arXiv:quant-ph/0305010v2 15 Jan 2004

Quantum channels with a nite memory

Garry Bowen¹, and Stefano Mancini²,^y

¹Centre for Quantum Computation, Clarendon Laboratory, University of Oxford, Oxford OX1 3PU, United Kingdom.
²D ipartim ento di Fisica, Universita di Camerino, I-62032 Camerino, Italy. (D ated: M arch 12, 2022)

In this paper we study quantum communication channels with correlated noise elects, i.e., quantum channels with memory. We derive a model for correlated noise channels that includes a channel memory state. We examine the case where the memory is nite, and derive bounds on the classical and quantum capacities. For the entanglement-assisted and unassisted classical capacities it is shown that these bounds are attainable for certain classes of channel. A loo, we show that the structure of any nite memory state is unimportant in the asymptotic limit, and speci cally, for a perfect nite-memory channel where no information is lost to the environment, achieving the upper bound implies that the channel is asymptotically noiseless.

PACS num bers: 03.65.Ud, 03.67.Hk, 89.70.+ c

I. IN TRODUCTION

Quantum communication through noisy channels has, to date, mainly concentrated on quantum channels that are memoryless. A memoryless channel is de ned as a noisy channel where the noise acts independently on each symbol transmitted through the channel. In classical inform ation theory the discrete memoryless channel (DMC) is well understood in terms of the capacity of the channel, and the capacity of such channels is invariant under inclusion of feedback or shared random correlations [1]. The existing capacity theorems for quantum channels have also concentrated on the memoryless case [2, 3, 4, 5]. The calculation of capacities for classical channels with correlated noise, or memory channels, has had much more limited success. One type of classicalmemory channel for which the capacity is known is the channel with M arkov correlated noise. In this paper, we exam ine the quantum extension of the channel with Markov correlated noise. In particular, we exam ine a model of a correlated noise channel which utilizes unitary operations between the transmitted states, an environm ent, and a shared m em ory state, and determ ine som e of the characteristics of such a class of quantum channels.

The fact that entangled alphabet states may increase the classical capacity of a particular correlated noise channelhas been shown [6]. For the corresponding memoryless channel the capacity is known to be additive, and hence entangled input states cannot increase the classicalcapacity of the mem oryless channel overproduct state encoding.

The phrase nite memory is used to describe one aspect of the model, a memory state of nite dimension. The niteness of the memory is de ned only in terms of

the model used to describe the correlated noise of the channel, and is not necessarily a physical consideration. The correlations between errorsm ay be considered either tem porally over each use of a single channel, or spatially between uses of many parallel channels. The dimension of the memory is determined by the number of K raus operators in the single channel expansion and the correlation length of the channel, which may be de ned as the maximum number of channel uses for which the noise is not conditionally independent. Any channel with a nite correlation length m ay be generated by a channel with a nite memory, according to this model. Although a physical interpretation of the model is not necessary to achieve the goal of determ ining capacity theorem s, it may give an understanding of the physical motivation. Over short times the environment with which the transmitted state actsm ay be assum ed to be arbitrarily large, with interactions between components of the vast environment essentially making the recovery of the information im possible. The memory, however, may be interpreted as a subspace of the environment which does not \decay" over the tim escale of separate uses of the channel, and is therefore dependent on the previous state of the channel.

A physical example of a memory channel is the recent proposalby Bose [7], which uses unmodulated spin chains to transmit quantum information. In this case the initial state introduced to the chain by A lice acts as both the input state and a part of the memory state for further uses of the channel, as it is assumed A lice replaces each transmitted state with a new spin state after each use of the channel, whilst the remaining elements of the spin chain constitute both the physical channel, the memory of the channel, and the output state, which may be rem oved from the chain for future decoding by Bob.

E lectronic address: gab300 cam .ac.uk

^yE lectronic address: stefano m ancini@ unicam .it

II. A MODEL FOR QUANTUM MEMORY CHANNELS

The K raus representation theorem [3] is an elegant and powerful m ethod of representing quantum dynamics in two di erent ways, as a sum over operators acting on the state, or alternatively as a unitary evolution of a state and environm ent. The unitary interaction m odel provides an intuitive understanding of open quantum system s, as well as providing a m ethod of calculation. In deriving our m odel of a quantum m em ory channel, we try to preserve the useful aspects that such a unitary representation provides.

