

ENTANGLED STATES WITH POSITIVE PARTIAL TRANSPOSES ARISING FROM INDECOMPOSABLE POSITIVE LINEAR MAPS

KIL-CHAN HA¹, SEUNG-HYEOK KYE² AND YOUNG-SUNG PARK³

Abstract. We construct entangled states with positive partial transposes using indecomposable positive linear maps between matrix algebras. We also exhibit concrete examples of entangled states with positive partial transposes arising in this way, and show that they generate extreme rays in the cone of all positive semidefinite matrices with positive partial transposes. They also have Schmidt numbers two.

1. Introduction

The notion of entanglement in quantum physics has been playing a key rôle in the quantum information theory and quantum communication theory during the past decade (see [13] for a survey). On the other hand, properties of positive linear maps between matrix algebras have been studied by operator algebraists from the sixties [27]. It is now turned out that these two theories are dual each other. For example, the second author [9] used various cones of block matrices adapting the idea of Woronowicz [33] to characterize the boundary structures of various kinds of positive linear maps between matrix algebras. One of these notions for block matrices is turned out to be nothing but entangled states. On the other hand, people in the quantum information theory used the notion of positive linear maps to characterize entangled states. See [12], [14] and [32] for examples. This duality was used [31] to construct indecomposable positive linear maps arising from entangled states.

In this paper, we use the duality to construct entangled states with positive partial transposes from decomposable positive linear maps which lie on the boundary of the cone of all decomposable positive maps but belong to the interior of the cone of all positive linear maps. Every indecomposable positive linear map gives us such an example of decomposable positive map.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 46L05, 15A30.

PACS: 03.65.Bz, 03.67.-a, 03.67.Hk

partially supported by KOSEF

¹Applied Mathematics, Sejong University, Seoul 143-747, KOREA

²Department of Mathematics, Seoul National University, Seoul 151-742, KOREA

³Department of Mathematics, Seoul National University, Seoul 151-742, KOREA.

This construction will be applied to a family of indecomposable positive linear maps in [3] which are variants of those maps constructed by Choi in the seventies [5], [6]. This gives us a family of 3×3 entangled states which generate extreme rays in the cone of all 9×9 positive semidefinite matrices with positive partial transposes. Finally, we show that these entangled states have Schmidt numbers two by explicit constructions.

After we explain the duality theory between linear maps and block matrices in the second section, we will exhibit in the third section the method to construct entangled states with positive partial transposes. In the final section, we give concrete examples of 3×3 entangled states obtained in this way, and examine their properties mentioned above.

Throughout this paper, we will not use bracket notation. Every vector will be considered as a column vector. If $x \in \mathbb{C}^m$ and $y \in \mathbb{C}^n$ then x will be considered as an $m \times 1$ matrix, and y will be considered as a $1 \times n$ matrix, and so xy is an $m \times n$ rank one matrix whose range is generated by x and whose kernel is orthogonal to y . For a vector x and a matrix A , the notations \bar{x} and \bar{A} will be used for the vector and matrix, respectively, whose entries are conjugate of the corresponding entries. The notation $h; i$ will be used for bi-linear pairing. On the other hand, (j) will be used for the inner product, which is sesqui-linear, that is, linear in the first variable and conjugate-linear in the second variable.

The second author is grateful to Professor D.-P. Chi for bringing his attention to the notion of entanglement.

2. Duality

A linear map between \mathbb{C} -algebras is said to be positive if it send every positive element to a positive element. The whole structures of the cone of all positive linear maps is extremely complicated even in low dimensional matrix algebras and far from being understood completely. A linear map $\phi: A \rightarrow B$ is said to be s -positive if the map

$$\phi_s: M_s(A) \rightarrow M_s(B) : [a_{ij}] \mapsto [\phi(a_{ij})]$$

is positive, where $M_s(A)$ is the \mathbb{C} -algebra of all $s \times s$ matrices over A . We say that ϕ is completely positive if ϕ is s -positive for every $s = 1, 2, \dots$. The transpose map

$$X \mapsto X^t; \quad X \in M_n$$

is a typical example of a positive linear map which is not completely positive, where M_n denotes the \mathbb{C} -algebra of all $n \times n$ matrices over the complex field. We say that

a linear map $\varphi : M_m \rightarrow M_n$ is said to be s -copositive if $X \succcurlyeq (\varphi^t)^s$ is s -positive, and completely copositive if it is s -copositive for every $s = 1, 2, \dots$. The cone of all s -positive (respectively t -copositive) linear maps from A into B will be denoted by $P_s[A; B]$ (respectively $P^t[A; B]$), and just by P_s (respectively P^t) whenever the domain and range are clear.

