

January 3, 2022

On the path integration for the potential barrier $V_0 \cosh^2(\lambda x)$

L. Guechi

D epartem ent de P hysique,

Faculte des Sciences, U niversite M entouri,

R oute d'A in El B ey, C onstantine, A lgeria.

T . F . H am m ann

Laboratoire de M athem atiques, P hysique m athem atique,

Faculte des Sciences et Techniques,

U niversite de H aute A lsace,

4, rue des freres Lum iere, F -68093 M ulhouse, France

A bstract

The propagator associated to the potential barrier $V = \cosh^2(\lambda x)$ is obtained by solving path integrals. The method of delta functionals based on canonical and other transformations is used to reduce the path integral for this potential into a path integral for the Morse potential problem. The dimensional extension technique is seen to be essential for performing the multiple integral representation of the propagator. The correctly normalized scattering wave functions and the scattering function are derived. To test the method employed, the free particle and the function barrier are considered as limiting cases.

PACS 03.65.Ca-Formalism

PACS 03.65.Db-Functional analytical methods

typescript using LaTeX

I. Introduction

During the last twenty years, a number of nontrivial path integrals of nonrelativistic quantum mechanical problems have been solved^{1,2,3,4}. In particular, two wide classes for which the path integral solutions are related to the radial harmonic oscillator and the Pöschl-Teller path integral, are extensively studied. The first class called class of confluent potentials includes the radial harmonic oscillator, the Morse potential, and the Coulomb potential. The Pöschl-Teller and the modified Pöschl-Teller potentials, e.g. the Rosen-Morse, Manning-Rosen, Scarf-like, or the Hulthén potential belong to the class of hypergeometric potentials. However, most of discussions of these potentials by path integration were restricted to bound state problem. For the second class, when the extension to describe the scattering states was made, the approach applied is based on a Sommerfeld-Watson transformation which leads to a closed form expression for the Green's function^{5,6,7,8,9,10} or via the path integration over the $SU(1;1)$ manifold for the calculation of the propagator with bound and scattering states found simultaneously^{11,12,13,14,15}. Note that the noncompact group $SU(1;1)$ can be viewed as analytical continuation of the compact group $SU(2)$.

The purpose of this paper is to solve the problem of the potential barrier $V = \cosh^2(x)$ in the framework of Feynman's path integral. This potential is not a special case of the modified Pöschl-Teller potentials. It cannot be related to them by coordinate transformation and it has not a dynamical $SU(1;1)$ symmetry. Thus, neither the approach which consists in summing the spectral representations of the Green's function via a Sommerfeld-Watson transformation nor the path integration over the $SU(1;1)$ manifold can be applied to this potential. A treatment of this potential barrier has been presented recently in the Feynman approach through integration on the $SO(1;2)$ group¹⁶, but it involved several incorrect manipulations so that the amplitudes $T(k)$ and $R(k)$ of the transmitted and reflected beams obtained are not correct. We think that it is worthwhile to present another path integral approach to solve this potential barrier problem.

In sec.II, we first introduce an auxiliary dynamics by extension of the phase space in order to convert the path integral for the potential barrier into a path integral form analogous to the rigid rotator problem. Then, with the help of a point canonical transformation and the trick called "uncompleting the square"¹⁷, the propagator is connected to the path integral for the Morse

potential problem. In sec.III, the propagator is evaluated, from which we obtain the suitably normalized wave functions. The scattering function is determined from the asymptotic form of the wave functions in sec.IV. As a test of our calculation, two limiting cases are considered. The coefficients of the transmitted and reflected beams are found again for the free particle and for a particle subjected to the repulsive function potential in sec.V. The section VI will be a conclusion.

II. Reduction of $\cosh^{-2}(\lambda x)$ into a form of Morse Potential

The path integral in phase space for a particle of mass m subjected to the potential barrier defined by

$$V(x) = \frac{V_0}{\cosh^2(\lambda x)}; V_0 > 0; \quad (1)$$

is written formally as follows:

$$K(x_f; x_i; T) = \int \mathcal{D}x \mathcal{D}p_x \exp \left[\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_0^T p_x \dot{x} - \left(\frac{p_x^2}{2m} + \frac{V_0}{\cosh^2(\lambda x)} \right) dt \right]; \quad (2)$$

In the time sliced representation, it is given by

$$K(x_f; x_i; T) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int \prod_{j=1}^N dx_j \int \prod_{j=1}^{N+1} \frac{dp_{xj}}{2\pi\hbar} \exp \left[\frac{i}{\hbar} \sum_{j=1}^{N+1} p_{xj} (x_j - x_{j-1}) - \sum_{j=1}^N \left(\frac{p_{xj}^2}{2m} + \frac{V_0}{\cosh^2(\lambda x_j)} \right) \Delta t \right]; \quad (3)$$

where we have adopted the standard notation:

$$\Delta t = t_j - t_{j-1} = \frac{T}{N+1}; x_j = x(t_j); x_i = x(0) \text{ and } x_f = x(T) = x(t_{N+1});$$

