

Storage of energy in confined quantum systems

A. P. C. Malbouisson^(a)(a) CBPF/MCT - Rua Dr. Xavier Sigaud 150, Urca,
Rio de Janeiro CEP 22290-180-RJ, Brazil. E-mail: adolfo@cbpf.br

Using the non-perturbative method of dressed states introduced in previous publications, we study the evolution of a confined quantum mechanical system embedded in a ohmic environment. Our approach furnishes a theoretical mechanism to control inhibition of the decay of excited quantum systems in cavities, in both weak and strong coupling regimes. PACS number(s): 03.65.Ca, 32.80.Pj

In recent publications [1,2] a method (dressed states) has been introduced that allows a non-perturbative approach to situations where a material body (an atom or a Brownian particle) is coupled to a field, provided that the interaction between the parts of the system can be approximated by a linear coupling. From now on we use the term "particle" in a general sense, referring to an atom, a Brownian particle, a molecule, etc.... Our dressed states can be viewed as a rigorous version of the semiquantitative idea of dressed atom introduced originally in ref. [3], which can be constructed in reason of the linear character of our problem. More precisely, the method applies for all systems that can be described by an Hamiltonian of the form,

$$H = \frac{1}{2} p_0^2 + \frac{1}{2} \omega_0^2 q_0^2 + \sum_{k=1}^N (\frac{1}{2} p_k^2 + \frac{1}{2} \omega_k^2 q_k^2) + \sum_{k=1}^N c_k q_k; \quad (1)$$

where the subscript 0 refers to the "material body" and $k = 1; 2; \dots; N$ refer to the harmonic environment modes. A Hamiltonian of this type, describing a linear coupling of a particle with an environment, has been used in [4] to study the quantum Brownian motion of a particle with the path-integral formalism. The limit $N \rightarrow \infty$ in Eq.(1) is understood. We investigate also the behaviour of the system as a function of the strenght of the coupling between the particle and the bath. In particular we give explicitly non-perturbative formulas for the decay probability of the particle in the weak and strong coupling regimes.

We consider the problem of a particle q_0 in the harmonic approximation having (bare) frequency ω_0 coupled to N other oscillators q_i of frequencies ω_i ; $i = 1; 2; \dots; N$. In the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$ we recover our situation of the coupling particle-bath (field) after redefinition of divergent quantities. The bilinear Hamiltonian (1) can be turned to principal axis by means of a point transformation, $q = U^T Q$, $p = U^T P$; $U = (0; f_{kg})$; $k = 1; 2; \dots; N$; $r = 0; \dots; N$; performed by an orthonormal matrix $T = (t^r)$. The subscript $r = 0$ and $r = k$ refer respectively to the particle and the harmonic modes of the

bath and r refers to the normal modes. In terms of normal momenta and coordinates, the transformed Hamiltonian in principal axis reads, $H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=0}^N (P_r^2 + \omega_r^2 Q_r^2)$; where the ω_r 's are the normal frequencies corresponding to the possible collective stable oscillation modes of the coupled system. The matrix elements t^r are given by [1]

$$t_k^r = \frac{c_k}{(\omega_k^2 - \omega_r^2)} t_0^r; \quad t_0^r = \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{c_k^2}{(\omega_k^2 - \omega_r^2)^2}} \quad (2)$$

with the condition,

$$\omega_0^2 - \omega_r^2 = \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{c_k^2}{(\omega_k^2 - \omega_r^2)^2}; \quad (3)$$

We take $c_k = (\omega_k)^n$. In this case the environment is classified according to $n > 1$, $n = 1$, or $n < 1$, respectively as supraohmic, ohmic or subohmic. For a subohmic environment the sum in Eq.(3) is convergent and the frequency ω_0 is well defined. For ohmic and supraohmic environments the sum in the right hand side of Eq.(3) diverges what makes the equation meaningless as it stands, a renormalization procedure being needed. In this case, by a straightforward generalization of the method described in [1] we can define a renormalized frequency ω , by means of a counterterm ω_0^2 ,

$$\omega^2 = \omega_0^2 - \omega_0^2; \quad \omega^2 = \frac{2}{4} \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{\omega_k^{[n]}}{\omega_k^{2(n-1)}}; \quad (4)$$

in terms of which Eq.(3) becomes,

$$\omega^2 - \omega_r^2 = \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{\omega_k^{2E[n]}}{(\omega_k^2 - \omega_r^2)^2}; \quad (5)$$

with the notation $E[n]$ standing for the smallest integer containing n . We see that in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$ the above procedure is exactly the analogous of naive mass renormalization in Quantum Field Theory: the addition of a counterterm ω_0^2 allows to compensate the infinity of ω_0^2 in such a way as to leave a finite, physically meaningful renormalized frequency ω . This simple renormalization scheme has been originally introduced in ref. [5].

