Long-range m agnetic interaction due to the C asim ir e ect

P.Bruno

M ax-P lanck-Institut fur M ikrostrukturphysik, W einberg 2, D -06120 H alle, G erm any

The zero-point quantum uctuations of the electrom agnetic eld in vacuum are known to give rise to a long-range attractive force between m etalplates (C asim ir e ect). For ferrom agnetic layers separated by vacuum, it is shown that the interplay of the C asim ir e ect and of the m agneto-optical K err e ect gives rise to a long-range m agnetic interaction. The C asim ir m agnetic force is found to decay as D⁻¹ in the lim it of short distances, and as D⁻⁵ in the lim it of long distances. Explicit expressions for realistic system s are given in the large and sm all distance lim its. An experimental test of the C asim ir m agnetic interaction is proposed.

published in: Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 240401 (2002)

Since the discovery of magnets by the ancient G reeks, long-range magnetic interactions have been an object of fascination. It is usually considered that there exists essentially two kinds of magnetic interactions between magnetic moments or magnetized bodies: (i) the dipoledipole magnetostatic interaction, and (ii) the electronmediated exchange interaction. The latter have recently received a renewed attention, with the discovery of a spectacular oscillatory behavior of the interlayer exchange coupling between ferrom agnetic layers separated by a non-magnetic metal spacer [1], due to a spindependent quantum size e ect [2].

For the case of two uniform ly magnetized ferrom agnetic plates (of in nite lateral extension) held parallel to each other in vacuum, the two above mentioned magnetic coupling mechanisms yield a magnetic interaction which decreases exponentially with interplate distance D: (i) the stray eld due to a uniform ly magnetized plate decreases exponentially (with a characteristic decay length of the oder of the interatom ic distance) with the distance from the plate, and so does also the interplate dipolar interaction; (ii) the interplate exchange interaction also decays exponentially with D, since it is mediated by electrons tunneling through vacuum between the two plates. The aim of the present Letter is to point out the existence of a novel, so far overlooked, mechanism of magnetic interaction between magnetized (i.e., ferro-or ferrim agnetic) bodies. This interaction arises from the Casim ir e ect, and gives rise to a long-range (i.e., with power-law decay) m agnetic interaction; at su ciently large distance D it is therefore the dom inant mechanism of magnetic interaction between the two ferrom agnetic plates.

A s C asim irpointed out in a sem inalpaper [3], the zeropoint quantum uctuations of the electrom agnetic (EM) eld in vacuum leads to observable e ects: changing the boundary condition of the EM eld (e.g., by moving a body with respect to another) yields a nite change of the (in nite) zero-point energy of the system, and therefore results in an observable force. In particular, C asim ir predicted the existence of a long-range attractive force between m irrors in vacuum. The C asim ir e ect is currently attracting considerable interest [4,5], in particular with respect to m icrom echanical devices, and has deep implications in many elds of physics.

The boundary condition of the EM eld can, however, also be m odi ed w ithout any m echanical displacem ent, but rather, by changing the order param eter of a collective ordering phenom enon such as ferrom agnetism . W hen the two m irrors are ferrom agnetic, the m agnetooptical K err e ect in uences the boundary condition of the EM eld, so that the Casim ir e ect manifests as a energy dierence (per unit area) E E_{AF} E_{FM} between the con gurations in which the two mirrors have their magnetizations antiparallel (AF) or parallel (FM) to each other, i.e., as a magnetic interaction. A lternatively, the above e ect manifests as a dependence of the (mechanical) Casim ir force (per unit area) among the m irrors upon the relative orientation of their m agnetizations: F $F_{FM} = d E = dD$. The Casim ir FAF magnetic force F has been calculated for ferrom agnetic m irrors described by a D rude m odel: in the lim it of very large distance, the magnetic force decays as D 5 ; in the lim it of sm all distances, it decays as D^{-1} ; in the interm ediate regime, it decays as D⁴. For equivalent ferrom agnetic m aterials on both sides, the C asim irm agnetic interaction is always antiferrom agnetic. For realistic system s, the explicit expression of the Casim ir magnetic force is found to be

$$F = \frac{3}{16^{3}} \frac{(3)}{D^{5}} \frac{hc^{2}}{p} \frac{A}{A} \frac{B}{B}; \qquad (1)$$

in the lim it of large distance, where $_{A(B)}$ and $_{A(B)}$ are, respectively, the dc conductivity and anom alous H all angle of ferrom agnetic plate A (resp. B), and

$$F = \frac{1}{4^{-2}} \frac{h}{c^2 D} \int_{0}^{2} \frac{1}{[1 + \frac{n^2}{x_x} (\dot{i}!)]} \frac{n^B}{(\dot{i}!)} \frac{d!}{(\dot{i}!)} d!; (2)$$

in the limit of short distances, where $\mathbf{u}_{xx}^{A,(B)}$ (i!) and $\mathbf{u}_{xy}^{A,(B)}$ (i!) are, respectively, the diagonal and o -diagonal elements of the dielectric tensor of ferrom agnetic plate A (resp. B), evaluated at in aginary frequency i!.

