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#### Abstract

In this paper we study a m odel of $Q$ uantum B ranching $P$ rogram (Q BP) and investigate its com putational power. We de ne several natural restrictions of a generalQ BP m odel, such as a read-once and a read-k-tim es Q BP, noting that obliviousness is inherent in a quantum nature of such programs.

In particular we show that any B oolean function can be com puted determ inistically (exactly) by a read-once Q BP in width $O\left(2^{n}\right)$, contrary to the analogous situation for quantum nite autom ata. Further we display certain sym $m$ etric B oolean function which is com putable by a read-once Q BP w ith O (logn) width, which requires a w idth $(n)$ on any determ inistic read-once BP and (classical) random ized read-once BP w ith perm anent transitions at each level.

W e present a general low erbound for the w idth of read-once Q BP S, show ing that the upper bound for a considered sym $m$ etric function is alm ost tight.


## 1 Introduction

R ichard Feynm an observed in 1982 (9]) that certain quantum $m$ echanical e ects cannot be sim ulated e ectively on a classical com puter. T his observation led to a general idea that perhaps com putation based on quantum

[^0]e ects could be much m ore e cient than the classic one. D uring the last decade the area of research ofquantum com putation w as an intensively grow ing area. Shor's quantum algorithm for factoring integers [15] that runs in polynom ial tim e is well known now .

A s mentioned in [4] quantum com puters may consist of two parts: a quantum part and a classical part w ith communication between them. In such a case, a quantum part could be considerably m ore expensive than the classical part. T herefore, it $m$ ight be usefiulto construct a quantum part as sim ple as possible. This m otivates a study of restricted $m$ odels of quantum com putation.

D uring the last decade $m$ any di erent restricted quantum computation models have been investigated. In particular the quantum analogs of B oolean circuitsN C and A C C [13, 8] has been introduced. A nother m odel
quantum nite autom ata has been introduced and rst studied by K ondacs and $W$ atrous [12], see (7] for $m$ ore inform ation on the sub ject. It has been shown that uniform oneway quantum nite autom ata with bounded error probabillty cannot accept all regular languages [12]. B ut Ambain is and Freivalds [4] presented a regular language which can be com puted by a quantum nite autom aton w th bounded error probability of exponentially sm aller size than the corresponding classical random ized nite autom aton. O ne of the $m$ ore recent papers com paring classical and restricted quantum com putation models is 16].

A classicalbranching program (BP) (see, e.g., [17]) is a conven ient nonuniform m odel for studying various restricted variants of com putationalm odels. Leveled oblivious perm utation BP s are well known in the complexity theory, their com putationalpow er is rem arkable (determ in istic leveled oblivious perm utation BPsw ith constant width have the sam e power as $\log n$ depth circuits [6]). It seem $s$ also that the branching program s are well suited for com paring restricted quantum m odels w ith their classical counterparts.

N akanishi, H am aguchi, and K ashiv abara introduced also in [14] a variant ofquantum $m$ odelofBPsas an extension ofprobabilistic BPs. In this paper we introduce a m odel of quantum BPs di erent from that of [4]. W thout loss of generality we consider leveled B P s. For a leveled B P P, all the paths in a BP are of the sam e length, and one can $m$ ove only from the nodes of the i-th level to the nodes of the i+ 1-th level. W e denote by w (P ) a w idth of $P$. That is, $w(P)$ is a $m$ axim um num ber of nodes on various levels of
 notige that we m ay need $m$ uch less quantum bits than in a m odel of [14].

In ths paper we investigate a restricted com putational variant of a quan-
tum branching program a quantum read-once BP. First we show that a read-once (exact) quantum BPs (noting that the obliviousness is inherent in a quantum nature of such program s) can com pute an arbitrary Boolean function. N ext we display a certain sym $m$ etric Boolean function which is com putable by a read-once Q BP w ith O (logn) w idth, which requires a w idth
$(\mathrm{n})$ on any determ inistic read-once BP and on any (classical) random ized read-once $B P$ w ith perm anent transitions at each level. W e present a general low er bound for the w idth of read-once Q BP s, show ing that the upper bound for a considered sym $m$ etric function is alm ost tight.

## 2 Prelim inaries and De nitions


Recall som e basic notations from the quantum mechanics. A pure quantum state (or superposition) of a quantum system QS w ith d stable states f1;:::;dg (d-state Q S) can be expressed by associating an amplitude $z_{i}$ (a com plex num ber) to each state $i$ of $a \operatorname{QS}$. $Q$ uantum $m$ echanics uses for that the follow ing notations. C onsider the quantum basis states fji;:::; jig $w$ here $f \ddot{j i g}$ is the set of d-dim ensional orthogonalbasis vectors of $H^{d}$ where前 denotes the unit vector w ith the value 1 at $i$ and 0 elsew here. A pure quantum state or a con guration of $\mathrm{Q} S$ can bew rilten as

$$
j i=X_{i=1}^{X_{i}} z_{i \nexists i}
$$

or just $j i=\left(z_{1} ;::: ; z_{d}\right)$ where ji2 $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{d}}$. The speci cnotation $j$ i corresponds to the $D$ irac 'ket'-notation for a colum $n$-vector ( $z_{1} ;::: ; z_{d}$ ). An elem ent $z_{i}$ of $j i$ is called an amplitude of the basis state $7 i$ of $a \operatorname{Q}$, and $\dot{J}_{i}{ }^{2}$ is the probability of nding a QS in the state $i$ when $Q S$ is beeing $m$ easured.