To proceed, we take the constant $\omega_0^2 = \frac{1}{2g}$, ω being the interval between two neighbouring bath frequencies (supposed uniform) and where g is some constant [with dimension of (frequency)²]. For reasons that will become apparent later, we restrict ourselves to

the physical situations in which the whole system is confined to a cavity of diameter L , and the environment frequencies ω_k can be written in the form

$$\omega_k = 2\pi k/L; \quad k = 1, 2, \dots \quad (6)$$

Then using the formula,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k^2 - u^2)} = \frac{1}{2u^2} - \cot(\pi u) \quad (7)$$

and restricting ourselves to an ohmic environment, Eq.(5) can be written in closed form,

$$\cot\left(\frac{L}{2c}\right) = -\frac{1}{g} + \frac{c}{L} \left(1 - \frac{L^2}{4c^2}\right) \quad (8)$$

The solutions of Eq.(8) with respect to g give the spectrum of eigenfrequencies ω_r corresponding to the collective normal modes.

The transformation matrix elements turning the material body-bath system to principal axis is obtained in terms of the physically meaningful quantities ω_r, ω_k , after some rather long but straightforward manipulations analogous as it has been done in [1]. They read,

$$\begin{aligned} t_0^r &= \frac{r}{(\frac{2}{r} \omega_k^2)^2 + \frac{2}{2} (\frac{2}{r} \omega_k^2) + 2g^2 \frac{2}{r}}; \\ t_k^r &= \frac{\omega_k}{\omega_k^2} t_0^r; \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

To study the time evolution of the particle, we start from the eigenstates of our system, represented by the normalized eigenfunctions,

$$|n_0 n_1 n_2 \dots\rangle(Q; t) = \sum_s \frac{2^{n_s}}{n_s!} H_{n_s} \left(\frac{Q_s}{h} \right) \prod_p e^{-i \omega_p n_p t}; \quad (10)$$

where H_{n_s} stands for the n_s -th Hermite polynomial and ϕ_0 is the normalized vacuum eigenfunction. We introduce dressed coordinates q_0^0 and q_k^0 for, respectively the dressed atom and the dressed field, defined by [1],

$$\frac{1}{h} q_0^0 = \sum_r t^r \frac{1}{h} Q_r; \quad (11)$$

valid for arbitrary L and where $\omega_k = \omega_k; \omega_k/g$, and let us define for a fixed instant the complete orthonormal set of functions [1],

$$|n_0 n_1 \dots\rangle(q^0) = \sum_s \frac{2^{n_s}}{n_s!} H_{n_s} \left(\frac{q_0^0}{h} \right) \prod_k |n_k\rangle; \quad (12)$$

where $q_0^0 = q_0^0; q_k^0, \omega_k = \omega_k; \omega_k/g$. Note that the ground state $|0\rangle$ in the above equation is the same as in Eq.(10).

The invariance of the ground state is due to our definition of dressed coordinates given by Eq. (11). Each function $|n_0 n_1 \dots\rangle(q^0)$ describes a state in which the dressed oscillator q_0^0 is in its n_0 -th excited state. Using Eq.(11) the functions (12) can be expressed in terms of the normal coordinates Q_r . But since (10) is a complete set of orthonormal functions, the functions (12) may be written as linear combinations of the eigenfunctions of the coupled system, and conversely.