The C asim ir interaction energy (per unit area) between two m irrors can be conveniently expressed in terms of their re ection coe cients as [6,7],

$$E = \int_{0}^{Z_{+1}} d! \frac{h}{2} \coth \frac{h!}{k_{B} T} \frac{1}{(2)^{2}} d^{2}k_{k} \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Im} \operatorname{Trln} 1 = R_{A} R_{B} e^{2ik_{2} D} ; \qquad (3)$$

where k_k and k_i are the components of the wavevector, respectively, parallel and perpendicular to the m irrors. The above expression is completely analogous to the one derived independently for the case of interactions mediated by fermions (electrons) [2]. The 2 2 m atrix of re ection coe cients on m irror A (resp. B) is given by

$$R_{A (B)} \begin{pmatrix} A (B) & A (B) \\ r_{ss} & r_{sp} \\ A (B) & A (B) & A (B) \\ r_{ps} & r_{pp} \end{pmatrix}; (4)$$

where the index s (resp. p) corresponds to a polarization with the electric eld perpendicular (resp. parallel) to the incidence plane. The o -diagonal matrix elements r_{sp} and r_{ps} are responsible for the magneto-optical effects. W ith the usual convention that the s axis remains unchanged upon re ection, one has $r_{sp} = r_{ps}$, and, for perpendicular incidence, $r_{ss} = r_{pp}$. Performing the change of variables (!; k_k) ! (!; k_r) and using com – plex plane integration methods, one can rewrite Eq. (3) at T = 0 as [7]

$$E = \frac{h}{4^{2}} \int_{0}^{Z_{+1}} k_{2} dk_{2} dk_{2} d! ReTr \ln 1 R_{A} (i!;ik_{2})R_{B} (i!;ik_{2})e^{2k_{2}D};$$
(5)

where the rejection coe cients are evaluated at in aginary values of the frequency and norm alwavevector.

For a m incorm agnetized along its norm al (pointing outwards), the rejection coe cients are given by [8]

$$r_{ss}(i!;ik_{?}) = \frac{k_{?}c}{k_{?}c+\frac{!^{2}("_{xx}(i!))}{!^{2}("_{xx}(i!))}} + (k_{?}c)^{2}; r_{pp}(i!;ik_{?}) = \frac{m_{xx}(i!)k_{?}c}{m_{xx}(i!)k_{?}c+\frac{!^{2}("_{xx}(i!))}{!^{2}("_{xx}(i!))}} + (k_{?}c)^{2}$$
(6a)

$$r_{sp}(il;k_{?}) = r_{ps}(il;k_{?}) = \frac{q}{k_{?}c + \frac{q}{2}("_{xx}(il) - 1) + (k_{?}c)^{2}} = \frac{q}{k_{xx}(il;k_{?}c + \frac{q}{2}("_{xx}(il) - 1) + (k_{?}c)^{2}} = (6b)$$

For the sake of sim plicity, the arguments (i!; k_2) will be omitted below. If the magnetization point inwards, then the sign of r_{sp} and r_{ps} is reversed.

As the magneto-optical re ection coe cients r $_{sp}$ are usually much smaller than 1 and than the usual re ection coe cients r $_{ss}$ and r $_{pp}$, one can expand the C asim irm agnetic energy to low est order in the magneto-optical coe cients, yielding

$$E = -\frac{h}{2} \int_{0}^{Z_{+1}} k_{2} dk_{2} dk_{2} d! Re \frac{r_{sp}^{A} r_{sp}^{B} e^{2k_{2} D}}{(1 - r_{ss}^{A} r_{ss}^{B} e^{2k_{2} D}) (1 - r_{pp}^{A} r_{pp}^{B} e^{2k_{2} D})}$$
(7)

Eq. (7) together with Eqs. (6a,b) allow to calculated the C asim ir magnetic energy and force.