Let us first rescale $(x; p_x)$ by $(\lambda x; p = \frac{1}{\lambda} p_x)$. Under this transformation, the propagator (3) becomes

$$K(x_f; x_i; T) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int \prod_{j=1}^N d\lambda x_j \int \prod_{j=1}^{N+1} \frac{dp_j}{2\pi\hbar} \exp \left[\frac{i}{\hbar} \sum_{j=1}^{N+1} p_j (\lambda x_j - \lambda x_{j-1}) - \sum_{j=1}^N \left(\frac{p_j^2}{2m} + \frac{V_0}{\cosh^2(\lambda x_j)} \right) \Delta t \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int \prod_{j=1}^N \left(\frac{p_j^2}{2m} + \frac{V_0}{\cosh^2} \right) dt \\
& = \int \prod_{j=1}^N \int \prod_{j=1}^N dp_j \exp \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_0^T \left(\frac{p_j^2}{2m} + \frac{V_0}{\cosh^2} \right) dt \right)
\end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

In analogy with the problem of a free particle moving on the two-dimensional sphere which is also known as the rigid rotator problem¹⁸, the path integration of the expression (4) can be simplified by introducing an additional dynamics by extension of the phase space with the help of the following path integral identity:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \exp \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_0^T \frac{V_0}{\cosh^2} dt \right) \\
& = \int \prod_{j=1}^N d(\varphi_j) \exp \left(i \int_0^T \frac{2m V_0}{\hbar^2} \frac{1}{4} (\varphi_j) \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{j=1}^N d\varphi_j \right. \\
& \quad \left. \prod_{j=1}^N \frac{dp_j}{2\pi\hbar} \exp \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_0^T \left(\frac{p_j^2}{2m} + \frac{\hbar^2}{4} \right) dt \right) \right) \\
& = \int \prod_{j=1}^N d(\varphi_j) \exp \left(i \int_0^T \frac{2m V_0}{\hbar^2} \frac{1}{4} (\varphi_j) \right. \\
& \quad \left. \int \prod_{j=1}^N \int \prod_{j=1}^N dp_j \exp \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_0^T \left(\frac{p_j^2}{2m} + \frac{\hbar^2}{4} \right) dt \right) \right)
\end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Then, the propagator (4) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
K(x_f; x_i; T) &= \int \prod_{j=1}^N d(\varphi_j) \exp \left(i \int_0^T \frac{2m V_0}{\hbar^2} \frac{1}{4} (\varphi_j) \right. \\
& \quad \left. \int \prod_{j=1}^N \int \prod_{j=1}^N dp_j \exp \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_0^T \left(\frac{p_j^2}{2m} + \frac{\hbar^2}{4} \right) dt \right) \right) \\
& \quad \left(\frac{p_j^2}{2m} + \frac{p_j^2 + \frac{\hbar^2}{4}}{2m \cosh^2} \right) dt
\end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Our first task is to bring the $(\mathbf{p})$ -dependent part of the above path integral into an appropriate form. To do this, we consider the kernel

$$\begin{aligned}
 K(\mathbf{x}_f; \mathbf{x}_i; T) &= \int \mathcal{D}\mathbf{p} \exp \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_0^T \mathbf{p} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{x}} - \frac{p^2 + \frac{\hbar^2}{4}}{2m \cosh^2} dt \right) \\
 &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int \prod_{j=1}^N d\mathbf{p}_j \exp \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \sum_{j=1}^N \mathbf{p}_j \cdot (\mathbf{x}_{j+1} - \mathbf{x}_j) - \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{p_j^2 + \frac{\hbar^2}{4}}{2m \cosh^2} \right) \quad (7)
 \end{aligned}$$

If we integrate this expression over the variables $\mathbf{p}_j$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 K(\mathbf{x}_f; \mathbf{x}_i; T) &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int \frac{d\mathbf{p}_{N+1}}{2\pi\hbar} \exp \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \mathbf{p}_{N+1} \cdot (\mathbf{x}_f - \mathbf{x}_i) - \sum_{j=1}^{N+1} \frac{p_j^2 + \frac{\hbar^2}{4}}{2m \cosh^2} \right) \\
 &= \int \frac{d\mathbf{p}_{N+1}}{2\pi\hbar} \exp \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \mathbf{p}_{N+1} \cdot (\mathbf{x}_f - \mathbf{x}_i) - \frac{p_{N+1}^2 + \frac{\hbar^2}{4}}{2m \cosh^2} \right) \quad (8)
 \end{aligned}$$