From now on, we identify an $m \times n$ matrix $z \in M_{m \times n}$ and a vector $z \in C^n \otimes C^m$ as follows: For $z = [z_{ik}] \in M_{m \times n}$, we define

$$z_i = \sum_{k=1}^n z_{ik} e_k \in C^n; \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m;$$

$$z = \sum_{i=1}^m z_i \otimes e_i \in C^n \otimes C^m:$$

Then $z \succcurlyeq z$ defines an inner product isomorphism from $M_{m \times n}$ onto $C^n \otimes C^m$. For a given $m \times n$ matrix $z \in M_{m \times n}$, we note that zz^t belongs to $M_n \otimes M_m$, which is identified with the space $M_m(M_n)$ of all $m \times m$ matrices whose entries are $n \times n$ matrices. For $A \in M_n \otimes M_m$, we denote by A^t the block transpose or partial transpose of A , that is,

$$(zz^t)^t = \sum_{i,j=1}^m a_{ij} \otimes e_{ij} = \sum_{i,j=1}^m a_{ji} \otimes e_{ij}:$$

Now, we define

$$V_s = \text{conv}\{zz^t \in M_n \otimes M_m : \text{rank of } z \leq s\};$$

$$V^s = \text{conv}\{z z^t \in M_n \otimes M_m : \text{rank of } z \leq s\};$$

for $s = 1, 2, \dots, m \wedge n$, where $\text{conv} X$ means the convex set generated by X , and $m \wedge n$ denotes the minimum of m and n . It is clear that $V_{m \wedge n}$ coincides with the cone of all positive semidefinite $m \times m$ matrices. It is easily seen that $V_1 = V^1$. We also have the following chains

$$V_1 \subset V_2 \subset \dots \subset V_{m \wedge n}; \quad V^1 \subset V^2 \subset \dots \subset V^{m \wedge n}$$

of inclusions. We note that a block matrix $A \in M_n \otimes M_m$ with $\text{Tr} A = 1$ represents a separable state if and only if $A \in V_1$. Therefore, we see that a block matrix $A \in M_n \otimes M_m$ with $\text{Tr} A = 1$ represents an entangled state if and only if $A \notin V_1$. The minimum number s with $A \in V_s$ is the Schmidt number of $A \in M_n \otimes M_m$, in the language of quantum information theory.

Motivated by the work of Woronowicz [33] (see also [15], [28]), we have considered in [9] the bi-linear pairing between $M_n \otimes M_m$ and the space $L(M_m; M_n)$ of all linear

maps from M_m into M_n , given by

$$(1) \quad hA; i = \text{Tr} \sum_{i,j=1}^m (e_{ij}) e_{ij} A^t = \sum_{i,j=1}^n h(e_{ij}); a_{ij} i;$$

for $A = \sum_{i,j=1}^m a_{ij} e_{ij} \in M_n \otimes M_m$ and $\in L(M_m; M_n)$, where the bi-linear form in the right-side is given by $h a; b i = \text{Tr} (ba^t)$ for $a, b \in M_n$. This is equivalent to define

$$h y; x i = \text{Tr} ((x)y^t); \quad x \in M_m; y \in M_n;$$

In this duality, the pairs

$$(V_s; P_s); \quad (V^t; P^t); \quad (V_s \setminus V^t; P_s + P^t)$$

are dual each other, in the sense that

$$A \in V_s \Leftrightarrow hA; i \geq 0 \text{ for each } \in P_s;$$

$$\in P_s \Leftrightarrow hA; i \geq 0 \text{ for each } A \in V_s;$$

and similarly for others. These dualities are turned out to be the basic key idea in the various characterizations of entangled states studied in quantum information theory.

Now, we restrict ourselves to the duality between the cone $V_{m \wedge n} \setminus V^{m \wedge n}$ and the cone $P_{m \wedge n} + P^{m \wedge n}$. A linear map in the cone

$$D := P_{m \wedge n} + P^{m \wedge n}$$

is said to be decomposable, that is, a linear map is said to be decomposable if it is the sum of a completely positive linear map and a completely copositive linear map. Every decomposable map is positive, but the converse is not true. There are many examples of indecomposable positive linear maps in the literature [3], [5], [10], [11], [16], [18], [24], [25], [28], [29], [30], [31]. Since the cone $V_{m \wedge n}$ consists of all positive semidefinite matrices, the cone

$$T := V_{m \wedge n} \setminus V^{m \wedge n}$$

consists of all positive semidefinite matrices whose block transposes are also positive semidefinite, or positive semidefinite matrices with positive partial transposes in the language of quantum information theory. The duality between two cones D and T is summarized by

$$A \in T \Leftrightarrow hA; i \geq 0 \text{ for each } \in D;$$

$$\in D \Leftrightarrow hA; i \geq 0 \text{ for each } A \in T;$$

for $A \in M_n \otimes M_m$ and $\in L(M_m; M_n)$.