In order to illustrate the above result, let us calculate the Casim ir magnetic interaction for the case of (equivalent) ferrom agnetic m irrors with a dielectric tensor approxim ated by a D rude m odel:

$$"_{xx}(i!) = 1 + \frac{!_{p}^{2}}{!(1+!)}; "_{xy}(i!) = \frac{!_{p}^{2}!_{c}^{2}}{!(1+!)^{2}}: (8)$$

The plasm a frequency $!_p$ is given by $!_p^2 = 4 \text{ ne}^2 = \text{m}^2$; $!_c = eB_e = \text{m}^2c$ is the cyclotron frequency, where B_e is the electrons agnetic eld experienced by conduction electrons as a result of the combined elect of the exchange and spin-orbit interactions; is the relaxation time. It is assumed that $!_c = 1 = !_p$, which constitutes the usual situation.

O ne can distinguish three di erent regimes: (i) D c, (ii) $c=!_p$ D c, (iii) D $c=!_p$. In regime (i) (i.e., at long distances), the integral in Eq. (7) is dom in nated by the range ! k, c c=D 1=, for which one has

"xx (i!)
$$\frac{!_{p}^{2}}{!}$$
 1; "xy (i!) $\frac{!_{p}^{2}!_{c}^{2}}{!}$; (9)

so that

$$r_{ss}$$
 r_{p} 1; r_{p} $\frac{!_{c}p}{!_{p}}$: (10)

0 ne then nds that

$$E = \frac{3}{16^2} \frac{(3)}{D^4} \frac{hc^2}{!p^2}; F = \frac{3}{4^2} \frac{(3)}{D^5} \frac{hc^2}{!p^2}; (11)$$

where (x) $P_{n=1} n^{x}$ is the R iem ann zeta function, with (3) 1:202:::

In the interm ediate distance regime (ii) (c=!_p D c), the integral in Eq. (7) is dominated by the range 1= ! k c c=D !_p, for which one has

$$"_{xx}(i!) \quad \frac{!_{p}^{2}}{!^{2}} \quad 1; \quad "_{xy}(i!) \quad \frac{!_{p}^{2}!_{c}}{!^{3}}; \quad (12)$$

so that

$$r_{ss}$$
 r_{p} 1; r_{p} $\frac{!_{c}}{!_{p}}$: (13)

0 ne then nds that

E
$$\frac{1}{24} \frac{\text{hc}}{\text{D}^3} \frac{!_c^2}{!_p^2}$$
; F $\frac{1}{8} \frac{\text{hc}}{\text{D}^4} \frac{!_c^2}{!_p^2}$: (14)

In the short distance regime (iii) (D $c=!_p$), one needs to consider separately the range with ! k c !p, for which the rejection coecients are given by Eqs. (13), and the range with !p ! k c. For ! !p, "xy is given by Eq. (12) and

"_{xx} (i!) 1
$$\frac{!_{p}^{2}}{!^{2}}$$
 1; (15)

so that, for $k_2 c = l_p$,

$$j_{rss}j$$
 1; r_{pp} 1; r_{sp} $\frac{!_{p}^{2}!_{c}}{2k_{2}c(2!^{2}+!_{p}^{2})}$: (16)

0 ne then nds that

$$E \qquad \frac{1}{16} \frac{h}{2} \frac{h}{c^2} \ln \frac{c}{!^2 D} !_c^2!_p; \qquad (17a)$$

F
$$\frac{1}{16 p_2} \frac{h}{c^2 D} !_c^2 !_p;$$
 (17b)

where $!^{?}$ is a cut-o frequency of the order of the plasm a frequency $!_{p}$.

For realistic system s, it is in general necessary to perform a detailed calculation. However, in the limit of large and sm all distances, explicit expressions can be obtained. At large distances (i.e., for D c=), the C asim ir m agnetic force is essentially determ ined by the dielectric tensor "ij(i!) = ij + 4 ij (i!)=! at low in aginary frequency. In this regime, one can safely approximate the conductivity tensor ij (i!), in the above expression, by its dc value: xx (i!) , xy (i!) , where is the dc conductivity, and the anom alous H all angle of the ferrom agnetic m irror. Proceeding as for the D rude m odel, one then obtains,

$$E = \frac{3 (3)}{64^{-3}} \frac{hc^2}{D^{-4}} \frac{A}{P} \frac{A}{A} \frac{B}{B}; \qquad (18)$$

from which Eq. (1) follows im mediately.