A time evolution of con gurations of a Q S in discrete steps is reversible and conveniently expressed using $H$ eisenberg's $m$ atrix $m$ echanics. T hat is, if in a current step a con guration of a QS is $j$ i, then in the next step a con guration of a QS would be $j^{0_{i} \text { where } j} 0_{i}=U j i$ and $U$ is a $d \quad d$ unitary m atrix.
$W$ e are going to de ne now a quantum transform ation as follow s. Let $X=f x_{1} ;::: ; x_{n} g$ be a set of Boolean variables. De ne quantum transfor$m$ ation (d-dim ensional quantum transform ation) on ji $2 \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{d}}$ as a triple
$h j ; U(0) ; U(1) i$ where $j$ is the index of a variable $x_{j} 2 X$, and $U(0) ; U(1)$ are reversible transform ations of $H^{d}$ presented by unitary $d \quad d m$ atrices. A quantum transform ation hj; U (0); U (1)i of $j$ i acts as follow $s: U j i=j{ }_{i}$. If $x_{j}=1$ then $U=U(1)$ else $U=U(0)$.

### 2.1 Denition of a Q BP

A Q uantum Branching P rogram of width $d$ and length $l((d ; 1)-Q B P)$ based on a QS is de ned by a triple

$$
\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{hT} ; j \text { oi; } \mathrm{F} i
$$

where $T$ is a sequence (of length l) of d-dim ensional quantum transfor$m$ ations of a d-state Q S:

$$
T=\left(h j_{i} ; U_{i}(0) ; U_{i}(1) i\right)_{i=1}^{l} ;
$$

with $j$ ( 0 )i an initial con guration of $P$, and $F \quad f 1 ;::: ; d g$ a set of accepting states.

W e de ne a computation of $P$ over an input $=1 ;::: ;{ }_{n} 2 f 0 ; 1 g^{n}$ as follow s:

1. C om putation of $P$ starts from the superposition $j$ oi. On the $i$ th step, 1 i $l$, $P$ transform s a supenposition $j i$ to a supenposition $j{ }_{i}=U_{i}\left({ }_{j}\right) j i$.
2. A fter the l-th (last) step of a quantum transform ation, $P$ m easures its con guration $j$ iwhere $j i=U_{1}\left(i_{1}\right) U_{11}\left(i_{11}\right)::: U_{1}\left(i_{1}\right) j o i$. $T$ he $m$ easurem ent is presented by a diagonal zero-one projection $m$ atrix $M_{\text {where }} M_{i i}=1$ ifi2 F and $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{i}}=0$ ifig F . The probability paccept ( ) of P accepting an input is de ned by

$$
\text { Paccept }()=\dot{j} M \text { j } i j \neq
$$

W e call a Q BP P read-once, if each variable x $2 \mathrm{fx}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{g}$ occurs in a sequence $T$ of quantum transform ations of $P$ at $m$ ost once.

### 2.2 C om putation of a B oolean Function

A Q BP P is said to compute ( $w$ th an unbounded error) a Boolean function $f_{n}: f 0 ; 1 g^{n}!f 0 ; 1 g$ if for all $2 f^{1}$ (1) the probability of $P$ accepting is greater than $1 / 2$ and for all $2 \mathrm{f}^{1}(0)$ the probability of $P$ accepting is at $m$ ost $1 / 2$.

A QBP P computes a Boolean function $f$ w th a bounded error if there exists an ">0 such that for all $2 \mathrm{f}^{1}$ (1) the probability of $P$ accepting is at least $1=2+"$ and for all $2 \mathrm{f}^{1}(0)$ the probability of $P$ accepting is at $m$ ost $1=2$ ". W e call " a m argin, and say that P ( $1=2+$ ")-com putes $f_{n}$.
$W$ e say that a Q BP P exactly computes $f$ if $P$ com putes $f_{n} w$ th the $m$ argin $1 / 2$ ( $w$ th the zero error probability).

## 3 C om putational P roperties

The follow ing property of a sim ulation of Q BPswith com plex valued am plitudes by Q BP s w th real valued am plitudes is sim ilar to sim ulations by quantum Turing $m$ achines $c f$. [5].

P roperty 1 Let a $(d ; 1)$-Q BP P with com plex valued am plitudes com putes ( $w$ ith an unbouded error, $w$ th a bounded error, exactly) a function $f_{n}$. Then, there exists a $(2 \mathrm{~d} ; 1)-\mathrm{Q}$ BP $\mathrm{P}^{0}$ w ith realam plitudes w ithin the interval $[1 ;+1]$ that com putes ( $w$ ith an unbouded error, with a bounded error, exactly, respectively) the same function $f_{n}$.

Proof: Let usconsider a product $U$ j i of a com plex vahed d dmatrix U

$$
\mathrm{U}=\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & & & 1 \\
& \mathrm{z}_{1 ; 1} & ::: & \mathrm{z}_{1 ; \mathrm{d}} \\
\mathrm{@} & \vdots & & \vdots \\
& \mathrm{C} \\
& \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{d} ; 1} & ::: & \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{d} ; \mathrm{d}}
\end{array}
$$

where $z_{i ; j}=a_{i ; j}+p-1 b_{i ; j}$,
w th a d-dim ensional com plex valued vector $j i$

$j i=$| 0 |  | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $z_{1}$ |  |
| $@$ | $\vdots$ | $A$ |
|  | $z_{\mathrm{d}}$ |  |

