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#### Abstract

A $n$ unusualtype of the exact solvability is reported. It is exem pli ed by the C oulom.$b$ plus harm onic oscillator in D dim ensions after a com plexi cation of its H am iltonian which keeps the energies real. In nitely $m$ any bound states are found in closed form which generalizes the popular harm onic-oscillator states at zero charge and even parity. A pparently, the $m$ odel is halfw ay betw een exact and quasi-exact.


PACS $03.65 . \mathrm{Ge}, 03.65 \mathrm{Fd}$

## 1 Introduction

Schrodinger equation for the shifted and charged harm onic oscillator in D dim ensions reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2}}{d r^{2}}+\frac{`(`+1)}{r^{2}}+\frac{f}{r}+2 g r+r^{\#} \quad(r)=E^{\prime}(r) ; \quad r 2(0 ; 1): \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thirty years ago, A ndre $H$ autot $[\underline{1}[1]$ noticed that at certain non-vanishing couplings $f$ and/or $g$ it $m$ ay posses elem entary solutions for the equidistant set of the energy levels

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n} ;{ }^{(\mathrm{H} \text { autot })}=2 \mathrm{n}+2+\mathrm{L} \quad \mathrm{~g}^{2} ;}  \tag{2}\\
\mathrm{n}=0 ; 1 ;::: ; \quad \mathrm{L}=\mathrm{L}(`)=2 `+1=\mathrm{D} \quad 2 ; \mathrm{D} ; \mathrm{D}+2 ;:::
\end{gather*}
$$

which do not depend on the charge $f$. The charge itself is not arbitrary (for this reason, the m odels of this type are called quasi-exactly solvable, QES). O ne has to evaluate the adm issible values of $f=f_{n}$ as real roots of certain polynom ials of the ( $n$ 1) st degree (see below ).

The undeniable $m$ athem atical as well as physical appeal of QES solutions has been revealed by many independent authors whose work was sum m arized by A lex U shveridze 畒]. Very recently, the Q ES m odels helped to clarify som e counterintuitive form al features of the so called P T sym $m$ etric quantum $m$ echanics of $B$ ender et al [3़1] who replaced the usual Hem ticity $\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{y}}$ by the m ere commutativity of the H am iltonian w th the product of parity $P$ and tim e reversal T. In the early stages of developm ent, the studies of this form alism were strongly $m$ otivated by its relevance in eld theory [īil]. In such a setting, it was very im pressive w hen Bender and B oettcher [5].] dem onstrated that in contrast to the current H em itian case, quartic polynom ial oscillators belong to the QES class after their appropriate P T sym m etrization (cf. also ref. [通] for $m$ ore details).

The charged harm onic oscillator ( ${ }_{1}$ ) does not possess sim ilar appealin eld theory but it was still am using to reveal in paper [īin that its Schrodinger equation does not lose its partial elem entary solvability even after the weakening of the Hem ticity to the mere P T sym m etry of its Ham iltonian. In accord with the Buslaev's and G recchi's recipe $\left[\frac{\mathbb{8}}{-1}\right]$ we used the shifted coordinates x 2 ( 1 ;1) on complex line

$$
\begin{align*}
& r(x)=x \quad i " \text { at a constant distance " }>0 \text { from the real axis, } \\
&  \tag{3}\\
& \quad{ }^{\prime \prime} \frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}+\frac{{ }^{\prime}(Y+1)}{r^{2}(x)}+i \frac{F_{n}}{r(x)}+2 i b r(x)+r^{2}(x) \quad{ }_{n}(x)=E_{n} \quad n(x):
\end{align*}
$$

U nfortunately, we only analyzed the ' $=0$ solutions in the quasi-odd regim e (cf. a m ore detailed explanation below ).