The $\mathbf{p}_j$ integrations thereafter give $\mathbf{p}_1 = \mathbf{p}_2 = \dots = \mathbf{p}_{N+1} = \mathbf{p}$. Hence we have

$$K(\mathbf{x}_f; \mathbf{x}_i; T) = \int \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{2\pi\hbar} \exp \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \mathbf{p} \cdot (\mathbf{x}_f - \mathbf{x}_i) - \frac{p^2 + \frac{\hbar^2}{4}}{2m \cosh^2} T \right) \quad (9)$$

Substituting (9) into (6) yields the following expression for the propagator:

$$\begin{aligned}
 K(\mathbf{x}_f; \mathbf{x}_i; T) &= \int \mathcal{D}\mathbf{x} \exp \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_0^T \mathbf{p} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{x}} - \frac{2m V_0}{\hbar^2} \frac{1}{4} (\mathbf{x}_f - \mathbf{x}_i)^2 \right) \\
 &= \int \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{2\pi\hbar} \exp \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \mathbf{p} \cdot (\mathbf{x}_f - \mathbf{x}_i) - \frac{p^2 + \frac{\hbar^2}{4}}{2m \cosh^2} T \right) K(\mathbf{x}_f; \mathbf{x}_i; T); \quad (10)
 \end{aligned}$$

where the $(\mathbf{p})$ -part is given by

$$K(\mathbf{x}_f; \mathbf{x}_i; T) = \int \mathcal{D}\mathbf{p} \exp \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_0^T \mathbf{p} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{x}} - \frac{p^2 + \frac{\hbar^2}{4}}{2m \cosh^2} T \right) \quad (11)$$

The calculation of this path integral is of course not at all straightforward. We will now follow Ref.¹⁷ to convert our propagator into the Morse potential problem by utilizing a set of tricks. We start by performing the point canonical transformation defined by

$$X = \sinh p; P = \cosh p; \quad (12)$$

which introduces the effective potential^{17,19}

$$V_e = \frac{\hbar^2}{8m} \left(3 - \frac{1}{1+X^2} \right) \quad (13)$$

The transformed path integral takes the form

$$K(x_f; x_i; T) = \int_{x_i}^{x_f} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1+X_f^2} \frac{1}{1+X_i^2} \frac{1}{4} \int_0^T D X D P \exp \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_0^T \left(P \dot{X} - \frac{\hbar^2}{8m} \left(3 - \frac{1}{1+X^2} \right) \right) dt \right) \quad (14)$$

One can get rid of the $(1+X^2)^{-1}$ potential term, by changing the variable P into $\tilde{P}$ via

$$P = \tilde{P} \frac{\hbar}{1+X^2}; \quad (15)$$

This lets appear a total X derivative which can easily be integrated out. Then, the path integral (14) may be rewritten

$$K(x_f; x_i; T) = \int_{x_i}^{x_f} \frac{1}{1+X_f^2} \frac{1}{1+X_i^2} \frac{1}{4} \exp^h \left(\arctan X \right)_{x_i}^{x_f} K(\tilde{X}_f; \tilde{X}_i; T); \quad (16)$$

where

$$K(\tilde{X}_f; \tilde{X}_i; T) = \int_{\tilde{X}_i}^{\tilde{X}_f} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} D X D \tilde{P} \exp \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_0^T \left(\tilde{P} \dot{X} - \frac{\tilde{P}^2}{2m} (1+X^2) + \frac{i\hbar}{m} \tilde{P} \frac{3}{8} \right) dt \right) \quad (17)$$

Following Anderson et al¹⁷, the $\tilde{P}^2 X^2$ term can be absorbed using the trick called uncompleting the square, namely

$$\exp \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_0^T \left(\frac{\tilde{P}^2}{2m} X^2 dt \right) \right) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\alpha \exp \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_0^T \left(\alpha \tilde{P}^2 + \frac{\tilde{P}^2}{2m} X^2 \right) dt \right)$$

$$= \int_1^Z d_f \int_D \exp \left[\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_0^{!^2 T} \left(\frac{m}{2} \dot{X}^2 + \frac{3\hbar^2}{8m} \right) dt \right] ; \quad (18)$$

and (17) takes the form

$$K(X_f; X_i; T) = \int_1^Z d_f \int_D \int_{D \times D} \exp \left[\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_0^{!^2 T} \left(\frac{m}{2} \dot{X}^2 + \frac{P^2}{2m} \right) dt \right] ; \quad (19)$$