$w$ here $z_{i}=a+P \overline{1} b_{i}$.
The above product can be sim ulated by a product of a A jivi of $2 \mathrm{~d} \quad 2 \mathrm{~d}$ real valued m atrix A

$$
\mathrm{A}=\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & \mathrm{Z}_{1 ; 1} & ::: & \mathrm{Z}_{1 ; \mathrm{d}} \\
\mathrm{Q} & \vdots & & \vdots \\
& \begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{C} \\
\mathrm{~A}
\end{array} \\
\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{d} ; 1} & ::: & \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{d} ; \mathrm{d}}
\end{array}
$$

$w$ here $Z_{i ; j}=\quad \begin{array}{cc}a_{i ; j} & b_{i ; j} \\ b_{i ; j} & a_{i ; j}\end{array} \quad$ ism atrix presentation of the com plex num ber $z_{i ; j}$, and
jui is a $2 d$-dim ensional real valued vector

$$
\dot{v i}=\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & & 1 \\
& a_{1} & \\
\frac{B}{B} & b_{1} & C \\
B & C \\
B & \vdots & C \\
B & & C \\
B & a_{d} & A \\
& b_{d} & \\
& &
\end{array}
$$

W e notice that all am plitudes $z$ in supenpositions $j$ i ofP are such that the real $a$ and im aginary b part of $z=a+\frac{1 b}{}$ are from intervals $[1 ;+1]$. From the above it is easy to conclude that a d-dim ensionall-length com plex valued quantum transform ations of a d-state Q S

$$
\left(h j_{i} ; U_{i}(0) ; U_{i}(1) i\right)_{i=1}^{1} ;
$$

can be sim ulated by the corresponding 2d-dim ensionall-length real valued quantum transform ations of a $2 d$-state Q S

$$
\left(h j_{i} ; A_{i}(0) ; A_{i}(1) i\right)_{i=1}^{l}:
$$

Below we show that a bounded error read-once QBPs are powerfiul enough to com pute an arbitrary Boolean function. By contrast, we notice thati the uniform oneway quantum nite autom ata when accepting with a bounded error probability can com pute only a proper subset of regular sets [12]. See also (7] form ore recent results on the com plexity properties of quantum nite autom ata.

P roperty 2 For arbitrary Boolean function $f_{n}$, there exists a read-once $\left(2^{n} ; n\right)-Q B P$ that exactly com putes $f_{n}$.

P roof: The proof is evident. The follow ing read-once ( $2^{n} ; n$ ) Q BP P satis esourproposition. A llpossible con gurations ofP are trivial. That is, a con guration $j$ i ofP contains exactly one 1 , and all the rest com ponents of $j$ i are 0 . The intitial con guration of $P$ is $j_{0} i=(1 ; 0 ;::: ; 0) . P$ reads input variables in order $\mathrm{x}_{1} ; \mathrm{x}_{2} ;::: ; \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}$.

In each step $i ; 1 \quad i \quad n, P$ reads input $i$ and transform $s$ its current con guration $j$ i as follows. If $i=0$ then $j i$ does not change. If $i=1$,
then the 1 of the con guration $j i$ is $\backslash m$ oved" to $2^{i}$ positions to the right in the next con guration $j{ }^{0} i$.

Foran input sequence $=1 ;::::{ }_{n}$, denote by 1 the numberofposition of 1 in the nal (after reading ) con guration of $P$. C learly we have that l $\in \operatorname{loi} \in{ }^{0}$.
$N$ ow determ ine the set of accepting states $F$ off as follow $s$ : if $f()=1$, then $q_{1} 2 \mathrm{~F} . \operatorname{If} \mathrm{f}()=0$, then $\mathrm{q}_{1} \mathbb{E} \mathrm{~F}$.

D enote by EP Q BP const the class of all Boolean functions exactly com puted by the constant $w$ idth and polynom ial length (in the num ber of fiunction variables) Q BPs.

Property 3 For the com plexity class $\mathrm{N} \mathrm{C}^{1}$ it holds that

$$
\mathrm{NC}^{1} \quad E P-Q B P_{\text {const }} \text { : }
$$

$P$ roof: $P$ roof is evident by a known result of $B$ arrington [6]. $H$ aving a perm utation determ inistic branching program $P$ of $w i d t h 5$ com puting a B oolean function $f_{n}$ it is easy to construct a (const;poly) - Q BP $P^{0}$ which exactly com putes $f_{n}$.

2

C onsider now the follow ing sym m etric Boolean function $M O D_{p_{n}}$ : For an input $=1 ;::: ; n^{2} 10 ; 1 g^{n}$ we have $\operatorname{MOD}_{p_{n}}()=1$ i a number of ones in is divisible by $p_{n}$, where $p_{n}$ is a prim e and $p_{n} \quad n=2$.

Theorem 1 The function $M O D_{p_{n}}$ can be com puted by a read-once ( $O\left(\log p_{n}\right)$; $n$ )Q BP w ith a one-sided error probability.

The proof of this theorem will be presented in the section below. W e have clearly that any determ in istic OBDD for MOD $p_{n}$ has ( $p_{n}$ ) width.

### 3.1 Proof of Theorem 1

The proof is sim ilar to that of [4]. [4] introduces the follow ing regular set $L_{p}$. For a prim e p, a language $L_{p}$ over a single letter alphabet is de ned by $L_{p}=f u: j u j$ is divisible by pg. It is proved that for any " $>0$, there is a Q FA w ith $O(\log p)$ states recognizing $L_{p} w$ ith probability 1 ".