Since the publication of paper [īי구] a signi cant progress has been achieved in the interpretation of the non $H$ erm titian equations. Several authors $[\underline{\underline{9}} \mathbf{1}]$ em phasized that w ithin the dom ain of quantum mechanics, the P T sym m etry of eq. (3̄) should be replaced by the (form ally equivalent but $m$ athem atically $m$ ore natural) requirem ent of the pseudo $H$ erm iticity of the $H$ am iltonian,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}={ }^{1} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{y}} ; \quad={ }^{\mathrm{y}} \text { : } \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

O ne of the oldest ilhustrations of the e ciency of the use of the pseudo $H$ erm itian Ham ittonians ( $\overline{-1} \overline{4}$ ) w ith an auxiliary inde nite $m$ etric appears in the relativistic quantum $m$ echanics where it very naturally originates from the Feshbach's and V illars' H am ittonian form ulation of the K lein $G$ ordon equation for the particles $w$ ith zero spin [1]̄̄]. The sam e choice of a suitable invertible helps to clarify som e contem porary problem $s$ in the cosm ologicalm odels based on the equations of B ryce de W itt $\overline{11}_{1}^{1} \overline{1}_{1}^{1}$ 。

In the light ofeq. (는), physicalintenpretation of the non $H$ erm itian bound states is $m$ ore transparent and does not depend too much on the speci cation of the operator itself. T his operator only plays the role of a certain auxiliary transform ation of the dual H iblert space. For a detailed explanation of this idea we recom m end the older review $[\underline{1}-\overline{2}]$ w here the physical m eaning of the nontrivial \m etric" was illustrated by its em ergence in the $m$ any-ferm ion $m$ odels where $G$ I characterizes the so called D yson's mapping of the \physical" (and Herm itian) ferm ionic H am iltonians onto their $\backslash m$ ore easily solvable" $\quad H$ erm itian bosonic equivalents [1]

O ne m ust keep in $m$ ind the non-uniqueness of the $m$ etric $w$ hidh belongs to the given H . A ccording to A. M ostafazadeh [1]ī] one can replace the initial inde nite (and, in particular, P T sym m etric) metric 1 by an altemative 2 which is positive de nite. In the other words, the puzzling quasi-unitary evolution generated by
the inde nite $1\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[15]}\end{array} \mathrm{m}\right.$ ay be declared an artifact of our constructions. $V$ ice vensa, all the phenom enological considerations should necessarily be related to the positive de nite version ${ }_{2}>0$ of the $m$ etric (one can show that is exists for all the diago-
 the probabilistic interpretation of the norm in the H ibert space of states.

In the light of the possible peaceful coexistence of ${ }_{1}=P$ with some ${ }_{2}>0$ in eq. $(\underset{-1}{\overline{4}})$, our attention has been re-directed to the solutions ofeq. ( $(\underset{-1}{\overline{3}})$ which diverge in the sim ple-m inded H em itian lim it "! 0 and which were om itted from the scope of our preceding study [ī].]. W e are going to correct the om ission now. O ur expository section solutions of ref. [ī]. Section '放' will then m odify the basic ansatz which opens the way tow ards the new (or, in the wording of our title, \forgotten") Q ES solutions of eq. (


## 2 The standard quasi-exact solutions

As long as the di erential eq. (B-3i) is of the second order, its general solution is a superposition of som e two linearly independent solutions. This independence $m$ ay be deduced from their available leading-order form near the origin,

In the spirit of ref. $\left[\begin{array}{l}{[\bar{i}} \\ \hline\end{array}\right]$ one usually searches for the polynom ial solutions com patible w ith the correct physicalboundary conditions in the origin (i.e., $C_{+}=0$ [ī $\left.\overline{-1}\right]$ ) as well as w ith their asym ptotic nom alizability. In the spirit of the generalQ ES philosophy one $m$ ay $m$ eet both these requirem ents by em ploying the special elem entary ansatz of the very com $m$ on harm onic-oscillator-like form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.(x)=n_{n}^{( }\right)(x)=e^{r^{2}=2 i b r X^{\mathbb{N}}} h_{n=0}^{()}(i r)^{n+9+1} ; \quad r=r(x)=x \quad i ": \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The construction of the solutions of this type degenerates to the insertion of eq. ( $\overline{-}$ ) into the di erentialeq. (3్ַM) which gives the hom ogeneous set of $\mathrm{N}+2$ linear algebraic
equations for the $N+1$ coe cients $\left.h{ }_{j}^{( }\right) . W$ em ay drop the superscript and tum to the explicit non-square $m$ atrix form of the latter equations,