A. Unitary Representation of M em oryless Channels

A quantum channel is de ned as a completely positive, trace preserving m ap from the set of density operators to itself. A ny such m ap m ay be represented as a unitary operation between the system state and an environment with a known initial state. For a single channel use the output state is given by,

with $_{Q}$ the input state, and $_{Q}^{0}$ the output state. For a sequence of transm issions through the channel,

$$\begin{array}{l} \stackrel{0}{}_{Q} = T r_{E} \stackrel{11}{} U_{n;E_{n}} ::: U_{1;E_{1}} \quad Q \qquad j_{E_{1}} ::: O_{E_{n}} ih O_{E_{1}} ::: O_{E_{n}} j \\ \stackrel{1}{} i \\ U_{1;E_{1}} ::: U_{n;E_{n}}^{Y} \end{array}$$
(2)

where the state $_{Q}$ now represents a (possibly entangled) input state across the n channel uses, and the environm ent state is a product state $\mathcal{D}_{E_1} ::: \mathcal{D}_{E_n} i = \mathcal{D}_{E_1} i$::: $\mathcal{D}_{E_n} i$.

B. A Unitary M odel for M em ory Channels

O ne model of a quantum memory channel is where each state going through the channel acts with a unitary interaction on the same channel memory state, as well as an independent environment. The backaction of the channel state on them essage state therefore gives a memory to the channel. The general model thus includes a channel memory M , and the independent environments for each qubit E_i . Hence,

h

$$\begin{array}{c} \overset{0}{Q} = \mathrm{Tr}_{M \ \mathrm{E}} \overset{1}{\mathrm{Un}_{n,M \ \mathrm{E}_{n}}} ::: \mathrm{U}_{1,M \ \mathrm{E}_{1} \ \mathrm{Q}} \quad \overset{1}{\mathrm{M}} \quad \overset{1}{\mathrm{ih}} \mathrm{M} \quad \overset{1}{\mathrm{j}} \\ \overset{1}{\mathrm{j}}_{E_{1}} ::: \mathrm{O}_{E_{n}} \quad \overset{1}{\mathrm{ih}} \mathrm{O}_{E_{1}} ::: \mathrm{O}_{E_{n}} \quad \overset{1}{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{U}_{1,M \ \mathrm{E}_{1}}^{Y} ::: \mathrm{U}_{n,M \ \mathrm{E}_{n}}^{Y} \\ \overset{1}{\mathrm{h}} \\ = \mathrm{Tr}_{M \ n,M} ::: \quad \overset{1}{\mathrm{ih}} \mathrm{Q} \quad \overset{1}{\mathrm{M}} \quad \overset{1}{\mathrm{ih}} \mathrm{M} \quad \overset{1}{\mathrm{j}} \qquad (4)$$

where $_Q$ and $_Q^0$ are the input and the output state of the channel while the trace over the environm ent is over all environm ent states. If the unitaries factor into independent unitaries acting on the m em ory and the combined state and environm ent, that is, $U_{n\,;M} \,_{E_n} = U_{n\,;E_n} \, U_M$, then the m em ory traces out and we have a m em ory less channel. If the unitaries reduce to $U_{n\,;M}$, we can call it a perfect m em ory channel, as no inform ation is lost to the environm ent.

The question remains as to what channels can be modeled by Eq. (4)? From the K raus representation theorem [8], we know that for any block of length n, then any channel acting on the n states m ay be modeled with a m em ory plus environm ent of dimension at most d^{2n} , for d the dimension of the channel. However, the unitary operation m ay not be factorable into a product of operators acting in the form of Eq. (4).

C. Exam ples of F inite-M em ory C hannels

A naive \m em ory" channel can be considered by the two qubit channel given by the K raus operators, $A_0 =$ $\frac{1}{2}$ (I I), and, $A_1 = \frac{1}{2} (z)$ $_{\rm Z}$), and can be modeled by using a m em ory state that is initially in the pihojstate, and is the target of a CNOT operation by only two qubits before being reset to the initial state. How ever, this channel is essentially just a m em ory less channel in the higher dim ension space, transm itting qudits of dim ension four, and cannot therefore be considered useful as a model of a memory channel. This channel also does not tinto the model of Eq. (4) as the memory is erased separately after every two qubits. All such channels which may be factored into memoryless channels for some nite num ber of uses m ay therefore be described using the existing properties known for mem oryless channels.