Now, we proceed to characterize the boundary structures of the cones D and T . For a subset X of D , we define

$$X^0 = \{fA \in T : hA; i = 0; \text{ for every } A \in X\};$$

Similarly, we also define Y^0 for a subset Y of T by

$$Y^0 = \{f \in D : hA; i = 0; \text{ for every } A \in Y\};$$

It is easy to see that X^0 is a face of T , and every exposed face of T arises in this way. We also note that if $f \in D$ is an interior point of a face F of D then $F^0 = \{f\}$. The set $\{f \in D : hA; i = 0\}$ will be written by F^0 . Dual results also hold, of course. This kind of duality holds for much more general situations, and was used to characterize maximal faces of the cones $P_s[M_m; M_n]$ for $s = 1, 2, \dots, m \wedge n$ [19], [20].

Next, we review the intrinsic characterization of faces of the cone D as was in [23], which was motivated to find out all faces of the cone $P_1[M_2; M_2]$ of all positive linear maps between 2×2 matrices [1], [22]. For a finite subset $V = \{V_1; V_2; \dots; V_g\}$ of $M_{m \times n}$, we define the linear maps v and v^V from M_m into M_n by

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} v : X \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^g V_i X V_i; \quad X \in M_m; \\ v^V : X \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^g V_i X V_i; \quad X \in M_m; \end{aligned}$$

We also denote by $v = \sum_{i=1}^g V_i V_i^*$ and $v^V = \sum_{i=1}^g V_i^* V_i$. It is well-known [4], [17] that every completely positive (respectively completely copositive) linear map from M_m into M_n is of the form v (respectively v^V). Every linear map $\phi : M_m \rightarrow M_n$ corresponds to a block matrix in $M_n \otimes M_m = M_m(M_n)$ by

$$(3) \quad \phi \mapsto [\phi(e_{ij})]_{i,j=1,2,\dots,m};$$

It is also well known [4] that a linear map $\phi : M_m \rightarrow M_n$ is completely positive if and only if it is $(m \wedge n)$ -positive if and only if the corresponding matrix in (3) is positive semidefinite. For a subspace E of $M_{m \times n}$, we define

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} E = \{f \in D : P_m \wedge P_n [M_m; M_n] \subseteq \text{span } V \subseteq E\} \\ E^V = \{f \in D : P_m \wedge P_n [M_m; M_n] \subseteq \text{span } V^V \subseteq E\}; \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{span } V$ denotes the span of the set V . We have shown in [21] that the correspondences

$$\begin{aligned} E \mapsto E : E(M_{m \times n}) &\rightarrow F(P_m \wedge P_n [M_m; M_n]) \\ E \mapsto E^V : E(M_{m \times n}) &\rightarrow F(P_m \wedge P_n [M_m; M_n]) \end{aligned}$$

give rise to lattice isomorphisms from the lattice $E(M_{m \times n})$ of all subspaces of the vector space $M_{m \times n}$ onto the lattice $F(P_m^{\wedge n}[M_m; M_n])$ (respectively $F(P_m^{\wedge n}[M_m; M_n])$) of all faces of the convex cone $P_m^{\wedge n}[M_m; M_n]$ (respectively $P_m^{\wedge n}[M_m; M_n]$).

Let C be the convex hull of the cones C_1 and C_2 . If F is a face of C then it is easy to see that $F \setminus C_1$ and $F \setminus C_2$ are faces of C_1 and C_2 , respectively, and F is the convex hull of $F \setminus C_1$ and $F \setminus C_2$. This is immediately applied to characterize faces of the cone D which is the convex hull of the cones $P_m^{\wedge n}$ and $P_m^{\wedge n}$.

For a given face F of D , we see that $F \setminus P_m^{\wedge n}$ is a face of $P_m^{\wedge n}$, and so it is of the form D for a subspace $D \in E(M_{m \times n})$. Similarly, $F \setminus P_m^{\wedge n} = E$ for a subspace $E \in E(M_{m \times n})$. Therefore, we see that every face of D is of the form

$$(5) \quad (D; E) := \text{conv} \{ f_D; E \};$$

for $D, E \in E(M_{m \times n})$. If we assume the following condition

$$(D; E) \setminus P_m^{\wedge n} = D; \quad (D; E) \setminus P_m^{\wedge n} = E$$

then it is clear that every face of D is uniquely expressed as in (5). It seems to be very difficult in general to determine all the pairs of subspaces which give rise to faces of D . See [1] for the simplest case $m = n = 2$.