w ith elem ents

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\left.A_{n}=A_{n}^{( }\right)= & b^{2}+2 n+L \quad E ; & \left.B_{n}=B_{n}^{( }\right)= & (2 n+1+L) b \quad F ; \\
\left.C_{n}=C_{n}^{( }\right)=(n+1)(n+1+L) ; & L=2^{`}+1 ; & n=0 ; 1 ;:::
\end{array}
$$

This is a nite-dim ensional and over-determ ined linear algebraic re-incamation of the original di erential equation ( $\mathbf{N}_{1}$ ). Its $m$ atrix structure enables us to de ne the wave function (i.e., all its energy-dependent coe cients) as determ inants,
$w$ th $j=N ; N \quad 1 ;::: ; 1$ and under any choige of the nom alization $h_{N} \in 0$.
$T$ he latter norm alization convention converts the last row $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{N}+1} \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{N}}=0$ ofeq. ( $\left.\overline{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \overline{1}\right)$ into the constraint

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=E^{()}=2 N+2+L+b^{2}: \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the other words, the condition of the $m$ utual com patibility of the original overdeterm ined linear system ( $\mathbf{I}_{1}$ ) xes the energy which coincides w ith the old H autot's form ula ( $m$ om entum ' or L and of the size of the shift b. The QES construction is com plete and
simpli es the prescription of ref. '[i] (where the special cases of eqs. (8্, ) contained the less com pact $m$ atrix w ith four diagonals),
leads to the polynom ialw ave functions (w th the closed form ' ${ }_{-1}^{(8)}$ ) of the coe cients),
preserves the H autot's explicit form '(1) (1) of the energies,
reduces the di erential Schrodinger equation to its $n$ dim ensional square$m$ atrix form .

The rst observation (sim pli cation) is a marginal technical m erit due to our transition to a better ansatz. In contrast, the last feature of the QES solutions rem ains highly unpleasant as it foroes us to guarantee that the related secular determ inant vanishes,


Such a constraint determ ines the set of the $N+1$ adm issible couplings $F=F_{k}^{()}(\mathbb{N})$ [ī] and its purely num erical nature is an exam ple of the $m$ ost serious practical shortcom ing of the $m$ ajority of the QES m odels We we are now going to describe a rem arkable exception from this discouraging rule.

## 3 N onstandard, quasi-even Q E S states

### 3.1 The concept of quasi-parity

A bove we em phasized that at a xed, non-vanishing shift " $>0$ the am biguity of the $m$ etric opens the possibility ofusing the \sim pler" conjugation ( $\overline{4} \mathbf{1}) \mathrm{w}$ th $={ }_{1}=\mathrm{P}$ during the explicit constructions of the solutions while sw itching to their \physical" re-interpretation based on an altemative scalar product w ith the positive de nite $m$ etric $2_{2}>0$. In such an approach both components $c \in 0$ and $\left.c_{+}\right\} 0$ of wave functions in eq. (G) $m$ ay be equally usefiul.

O nce we relax the redundant boundary conditions in the origin we get $m$ ore solutions of course. O ne of the $m$ ost transparent illustrations of the em ergence of
 has been solved at the vanishing $b=F_{n}=0$. The superscripts in the resulting states ${ }_{n}^{(+)}(r)$ and ${ }_{n}^{()}(r)$ have been interpreted as the so called quasi-parity. The introduction of this concept was m otivated by the observation that the quasi-parity degenerated to the current parity at $b=F_{n}=`=0$ (cf. eq. (S్) ).