Let us call $|0\rangle = |00\dots0\rangle$ the configuration in which only the dressed oscillator q_0^0 (the dressed particle) is in the first excited level. Then it is shown in [1] the following expression for the time evolution of the first-level excited dressed particle q_0^0 ,

$$|0\rangle_1(t) = \sum_s f^0(t) |0\rangle_s(0); \quad f^0(t) = \sum_s t_0^s t^s e^{-i \omega_s t}; \quad (13)$$

From Eq.(13) we see that the initially excited dressed particle naturally distributes its energy among itself and all other dressed oscillators (the environment) as time goes on, with probability amplitudes given by the quantities $f_0(t)$ in Eq.(13). In Eq.(13) the coefficients $f^0(t)$ have a simple interpretation: $f^{00}(t)$ and $f^{0i}(t)$ are respectively the probability amplitudes that at time t the dressed particle still be excited or have radiated a quantum of frequency ω_i . We see that this formalism allows a quite natural description of the radiation process as a simple exact time evolution of the system. In the case of a very large cavity (free space) our method reproduces for weak coupling the well-known perturbative results [1,2]. Nevertheless it should be remarked that due to our approximations to be done below for the confined system, we can not recover free space results from our confined system.

Next we study the time evolution process of the confined dressed particle when it is prepared in such a way that initially it is in its first excited state. We shall consider the situation of the particle confined in a cavity of diameter L .

Let us now consider the ohmic system in which the particle is placed in the center of a cavity of diameter L , in the case of a small L , i.e: that satisfies the condition of being much smaller than the coherence length, $L \ll 2c/g$. We note that from a physical point of view, L stands for either the diameter of a spherical cavity or the spacing between infinite parallel mirrors. To obtain the eigenfrequencies spectrum, we remark that from a graphical analysis of Eq.(8) it can be seen that in the case of small values of L , its solutions are very near the frequency values corresponding to the asymptotes of the curve $\cot(\frac{L}{2c})$, which correspond to the environment modes $\omega_k = i2\pi c/L$, except from the smallest eigenfrequency ω_0 . As we take larger and larger solutions, they are nearer and nearer to the values corresponding to the

asymptots. For instance, for a value of L of the order of $2 \cdot 10^{-2} \text{ m}$ and $\omega = 10^{10} \text{ s}^{-1}$, only the lowest eigenfrequency ω_0 is significantly different from the field frequency corresponding to the first asymptot, all the other eigenfrequencies ω_k ; $k = 1; 2; \dots$ being very close to the field modes $k \approx L$. For higher values of ω (and lower values of L) the differences between the eigenfrequencies and the field modes frequencies are still smaller. Thus to solve Eq.(8) for the larger eigenfrequencies we expand the function $\cot(\frac{L}{2c})$ around the values corresponding to the asymptots. We write,

$$\omega_k = \frac{2c}{L} (k + \epsilon_k); \quad k = 1; 2; \dots \quad (14)$$

with $0 < \epsilon_k < 1$, satisfying the equation,

$$\cot(\epsilon_k) = \frac{2c}{gL} (k + \epsilon_k) + \frac{1}{(k + \epsilon_k)} \left(1 - \frac{\omega^2 L}{2gc}\right); \quad (15)$$

But since for a small value of L every ϵ_k is much smaller than 1, Eq.(15) can be linearized in ϵ_k , giving,

$$\epsilon_k = \frac{4gcLk}{2(4 - 2c^2k^2 - \omega^2 L^2)}; \quad (16)$$

Eqs.(14) and (16) give approximate solutions to the eigenfrequencies ω_k ; $k = 1; 2; \dots$.

To solve Eq.(8) with respect to the lowest eigenfrequency ω_0 , let us assume that it satisfies the condition $\omega_0 L = 2c \ll 1$ (we will see below that this condition is compatible with the condition of a small L as defined above). Inserting the condition $\omega_0 L = 2c \ll 1$ in Eq.(8) and keeping up to quadratic terms in ω_0 we obtain the solution for the lowest eigenfrequency ω_0 ,

$$\omega_0 = \frac{\omega}{1 + \frac{gL}{2c}}; \quad (17)$$

Consistency between Eq.(17) and the condition $\omega_0 L = 2c \ll 1$ gives a condition on L ,

$$L \leq \frac{2c}{\omega} f; \quad f = \frac{g}{2\omega} \left[1 + \frac{4}{1 + \frac{4}{\omega^2}} \right] A; \quad (18)$$