W e construct a Q BP P accepting the inputs $2 \mathrm{MOD} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}^{1}(1) \mathrm{w}$ th the probability 1 and rejecting the inputs $2 \mathrm{MOD} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}^{1}(0) \mathrm{w}$ th the probability
at least 1/8. C onsider a (2;n)-1QBP $P^{k}$ fork $2 f 1 ;::: ; p_{n} 1 g$. A quantum program $P^{k}=h T^{k} ; j{ }_{0}^{k} i_{i} F^{k}{ }_{i}$ is based on the follow ing 2 -state quantum system, $\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{k}}=\left(\mathrm{hi} ; \mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{k}}(0) ; \mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{k}}(1) \mathrm{i}\right)_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{n}}$ where
$U^{k}(0)=\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1\end{array} \quad ; U^{k}(1)=\quad \begin{aligned} & \cos \left(2 k=p_{n}\right) \\ & \sin \left(2 k=p_{n}\right)\end{aligned} \quad \sin \left(2 k=p_{n}\right) \quad ; j_{0}^{k} i=\quad \begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 0\end{aligned} \quad ; F^{k}=f 1 g:$ D enote by $l()$ a num ber of $1-s$ in the sequence,$l()=P_{i=1}^{n} \quad$.

Lem mallu) A fter reading an input $=1 ;::: ; \mathrm{n}$, the supenposition of $P^{k}$ is

$$
j i=\cos \frac{2 l() k}{p_{n}} \quad j i+\sin \frac{2 l() k}{p_{n}} \quad j i:
$$

Proof: The proof follow sfrom the description of a $Q B P P^{k}$.

Ifthe num ber ofones in an input is divisible by $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}$, then 2 l() $\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}$ is a multiple of 2 and cos $2 l() k=p_{n}=1, \sin 2 l() k=p_{n}=0$.Therefore allQBPSP ${ }^{k}$ accept inputs $2 \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}}^{1} \underset{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}}{1}(1) \mathrm{w}$ th probability 1 .

Follow ing [4], we call $\mathrm{P}^{k}$ "good" for input $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{OD}{ }_{p_{n}}^{1}(0)$ if $\mathrm{P}^{k}$ rejects w ith probability at least $1=2$.

Lem m a 2 for any 2 M OD $p_{n}^{1}(0)$, at least $\left(p_{n} 1\right)=2$ of allp ${ }^{k}$ are $\operatorname{lgood".~}$
P roof: A ccording to Lem m a 1, after reading an input $=1 ;::: ; \mathrm{n}$ the superposition of $P^{k}$ is

$$
j i=\cos \frac{2 l() k}{p_{n}} \quad j i+\sin \frac{2 l() k}{p_{n}} \quad \mathcal{R} i:
$$

Therefore, the probability of accepting the input $2 \mathrm{MOD}{ }_{p_{n}}^{1}(0)$ is $\cos ^{2} 2 l() k=p_{n} \cdot \cos ^{2} 2 l() k=p_{n} \quad 1=2 i \quad \cos 2 l() k=p_{n} \quad 1=p_{2}$. This happens if and only if $2 l() k=p_{n} \quad$ is in $[=4+2 j ; 3=4+2 j]$ or in $[5=4+2 j ; 7=4+2 j]$ for somej $2 N . \quad 2 l() k=p_{n} \quad 2 \quad[=4+$ $2 j ; 3=4+2$ j]i $2\left(1() k m o d p_{n}\right)=p_{n} \quad 2[=4 ; 3=4] . p_{n}$ is prim $e$, and $l()$ is relatively prim ew th $p_{n}$. Therefore, $l() \bmod p_{n}, 2 l() \bmod p_{n} ;::: ;$ ( $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad 1$ ) 1() $\mathrm{mod} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}$ is $1 ; 2 ;::: ; \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad 1$ in di erent order. C onsequently, it
is enough to nd the power of a set $I=f i ;::: ; i_{1} g \quad f 1 ;::: ; p_{n} \quad 1 g$ such that $2 i_{j}=p_{n} 2[=4 ; 3=4]$ or $2 i_{j}=p_{n} 2[5=4 ; 7=4]$. Since $p_{n}$ points $2=\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}} ;::: ; 2\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad 1\right)=\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}} ; 2$ are regularly distributed on a circum ference, and sectors $[=4 ; 3=4]$ and $[5=4 ; 7=4]$ are exactly a half of the circum ference, we have $\bar{j} j \quad \mathrm{bp}_{\mathrm{n}}=2 \mathrm{c} \quad\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad 1\right)=2$ :

Follow ing [4], we call a set of quantum program $S S=\mathrm{fP}^{\mathrm{i}_{1}} ;::: ; \mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{t}}} \mathrm{g}$ \good" for $2 \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \mathrm{p}}^{1} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}(0)$ if at least $1=4$ of all its elem ents are $\backslash$ good" for this

Lem ma 3 There is a set $S$ of $1 Q B P s$ with $j \mathcal{j} j=t=d 16 \ln p_{n} e$ which is \good" for all inputs $2 \mathrm{MOD} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}^{1}(0)$ :

P roof: W e consider the follow ing procedure A for a construction of a set $S$.