By discretizing the $\frac{P^2}{2m}$ term and performing the integrations on the N variables X_j , it appears N Dirac distributions which can formally be written as

$$\delta(P) + \delta(P) ; \quad (20)$$

Taking into account the boundary conditions $P(0) = P_0$; $(0) = 0$ and $(!^2 T) = \frac{f}{2}$, the solution of the differential equation in the argument of the Dirac distribution (20) is

$$P(t) = P_0 e^{-\frac{f}{2} t} ; \quad (21)$$

The P_j integrations thereafter may be performed and reduced to an ordinary integration over P_0 . It can also be shown that

$$\frac{dP(!^2 T)}{dP_0} \Big|_{\frac{1}{2}} = e^{-\frac{f}{2}} ; \quad (22)$$

As a result, we have

$$K(X_f; X_i; T) = \int_1^Z d_f \exp \left[\frac{f}{2} \int_1^Z \frac{dP_0}{2} \right] \exp \left[\frac{i}{\hbar} P_0 e^{-\frac{f}{2} X_f - X_i} \right] K_M(\frac{f}{2}; 0; T); \quad (23)$$

with

$$K_M(\frac{f}{2}; 0; T) = \int_D \exp \left[\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_0^{!^2 T} \left(\frac{m}{2} \dot{X}^2 + \frac{P_0^2}{2m} e^{-\frac{f}{2} t} + \frac{i\hbar}{m} P_0 e^{-\frac{f}{2} t} \right) dt \right] ; \quad (24)$$

This kernel describes the path integral for a particle to go from a position $x_i = 0$ at time $t_i = 0$ to x_f at time $t_f = \hbar^2 T$, in the Morse-type potential

$$V(x) = \frac{P_0^2}{2m} e^{-2x} - \frac{2i\hbar}{P_0} e^{-x} : \quad (25)$$

For $\frac{2i\hbar}{P_0}$ real and positive, there exist treatments by path integral techniques^{1,2,19,20,21}, operator methods²² and algebraic approach²³. If one substitutes $A = \frac{P_0^2}{2m}$; $B = \frac{i\hbar}{m} P_0$; $a = 1$; $M = 4m$; $s = \frac{2m}{P_0} S$ in Ref.²³, one obtains

$$K_M(x_f; 0; T) = \frac{2m}{\hbar} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{-2s}}{i \sin(S)} dS \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dE}{2} \exp \left[-\frac{i}{\hbar} E \hbar^2 T \right] \exp \left[-\frac{i}{\hbar} P_0 e^{-x_f + 1} \cot(S) \right] \int_0^{\infty} \frac{2P_0 e^{-\frac{x_f}{2}}}{i \hbar \sin(S)} : \quad (26)$$

With the results (26), (23) and (16) the full propagator (10) for the potential under consideration may be put into the form

$$K(x_f; x_i; T) = \frac{2m}{\hbar} \frac{1 + X_f^2}{1 + X_i^2} \frac{1}{4} \exp \left(\frac{\hbar}{4} \arctan X_f \right) \frac{1}{X_i} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{d(x_f - x_i)}{i} \exp \left[-\frac{2m V_0}{\hbar^2} \frac{1}{4} (x_f - x_i) \right] \int_0^{\infty} \frac{d}{2} \exp \left[-\frac{i}{\hbar} (x_f - x_i) \right] \int_0^{\infty} \frac{d x_f \exp \left[-\frac{x_f}{2} \right]}{2} \exp \left[-\frac{i}{\hbar} P_0 e^{-x_f} X_f - X_i \right] \int_0^{\infty} \frac{e^{-2s}}{i \sin(S)} dS \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dE}{2} \exp \left[-\frac{i}{\hbar} E \hbar^2 T \right] \exp \left[-\frac{i}{\hbar} P_0 \cot(S) e^{-x_f + 1} \right] \int_0^{\infty} \frac{2P_0 e^{-\frac{x_f}{2}}}{i \hbar \sin(S)} ; \quad (27)$$

where $X_f = \sinh x_f$ and $X_i = \sinh x_i$.