3. Construction

Let C be a convex set. Recall that a point $x \in C$ is said to be an interior point of C if for every $y \in C$ there exists $t > 1$ such that $(1-t)y + tx \in C$. A point $x \in C$ is said to be a boundary point if it is not an interior point. Every nonempty convex set has an interior point. Let $y_0 \in C$ be a fixed interior point. Then it is easy to see that a point $x \in C$ is an interior point if and only if there is $t > 1$ such that $(1-t)y_0 + tx \in C$. The set of all interior points (respectively boundary points) of C will be denoted by $\text{int}C$ (respectively ∂C). It is known that the interior of the convex set E in (4) is given by

$$\text{int } E = \{ f_V \in P_m^{\wedge n}[M_m; M_n] : \text{span } V = E \};$$

for example, and similarly for the convex set E . The trace map $A \mapsto (\text{Tr } A)I_n$ from M_m into M_n , where I_n is the $n \times n$ identity matrix, is a typical example of an interior point of the cones $P_s[M_m; M_n]$ and $P^t[M_m; M_n]$ for $s, t = 1, 2, \dots, m \wedge n$. By an abuse of notations, we will denote this map by Tr , again.

Suppose that we are given an example of an indecomposable positive linear map $\phi: M_m \rightarrow M_n$. If we define

$$\phi_t = (1-t)\phi + tD,$$

then, by the above discussion, we see that

$$\phi_t = (1-t)\phi +$$

is a boundary point of the cone D , but is an interior point of the cone P_1 of all positive linear maps. We recall that a convex set is partitioned into the family of interiors of the faces. Therefore, the boundary point determines a proper face $(D; E)$ of D whose interior contains ϕ_t . Since $(D; E)$ is a convex subset of P_1 , we have the two cases:

$$\text{int } (D; E) \subset \text{int } P_1 \quad \text{or} \quad (D; E) \cap P_1 = \emptyset.$$

The above construction gives us a face $(D; E)$ of D whose interior is contained in the interior of P_1 .

Theorem 3.1. If $(D; E)$ is a pair of spaces of $m \times n$ matrices which gives rise to a proper face $(D; E)$ of D with $\text{int } (D; E) \subset \text{int } P_1$ then every nonzero element A of the face $(D; E)^0$ of T belongs to $T \cap V_1$.

Proof. Take an interior point ϕ_t of $(D; E)$. Then it is also an interior point of the cone P_1 . Therefore, there is $t > 1$ such that

$$\phi_t = (1-t)\phi + tD.$$

Since ϕ is an interior point of the cone D and $A \neq 0$, we have $\langle A, \phi \rangle > 0$. Furthermore, we have $\langle A, \phi_t \rangle = 0$, since $A \in (D; E)^0$. Therefore, we have

$$\langle A, \phi_t \rangle = (1-t)\langle A, \phi \rangle + t\langle A, D \rangle = 0.$$

This shows that $A \notin V_1$ from the duality between P_1 and V_1 .

With a single example $\phi \in D \setminus \text{int } P_1$, it is not so easy to determine the subspaces D and E of $M_{m \times n}$ so that $\phi \in \text{int } (D; E)$. But, it is easy to determine the cone $(D; E)^0$ which coincides with $(\phi)^0$, since ϕ is an interior point of $(D; E)$. To do this, we write ϕ in the form (2). We first consider the matrix representation (3) to get the block matrix $B = [\langle \phi, e_{ij} \rangle] \in M_n \otimes M_m$. Since ϕ is decomposable, it is possible to write as $B = P + Q$, where both P and Q are positive semidefinite. We

note that the map φ_V corresponds to the block matrix $\begin{pmatrix} \varphi & \varphi \\ \varphi & \varphi \end{pmatrix}$ by a direct calculation. Therefore, if we write

$$P = \sum_i e_i e_i; \quad Q = \sum_j e_j e_j;$$

using the spectral decomposition of P and Q , where $a_i, b_j \in M_{m \times n}$, then it is possible to write as

$$= V + W$$

where V and W are sets of $m \times n$ matrices. Note that every $A \in (\mathbb{D}; E)^0$ belongs to $T_{V_m \wedge n}^P$, which is nothing but the cone of all positive semidefinite matrices. Therefore, we can write $A = \sum_i x_i x_i$ with $x_i \in M_{m \times n}$. If $V \in \mathbb{D}$ then we have

$$h x_i x_i; V i = h x_i x_i; \begin{pmatrix} \varphi & \varphi \\ \varphi & \varphi \end{pmatrix} i = \sum_j (x_{ij} V)^2_j$$