For a xed input with $1\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { ( } \\ \mathrm{n} \\ \text { 1; A selects a quantum }\end{array}\right.$ branching program uniform ly at random from $\mathrm{fP}^{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}}{ }^{1} \mathrm{~g}$ :

The probability of selecting a $\operatorname{good}$ " Q BP at each step is at least 1/2. U sing Chemo inequality, we have that the probability that less than $1 / 4$ fraction of allQBPs from the set $S$ are $\backslash$ good", for any $x e d$ with $l()$ $p_{n} 1$, is at most

$$
\exp \left(\left(16 \ln p_{n}\right)=2(1=2)^{2}=2=1=p_{n}:\right.
$$

Hence the probability that a constructed set is not \good" for at least
 exists a set which is \good" for all inputs $w$ ith 1()$\quad p_{n} \quad 1: T h$ is set is \good" for the inputs w th $l()>p_{n}$ as well, since any QBP P ${ }^{k}$ retums a current superposition of a starting superposition after reading all $p_{n}$ ones, and hence it works the sameway on inputs ; ${ }^{0}$ with $l()=l\left({ }^{0}\right) \mathrm{mod} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}$ :

$$
2
$$

W e construct a $1 Q \mathrm{BP} \mathrm{P}$ accepting inputs $2 \mathrm{MOD} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}^{1}$ (1) w th probabilly 1 and rejecting inputs $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{OD}{ }_{p_{n}}^{1}(0) \mathrm{w}$ th probability at least $1 / 8$ as
 which work in parallel. In the starting supenposition ofP allthese program $s$ have equal am plitudes.

The inputs $2 \mathrm{MOD} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}^{1}(1)$ are alw ays accepted w ith the probability 1 because all the $\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{k}}$ s accept them. For any input $2 \mathrm{MOD} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}^{1}(0)$ at least $1 / 4$ of allP ${ }^{k} 2 \mathrm{~S}$ reject it w ith probability at least $1 / 2$, and the total probability of rejecting any $2 \mathrm{MOD} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}^{1}(0)$ is at least $1 / 8$.
$W$ e can $m$ ake the error as $s m$ all as possible using a standard technique for reducing an error probability for a one-sided error com putation. T hat is, we take $d=d(")$ copies of such a $1 Q B P$ P and run them uniform ly at random. In this case the width of $1 Q \mathrm{BP}$ willbe $O\left(\log p_{n}\right)$. 2

W e call a branching program $P$ stable if its transform ations do not depend on the level of $P$.

From the proof of our theorem we have that the constructed QBP for M OD $p_{n}$ is a stable branching program.

C orollary 1 The function $\mathrm{M} \mathrm{OD} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}$ can be com puted by a stable read-once (O $\left.\left(\log p_{n}\right) ; n\right)-Q B P$ with a one-sided error.

Below we show that $M O D_{p_{n}}$ function is hard, in fact, for the random ized OBDDs.

### 3.2 Low er B ound for R andom ized OBDD for M OD

$R$ andom ized O BD D s were introduced and nstly investigated in Il, see also [17].

Theorem 2 Any stable probabilistic OBDD computing M OD $p_{n}$ has width at least $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}$.

P roof: A ssum e that there is a stable probabilistic OBDD P of width $\mathrm{q}<\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}$ com puting M OD $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{w}$ th probability $1=2+$ " for a xed " $2(0 ; 1=2]$. W e can assum e w thout loss of generality that each level of $P$ has exactly $q$ nodes. Let ${ }^{j}=\left({ }_{1}^{j} ;::: ; ~ \underset{q}{j}\right)$ be a probability distribution of states of $P$ on the $j$-th level, where ${ }_{i}^{j}$ is the probability of being in the $i$-th node of the $j$-th level. $W$ e can describe a computation of $P$ on an input $\sim=1 ;::: ; n$ as follow s:

A com putation of P starts from the initial probability distributions vector ${ }^{0}$.

At the $j$-th step, $1 \quad j \quad n, P$ reads an input $i_{j}$ and transform $s$ a vector ${ }^{j 1}$ to $j^{j}={ }^{1} A$, where $A$ is a $q \quad q$ stochastic $m$ atrix, $A=A(0)$ if $i_{j}=0$ and $A=A(1)$ if $j_{\mathrm{k}}=1$.

A fter the last ( $n$-th) step of the com putation, $P$ accepts the input $\sim$ w th probability $P_{\text {acc }}(\sim)=$ i2F $\quad$ i. If $f(\sim)=1$, then we have $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{acc}}(\sim) \quad 1=2+$ ", else we have $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{acc}}(\sim) \quad 1=2 \quad$ 。

W e assum e w ithout loss of generality that $P$ reads inputs in the natural order $x_{1} ;::: ; x_{n}$. W e consider all inputs $\sim_{n} ;::: ; \sim_{1}$, such that $\sim_{i}=\sim_{i}^{0} \sim_{i}^{1}$;


Fori2 f1;:::;ngwe denoteby ${ }^{i}$ a probability distribution after reading the part $\sim_{i}^{0}$. That is, ${ }^{i}={ }^{0} A(0) \quad A(0) \doteq_{A} A^{n}{ }^{i}(0)$. There are only ones in the $\sim_{i}^{1}$, hence a com putation after reading $\sim_{i}^{0}$ can be described by a $M$ arkov chain. In this case ${ }^{i}$ is the in itial probability distribution for a $M$ arkov process, $A(1)$ is the transition probability $m$ atrix. T he states of th is $M$ arkov chain are either ergodic or transient cf., e.g., [11]. A n ergodic set of states is a set which a process cannot leave if it once entered. A transient set of states is a set which a process can leave, but cannot retum if it once left. A $n$ ergodic state is an elem ent of an ergodic set. A transient state is an elem ent of transient set.

An arbitrary $M$ arkov chain $C$ has at least one ergodic set. $C$ can be a M arkov chain w thout any transient set. If a M arkov chain C has m ore than one ergodic set, then there is no interaction betw een these sets. H ence we have two or m ore unrelated $M$ arkov chains lum ped together. Those chains can be studied separately. If a $M$ arkov chain consist of a single ergodic set, then the chain is called an ergodic chain. A ccording to the usual classi cation, every ergodic chain is either regular or cyclic.