III. Evaluation of the propagator

In order to evaluate the multiple integral (27), we start by employing the integral representation for the modified Bessel function

$$2 \operatorname{II}(-2iaz) = z^2 \int_1^Z du u^{(1+2)} \exp \left[ia \left(u + \frac{z^2}{u} \right) \right]; \quad (28)$$

valid for $\arg(a) = 0$ and $\operatorname{Re}(2 - \frac{1}{a}) > 1$, changing variables $q = e^{-\frac{1}{a}u}$; $\mathbf{q} = q\mathbf{u}^2$ and $\mathbb{P}_0 = P_0 = \sin(S)$ and carrying out the integration over $\mathbb{P}_0$. We then obtain

$$K(\mathbf{x}_f; \mathbf{x}_i; T) = \frac{2m!}{\tilde{Z}_1} \frac{1 + X_f^2}{1 + X_i^2} \frac{1}{r} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4} \exp(\arctan X_f) \frac{X_f}{X_i}\right) \#$$

where we have set

$$A = \cos(S) + X_f \sin(S), \quad B = \cos(S) - X_i \sin(S); \quad (30)$$

and

$$= \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\nabla^2}{\sim^2} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 : \quad (31)$$

Next, the integration over u yields

$$\int_1^Z \frac{u^2}{u^2 + 2(1 - 2AB)u + 1} du = \frac{2}{2AB} : \quad (32)$$

If we let

$$S = S + \frac{1}{2} (\arctan X_f - \arctan X_i); 4 = (\arctan X_f + \arctan X_i); \quad (33)$$

and noticing that

$$\cos(S) + X \sin(S) = \frac{P}{1 + X^2} \cos(S - \arctan X); \quad (34)$$

the factor $(1 - 2AB)$ is am enable to the form

$$(1 - 2AB) = \sinh_f \sinh_i \cosh_f \cosh_i \cos(2\mathfrak{S}): \quad (35)$$

The integrations over the variables $\mathfrak{q}_i$ and $\mathfrak{S}$ can be easily performed using the integral (see formula 3.252.11 p.297 in²⁴)

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \frac{1 + 2x + x^2}{1 + x^2} x^{\frac{1}{2}} dx \\ &= \frac{2}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} (1) B\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} + 1\right) P\left(\frac{1}{2}\right); \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

valid for $\text{Re}(\frac{1}{2}) > 0$; $\text{Re}(\frac{1}{2} + 1) > 1$; and $\text{arg}(\frac{1}{2}) < \pi$; where $B(x; y) = \frac{\Gamma(x) \Gamma(y)}{\Gamma(x+y)}$:

Taking into account the symmetry property of the general associated Legendre functions (see formula 8.731.5 p.1005 in²⁴)

$$P_{\frac{1}{2} - i}(x) = P_{\frac{1}{2} + i}(x); \quad (37)$$

and the well-known relation (see formula 8.334.2 p.937 in²⁴)

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} - x\right) \left(\frac{1}{2} + x\right) = \frac{1}{\cos x}; \quad (38)$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} K(x_f; x_i; T) &= \frac{P}{\cosh_f \cosh_i} \int_0^1 \frac{k dk}{\cosh \frac{k}{r}} \exp \left(\frac{i}{2m} k^2 T \right) \\ & \int_0^1 d(\cosh_f \cosh_i) \exp \left(i \frac{2m V_0}{\cosh_f \cosh_i} \frac{1}{4} \right) \\ & P_{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{ik}{r}}\left(\frac{Z}{2}\right); \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

where

$$Z = \cosh_f \cosh_i \cosh(\cosh_f \cosh_i) \sinh_f \sinh_i; \quad (40)$$

The variables x_f ; x_i ; x_f ; and x_i in (39) can be separated with the help of the addition theorem derived in Ref.¹⁶

$$P_{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{ik}{\hbar}}(Z) = \frac{1}{4} \int_{-1}^1 dX \int_0^1 dZ \int_0^1 d\tau e^{i(x_f - x_i)\tau} d_{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{ik}{\hbar}}(x_f + x_i) d_{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{ik}{\hbar}}(x_i); \quad (41)$$

where

$$d_{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{ik}{\hbar}}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 dZ \exp(iZx) [\cosh \cosh + (1) \sinh]^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{ik}{\hbar}}; \quad (42)$$

which may be evaluated by using the integral (see formula 8.713.3 p.1001 in²⁴)

$$P_{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{ik}{\hbar}}(z) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\pi} \frac{d\theta}{(\cosh \theta + 1)^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{ik}{\hbar}}} \frac{\cosh \theta + \frac{1}{2} \tau d\tau}{(z + \cosh \theta)^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{ik}{\hbar}}}; \quad (43)$$

valid for $\text{Re}(z) > 1$; $\text{arg}(z - 1) < \pi$; $\text{Re}(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{ik}{\hbar}) > 1$; $\text{Re}(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{ik}{\hbar}) > 0$; and we have

$$d_{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{ik}{\hbar}}(x) = \frac{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{ik}{\hbar}}{2} \frac{\frac{ik}{\hbar}}{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{ik}{\hbar}} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\cosh} \frac{e^{-\frac{k}{2\hbar}}}{\cosh} P_{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{ik}{\hbar}}((1) \tanh(x)): \quad (44)$$

