radler, Phys. Rev.Lett. 90, 218301 (2003).
- [9] R. Shusterman, S. Alon, T. Gavrinyov, and O.Krichevsky, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 048303 (2004).
- [10] R.G.W inkler, S.Keller, and J.O.Radler, Phys. Rev. E 73, 041919 (2006).
- [11] K.Kroy and E.Frey, Phys.Rev.E 55, 3092 (1997).
- [12] M.L.Gardel, J.H.Shin, F.C.MacKintosh, L.Mahadevan, P.A.Matsudaira, and D.A.Weitz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 188102 (2004).
- [13] M. Doi and S.F. Edwards, The Theory of Polymer Dynamics (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1986).
- [14] Y.Bohbot-Raviv, W.Z.Zhao, M.Feingold, C.H.Wiggins, and R.Granek, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 098101 (2004).
- [15] O. Hallatschek, E. Frey, and K. Kroy, Phys. Rev. E 70, 031802 (2004).
- [16] O. Hallatschek, E. Frey, and K. Kroy, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 077804 (2005).
- [17] B.Bird, C.Curtiss, R.Am strong, and O.Hassager, Dynamics of Polymeric Liquids, vol.2 (John W iley & Sons, New York, 1987).
- [18] D. Erm ak and J. M cC am m on, J. Chem. Phys. 69, 1352 (1978).
- [19] M.Fixman, J.Chem. Phys. 69, 1527 (1978).
- [20] P. Grassia and E. J. Hinch, J. Fluid Mech. 308, 255 (1996).
- [21] D.C.Morse, Adv.Chem.Phys.128, 65 (2004).
- [22] T.Hofmann, R.G.W inkler, and P.Reineker, Phys. Rev.

They are biorthonorm al,

$$Z_{L}$$

$$dsw w = L : (B5)$$

A useful property of these eigenfunctions is

$$w^{00} = k^2 = L^2 w$$
;  $w^{00} = K^2 = L^2 w$ :

Here,  $\tilde{k}_0^2$  12, and  $\tilde{k}_i$  k.

E 61,2840 (2000).

- [23] N.G. van K am pen and J.J.Lodder, Am .J.ofPhys.52, 419 (1984).
- [24] E.J.Hinch, J.Fluid Mech. 271, 219 (1993).
- [25] M. Pasqualiand D. C. Morse, J. Chem. Phys. 116, 1834 (2002).
- [26] R. Everaers, F. Julicher, A. A jdari, and A. C. Maggs, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 3717 (1999).
- [27] A. Montesi, D. C. Morse, and M. Pasquali, J. Chem. Phys. 122, 084903 (2005).
- [28] T. Perkins, D. Sm ith, and S. Chu, Science 276, 2016 (1997).
- [29] D. Sm ith, H. Babcock, and S. Chu, Science 283, 1724 (1999).
- [30] R. Teixeira, H. Babcock, E. Shaqfeh, and S. Chu, M acromol. 38, 581 (2005).
- [31] C.M. Schroeder, R.E. Teixeira, E.S.G. Shaqfeh, and S.Chu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 018301 (2005).
- [32] S. Gerashchenko and V. Steinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96 (2006).
- [33] J.Hurand E.S.Shaqfeh, J.Rheol. 44, 713 (2000).
- [34] C. Schroeder, R. Teixeira, E. Shaqfeh, and S. Chu, M acrom ol. 38, 1967 (2005).
- [35] A. Pulia to and K. Turitsyn, Physica D 211, 9 (2005).
- [36] B. Obern ayer, O. Hallatschek, E. Frey, and K. Kroy (2006), cond-m at/0603556.
- [37] C.H.W iggins, A.M ontesi, and M.Pasquali (2003), condm at/0307551.
- [38] L.E. Becker and M.J. Shelley, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 198301 (2001).
- [39] C.H.W iggins, Ph.D. thesis, Princeton University, New Jersey (1998).
- [40] O. Kratky and G. Porod, Rec. Trav. Chim. 68, 1106 (1949).
- [41] N. Saitô, K. Takahashi, and Y. Yunoki, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 22, 219 (1967).
- [42] L.D. Landau and E.M. Lifschitz, Lehrbuch der theoretischen Physik, Band V, Statistische Physik (Teil I) (A kadem ie Verlag, Berlin, 1987).
- [43] E.Frey and K.Kroy, Ann. Phys. (Leipzig) 14, 20 (2005).