If an ergodic chain is regular, then a su ciently high power of a state transition $m$ atrix A has only positive elem ents. T hus no $m$ atter where the process starts, after a su cient number of steps it can be in any state. M oreover, there is a lim iting vector of probabilities of being in the states of the chain which do not dependent on the initial state.

If a $M$ arkov chain is cyclic, then a chain has a period $t$ and all its states are subdivided into $t$ cyclic subsets ( $t>1$ ). For a given starting state a process $m$ oves through the cyclic subsets in a de nite order, retuming to the subset w ith the starting state after every $t$ steps. It is know $n$ that after the su cient tim e elapsed, the process can be in any state of a cyclic subset appropriate at the given m om ent. H ence for each of t cyclic subsets, the
$t-$ th power of a state transition $m$ atrix $A^{t}$ describes a regular $M$ arkov chain. $M$ oreover, if an ergodic chain is a cyclic chain $w$ ith a period $t$, it has at least t states.

From the assum ption $q<p_{n}$ in the proof, we get that $t<p_{n}$ for every cyclic chain. We denote by $D$ the least com $m$ on $m$ ultiply of all such $t$. Because $p_{n}$ is prim $e, t$ is relatively prim e to $p_{n}, D$ is relatively prim e to $p_{n}$, and so is any positive power $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{m}}$ of D .

Let ${ }^{k}$ be a probability distribution after reading the part $\sim_{k}^{1}$ of $\sim_{k}: T$ hat is, ${ }^{k}={ }^{k} A^{k}(1): W$ e can assum e that there is a single accepting state, w thout loss of generality. Let ${ }_{a c c}^{k}$ be the probability of being in accepting state after reading the input $\sim_{k}^{1}$ : Since after every $D$ steps a process can be in any state com prising an accepting state, $D$ th pow er ofm atrix A describes a regular $M$ arkov chain for that set. From the theory of $M$ arkov chains we have that there exists acc that $\lim _{k!1}{\underset{a c c}{k D}=}_{a c c}$. Hence for any " $>0$ it holds that

$$
j_{\text {acc }}^{D^{m}} \quad{ }_{\text {acc }}{ }^{m} p_{n} j<2
$$

form large enough. AsP $(1=2+")$-com putes M OD $p_{n}$, we have that ${ }_{a c c}^{D^{m} p_{n}}$ $1=2+"$ and $\underset{\text { acc }}{\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{m}}} \quad 1=2 \quad$ ", a contradiction $w$ th the inequalty above.

## 4 Lower B ounds

B elow we present a general low er bound on the w idth of $1 Q$ B P s and com pare it $w$ ith the $w$ idth of determ in istic O BDD s com puting the sam efunction.

Theorem 3 Let " $2(0 ; 1=2)$. Let $f_{n}$ be a Boolean function which is $(1=2+$ ")-com puted (com puted with a m argin ") by a 1QBP Q. Then it holds that

$$
\text { w idth }(Q)=\quad \frac{\log w \text { idth }(\mathrm{P})}{\log \log \text { w idth }(\mathrm{P})}
$$

where $P$ is a determ inistic OBDD ofm inim alwidth which computes $f_{n}$.
T he next theorem presents a m ore precise low er bound for a particular $m$ argin " of com putation.

Theorem 4 Let " $2(3=8 ; 1=2)$. Let $f_{n}$ be a Boolean function which is ( $1=2+$ ")-com puted (com puted with a margin ") by a $1 Q \mathrm{BP}$ Q. Then it holds that

$$
\text { width }(Q)=\frac{\log w i d t h(P)}{2 \log (1+1=)}
$$

 $=4 \overline{1+2 "} 4^{p} \overline{1=2}$ :

P roofs of the above theorem s are presented in the section below.

### 4.1 Proofs of $T$ heorem s 3 and 4

$P$ roofs of T heorem s[3, and [4 use a sim ilar idea. W e construct a determ inistic OBDD P that com putes the sam efunction $f_{n}$ and

$$
\text { width }(\mathbb{P}) \quad 1+\underline{2}^{2 \mathrm{width}(Q)}:
$$

Proofs of $T$ heorem s 3, [4 di er only in an estim ation of param eter $>0$ depending on ".

### 4.1.1 A D eterm in istic OBDD P resentation of a 1 Q BP

Let $d=w$ idth $(Q)$. Let $=f i n_{1} ; i_{2} ;::: ; i_{n} g$ bean ordering oftesting variables of $Q$. From now on we assume that the input sequences $2 f 0 ; 1 g^{n}$ are ordered by an order determ ined by $Q$. W ede nea determ in istic OBDD LQ based on $Q$ as follow s . LQ use the ordering oftesting variables represented by the follow ing labeled com plete binary tree.

The initialnode of $L$ Q is $m$ arked by an initialcon guration joiof . Two outgoing vertioes of the initial node are $m$ arked by $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}_{1}}=1$ and $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}_{1}}=0$.

Two nodes of $L Q$ on the level 1 are $m$ anked by the con gurations $j_{1}(0) i$ and $j_{1}(1) i$ of $Q$ where $j_{1}\left(i_{1}\right) i$ is the con guration after the rst step of com putation after reading $x_{1}=1$ for ${ }_{1} 2 \mathrm{f0} ; 1 \mathrm{~g}$.
A vertex $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}_{1}}=1$ leads from the node $\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{o}}$ it the node $\mathrm{j}_{1}\left(\mathrm{I}_{1}\right) \mathrm{i}$ i $j_{1}(1) i=U_{1}\left(1_{1}\right) j_{0}$ i.