Let us consider the situations of weak coupling ($g \ll \omega$) and of strong coupling ($g \gg \omega$), and let us consider the situation where the dressed material body is initially in its first excited level. Then from Eq.(13) we obtain the probability that it will still be excited after a elapsed time t ,

$$\begin{aligned} |f^{00}(t)|^2 = & (t_0^0)^4 + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (t_0^0)^2 (t_0^k)^2 \cos(\omega_k - \omega_0)t + \\ & \sum_{k,l=1}^{\infty} (t_0^k)^2 (t_0^l)^2 \cos(\omega_k - \omega_l)t; \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

a) Weak coupling

In the case of weak coupling a physically interesting situation is when interactions of electromagnetic type are involved. In this case, we take $g = \omega$, where ω is the fine structure constant, $\omega = 1/137$. Then the factor f multiplying $2c/g$ in Eq.(18) is 0.07 and the condition $L \leq 2c/g$ is replaced by a more restrictive one, $L \leq 0.07(2c/g)$. For a typical infrared frequency, for instance $\omega = 2 \cdot 10^{11} \text{ s}^{-1}$, our calculations are valid for a value of L , $L \leq 10^{-3} \text{ m}$.

From Eqs.(9) and using the above expressions for the eigenfrequencies for small L , we obtain the matrix elements,

$$(t_0^0)^2 = 1 - \frac{gL}{2c}; \quad (t_0^k)^2 = \frac{gL}{ck^2}; \quad (20)$$

To obtain the above equations we have neglected the corrective term ϵ_k , from the expressions for the eigenfrequencies ω_k . Nevertheless, corrections in ϵ_k should be included in the expressions for the matrix elements t_k^k , in order to avoid spurious singularities due to our approximation.

Using Eqs.(20) in Eq.(19), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |f^{00}(t)|^2 = & 1 - 4 \left(\frac{gL}{2c} \right)^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} \cos(\omega_k - \omega_0)t + \\ & \frac{4}{2} \sum_{k,l=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2 l^2} \cos(\omega_k - \omega_l)t; \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

where we have introduced the dimensionless parameter $\omega L = 2c$, corresponding to a small value of L and we remember that the eigenfrequencies are given by Eqs.(14), (16) and (17). As time goes on, the probability that the material body be excited attains periodically a minimum value which has a lower bound given by,

$$\min(|f^{00}|) = 1 - \frac{5}{3} + \frac{14}{9} \omega^2; \quad (22)$$

For instance, for a frequency ω of the order $\omega = 4 \cdot 10^{14} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (in the red visible), and $L = 1 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ m}$, remembering our weak coupling ansatz $g = \omega$, we see from Eq.(22) that the probability that the material body be at any time excited will never fall below a value 0.97, or a decay probability that is never higher than a value 0.03. It is interesting to compare this result with experimental observations in [6], where stability is found for atoms emitting in the visible range placed between two parallel mirrors a distance $L = 1 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ m}$ apart from one another [6]. For cavities small enough the probability that the material body remain excited as time goes on, oscillates with time between a maximum and a minimum values and never departs significantly from the situation of stability in the excited state.

Nevertheless, in the weak coupling regime for any fixed value of the emission frequency, the probability that the particle remain excited can be considerably changed. This is a general phenomenon. We see from Eq. (22) that mathematically there are two values of the cavity size, corresponding to $\omega = 0$ and $\omega = 15=14$ which correspond to the probability one of the particle be excited at any time. Also, we can see from Eq.(22) that the minimal value of $M \ln(\langle f^{(0)}(t) \rangle^2)$ is attained for $\omega = 15=28$. The size of the cavity corresponding to this value of ω is exactly half the (non-zero) size of the cavity allowing from a mathematical point of view, permanent excitation of the particle. However we should not give physical meaning to the portion of the parabole (22) from $\omega = 15=28$ to infinity, it will correspond for values $\omega > 15=14$ to probabilities larger than one and is physically meaningless. The physically meaningful part of the parabole (22) corresponds to $0 < \omega < 15=28$. Our conclusion is that varying ω from 0 to $\omega = 15=28$ we attain at the middle of the curve the point of maximum emission, where $M \ln(\langle f^{(0)}(t) \rangle^2) = 0.55$. In the weak coupling regime, this is the minimal possible probability to the particle remain excited inside the cavity for any emission frequency.