Inserting (44) and (41) in (39) and integrating over the variable $(x_f - x_i)$, we arrive at

$$K(x_f; x_i; T) = \frac{1}{2!} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{dX}{\sin \frac{ik}{\hbar}} \int_0^1 dZ \int_0^1 d\tau e^{i(x_f - x_i)\tau} P_{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{ik}{\hbar}}((1) \tanh(x_f)) P_{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{ik}{\hbar}}((1) \tanh(x_i)) \exp \left(-\frac{i \hbar^2 k^2}{2m} T \right); \quad (45)$$

where

$$= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{ik}{\hbar} = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{8m V_0}{\hbar^2}} \right); \quad (46)$$

In order to find the wave functions, we set

$$\psi_1(y) = P_{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{ik}{\hbar}}(y); \quad \psi_2(y) = P_{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{ik}{\hbar}}(-y); \quad (47)$$

The parameter α can be real or complex. In the complex case, we have

$$1 + \alpha = \beta : \quad (48)$$

Owing to (37), it is obvious that

$$P_1(y) = P_-(y); \quad P_2(y) = P_+(y); \quad (49)$$

and thanks to the relations (see formulas 8:737:1 and 8:737:2 p:1006 in²⁴)

$$\begin{aligned} P_-(y) &= \frac{(\alpha + 1)}{(\alpha + 1)} \cos(\alpha) P_-(y) - \frac{2}{\alpha} \sin(\alpha) Q_-(y); \\ P_+(y) &= \cos[\alpha(\alpha + 1)] P_-(y) - \frac{2}{\alpha} \sin[\alpha(\alpha + 1)] Q_-(y); \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

it is easy to make up the following equations

$$\begin{aligned} P_1(y) &= a_1 P_1(y) + b_2 P_2(y); \\ P_2(y) &= a_2 P_2(y) + b_1 P_1(y); \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

where

$$a = \frac{(\alpha + 1) \sin(\alpha)}{(\alpha + 1) \sin[\alpha(\alpha + 1)]}, \quad b = \frac{(\alpha + 1) \sin(\alpha)}{(\alpha + 1) \sin[\alpha(\alpha + 1)]}; \quad (52)$$

Expression (45) of the propagator is then rewritten

$$\begin{aligned} K(x_f; x_i; T) &= \frac{1}{2!} \int_0^T \frac{k dk}{\sin \frac{ik}{2}} e^{\frac{k}{2}} (P_1(y_f) - P_1(y_i) \\ &+ P_2(y_f) - P_2(y_i)) \exp \left[-\frac{i \hbar k^2}{2m} T \right]; \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

Next by changing k into $(-k)$ in the interval of integration $[-1; 0]$; and substituting $P_j(y)$ and $Q_j(y)$ by their expressions (51), we get

$$\begin{aligned} K(x_f; x_i; T) &= \frac{m}{2\hbar^2} \int_0^T dE_k \frac{\sinh \frac{k}{2}}{\sin \frac{ik}{2}} \exp \left[-\frac{i}{\hbar} E_k T \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} (P_1^2(y_f) - P_1^2(y_i) + P_2^2(y_f) - P_2^2(y_i)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (ab + a^2) (P_1(y_f) - P_1(y_i)) + (b^2 + ab) (P_2(y_f) - P_2(y_i)) \right]; \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

Now it is easy to get assured that

$$a^2 + b^2 = 1, (ab + a^2b) = 0; 8 : \quad (55)$$

Finally, the expression of the propagator is given by

$$K(x_f; x_i; T) = \frac{m}{2\pi\hbar} \int_0^T dt \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk \frac{\sinh \frac{k}{\hbar} (T-t)}{\sin \frac{ik}{\hbar} (T-t)} \exp \left[-\frac{i}{\hbar} E_k T \right] \quad (56)$$

The suitably normalized wave functions, corresponding to the energy $E_k = \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m}$, are readily obtained to be

$$\psi(y) = \frac{m}{2\pi\hbar} \frac{\sinh \frac{k}{\hbar} y}{\sin \frac{ik}{\hbar} y} P_{\frac{ik}{\hbar}} \left(\tanh \frac{y}{2} \right); \quad (57)$$

where we have used the standard convention according to which the arrow in the wave function indicates the way in which the waves are propagating.