Its independent additional support appeared in ref. [IT $\bar{Q}]$ revealing its connection w th the P T parity and with the pseudo-nom using $={ }_{1}=\mathrm{P}$. It even plays its role in the supersym $m$ etric quantum $m$ echanics (cf. ref. [ī $\overline{1}$ ] form ore details) but is
 (G) is unnecessarily restrictive as it represents m erely quasi-odd solutions. From its generalization

$$
\begin{equation*}
n(r)=e^{r^{2}=2 \text { ibr } X^{N}} h_{n}(i r)^{n} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

(where we dropped all the superscripts for the tim e being) one can alw ays retum to the old quasi-odd option via the additional ( ) superscripted constraint

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{h}_{0}^{()}=\mathrm{h}_{1}^{()}=::::=\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{L}}^{()}{ }_{1}=0: \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

W henever necessary, the ${ }^{(+)}$superscripted \quasi-even" Q ES solutionsm ay be characterized by the altemative criterion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{n}_{0}^{(+)}{ }^{+}+\mathfrak{n}_{1}^{(+)}{ }^{j+}:::+n_{L}^{(+)}{ }_{1} j>0: \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e are now close to our key claim that the structure of the quasi-even Q ES solutions is exceptionally sim ple.

### 3.2 QES states having the even quasi-parity

The source of the latter claim lies in the im proved ansatz ( $\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1})$ which leads to the sam e equation (i, $\mathbf{I V}_{\text {) }}$ w ith the new $m$ atrix elem ents

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
A_{n}=A_{n}^{(+)}=b^{2}+2 n & L & E ; & B_{n}=B_{n}^{(+)}= \\
C_{n}=C_{n}^{(+)}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
(n+1) & (n+1 \\
n+1 & L
\end{array}\right) ; & L=2^{`}+1 ; & \mathrm{C}=0 ; 1 ;:::
\end{array}
$$

The energy form ula ( $(\underset{9}{9})$ is only $m$ arginally $m$ odi ed,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=E^{(+)}=2 N+2 \quad L+b^{2}: \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Stinl, we im m ediately notice the $m$ uch $m$ ore im portant di erence connected $w$ ith the presence of the vanishing $m$ atrix elem ent $C_{L}^{(+)}{ }_{1}=0$ in the upper diagonal of our new form of the QES secular equation. This $m$ eans that the ${ }^{(+)}$superscripted secular determ inant $m$ ay be re-w ritten as the product of a $\backslash s m$ all", $L$ dim ensional
w ith another determ inant $S^{(L)}$ ofa \large", (N
L) dim ensionalm atrix. The latter factor
precisely coincides w th the lefthand side expression in eq. (1ī) which guarantees, in its tum, the existence of the Q ES solutions w ith the property ( $\left.\overline{1} \bar{Z} \bar{Z}_{1}\right)$. T he use of the condition $S^{(L)}=0$ would retum us back to the old quasi-odd ansatzs of section $\overline{2}$. In what follow s we shall ignore these solutions as standard and assum e that $S^{(L)} 0$.

### 3.3 Facilitated Q ES constructions

A fter one concentrates attention solely to the quasi-even states, the Q ES construction degenerates, basically, to the secular equation $S^{(S)}=0$. O ne veri es easily that the acceptance of this condition is consistent w th the quasi-parity ( $\overline{1} \overline{3})$. The wave function coe cients them selves rem ain form ally determ ined by the ${ }^{(+)}$superscripted version of the determ inants ( $(\underline{\theta})$ whose dim ension grow swith $N$. For this reason we recom $m$ end a re-interpretation of these coe cients as quantities evaluated by the
recurrences initiated at an initial $h_{N}^{(+)} 0$ and de ning $h_{N}^{(+)}{ }_{1}, h_{N}^{(+)}{ }_{2}:::$ : step by step,


W e abbreviated here $n \quad(2 n+1 \quad L) b$ and note that in the last step of these recurrences, the secular equation $S^{(S)}=0$ replaces the redundant de nition of a ghost coe cient $h{ }_{1}^{(+)}=0$. Finally, the explicit form of our secular equation

speci es the fam ily of the adm issible charges $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{N}}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{k}}{ }^{(+)}(\mathbb{N})$ in a way which rem ains virtually purely non-num erical for the rst few dim ensions L .