A simple example of a perfect m em ory channel is an extension of the qubit dephasing channel. For this channel CNOT gates operate between the qubits going through the channel and a target m em ory state, initially given as \mathcal{D}_M in \mathcal{D}_M j which replaces the environment. The output states have the same reduced density m atrices as if they had passed through a m em oryless dephasing channel, but the states are also correlated across channel uses, that is, a product input state does not necessarily give a product output state. We call this channel the correlated dephasing channel.

U sing the unitary SW AP gate to model a channel sim – ply acts as a shift by a single state. Since for this \shift channel" the SW AP gate the unitaries act to increment the index for the position of the input states, then on a block of n inputs only the last input state is not recoverable. Hence the transmission rate for intact states for blocks of size n is simply 1 1=n, which approaches a noiseless channel in the limit n ! 1.

D. Channels with M arkovian Correlated Noise

An important class of channels that m ay be represented by the m em ory channelm odel are channels with M arkovian correlated noise. A M arkovian correlated noise channel of length n, is of the form,

where the set A_{i_k} are K raus operators for single uses of the channel on state k [6]. The motivation for boking at M arkovian channels is that the properties of typical sequences generated from M arkovian sources are well understood, and the typical sequences of errors generated in Eq. (5) will be directly related to these typical sequences.

The correlated dephasing channelm ay be described using the m em ory to correlate the dephasing error for each qubit, that is, the probability of the kth qubit undergoing a phase error is determ ined exactly by whether an error occurred on the previous qubit. Thus, for the correlated dephasing channel with error operators A_{0n} = I⁽ⁿ⁾ and A_{1n} = $\binom{n}{2}$, acting on the nth qubit, the conditional probabilities are given by p_{k_n} $j_{j_n-1} = j_k$, with an initial probability of error given by $p_0 = p_1 = 1=2$. This channel m ay be generated using the unitary operation,

$$U_{i;M} j^{(i)} i j D_M i = j^{(i)} i j D_M i$$
(6)

$$U_{i,M} j^{(i)} i j l_M i = {}_Z^{(i)} j^{(i)} i j l_M i$$
(7)

with an initialmemory state $M = 1 = \frac{p}{2}$ (pi+ jli). The equivalence of the controlled phase gate in Eqs. (6) and (7) to the use of a CNOT with a memory initially in the \mathcal{D}_{M} in \mathcal{D}_{M} j state is obtained by noting U_{CPHASE} = (I H)U_{CNOT} (I H), for H a qubit Hadam ard rotation on the m em ory state. The channel is asym ptotically noiseless, as all states with an even number of jli's are invariant, and therefore this subspace may be mapped onto by simply adding a single ancilla qubit. The en $coding m ap from H^n$ to $H^{(n+1)}$, may then transform states with even numbers of jli's to the same state tensored with jui, and those states with odd num bers of jli's to these states tensored with jli. The coded subspace of H (n+1) is then noiseless. The rate of transmission through n + 1 uses of the channel is therefore n = (n + 1), which approaches unity in the limit n ! 1.

For a general channel with M arkovian correlated noise, that is $p_{jjj-1} = p_{jj(j-1)(j-2):::i}$ for all i < j, the channel m ay be generated using the m odel given in Eq. (4), where the unitary operator is given by,

$$U_{\underline{i},\underline{M} E_{\underline{i}}} j^{(\underline{i})} \underline{i} \underline{j}_{\underline{M}} \underline{i} \underline{j}_{E_{\underline{i}}} \underline{i} = \begin{bmatrix} X & p_{\overline{p}_{\underline{k}},\underline{j}} A_{\underline{k}}^{(\underline{i})} j^{(\underline{i})} \underline{i} \underline{k}_{\underline{M}} \underline{i} \underline{j}_{\underline{E}_{\underline{i}}} \underline{i} : \\ k \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(8)$$

The initial memory state determined by the values of the initial probability vector for the error operators $[p_0;p_1;\ldots;p_m],$ for a fam ily of m operators, by the relationship,

$$\sim = ^{1}p$$
 (9)

for the transition matrix with entries p_{jji} , and ~ the squares of the amplitudes for the initial memory state M i = $j^{p} - jjm$ i. This is, of course, provided that the transition matrix is not singular. For a singular matrix we may utilize a di erent unitary operation V on the initial use of the channel, which will not change the asymptotic behavior of the channel. We may also utilize a mixed initial memory state $M = j^{j} jm$ instead of the pure state, without a exting the behavior of the channel.