- [44] K.Kroy and E.Frey, Scattering in Polymeric and Colloidal Systems (Gordon and Breach, 2000), chap.5: Dynamic Scattering from Semiexible Polymers, Edited by W yn Brown and Kell Mortensen.
- [45] E.Frey and D.R.Nelson, Journal de Physik I (France)
  1,1715 (1991).
- [46] G.K.Batchelor, J.Fluid Mech. 44, 419 (1970).
- [47] C. W. Gardiner, Handbook of Stochastic Methods (Springer, Berlin, 2004).
- [48] N.G. van Kampen, Stochastic Processes in Physics and Chemistry (Elsevier Science, Amsterdam, 2001).
- [49] R.E.Goldstein and S.A.Langer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 1094 (1995).
- [50] M.Fixman, Proc.Natl.Acad.Sci.71, 3050 (1974).
- [51] L.D. Landau and E.M. Lifschitz, Lehrbuch der theoretischen Physik, Band VII, Elastizitatstheorie (Akadem ie Verlag, Berlin, 1991).
- [52] C.H.W iggins, D.R iveline, A.Ott, and R.E.Goldstein, Biophys. J. 74, 1043 (1998).
- [53] L.Vonna, L.Lim ozin, A.Roth, and E.Sackmann, Langmuir 21, 9635 (2005).
- [54] R. Courant and D. Hilbert, M ethoden der M athem atischen Physik I (Springer, Berlin, 1967), 3rd ed.
- [55] F.Brauer, M ichigan M ath. J. 12, 127 (1965).
- [56] P.K beden and E.P laten, Num erical Solution of Stochastic D i erential Equations (Springer, Berlin, 1995).
- [57] R.Granek, J.Phys. II France 7, 1761 (1997).
- [58] S. H. Strogatz, Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaos (Westview Press, Cambridge, MA, 2000).
- [59] M. Chertkov, I. Kolokolov, V. Lebedev, and K. Turitsyn,

J.Fluid Mech. 531, 251 (2005).

- [60] F.G ittes and F.C.M acK intosh, Phys. Rev. E 58, R1241 (1998); D.C.M orse, Phys. Rev. E 58, R1237 (1998).
- [61] S.Koster, D.Steinhauser, and T.Pfohl, J.Phys.Cond. M at. 17, S4091 (2005).
- [62] L.Le Go, F.Amblard, and E.M.Furst, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 018101 (2002).
- [63] For the therm odynam ics, a Sm oluchow ski equation chosen appropriately leads to a constraint fulled exactly [13]. This has to be contrasted with the therm odynam ic approach of Lagrange transform ations, which only enforce mean values.
- [64] A homogeneous ow can be parameterised linearily in Cartesian coordinates.
- [65] U sing Eq. (15c) for the case of a vanishing background ow, one can calculate the correlation of the tension:

h (s;t) (s<sup>0</sup>;t<sup>0</sup>)i = 
$$\frac{(k_{\rm B} T)^{3} {v_{\rm p}^{2}}}{2dL^{7}}$$
 (t t<sup>0</sup>)  $X = \frac{w}{\tilde{\kappa}^{2}}$  (s)w (s<sup>0</sup>) :

This might give a hint for estimating a reasonable size of the spring constant of bead-spring systems, when calculating their Brownian dynamics.

[66] For very short times, local uctuations parallel to the tangent show a t<sup>7=8</sup> behaviour [26, 62]. However, this is a property of terms of the order <sup>3</sup> and higher in the equations of motion, and hence cannot be seen from our calculations.