### 3.3.1 L = 2

O ne of the key reasons why both the form al appeal and practical im portance of the quasieven spectrum ( $\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{4})$ rem ained unnotioed in ref. $\left[\begin{array}{l}1 \\ \hline\end{array}\right]$ was purely psychological. Indeed, at the sim plest choige of $L=1$ in eq. (ī̄̄̄) (which $m$ ay $m$ ean both the $s$ wave in three dim ensions and an even state at $\mathrm{D}=0$ ) one does not obtain anything new. Equation (1] $\bar{i} \bar{i})$ provides the single root $F_{0}{ }^{(+)}(\mathbb{N})=0$ and one just reveals the well known fact that at the vanishing eigencharge our $m$ odel degenerates to the linear ham onic oscillator de ned on the whole line.

Let us move, therefore, to the rst nontrivial choige of $L=2$ corresponding to the $p$ wave in two dim ensions or to the $s$ wave in four dim ensions. This gives the follow ing tw o series of the fully non-num erical eigencharges,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{[1 ; 2]}(\mathbb{N})=\frac{q}{\left(b^{2}+2 N\right)}: \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The wave functions retain the even quasi-parity in a way com patible w ith eq. (1ָ $\overline{1}$ ),

$$
h_{0[1 ; 2]}^{(+)}(\mathbb{N})=\frac{1}{2 N}^{h} \mathrm{~b}+\mathrm{F}_{[\square ; 2]}(\mathbb{N})^{i} h_{1 \llbracket ; 2]}^{(+)}(\mathbb{N}):
$$

B oth the eigencharges grow w ith the increasing size of the shift b and w th the num ber $N$ of nodes (i.e., w ith the energy $E_{N}$ ). In the coupling-energy plane our Q ES states $m$ ay be visualized as fam ilies located along œertain curved lines which, in a way, lie som ew here in betw een the harm onic-oscillator $\mathrm{F}=0$ straight line and the num erous H autot's sets of roots $F_{k}(\mathbb{N})$ each of which is de ned at a xed energy or integer $N$. Som etim es, the sim ilar fam ilies of the bound states $w$ ith the \energy $=$ constant" property are being called Sturm ians. In this sense one could speak here about a œertain further generalization of the latter concept.

### 3.3.2 $L=3$

A mild form al shortcom ing of the present C oulom $b+$ harm onic oscillators lies in a quick grow th of complexity of eq. (1̄-1) for the larger L. At any L 3 one should not be tem pted to generate the form ulae verifying, say, the sm ooth $N$ and b dependence of the eigencharges. In practioe, the other approaches $m$ ay de nitely prove preferable.

Even at the very rst $L=3$ the com paratively com pact form of our eq. (1-0]),

should not inspire a search for the triplet of charges $\mathrm{fF}_{0} ; \mathrm{F}_{1} ; \mathrm{F}_{2} \mathrm{~g}$ via the closed (i.e., C ardano) form ulae (the reader is recom $m$ ended to try to generate them using the com puter sym bolic $m$ anipulations in order to see that they really are enorm ously clum sy). A signi cantly better strategy consists in an elim ination of the (unique) value of $N$ from the above secular determ inant ( $\overline{1} \bar{q}$ ) giving

$$
\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{~F} ; \mathrm{b})=\frac{1}{8 \mathrm{~F}} 4 \mathrm{~F} \mathrm{~b}^{2}+8 \mathrm{~b} \quad \mathrm{~F}^{3} \quad 4 \mathrm{~F} \quad:
$$

A fter we $x$ any left-hand-side integer $N$ we $m$ ay pick up the tw $o$ eigenshifts $b_{[1 ; 2]}$ as elem entary functions of the indeterm inate variable $F$ (we skip the details which are trivial).