The derivation of the speci cm odel for the correlated dephasing channel in Eqs. (6) and (7) diers from the prescription given in Eq. (8), in that it does not require the extra environment. The unitary operation on the initial states produces orthogonal outputs, whereas in the general case the states for each j_{M} i in Eq. (8) m ay not necessarily be orthogonal without the environment. If the output state for a given j_M i in Eq. (8) is orthogonal to all other outputs generated by di erent initialm em ory states, then the nalenvironm ent state for this particular output can \overlap" and does not need to be orthogonal to the other environm ent states. This occurs in the correlated dephasing channel, and results in the channel requiring no environm ent at all. How ever, it shall be shown that the behavior of these two di erent channel constructions is identical, as the actual size of the memory becomes irrelevant in the asymptotic limit, provided it is nite.

The noisy channel described by M acchiavello and P alm a [6] may be described in the context of Eq. (8), with the error operators given by the identity $A_{0_n} = I^{(n)}$ and the P auli spin m atrices $A_{1_n} = {\binom{n}{X}}, A_{2_n} = {\binom{n}{Y}}$, and $A_{3_n} = {\binom{n}{Z}}$, and the transition m atrix elements de ned as $p_{k\,jj} = (1) p_k + {}_{jk}$, where is a correlation param eter. The steady state probabilities for this transition m atrix are given by the uniform distribution.

III. CAPACITIES FOR FINITE-MEMORY CHANNELS

Having generated a model for the channel, we must address whether such a model is instructive in obtaining capacity theorems for the channels the model represents. The existence of a unitary representation of an interaction with an environm ent does allow the extension of results from m em oryless channels which rely on sim ilar arguments, such as the coherent information bound and the quantum Fano inequality [9].

A. Results for Classical Capacities

An upper bound on the classical inform ation that may be sent through the memory channel is given by the Holevo bound [10]. The maximum mutual information generated between sender and receiver, per channel use, for n channels is then given by,

$$S_{max}^{(n)} = \max_{fp_{i}; i_{g}} \frac{1}{n} S p_{i}Tr_{M} M^{(n)} i_{M} Q^{(n)} M^{(n)}$$

$$X h^{(n)} i_{M} Q^{(n)} M^{(n)} i_{M} Q^{(n)} M^{(n)} Q^{(n)} Q^{(n)$$

where for each n, ${}_{M}^{(n)} = {}_{n,M} ::: {}_{1,M}$, is a channel, and the asymptotic limit is achieved by taking n ! 1. The ensemble of states ${}_{Q}^{i} = {}_{A}^{i}$ is a set of states generated by the sender, A lice, for unassisted communication, or ${}_{Q}^{i} = {}_{AB}^{i}$ is a set of shared entangled states between sender, A lice, and receiver, B ob, for entanglement assisted communication, with the requirement that ${}_{B}^{i} = {}_{B}$. To reduce the num ber of subscripts, the use of the notation i of the signal states shall be used for the rest of this section.

The argum ent for achieving this upper bound does not extend easily to the mem ory channel case. The problem lies in the fact that the coding for the channel cannot be broken up into blocks of n uses, as the mem ory statem ay be entangled with the previous block and thus may not be identical for each block.

The bound in Eq. (10) is achievable for a class of regular M arkovian correlated noise channels. The channels are assumed to be representable by unitary K raus operators (and are therefore unital), and have initial error probability distributions equal to the steady state probabilities. The asymptotic use of the channelm ay be segmented into approximate channels of length n. That is, by tracing out all other states for each length n segment, we obtain a channel where for a total length 1 n we have $^{(1)}$ $^{(n)}$::: $^{(n)}$. From the theory of M arkov chains, we know that the approximate channel for a product state input is given for a single use by,

$$\begin{array}{cccc} & X & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & &$$

where $p_{i_n} = p_i$ are the steady state probabilities, γ_M is the memory density matrix with the p_i on the diagonal, and n is taken to be suitably large. The derivations required for this approximation are shown in the Appendix. Similarly, with n large, two uses the channel are approximated by,

(2)
$$X = p_{i_{n}, j_{i_{n}-1}} p_{i_{n-1}} A_{i_{n}, j_{i_{n-1}}} A_{j_{n}, j_{i_{n-1}}}^{Y} = T r_{M} M M$$
(12)

with $A_{i_n,i_{n-1}} = A_{i_n} A_{i_{n-1}}$, and a possibly entangled input state across the two transmissions through the channel. This construction may be extended for arbitrary lengths n. In the case that the initial distribution p_{i_0} is equal to the steady state distribution $p_{i_0} = p_{i_1}$, the approximations in Eqs. (11) and (12) become exact. This is true for all lengths n, with diag $M = \text{diag } \gamma_M$ always, where diag is the density matrix form ed from the diagonal elements of . Therefore the achievable rate is obtained immediately from the Holevo{Schumacher{W estm oreland (HSW) theorem [3, 4].