For short distances, the Casim ir magnetic interaction is dominated by imaginary wavevectors $i\!k_2$ with $!\,^2$ k_2 c c=D, where the cut-o frequency $!^2$ is of the order of the plasma frequency, or the typical frequency of interband transitions. In this regime, one has

$$j_{r_{ss}}j$$
 1; r_{pp} 1; r_{sp} $\frac{! "_{xy}(i!)}{2k_2 c [l + "_{xx}(i!)]}$: (19)

0 ne eventually obtains,

$$E = \frac{1}{4^{-2}} \frac{h}{c^{2}} \ln \frac{c}{!^{2}D} \int_{0}^{Z} \frac{1}{[1 + \frac{1}{x_{x}}(\underline{i}!)]} \frac{1}{[1 + \frac{1}{x_{x}}(\underline{i}!)]} \frac{1}{[1 + \frac{1}{x_{x}}(\underline{i}!)]} d!;$$
(20)

from which Eq. (2) follows im mediately.

Let us now discuss whether the novelC asim irm agnetic interaction can be observed experimentally. Obviously, the regime of potential experimental interest is the short distance limit. To obtain a rough estimate of the magnitude of the e ect, it is su cient to approximate the (magneto-)optical absorption spectrum by a single absorption line at frequency $!_0$ containing all the spectral weight, i.e., we write:

$$Im "_{xx} (!) !_{0} "^{e}_{xx} (! !_{0}); \qquad (21a)$$

Re
$$"_{xy}(!)$$
 $!_0 "^e_{xy}(! !_0)$: (21b)

This is expected to be a good approximation in the limit of small distances (i.e., high frequencies) where the details of the (magneto-)optical spectra should not matter too much. The dielectric tensor at imaginary frequency is then obtained from the causality relations

$$\mathbf{"}_{xx} (i!) = 1 + \frac{2}{0} \frac{2^{Z_{+1}}}{d!} d! \frac{1}{2} \frac{$$

$$\mathbf{"}_{xy}(\underline{i}!) = \frac{2}{!} \int_{0}^{Z_{+1}} d! \, \frac{0! \, \frac{10^2 \, \text{Re} \, \mathbf{"}_{xy}(\underline{i}!)}{! \, 0^2 + \underline{i}!^2}; \quad (22b)$$

and one eventually obtains

E
$$\frac{1}{16^{-3}} \frac{h}{c^2} \ln \frac{c}{!_0 D} = \frac{!_0^3 \frac{m^2}{xy}^2}{(1 + \frac{m}{xx} =)^{3=2}};$$
 (23a)

F
$$\frac{1}{16^{-3}} \frac{h}{c^2 D} \frac{!_0^{3} m_{xy}^{e^{-2}}}{(1 + m_{xx}^{e})^{3=2}}$$
: (23b)

By simple inspection of the (m agneto-)optical absorption spectra of transition m etal ferrom agnets [9], one nds that the model parameters assume the typical values $!_0 = 6 = 10^5 \text{ s}^{-1}$, $\mathbf{m}_{xx}^e = 10$, $\mathbf{m}_{xy}^e = 1.5 = 10^2$. Experimentally, it is usually not convenient to maintain two

plates accurately parallel to each other, so that a con guration with a planarm irror and a lens-shaped m irror is usually adopted. Even in this con guration, the parasitic m agnetostatic interaction can be m ade as sm allas needed by taking a uniform ly magnetized plate of su ciently low thickness and su ciently large lateral extension. The net resulting Casim ir magnetic force F (not to be confused with the Casim ir magnetic force per unit area F) is then obtained by means of the \proximity force theorem " [10]: F = 2 R E (D); where R is the curvature radius of the lens-shaped m irror and D the shortest distance. For R = 100 m and D C=!0 50 nm, one nds that jF j 10 fN, with only a weak (logarithm ic) dependence upon D .