### 3.3.3 L > 3

T hem ain advantage of the sem i-im plicit techniques of the solution ofeq. ( $(\overline{1} \bar{\sigma})$ is that they may work at a few larger integers $L>3$. For ilhustration, the choige of $L=5$ (which corresponds to the d wave in three dim ensions) leads already to the purely num erical determ ination of eigencharges $F$. In an altemative approach we elim inate
$N=\frac{1}{512 \mathrm{~F}} \quad 768 \mathrm{~b} \quad 256 \mathrm{~F} \mathrm{~b}^{2}+768 \mathrm{~F}+40 \mathrm{~F}^{3} \quad 24^{\mathrm{q}} \overline{\left(1024 \mathrm{~b}^{2}+192 \mathrm{bF}{ }^{3}+512 \mathrm{~F}^{2}+\mathrm{F}^{6}\right)}$ and recom $m$ end the graphical determ ination of the eigenvalues $F=F(b)$ and/or $\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{b}(\mathrm{F})$ afterw ards.

The m ost practical possibility consists in a direct selection of a suitable shift b followed by the subsequent diagonalization of the purely num erical $m$ atrix. In an ilhustration using $L=3$ and $b=5$ one gets the three eigencharges

$$
F=f 10: 757 ; \quad 10: 400 ; \quad 0: 35755 \mathrm{~g}
$$

at the $s m$ allest possible $N=2$. In an opposite-extrem e using $N=1000$ the com puted values

$$
F=f 89: 98 ; \quad 89: 975 ; \quad 0: 0049407 \mathrm{~g}
$$

already lie very close to their large $N$ estim ate obtainable in closed form,

$$
\mathrm{F} \quad \mathrm{f}^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{8 N} ; \quad \mathrm{P} \overline{8 N} ; \quad \mathrm{bN} \mathrm{~N} \text { g } \mathrm{f} 89: 44 ; \quad 89: 44 ; \quad 0: 005 \mathrm{~g}:
$$

$T$ his simpli es the veri cation of the really of the eigencharges and con m s the sm oothness of their $N$ dependence. Such a type of calculation is very quidk and gives results sam pled at $L=4$ and $b=5$ in Table 1.

## 4 D iscussion

The $m$ ain $m$ erit of our key eq. (ī్̄-1) (which de nes the eigencharges) is that its dim ension is independent of the quantum number N. Equation ( $(\overline{1} \overline{\bar{I}} \overline{1})$ is the best ilhustration of the related new form of the solvability which we intended to describe here. Still, the principle of the whole construction is m ore general and one might

oscillators studied by $m$ any authors $[\underline{2} \underline{\underline{Q}}]$, to the decadic oscillator of ref. $[\overline{2} \overline{1} \overline{1}]$ and to


## 4.1 $N$ on-orthogonalQES states as a basis?

In our particular exam ple, the strength of the C oulom bic interaction appears to be an energy- or N dependent quantity. O ne deals w ith an L 2 generalization of the com $m$ on $L=1$ harm onic oscillator. Its $m$ ost im portant features are an apparent com pleteness \in a relevant subspace" (a guess inspired by their in nite num ber) and a compact form (re ecting the N independent evaluation of the eligible charges, each of which is selected as a function of the $m$ ain quantum number $N=0 ; 1 ;:::$ ). B oth these features $m$ ake our in nite set(s) of the quasieven states very sim ilar to the ordinary ham onic oscillator basis. In the context of concluding rem arks, let us pay som e attention to the possible analogies of the latter type.

Firstly, due to the non $H$ erm iticity of the $H$ am iltonian $H(F)=H(0)+F W$ we have to distinguish between the left (= double-ket) and right (= single-ket) QES eigenstates,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left.\mathbb{H}(0)+\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{~W}\right] \mathfrak{N} i=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{~N} i ;  \tag{19}\\
\left.\mathrm{hhN} j \mathbb{H}(0)+\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{~W}\right]=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{hhN} j: \tag{20}
\end{gather*}
$$

The integer N numbers the energies $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{N}}$ as well as the selected charges $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{N}}=$ $F[k]^{(+)}(\mathbb{N})$ so that the left and right eigenstates exist at the com $m$ on energies (1]ín) and charges [say, ([1] $\overline{1})]$. The wave functions are de ned in closed form, as polynom ials in the coordinates (cf. (1inil)) and in the couplings and quantum num bers (cf. ( $\overline{-1} \bar{\prime})$ ). $T$ his is of param ount im portance, $m$ aking our quasi-even QES solutions extrem ely sim ilar to the even bound states of the exactly solvable chargeless oscillators which form one of the $m$ ost popular com plete bases in $L_{2}(0 ; 1)$.