as was seen in [23]. Therefore, we see that

$$0 = h A; V i = \sum_i \sum_j (x_{ij} V)^2_j;$$

and so $x_i \in V$. This is true for every $V \in \mathbb{D}$, and we see that $x_i \in \mathbb{D}^?$ for each i . Therefore, we have

$$A = \sum_i x_i x_i \in (\mathbb{D}^?)^0 \cap \text{span} \{x_i\} \subseteq \text{span } V$$

and similarly for $(\mathbb{W})^0$. Finally, we note that the relation

$$(\mathbb{D}^?)^0 \cap (\mathbb{W})^0 \subseteq (\mathbb{D}^? + \mathbb{W})^0 = (\mathbb{D}; E)^0 = (\mathbb{D})^0 \cap (\mathbb{E})^0 \subseteq (\mathbb{D}^?)^0 \cap (\mathbb{W})^0$$

shows that the above sets coincide, where the first inclusion comes out from the identity $h A; V + W i = h A; V i + h A; W i$, and the last inclusion follows from $V \in \mathbb{D}$ and $W \in \mathbb{E}$.

4. Examples

We begin with the map $[a; b; c] : M_3 \rightarrow M_3$ defined by

$$[a; b; c] : x \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & ax_{11} + bx_{22} + cx_{33} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & ax_{22} + bx_{33} + cx_{11} \\ 0 & 0 & ax_{33} + bx_{11} + cx_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

for $x = (x_{ij}) \in M_3$, as was studied in [3]. Recall that $[2; 0; 1]$ is an example [6] of an extremal positive linear map which is not decomposable. It was shown that $[a; b; c]$ is positive if and only if

$$a \geq 1; \quad a + b + c \geq 3; \quad 1 - a - 2b = bc - (2 - a)^2;$$

and decomposable if and only if

$$a = 1; \quad 1 - a = 3 \Rightarrow bc = \frac{3-a}{2} :$$

Therefore, every $[a; b; c]$ with the condition

$$1 < a < 3; \quad 4bc = (3-a)^2$$

gives rise to an element of $\mathcal{CD} \setminus \text{int} P_1$, whenever $b \neq c$. Furthermore, we have a decomposition

$$[a; b; c] = \frac{a-1}{2} [3; 0; 0] + \frac{3-a}{2} \left[1; \frac{b}{c}; \frac{c}{b} \right]^\#$$

into the sum of a completely positive map and a completely copositive map. If we fix b and c , then we see that the family $f[a; b; c] : 1 - a = 3g$ is a line segment, and so it suffices to consider the map $[2; b; c]$. We also see that

$$[3; 0; 0] = v_1 + v_2 + v_3$$

with

$$v_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} A; \quad v_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} A; \quad v_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} A;$$

where $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ denotes 0, and

$$\left[1; \frac{b}{c}; \frac{c}{b} \right]^\# = w_1 + w_2 + w_3$$

with

$$w_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} A; \quad w_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} A; \quad w_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} A;$$

where $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{b}{c}^{1=4}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{c}{b}^{1=4}$, and so $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = 1$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \neq 1$.

To find an element of $[2; b; c]$, we have to consider the orthogonal complement of the space of 3×3 matrices spanned by $f v_i; w_i : i = 1, 2, 3g$. To do this, we write

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} A; \quad y_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} A; \quad y_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} A; \quad y_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} A;$$

where $\alpha = 1, \alpha \neq 1$. Then, it is immediate that

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^3 X^i + \sum_{i=1}^3 V_i V_i^* = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix};$$

belongs to the face $[2; b; c]^0$ of T , where

$$\alpha = 1; \quad \alpha > 0; \quad \alpha > 0; \quad \alpha \neq 1:$$

This gives us a family of entangled states with positive partial transposes. We show that these entangled states generate extreme rays in the cone T by showing that A^0 consists of scalar multiples of A with respect to the duality between T and D .

We note that

$$A^0 = \text{conv} \{ V + W : V, W \in D \} = (D; D)$$

where D denotes the orthogonal complement of $\text{span} \{ x; y_1; y_2; y_3 \}$, which is generated by $fV_1; V_2; V_3; W_1; W_2; W_3\}$. If we write

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad L = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_1 & b_1 & b_3 \\ b_1 & a_2 & b_2 & a_3 \\ b_3 & b_2 & a_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

then we see that an arbitrary element V of D is of the form

$$V = M \circ L$$

with $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = 0$, where $M \circ L$ denotes the Hadamard or Schur product whose entries are obtained by the product of the corresponding entries. From now on, the matrix representation (3) of a linear map will be denoted by the same notation. By a direct calculation, we have

$$V = M \circ L$$

w ith

The diagram illustrates the multiplication of two matrices to produce a result matrix. The first matrix (left) has elements 0, 1, 2. The second matrix (middle) has elements 1, 2, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 2, 1, 2. The result matrix (right) has elements 1, 2, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 2, 1, 2.