The Casim ir magnetic force F is several orders of m agnitude weaker than the non-m agnetic C asim ir force 0:5 nN, for D = 50 nm); however, it can be F (Ŧī detected independently of F by using a resonant di erential m ethod, as done in m agnetic resonant force m icroscopy" [11,12]: in this approach, one measures the mechanical force between two magnetic samples, one of them being xed and magnetically hard, the other one being magnetically soft and attached to a high-Q mechanical resonator (of resonance frequency !r) consisting of a m icro-cantilever. By m odulating the m agnetization of the soft sample by means of an acm agnetic eld (the hard sample remaining unchanged) at $! = !_r$, one can detect the magnetic force among the two samples (i.e., the dierence F FAF F_{FM}) with a considerably higher sensitivity than allowed by a dcm easurement of F_{AF} or F_{FM} separately. In addition, when measuring the non-magnetic Casim ir e ect, great care has to be taken to elim inate parasitic electrostatic interactions, which is done autom atically in the approach proposed here. A detailed discussion of the sensitivity lim itations of "m agnetic resonant force m icroscopy" is given in Ref. [12]: it is limited on one hand by the sensitivity in measuring the de ection of cantilever, which yields jF_{min}j k x=Q (where k is the cantilever spring constant, Q the quality factor, and x the de ection sensitivity), and by the therm om echanical noise on the other hand, which yields jF m in j $4k_B T$ k=(! rQ), for a bandwidth . For the cantilever used in Ref. [11] $(k = 1 m N / m, Q = 3000, !_r = 1:4 kHz)$, a force sensitivity of 0.5 fN at room tem perature was obtained, to be compared with the sensitivity of at best 1 pN reported in Ref. [4] for the dc m easurem ent of the non-m agnetic Casim ir e ect. Prospects for further im provem ent in the force sensitivity in "m agnetic resonant force m icroscopy" $3 p \frac{10^{17}}{10} N = Hz$ at room temperature and up to 4 10^{18} N = Hz at 4.2 K are discussed in Ref. [12]. Indeed, force sensitivity in the attonew ton (10 $^{18}\ \rm N$) range

The cantilever used in Ref. [11] consisted of a 50 m - long, 5 m - wide and 90 nm - thick Sibeam term inated by a square paddle of 30 m side-length. Such a cantilever

has recently been dem onstrated [13].

would be appropriate for the proposed experiment. By depositing a droplet of polymer on the paddle, it would be possible to produce a lens-shaped substrate of suitable curvature radius (100 m), which could then be covered by evaporation with a thin (10 nm) layer of a soft ferrom agnet such as perm alloy. G reat care has to be taken to m in im ize the parasitic magnetostatic interaction: the hard m agnetic plate should be as wide, thin, and magnetically uniform as possible. One should therefore chose a material with a high coercivity and 100% rem anence. A thin (10 nm) layer of CoPt alloy or a Co/Ptmultilayerwould be suitable. For a plate radius of 1 cm, the parasitic magnetostatic force can be estimated to be below 1 attonew ton, which is su cient for the present purpose. Finally, the parasitic force due to the interaction of the soft ferrom agnet with the ac eld would yield a signal at 2! and would therefore be ltered out by lock-in detection.

To conclude, the above discussion suggests that the experim ental test of the novel C asim ir m agnetic interaction would indeed be possible by using currently available techniques.

- [1] SSP.Parkin et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 64, 2304 (1990);
 P.Bruno and C.Chappert, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 1602 (1991); 67 2592 (E) (1991).
- [2] P.Bnuno, J.M agn.M agn.M ater. 121, 248 (1993); Phys. Rev. B 52, 411 (1995).
- [3] H B G. Casim ir, Proc. K. Ned. Akad. W et. 51, 793 (1948).
- [4] H B. Chan et al, Science 291, 1941 (2001).
- [5] L. Spruch, Science 272, 1452 (1996); S.K. Lam oreaux, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 5 (1996); 81, 5475 (E) (1998); Am. J. Phys. 67, 850 (1999); M. Kardar and R. Golestanian, Rev.M od.Phys.71, 1233 (1999); M. Bordag et al., Phys. Rep. 353, 1 (2001); J. Feinberg et al., Ann. Phys. 288, 103 (2001); V.M. M ostepanenko and N.N. Trunov, The Casim ir e ect and its applications (Carendon P ress, Oxford, 1997); K.A. M ilton, The Casim ir e ect: physical manifestations of zero-point energy (W orld Scienti c, 2001); E. Buks and M.L. Roukes, Phys. Rev. B 63, 033402 (2001); G. Bressi et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 041804 (2002); F. Chen et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 101801 (2002).
- [6] E.J.Katz, Sov.Phys.JETP 46, 109 (1977).
- [7] M.T. Jaekel and S. Reynaud, J. Physique I 1, 1395 (1991).
- [8] J.Zak et al, J.Appl.Phys. 68, 4203 (1990).
- [9] H.Ebert, Rep. Prog. Phys. 59, 1665 (1996); PM. Oppeneer, in Handbook of Magnetic Materials, Vol. 13, Ed. by K.H.J.Buschow (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2001), Chap. 3, p. 229.
- [10] J.Blockietal, Ann. Phys. 105, 427 (1977).
- [11] D.Rugar et al., Science 264, 1560 (1994).
- [12] JA. Sidles et al., Rev. M od. Phys. 67, 249 (1995).
- [13] T D. Stowe et al., Appl. Phys. Lett. 71, 288 (1997);
 P.M ohanty et al., Physica B 284-288, 2143 (2000).