Taking into account the well-known link between the general associated Legendre functions and the hypergeometric functions (see formula 8.704 p.999 in²⁴)

$$P_n(x) = \frac{1}{(1-x^2)^{n/2}} F \left(\begin{matrix} -n \\ 1-n \end{matrix}; \frac{1+x}{2} \right); \quad (58)$$

and using the relation (see formula 9.131.1 p.1043 in²⁴)

$$F \left(\begin{matrix} -n \\ 1-n \end{matrix}; z \right) = (1-z)^n F \left(\begin{matrix} n \\ n \end{matrix}; z \right); \quad (59)$$

the wave functions are written

$$\psi(y) = \frac{m}{2\pi\hbar} \frac{\sinh \frac{k}{\hbar} y}{\sin \frac{ik}{\hbar} y} \frac{1}{(1 - \frac{ik}{\hbar})} \frac{1 - \tanh^2 \frac{y}{2}}{4} F \left(\begin{matrix} 1 + \frac{ik}{\hbar} \\ 1 + \frac{ik}{\hbar} \end{matrix}; \frac{1 - \tanh \frac{y}{2}}{2} \right); \quad (60)$$

IV . Scattering function

The sum of the reflection and transmission amplitudes $R(k)$ and $T(k)$ or else the scattering function $S(k)$ is independent of the way in which the waves are propagating. As an example, we can consider the case described by (5) in order to evaluate $S(k)$.

Using the identities for the hypergeometric functions (see formula 9.131.2 p.1043 in²⁴)

$$F\left(\begin{matrix} - \\ \end{matrix}; \begin{matrix} - \\ \end{matrix}; \frac{1+y}{2}\right) = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} + \frac{1+y}{2} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)}{\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)}; y \rightarrow 1; \quad (61)$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(y) &= \frac{r}{m} \frac{\sinh \frac{k}{2}}{\sin \frac{ik}{2}} e^{ikx}; \quad x \rightarrow +1 \\ &= \frac{\left(\frac{ik}{2}\right)}{\left(1+\frac{ik}{2}\right)\left(\frac{ik}{2}\right)} e^{ikx} + \frac{\left(\frac{ik}{2}\right)}{\left(1+\frac{ik}{2}\right)\left(\frac{ik}{2}\right)} e^{-ikx}; \quad x \rightarrow -1 \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

Identifying these asymptotic behaviors with the boundary conditions

$$\psi(y) = \begin{cases} T(k)e^{ikx}; & x \rightarrow +1 \\ e^{ikx} + R(k)e^{-ikx}; & x \rightarrow -1 \end{cases} \quad (63)$$

the amplitudes $T(k)$ and $R(k)$ of the transmitted and reflected beams are evaluated to be

$$\begin{aligned} T(k) &= \frac{\left(1+\frac{ik}{2}\right)\left(\frac{ik}{2}\right)}{\left(1+\frac{ik}{2}\right)\left(\frac{ik}{2}\right)}; \\ R(k) &= \frac{\left(1+\frac{ik}{2}\right)\left(\frac{ik}{2}\right)\left(\frac{ik}{2}\right)}{\left(1+\frac{ik}{2}\right)\left(\frac{ik}{2}\right)\left(\frac{ik}{2}\right)}; \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

from which the expression of the scattering function is

$$S(k) = T(k) + R(k) = \frac{\frac{ik}{2} \cos \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{ik}{2}}{\frac{ik}{2} + \frac{ik}{2} \cos \frac{\pi}{2}}; \quad (65)$$

and is clearly unitary

$$S(k) = S^{-1}(k); \quad (66)$$

Note that the analysis of the asymptotic behavior of $\psi(x)$ with the boundary conditions

$$\psi(x) \sim \begin{cases} T(k)e^{ikx}; & x \rightarrow -\infty \\ e^{ikx} + R(k)e^{-ikx}; & x \rightarrow +\infty \end{cases} \quad (67)$$

leads to the same expression of the scattering function.

The exact expressions for $T(k)$ and $R(k)$ in Eqs. (64) differ significantly from the ones deduced from the solution¹⁶ obtained through path integration over $SO(1;2)$.

V. Particular cases

We consider now two limiting cases.

A. The free particle

We have to check whether for $V_0 \rightarrow 0$, we obtain the well-known coefficients $T(k)$ and $R(k)$ relative to a free particle.

From Eq. (46), we notice that

$$V_0 \rightarrow 0 \quad (68)$$

Thus, we obtain from Eqs. (64):

$$\lim_{V_0 \rightarrow 0} T(k) = 1, \quad \lim_{V_0 \rightarrow 0} R(k) = 0 \quad (69)$$

These equations obviously prove that the particle is free.