In a tentative parallel one could search for the appropriately weakened biorthogonality relations. This is a realm athem atical challenge since our Q ES solutions are only de ned at the exceptional and ' and N dependent charges. Still, one can easily verify the $m$ anifest non-orthogonality of the pairs of $m$ any random ly selected Q ES states. O fcourse, the closed form as well as the in nite num ber of these states inspires their use in a perturbative or variational context.

For the sim ilar purposes one has to truncate their set to a nite subset ( $\mathbb{N}<1$ ), assum ing that the $m$ atrix of their overlaps

$$
\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{m} ; \mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{hrm} \text { nji; } \quad \mathrm{m} ; \mathrm{n}=0 ; 1 ;::: \mathrm{N}
$$

is invertible. Wemay then proceed, say, in the variational spirit and reduce our H ibert space and its dual to the nite-dim ensional subspaces spanned by our subset ofthe selected Q ES eigenvectors. The approxim ate identity operatorbecom es de ned by the usual series

$$
I={\underset{m}{\mathrm{~N}} \mathrm{n}=0}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{i} i R_{m ; n} \operatorname{hin} j
$$

where $R=Q^{1}$ is, in general, fully non-diagonal. A lso the Ham iltonian $\mathrm{H}(\mathbb{F})$ itself becom es approxim ated by a non-diagonalm atrix. At alm ost all $F$, the search for the energies $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{E}(\mathrm{F})$ becom es, therefore, a num erical task.

### 4.2 Speculations ab out applicab ility

In spite of the unpleasant character of the latter conclusion, one should still feel the di erence betw een a fully generalm atrix diagonalization and our \next-to-solvable" C oulomb + harm onic problem considered at any charge F,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{H}(0)+F W] j i=E(F) j i: \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, our Schrodinger equation $m$ ay be (e.g., perturbatively) connected to its special cases w ith QES character. In an attem pted step tow ards $m$ aking such a connection explicit, let us im agine that equations ( $(\underline{1} \overline{1})$ and $(\overline{2} \overline{-1})$ share the energy and charge (though not the eigevectors) at every given N . This m eans that, respectively, we have the relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { hhN } \left.j \mathbb{H}(0)+F_{M} W\right] \mathbb{M} i=E_{M} \text { hhN } M i ;  \tag{22}\\
& \left.\operatorname{hhN} j \mathbb{H}(0)+F_{N} W\right] \mathbb{M} i=E_{N} \text { hhN } M i \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

the subtraction of which gives the strongest constraint

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{M}} \quad \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{N}}\right) \text { hhN } j \mathrm{~W} \quad \mathbb{M} i=\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{M}} \quad \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{N}}\right) Q_{\mathrm{N} ; \mathrm{M}}: \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

This relation is an im mediate generalization of the bi-orthogonality of the states which would result from it in the hypothetical case of the subscript-independent charges $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{M}}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{N}}$.

Let us now retum to the relations ( $\left.\underline{2}_{2}^{2}-\overline{1}\right)$ and ( $\left.\overline{2} \overline{2} \overline{1}\right)$ ) and deduce the $m$ atrix form of the C oulom b + harm onic Ham iltonian H (F ) at any value of the charge,

$$
\text { hhN } j H(F) \mathbb{M} i=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
(F) & F_{M} \tag{25}
\end{array}\right) h h N j W \quad \mathbb{M} i+E_{M} Q_{N ; M}:
$$