C onsider a level $j$ of $L Q$. 2 nodes of $L Q$ of the level $j$ are $m$ arked by the con gurations $\mathrm{fj}_{j}\left({ }_{1}::: \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{j}}\right) \mathrm{i} 2 \quad:{ }_{1}:: \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{j}} 2 \mathrm{f0} ; 1 \mathrm{~g}^{j} \mathrm{~g}$ where $j_{j}\left(1::: j_{j}\right)$ is acon guration ofQ after reading the rstpart ${ }_{1}:::{ }_{j}$ of the input $2 \mathrm{f0} ; 1 \mathrm{~g}^{\mathrm{n}}$.

A vertex ( $m$ arked by $x_{i_{j+1}}=j_{j+1}$ ) from the node $j_{j}\left(1::: j_{j}\right) i$ leads


C onsider the last level $n$ of $L Q$. Wemark 2 nodes of $L Q$ on the leveln by the con gurations $j_{n}\left(1::: n_{n}\right)$ i $2\left({ }_{1}:::_{n}\right) 2$ f0; $1 g^{n}$ and in addition we m ark them by 0 and 1 as follow s. W e m ark node $j_{n}\left({ }_{1}:::{ }_{n}\right) i$ by 1 if for con guration $j_{n}\left({ }_{1}:::_{n}\right) i$ it holds that
 con guration $j_{n}(1::: n) i$ it holds that $p_{\text {accept }}(1::: n) \quad 1=2 \quad$.

Property 4 A determ inistic $O B D \mathrm{LQ}$ computes the same Boolean function $f_{n}$ as $Q$.

P roof: Evident and follows from the construction of LQ .
2

### 4.1.2 A $M$ etric A utom aton Characterization of LQ

W e view now an OBDD LQ with an ordering of testing variables as the follow ing $m$ etric tim e-variant autom aton that reads its input sequences 2 $\mathrm{f} 0 ; 1 \mathrm{~g}^{\mathrm{n}}$ in an order :
$w$ here $f 0 ; 1 \mathrm{~g}$ is the input alphabet, $=\mathrm{fj}$ ig is a set of states (set of all possible con gurations of $Q$ during its computations on inputs from $f 0 ; 19^{n}$ ). That is, $=\left[\begin{array}{l}n=0 \\ j=0\end{array}\right.$ where $j$ is a set of states of $L Q$ on the level $j$. An autom aton transition function $j: j 1 \mathrm{fO} \boldsymbol{1 g}$ ! j determ inestransitions in the step $j, 1 j n,(j$ is de ned according to the transitions of $L Q$ in the level $j$ 1). Finally $j$ oi is the initial state and $F n=f j i 2 n:$甫 $j$ ij ${ }^{j} \quad 1=2+" g$ is the accepting set of states of $L Q$.

For j 2 f1;:::;ng, we denote by $\quad$ : j $1 \quad f 0 ; 1 g^{\text {n }}{ }^{j+1}$ ! $n$ the autom aton transitive closure of the sequence $j ;::: ; \mathrm{n}$ of the transition functions. That is,

$$
j\left(j \text { i; j }::: n_{n}\right)=n(:::(j(j i ; j) ;::: ; n):
$$

Lem m a 4 Let $f_{n}$ be a Boolean function ( $1=2+$ ")-omputed by a LQ. Let $>0$, and for arbitrary j i $2 \mathrm{~F} n$ and arbitrary j i $\mathrm{i}^{0}{ }_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{nF}{ }^{\prime}$. it holds that

$$
\text { j i j }{ }^{0} i \ddot{j} \quad:
$$

$T$ hen, there exists a determ in istic OBDD B which computes $f_{n}$ and

$$
\text { width (B) } \quad 1+\frac{2}{2 d}^{2 \mathrm{~d}}:
$$

P roof: We recall nst som e known notions conceming m etric spaces (see [3]). A $H$ ilbert space $H_{d}$ is a $m$ etric space $w$ ith a $m$ etric de ned by the norm 弚 $\ddot{j}$. Thepoints ; ${ }^{0}$ from $H_{d}$ are connected through a -chain ifthere existsa nite set ofpoints $1 ; 2$;:::; $m$ from $H_{d}$ such that ${ }_{1}=; \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{m}}=0$
 -com ponent if arbitrary two points ; ${ }^{0} 2 \mathrm{C}$ are connected through a chain. It is know n [3] that if $D$ is a nite diam eter subset of a subspace of $H_{d}$ (a diam eter of $D$ is de ned as sup; $0_{2 D} f \ddot{j} \quad 0_{j} \dot{j} g$ then for $>0 D$ is partitioned to a nite number of its -com ponents.

A set ofstates of LQ belongs to the sphere of radius 1 which has center ( $0 ; 0 ;::: ; 0$ ) in $H_{d}$ because for all $j$ i 2 itholds that $j \dot{j} j \dot{j}=1$. For each j 2 f0;:::;ng, denote by [ j] = fC $1 ;::: ; C_{t_{j}} g$ the set of components of j $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{d}}$.