and

[illegible]

Now, assume that a matrix $X = (x_{ij}) \in V_3 \setminus V^3$ belongs to A^0 . Then $X \in (D^0)^0$ implies that $x_i \in D$ for each i . Therefore, we see that x_i is of the form

$$\mathbf{x}_i = \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}_1 + \mathbf{y}_2 + \mathbf{y}_3 = \mathbf{x}$$

w h e r e

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & A \end{pmatrix}_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i & i \\ i & i & i \end{pmatrix}_i A :$$

Therefore, it follows that

$$X = \begin{matrix} X \\ (ee) \end{matrix} \quad \begin{matrix} (ee_i) \\ 11 \end{matrix} = (ee) \quad Y$$

with

$$ee = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & & 1 & & & 1 & 1 \\ & 2 & 1 & & 2 & 2 & 1 & & \\ & 1 & 2 & & 1 & 1 & 2 & & \\ & & 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 2 & \\ 1 & & 2 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 & \\ & 2 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 & & \\ & 1 & 2 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 2 & & \\ & & & & & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix};$$

and $Y = \sum_{i=1}^P e_i e_i$ is given by

$$Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (j) & (j) & (j) & (j) & (j) & (j) & (j) & (j) & 1 \\ (j) & (j) & (j) & (j) & (j) & (j) & (j) & (j) & (j) & (j) \\ (j) & (j) & (j) & (j) & (j) & (j) & (j) & (j) & (j) & (j) \\ & (j) & (j) & (j) & (j) & (j) & (j) & (j) & (j) & \\ & (j) & (j) & (j) & (j) & (j) & (j) & (j) & (j) & \\ & (j) & (j) & (j) & (j) & (j) & (j) & (j) & (j) & \\ & (j) & (j) & (j) & (j) & (j) & (j) & (j) & (j) & \\ & (j) & (j) & (j) & (j) & (j) & (j) & (j) & (j) & \\ & (j) & (j) & (j) & (j) & (j) & (j) & (j) & (j) & \end{pmatrix}$$

if we denote by i ; i ; i and i , respectively.

Now, we use the condition $X^2 = 0$ to see that

$$hY; (ee^M)^L i = h e e^M Y;^M i = h X;^V i = 0$$

for every $a_1; a_2; a_3; b_1; b_2; b_3$ with $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = 0$. Note that

$$ee^M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & + & + & & 3 & + & 3 & 1 \\ & + & + & & 3 & 3 & 3 & \\ & & & + & 3 & 3 & + & \\ & & & & + & 3 & 3 & \\ & & + & 3 & + & & 3 & 3 \\ & 3 & 3 & & + & + & 3 & + \\ & & + & 3 & 3 & 3 & + & + \\ & 3 & 3 & 3 & + & + & + & \end{pmatrix}$$

where $+$ means 1 , respectively.

If we put $(1; 1; 0; 0; 0; 1; 1); (1; 0; 1)$ in the place of $(a_1; a_2; a_3)$ and $b_1 = 0$, then we have

$$(\bar{j}) = (\bar{j}) = (\bar{j}) = (\bar{j}):$$

Next, we put

$$(1; 1; 0; \overline{p-1}; 0; 0); (0; 1; 1; 0; \overline{p-1}; 0); (1; 0; 1; 0; 0; \overline{p-1})$$

in the place of $(a_1; a_2; a_3; b_1; b_2; b_3)$ to get

$$(\bar{j}) = (\bar{j}); (\bar{j}) = (\bar{j}); (\bar{j}) = (\bar{j});$$

respectively. Putting $(1; 1; 0; 0; 0; 1)$ and $(1; 1; 0; 0; 0; \overline{p-1})$, we get

$$(\bar{j}) + (\bar{j}) = 0; (\bar{j}) - (\bar{j}) = 0$$

using the condition \in , and so, we have $(\bar{j}) = 0$. We also have $(\bar{j}) = 0$, if we put $(0; 1; 1; 1; 0; 0)$ and $(0; 1; 1; \overline{p-1}; 0; 0)$. Similarly, we also have $(\bar{j}) = 0$, with $(1; 0; 1; 0; 1; 0)$ and $(1; 0; 1; 0; \overline{p-1}; 0)$ in the place of $(a_1; a_2; a_3; b_1; b_2; b_3)$