The correlated dephasing channel gives an easy example of the achievability of the capacity, as for this channel any initial distribution is a steady state probability. A rate equal to the unassisted classical capacity is achieved using the orthogonal states fjDi; jLig with a priori probability of $p_{\rm i}$ = 1=2 for this channel, and hence the lim it is achieved in this case when n = 1. The entanglement assisted capacity for this channel C $_{\rm E}$ = 2 is, how ever, only achieved in the asymptotic lim it as the block size n ! 1 .

In the case that the initial error probabilities di er from the steady state, much of the derivation above is still applicable. From the convergence properties of regular M arkovian sequences, we know that diag $_{\rm M}$! $_{\rm M}$ as n becom es large, where γ_{M} is the diagonal density matrix with eigenvalues equivalent to the steady state probabilities. Sim ilarly, for any > 0 there exists an n for which the total probability of the atypical sequences of K raus operators is less than . This follows from the behavior of regular M arkovian sources in the Shannon theory [1]. The contribution to the state (n) when the initial probabilities are not the steady state probabilities m ay therefore be sm all enough such that the bounds on the total probability of error may be made arbitrarily sm all asymptotically, although at present this remains an open question.

For any channelw ith a nite m em ory where the capacity equals the upper bound it m ay be seen that the exact nature of the m em ory has little e ect on the asym ptotic behavior of the channel. The correlated dephasing channel, where two possible constructions exist each w ith a di erent sized m em ory state, is an exam ple. To analyze the behavior we assume that B ob has access to the m em ory after the block is sent, and as such he can m easure the inform ation in M as well, then reset the m em ory to a given initial state before the next block. This gives an achievable rate,

=

$$S_{m ax}^{(n)} + \frac{2}{n} \log_2 d_M$$
 (15)

where Eq. (14) follows from Eq. (13) by strong subadditivity and the factor $2 \log_2 d_M$ is an upper bound on entropy of the m em ory state living in a space of dim ension d_M . The bound for the rate R of a channel generated from tracing both the environm ent and the memory, is then sandwiched by the term s, $nS_{max}^{(n)} + 2 \log_2 d_M$ nR $nS_{max}^{(n)}$, which would approach the channel capacity for the channel including access to the memory, as n ! 1, for any nite memory channel. The channel capacity is thus only a ected by the loss of information to the environm ent, and the loss of inform ation into the memory state m ay be seen to vanish in the asymptotic lim it. For a perfect m em ory channel the channel will be asym ptotically noiseless, as was shown for the examples of the shift channel and the correlated dephasing channel.

B. Results for Quantum Capacities

The quantum capacities are determ ined by the maximum asymptotic rates at which intact quantum states may be transmitted through a noisy quantum channel. The coherent information bound [9, 11] on the quantum capacity applies directly to the case of memory channels. The role the memory plays in the coherent information bound may be seen by examining the converse to the bound, the quantum Fano inequality, which is shown in the next section.

There exist a number of quantum capacities dependent on available additional resources. Primarily there is the unassisted quantum capacity Q, the capacities assisted by classical side channels Q₁; Q^{FB}; Q₂, denoting forward, backward (feedback), and two way classical communication respectively, and, the entanglement assisted quantum capacity Q_E, achievable when sender and receiver share unlimited amounts of entanglement prior to com – munication taking place. For memoryless channels the situation is slightly simplied by the equivalence Q₁ = Q [12, 13], whether this holds for channels with memory is not yet known.

The entanglem ent assisted quantum capacity is simply related to the entanglem ent assisted classical capacity by the use of quantum dense coding and quantum teleportation, giving the equality $C_E = 2Q_E$ [14]. The actual nature of the channel does not a ect this relationship.