From the condition of our Lem ma it follow s that a subset $F$ " of $n$ is a union of some components of $n$. The transition functions jr1 j $n$, preserve the distance. That is, for arbitrary $j i$ and $j i$ from $j$ and arbitrary $2 f 0 ; 1 g$, it holds that

From (2) we have that for C 2 [ $j$ ] and for 2 f0; $1 g$ there exists $C^{0} 2[j+1]$ such that $j(C ;)=C{ }^{0}$. Here $j(C ;)$ is de ned as $j(C ;)=$


N ow we describe a determ inistic OBDD B in term s of a tim e-variant nite autom aton that com putes $f$.

$$
B=h f 0 ; 1 g ;[\quad] ; f j g_{j=1}^{n} ; C_{0} ; F i
$$

where $\left.[\quad]=\left[\begin{array}{l}n=0\end{array}\right] j\right]$ is a set of states of $B \quad([j]$ is a set of states on the step $j$ of a computation of $B$ );

an initial state $C_{0}=f j$ oig is a one-elem ent component of 0 ; we de ne $F$ by $F=f_{i} 2$ [ $\left.n\right]: C_{i} \quad \mathrm{~F}$ g.

From our construction we have that $B$ and $L Q$ com pute the sam efunction $f_{n}$. Thew idth of $B$ is $t=m a x f t_{0} ;::: ; t_{n} g$.

Let $t=t_{j} W$ e estim ate a num ber $t$ of com ponents (num ber of states of B) of $j$ as follow $s$. For each tom ponent $C$, we select one point j i 2 C .

If we draw a sphere of the radius $=2 \mathrm{w}$ th the center $j$ i 2 C then all such spheres do not intersect pairw ise. A ll these spheres ( $t \mathrm{~m}$ any) are in a larger sphere of radius $1+=2 \mathrm{which}$ has center $(0 ; 0 ;::: ; 0)$. The volum e of a sphere of radius $r$ in $H_{d}$ is $c r^{2 d}$, where the constant $c$ depends on a $m$ etric of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{d}}$. N ote that for estim ating the volum e of the sphere we should take into account that $H_{d}$ is a d-dim ensional com plex space and each com plex point is a 2-dim ensional point. So it holds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { width (B) } \frac{c(1+=2)^{2 \mathrm{~d}}}{c(=2)^{2 \mathrm{~d}}}=1+\underline{2}^{2 \mathrm{~d}}: \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Below we form ulate a technical lem $m$ a that estim ates a num ber of com ponents of fordi erent ".

Lem mas Letan LQ ( $1=2+$ ")-com putes a function $f_{n}$. $T$ hen for arbitrary j i 2 Fn and anoitrary j ${ }^{0} \overline{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{F} \mathrm{m}$ it holds that

and
2. $\mathrm{j} j$ i $j^{0_{i j}} \quad 2=9 \overline{1+2 " \quad 4^{p} \overline{1=2 \quad "}}$.

P roof: For the sake of simpli cation we denote a con guration $j i=$ $z_{1}$ jli+ dízi just by $=\left(z_{1} ;::: ; z_{d}\right)$ Let $={ }_{P}\left(z_{1} ;::: ; z_{d}\right)$ and ${ }^{0}=$


1. From the de nition of LQ it holds that


U sing an inequality

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{1} b_{1}+a_{2} b_{2}+:::+a_{d} b_{d}  \tag{3}\\
& q \overline{a_{1}^{2}+a_{2}^{2}+:::+a_{d}^{2}} q \overline{b_{1}^{2}+b_{2}^{2}+:::+b_{d}^{2}} \text {; }
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 2" } 2 \text { j̈ } \quad 0_{\text {ji }} \quad 2^{p} \overline{d j} \quad 0_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, we have

$$
\text { 方 } \quad 0_{\ddot{j}} \quad "=\overline{\mathrm{d}} \text { : }
$$

2. C onsider now the next variant of a low er bound for $\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{j}}^{0}{ }^{0} \mathrm{j}$.

ї ${ }^{0} \ddot{\mathrm{j}}=$



P From the de nition of an $L Q$ we have that ${ }^{P} s_{i} 2 F \dot{k}_{i}{ }^{2} \quad 1=2+$ ", P $s_{s_{i} \bar{Q} F} \dot{Z}_{i}^{0} \mathcal{J}^{2} \quad 1=2+$ ". N ow, from the above we get that

U sing inequally (3) we get
j

 1, and $s_{i} \dot{k}_{i}^{0}{ }^{2}$ 1, we nally get that

$$
\ddot{j} \quad 0_{\ddot{j}} \quad q \overline{1+2 "} 4^{p} \overline{1=2 \quad "}={ }_{2} \text { : }
$$

$N$ ote that the lower bound above for $j \quad{ }^{0} \geqslant \vec{j}$ is nontrivial (positive) if " $2(; 1=2)$ where is about 3/8. For " $2(0 ; 1$ it holds that $1+2 "$ $4 \overline{1=2} \quad$ " 0 . In that case the lower bound $\ddot{j} \quad 0_{\ddot{j}} \quad$ " $=\bar{d}$ is m ore precise.
$N$ ow we tum to the form alestim ations of the low er bounds of $T$ heorem $s$ 3 and 4.

Proof of Theorem 3]: From Lemm a[4 and Lem m a 5, it follow s that

$$
\mathrm{t} \quad 1+{\frac{2^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{\mathrm{~d}}^{!}}{}}^{\mathrm{m}}
$$

or $\log t=O(d \log d)$. From that we get that

$$
d=\quad \frac{\log t}{\log \log t}:
$$

P roof of $T$ heorem 4): From Lem m a 4 and Lem m a 5, it follow s that

$$
\mathrm{t} \quad 1+\frac{2}{2}^{2 \mathrm{~d}}
$$

or $2 \mathrm{~d} \log t=\log (1+2=2)$. From this we have that

$$
\text { d } \frac{\log t}{2 \log \left(1+1={ }_{2}\right)}:
$$
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