Now, we may assume that $(\bar{j}) = 1$, and so we see that the block transpose X of the matrix X is of the following form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & (\bar{j}) & (\bar{j}) & (\bar{j}) & 1 & (\bar{j}) & 1 \\ (\bar{j}) & 2 & 1 & (\bar{j}) & (\bar{j}) & (\bar{j}) & (\bar{j}) & 1 \\ (\bar{j}) & & 2 & (\bar{j}) & 1 & (\bar{j}) & (\bar{j}) & 1 \\ (\bar{j}) & 1 & (\bar{j}) & 2 & (\bar{j}) & (\bar{j}) & (\bar{j}) & 1 \\ 1 & (\bar{j}) & (\bar{j}) & 1 & (\bar{j}) & (\bar{j}) & (\bar{j}) & 1 \\ & (\bar{j}) & & (\bar{j})^2 & (\bar{j}) & 1 & (\bar{j}) & 1 \\ (\bar{j}) & (\bar{j}) & 1 & & (\bar{j})^2 & & (\bar{j}) & 1 \\ & & (\bar{j}) & (\bar{j}) & (\bar{j}) & 1 & 2 & (\bar{j}) \\ 1 & & (\bar{j}) & 1 & (\bar{j}) & (\bar{j}) & (\bar{j}) & 1 \end{pmatrix} :$$

Since $X \in V^3$ or equivalently $X \in V_3$, this matrix must be positive semidefinite. The positive semidefiniteness of the 3×3 diagonal submatrix with 2;3;4 rows and columns tells us that $(\bar{j}) = 0$. From the 6;7;8 and 2;6;8 submatrices, we also have $(\bar{j}) = 0$ and $(\bar{j}) = 0$. This completes the proof that $A^{(0)}$ consists of the scalar multiples of A , and so the entanglement A generates an extreme ray in the cone T .

One of the useful methods to construct entangled states with positive partial transposes is to use the notion of unextendible product basis as was considered in [2], [7], [8]. It is easy to see that the four dimensional subspace $D^?$ of M_3 has no rank one matrix. On the other hand, the five dimensional subspace D has only six

following rank one matrices

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{array}$$

up to scalar multiplication, which span D . But, none of them are orthogonal, and so, we see that our example does not come out from unextendible product basis.

Because A is of rank four, it follows by [26] that A has Schmidt rank two. In this paragraph, we construct explicitly 3×3 matrices $z_i \in M_3$ such that

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^4 z_i z_i^T; \quad \text{rank of } z_i = 2;$$

We define vectors $x_i = (x_{i1}; x_{i2}; x_{i3}; x_{i4})$; $y_i = (y_{i1}; y_{i2}; y_{i3}; y_{i4}) \in \mathbb{C}^4$ by

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= \left(\frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2} \right); & x_3 &= \left(\frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2} \right); \\ x_2 &= \left(\frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2} \right); & x_4 &= \left(\frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2} \right); \\ y_1 &= x_1; & y_2 &= x_2; & y_3 &= x_3; & y_4 &= x_4; \end{aligned}$$

for $i = 1; 2; 3; 4$, where $\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2 + 2^{1/6}}$. We also define

$$z_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x_{1i} & x_{2i} & x_{3i} \\ x_{1i} & \frac{x_{2i}^2}{2} & x_{1i} & x_{4i} \\ x_{2i} & x_{1i} & \frac{x_{4i}^2}{2} & x_{1i} \end{pmatrix}; \quad z_{i+4} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y_{1i} & y_{2i} & y_{3i} \\ y_{1i} & \frac{y_{2i}^2}{2} & y_{1i} & y_{4i} \\ y_{2i} & y_{1i} & \frac{y_{4i}^2}{2} & y_{1i} \end{pmatrix};$$

for $i = 1; 2; 3; 4$. We see that the determinant of z_i is zero for each $i = 1; 2; \dots; 8$.

By a direct calculation, we also have

$$A = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^8 z_i z_i^T;$$

and so A belongs to V_2 . Since $A \notin V_1$, we conclude that the entanglement A has Schmidt number two.

References

- [1] E.-S. Byeon and S.-H. Kye, Facial structures for positive linear maps in the two dimensional matrix algebra, *Positivity*, 6 (2002), 369{380.
- [2] C. H. Bennett, D. P. DiVincenzo, T. Mor, P. W. Shor, J. A. Smolin and B. M. Terhal, Unextendible product bases and bound entanglement, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 82 (1999), 5385{5388.
- [3] S.-J. Cho, S.-H. Kye and S. G. Lee, Generalized Choi maps in 3-dimensional matrix algebras, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 171 (1992), 213{224.