B. The function barrier

By letting $V_0 \rightarrow 1$ and $\alpha \rightarrow 1$ such that $\frac{2V_0}{\alpha} \rightarrow \frac{\tilde{\alpha}^2}{2m}g = \text{const.}$; we have

$$\int_{-1}^1 \frac{V_0}{\cosh^2(\alpha x)} dx = \frac{\tilde{\alpha}^2}{2m}g; \quad (70)$$

hence the potential (1) becomes

$$V(x) = \frac{\tilde{\alpha}^2}{2m}g(x); \quad (71)$$

In this case

$$\lim_{V_0 \rightarrow 1} T(k) = \frac{g}{2} : \quad (72)$$

Thus,

$$\lim_{V_0 \rightarrow 1} T(k) = \frac{2k}{2k + ig}; \quad \lim_{V_0 \rightarrow 1} R(k) = \frac{ig}{2k + ig} : \quad (73)$$

One can then easily see that if one substitutes $g =$, one obtains the amplitudes of transmitted and reflected beams for the attractive function barrier given in Ref²⁵.

V I. Conclusion

The main result of this article concerns the construction of the propagator relative to the potential barrier $V = \cosh^2(x)$. In carrying out the path integration, the method of delta functionals has enabled us to connect the potential barrier to the Morse potential problem. The evaluation of the multiple integral representation of the propagator is facilitated by using the technique of dimensional extension.

It seems to us that this method is a valuable alternative to the treatment of this potential by path integration over the $SO(1;2)$ manifold.

We have also extracted the correctly normalized wave functions. The scattering function is obtained from their asymptotic behavior. Two limiting cases are considered. They constitute a consistency check for the correctness of our results.

References

- ¹D .C .Khandekar and S.V .Lawande, Phys. Rep. 137 (1986) 115; D .C .Khandekar, S.V .Lawande and K .V .Bhagwat, Path Integral Methods and Their Applications (W orld Scienti c, Singapore, 1993).
- ²H .K leinert, Path Integrals in Quantum M echanics, Statistics and Polym er Physics (W orld Scienti c, Singapore, 1990).
- ³A .Inom ata, H .Kuratsuji and C .C .Gerry, Path Integrals and Coherent States of SU (2) and SU (1;1) (W orld Scienti c, Singapore, 1992).
- ⁴C .G rosche and F .Steiner, Handbook of Feynman Path Integrals (Springer Tracts in M odern Physics, 1998).
- ⁵I.H .Duru and H .K leinert, Fortschr. Phys. 30 (1982) 401.
- ⁶H .K leinert and I.M ustapic, J.M ath. Phys. 33 (1992) 643.
- ⁷L .Chetouani, L .G uechi, A .Lecheheb and T .F .H am m ann, J.M ath. Phys. 34 (1993) 1257.
- ⁸L .Chetouani, L .G uechi, A .Lecheheb and T .F .H am m ann, Czech. J. Phys. 45 (1995) 699.
- ⁹L .Chetouani, L .G uechi, A .Lecheheb , T .F .H am m ann and A .M essouber, Nuovo C imento B 113 (1998) 81.
- ¹⁰C .G rosche, J. Phys. A :M ath. Gen. 29 (1996) 365.
- ¹¹G .Junker and M .Bohm , Phys. Lett. A 117 (1986) 375.
- ¹²M .Bohm and G .Junker, J.M ath. Phys. 28 (1987) 1978.
- ¹³A .O .Barut, A .Inom ata and G .Junker, J. Phys. A :M ath. Gen. 20, (1987) 6271; 23, (1990) 1179.
- ¹⁴T .Boudjedaa, L .Chetouani, L .G uechi and T .F .H am m ann, J. M ath. Phys. 32 (1991) 441.
- ¹⁵C .G rosche, J.M ath. Phys. 32 (1991) 1984.

- ¹⁶H .Ahmedov and I.H .Duru, J.Phys.A :M ath.G en. 30 (1997) 173.
- ¹⁷A .Anderson and S.B .Anderson, Ann.Phys. (N.Y) 199 (1990) 155.
- ¹⁸D .Peak and A .Inom ata, J.M ath.Phys. 10 (1969) 1422; W .Langguth and A .Inom ata, J.M ath.Phys. 20 (1979) 499.
- ¹⁹N .K .Pak and I. Sokmen, Phys.Rev.A 30 (1984) 1629.
- ²⁰P.Y .Cai, A .Inom ata and R.W ilson, Phys.Lett.A 96 (1983) 117.
- ²¹I.H .Duru, Phys.Rev.D 28 (1983) 2689.
- ²²M .M .Nieto and L.M .Jr. Simm ons, Phys.Rev.D 20 (1979) 2689.
- ²³L.Chetouani, L.G uechi and T.F .Ham m ann, Helv.Phys.A cta 65 (1992) 1069.
- ²⁴I.S.G radshtein and I.M .Ryzhik, Tables of Integrals, Series and P roducts (A cadem ic P ress, New York 1965).
- ²⁵K .G ottfried, Q uantum M echanics, Vol.I :Fundam entals (W .A .Benjam in, Inc.London 1966).