b) Strong coupling

In this case it can be seen from Eqs (16), (17) and (18) that $\omega_0 \neq 0$ and we obtain from Eq.(9),

$$(\langle f_0^0 \rangle)^2 = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{2}{\omega_0^2}}; \quad (\langle f_0^k \rangle)^2 = \frac{gL}{ck^2}; \quad (23)$$

Using Eqs.(23) in Eq.(19), we obtain for the probability that the excited system be still at the first energy level at time t , the expression,

$$\langle f^{(0)}(t) \rangle^2 = \frac{2}{2 + \frac{2}{\omega_0^2}} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2}{2 + \frac{2}{\omega_0^2}} \frac{X^k}{k^2} \cos(\omega_k t) + \sum_{k,l=1}^{\infty} \frac{4}{2} \frac{X^k}{k^2} \frac{1}{l^2} \cos(\omega_k t) \cos(\omega_l t); \quad (24)$$

We see from (24) that as the system evolves in time, the probability that the material body be excited, attains periodically a minimum value which has a lower bound given by,

$$M \ln(\langle f \rangle) = \frac{2}{2 + \frac{2}{\omega_0^2}} - \frac{2}{2 + \frac{2}{\omega_0^2}} - \frac{2}{3} - \frac{2}{9}; \quad (25)$$

The behaviour of the system in the strong coupling regime is completely different from weak coupling behaviour. The condition of positivity of (25) imposes for fixed values of g and ω_0 an upper bound for the quantity ω_0 , ω_{max} , which corresponds to an upper bound to the diameter L of the cavity, L_{max} (remember $\omega_0 = Lg=2c$). Values of ω_0 larger than ω_{max} , or equivalently, values of L larger than L_{max} are unphysical (correspond to negative probabilities) and should not be considered. These

upper bounds are obtained from the solution with respect to ω_0 , of the inequality, $M \ln(\langle f \rangle) \geq 0$. We have $M \ln(\langle f \rangle) > 0$ or $M \ln(\langle f \rangle) = 0$, for respectively $\omega_0 < \omega_{max}$ or $\omega_0 = \omega_{max}$. The solution of the equation $M \ln(\langle f \rangle) = 0$ gives, $\omega_{max} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 + \frac{2}{\omega_0^2}}} = 0.37$. For $\omega_0 = \omega_{max}$, the minimal probability that the atom remain stable vanishes. We see comparing with the results of the previous subsection, that the behaviour of the system for strong coupling is rather different from its behaviour in the weak coupling regime. For appropriate cavity dimensions, which are of the same order of those ensuring stability in the weak coupling regime, we ensure for strong coupling the complete decay of the particle to the ground state in a small elapsed time.

We have presented in this note a non-perturbative treatment of a connected ohmic quantum system consisting of particle (in the larger sense of a "material body", an atom or a Brownian particle) coupled to an environment modeled by non-interacting oscillators. One possible conclusion is that by changing conveniently the physical and geometric parameters (the emission frequency, the strength of the coupling and the size of the connecting cavity) our formalism theoretically allows a control on the rate of emission and of the energy storage capacity (perhaps information?) in the cavity. Depending on the strength of the coupling, the emission probability ranges from a complete stability to a very rapid decay. This work has been supported by the Brazilian agency CNPq (Brazilian National Research Council)

-
- [1] N.P. Andion, A.P.C. Malbouisson and A. Mattos Neto, J Phys A 34, 3735, (2001)
 - [2] G. Flores-Hidalgo, A.P.C. Malbouisson, Y.W. Milla, Phys. Rev. A, 65, 063314 (2002)
 - [3] S. Haroche, Doctoral thesis, Ecole Normale Supérieure, Paris (1964)
 - [4] B.L. Hu, Juan Pablo Paz, Yuhong Zhang, Phys. Rev. D, 45, 2843 (1992)
 - [5] W. Thirring, F. Schwabl, Er. Ex. Naturw. 36, 219 (1964)
 - [6] W. Jhe, K. Jang, Phys. Rev. A 53 (2), 1126 (1996)