- [4] M.-D. Choi, Completely positive linear maps on complex matrices, *Linear Alg. Appl.* 10 (1975), 285{290.
- [5] M.-D. Choi, Positive semidefinite biquadratic forms, *Linear Alg. Appl.* 12 (1975), 95{100.
- [6] M.-D. Choi and T.-T. Lam, Extremal positive semidefinite forms, *Math. Ann.* 231 (1977), 1{18.
- [7] D. P. Di Vincenzo, T. Mor, P. W. Shor, J. A. Smolin and B. M. Terhal, Unextendible product bases, uncompletable product bases and bound entanglement, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, to appear.
- [8] D. P. Di Vincenzo and B. M. Terhal, Product bases in quantum information theory, *XIIIth International Congress on Mathematical Physics* (London, 2000), 399{407, Int. Press, Boston, 2001.
- [9] M.-H. Eom and S.-H. Kye, Duality for positive linear maps in matrix algebras, *Math. Scand.* 86 (2000), 130{142.
- [10] K.-C. Ha, Atomic positive linear maps in matrix algebras, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.*, 34 (1998), 591{599.
- [11] K.-C. Ha, Positive projections onto spin factors, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 348 (2002), 105{113.
- [12] M. Horodecki, P. Horodecki and R. Horodecki, Separability of mixed states: necessary and sufficient conditions, *Phys. Lett. A* 223 (1996), 1{8.
- [13] M. Horodecki, P. Horodecki and R. Horodecki, Mixed-state entanglement and quantum communication, *Quantum Information: An Introduction to Basic Theoretical Concepts and Experiments*, edited by G. Alber, et al, Springer Tracts in Modern Physics, Vol. 173, pp. 151{195, Springer-Verlag, 2001.
- [14] P. Horodecki, Separability criterion and inseparable mixed states with positive partial transposition, *Phys. Lett. A* 232 (1997), 333{339.
- [15] T. Itoh, Positive maps and cones in C^* -algebras, *Math. Japonica* 31 (1986), 607{616.
- [16] H.-J. Kim and S.-H. Kye, Indecomposable extreme positive linear maps in matrix algebras, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 26 (1994), 575{581.
- [17] K. Kraus, Operations and effects in the Hilbert space formulation of quantum theory, *Foundations of quantum mechanics and ordered linear spaces* (Marburg, 1973), pp. 206{229. *Lecture Notes in Phys.*, Vol. 29, Springer, 1974.
- [18] S.-H. Kye, A class of atomic positive maps in 3-dimensional matrix algebras, *Elementary operators and applications* (Blaubeuren, 1991), pp. 205{209, *World-Scientific*, 1992.
- [19] S.-H. Kye, Facial structures for positive linear maps between matrix algebras, *Canad. Math. Bull.* 39 (1996), 74{82.
- [20] S.-H. Kye, Boundaries of the cone of positive linear maps and its subcones in matrix algebras, *J. Korean Math. Soc.* 33 (1996), 669{677.
- [21] S.-H. Kye, On the convex set of all completely positive linear maps in matrix algebras, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 122 (1997), 45{54.
- [22] S.-H. Kye, Facial structures for unital positive Linear Maps in the two dimensional matrix algebra, *Linear Alg. Appl.*, 362 (2003), 57{73.
- [23] S.-H. Kye, Facial structures for decomposable positive linear maps in matrix algebras, *Positivity*, to appear. [available at <http://www.math.snu.ac.kr/~kye/paper.html>]
- [24] H. Osaaka, A class of extremal positive maps in 3×3 matrix algebras, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.* 28 (1992), 747{756.
- [25] A. G. Robertson, Positive projections on C^* -algebras and extremal positive maps, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) 32 (1985), 133{140.
- [26] A. Sanpera, D. Bruß and M. Lewenstein, Schmidt number witnesses and bound entanglement, *Phys. Rev. A* 63 (2001), 050301.
- [27] E. Størmer, Positive linear maps of operator algebras *Acta Math.* 110 (1963), 233{278.
- [28] E. Størmer, Decomposable positive maps on C^* -algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 86 (1982), 402{404.
- [29] K. Tanahashi and J. Tomiyama, Indecomposable positive maps in matrix algebras, *Canad. Math. Bull.* 31 (1988), 308{317.

- [30] W.-S. Tang, On positive linear maps between matrix algebras, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 79 (1986), 33{44.
- [31] B.M. Terhal, A family of indecomposable positive linear maps based on entangled quantum states, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 323 (2001), 61{73.
- [32] B.M. Terhal and P. Horodecki, Schmidt number for density matrices, *Phys. Rev. A* (3) 61 (2000), 040301.
- [33] S.L. Woronowicz, Positive maps of low dimensional matrix algebras, *Rep. Math. Phys.* 10 (1976), 165{183.