This formula will help us to avoid the tedious and most tim e consum ing part of the diagonalization of $H$ ( $F$ ) , viz, the evaluation of the input $m$ atrix elem ents which precedes the solution of the problem ( $\overline{2} \overline{1} 1 \mathbf{1})$ studied in its explicitl $m$ atrix form which de nes, in principle, all the necessary com ponents $p_{N}=h h N j$ iofthew ave functions

$$
j i=x_{m ; n=0}^{x^{N}} \quad \dot{m i} i R_{m ; n} P_{n}:
$$

At this $m$ om ent we have to re-em phasize that we do not intend to perform any num erical calculations. W e rather wish to stress the helpful role which can be played by the QES states. In such a context, the num ber of the necessary input matrix elem ents encounters the most drastic reduction after the insertion of eq. (2, $\left.2 \overline{1})_{1}\right)$ in eq. (2̄1i1),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { K; ; } \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

$N$ ext, the necessary input in form ation is firther reduced by the generalized biorthogonality relations ( $(2 \overline{4} \overline{4})$ which express all the o -diagonal elem ents of the (in our exam ple, C oulom bic) operator $W$ in term s of the know $n$ overlap $m$ atrix $Q$. In this way the num erical or perturbative diagonalization of the $m$ atrix Schrodinger eq. ( $\overline{2} \bar{\sigma} \overline{1})$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{N}=0 ; 1 ;::: ; \mathrm{N}
\end{aligned}
$$

will require the independent input evaluation of the $m$ ere diagonalm atrix elem ents $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{N}}=\mathrm{h} h \mathrm{~N}$ j W . N i a assum ing of course that we always have $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{M}} \not \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{N}}$ for $\mathrm{M} \in \mathrm{N} . \mathrm{We}$ $m$ ay sum $m$ arize that the $m$ ain $m$ erit of the use of the $Q E S$ states lies in the com pact and easily generated $m$ atrix form of our C oulom b + harm onic $m$ atrix Schrodinger equation at any non-Q ES charge F .

### 4.3 O utlook

W e have seen that our system $\left.{ }_{(\overline{3})}^{-1}\right) m$ ay quite e ciently be treated by the nonnum erical as well as alm ost purely num erical $m$ eans. In the form er sense it has been shown to lie som ew here in betw een the Q ES and exactly solvable category (cf. Table 2). In com parison $w$ th its com pletely solvable neighbor (ie., w ith its own harm onic oscillator special case of ref. [ī̄] 1 l , its nontrivialb 0 and $F \in 0$ versions do not generate all their bound states in the elem entary form. Still, in contrast to the quasi-odd QES m odel $\underline{\underline{Q}}_{1}$ in Table 2, its present quasi-even partner supports an in nite number ofbound states in the closed, elem entary form. Thus, our exam ple (as wellas any one of itsm any analogs) could be assigned a \m idw ay" status in som e of its applications and interpretations.
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Table 1. N dependence of eigencharges at $\mathrm{L}=4$ and $\mathrm{b}=5$.

| N | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{N}}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | $15: 611$ | $5: 9279$ | $4: 8887$ | $16: 651$ |
| 30 | $27: 149$ | $9: 2909$ | $8: 9294$ | $27: 511$ |
| 100 | $44: 732$ | 15 | $14: 865$ | $44: 867$ |
| 200 | $61: 665$ | $20: 602$ | $20: 531$ | $61: 736$ |
| 300 | $74: 856$ | $24: 984$ | $24: 936$ | $74: 904$ |
| 1000 | $134: 93$ | $44: 985$ | $44: 970$ | $134: 94$ |
| 3000 | $232: 82$ | $77: 610$ | $77: 605$ | $232: 83$ |
| 30000 | $734: 99$ | $245: 00$ | $245: 00$ | $734: 99$ |

Table 2. Solvable pseudo-H em itian potentials: Tentative classi cation.

| class | quasi exact | interm ediate | exact |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| solutions available | at a nite set of N | at in nitely m any N | at all N |
| range of couplings | restricted | restricted | any |
| illustrative exam ple | here | [īin | hin |
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