C. The Quantum Fano Inequality

The quantum Fano inequality [9] is used to give a converse to any quantum coding theorem s. The inequality describes the loss in delity of the transm itted states that occurs due to the exchange of entropy to the environm ent during transm ission through the channel.

Taking a state $_{Q}$ with a puri cation in term s of a reference system R, such that, $_{Q} = Tr_{R} j_{QR} ih_{QR} j$, we denote the entanglement delity as $F = h_{QR} j_{QR}^{0} j_{QR} j_{QR} i$, where $_{QR}^{0}$ is the total output state following the transmission of $_{Q}$ through the noisy channel. The quantum Fano inequality may be applied to the nite memory channel by simply noting that the entropy exchange to the environment E may be rewritten as,

$$S\left(\begin{smallmatrix}0\\ E\end{smallmatrix}\right) = S\left(\begin{smallmatrix}0\\ M & QR\end{smallmatrix}\right) \qquad S\left(\begin{smallmatrix}0\\ M\end{smallmatrix}\right) + S\left(\begin{smallmatrix}0\\ QR\end{smallmatrix}\right) : (16)$$

It is assumed here that the memory state is initially pure, as it does not a ect the derivation compared to a mixed memory state. This is because any nite memory state may be puri ed with another nite reference system. This is also equivalent to applying the Fano inequality using $S \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ M \\ E \end{pmatrix}$ as the environment, and then utilizing the Araki(Lieb inequality to obtain $S \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ Q \\ R \end{pmatrix}$).

This leads to a Fano inequality for channels with a nite memory,

$$S_E \log_1 d_M + h(F) + (1 F) \log_1 (d^2 1)$$
 (17)

for S_E the entropy exchange with the environm ent, F the entanglem ent delity, $h(F) = F \log F (1 \ F) \log (1 \ F)$ the binary entropy of the entanglem ent delity, d the dim ension of H $_Q$, and d_M the dim ension of the m em ory. For a single channel use, this inequality m ay be weak, but in the case of multiple uses the inequality can become e stronger. This is due to the average entropy exchange for a large num ber of channel uses N being given by,

$$\frac{1}{N}S_{E} = \frac{1}{N} \log_{2} d_{M} + h(F) + (1 - F) \log_{2} (d^{2N} - 1)$$

$$2(1 - F) \log d$$
(18)

where the rst two terms in the sum on the right hand side m ay be m ade arbitrarily small, given large enough N. Thism ay be interpreted as the fact that a high entanglem ent delity overm any uses of the channel necessarily im plies a low average entropy exchange with the environm ent. In the asym ptotic lim it the particular channel construction used, and the exact nature of any nitem em ory state, are both \irrelevant" in term s of the bounds on the channel capacity.

IV. CONCLUSION

A model for a class of quantum channels with memory has been proposed. The class of channels that may be described by this model include the set of channels with Markovian correlated quantum noise. For these types of channels it has been shown that the memory state required to generate the channel is nite. These nite m em ory channels have sim ilar asym ptotic behavior to the quantum memoryless channels, in that they may be essentially described by the loss of information to an initial product state environm ent after a unitary interaction with the states transmitted through the channel. The size of the memory state is nite, and so the e ect on loss from the channel is vanishing in the asymptotic lim it. The simplest demonstration is the case of perfect m em ory channels where no inform ation at all is lost to the environm ent and so achievem ent of the upper bound on the capacity for this class of channels will asym ptotically give a noiseless quantum channel.

It has also been demonstrated that Holevo{ Schumacher{Westmoreland coding can achieve the capacity bound for channels with Markov correlated noise, where the K raus operators are unitary, providing the initial error probabilities are equal to the steady state probabilities for the regular Markov chain.

The unitary representation of the channel also allows for derivations of bounds on the quantum capacity using the coherent inform ation, and application of the quantum Fano inequality to nite memory channels.

APPENDIX: EVOLUTION OF THE CHANNEL AND MEMORY STATE

1. Derivation of the Channel from the Unitary Construction

Here it is shown that the diagonal elements of the memory state determine the error operators for the pext transmitted state. For the memory state $_{M} = _{j1} jj j_{M} ih_{M} j$ the channel for the next transmitted state is given by,

$$X = \int_{j1}^{j1} Tr_{M E} U_{QM E} j_{Q} ij_{M} ij_{E} ih_{E} h_{M} h_{Q} j_{QM E}^{y}$$

$$= \int_{j1}^{j1} X \qquad X \qquad p = \int_{j1}^{j1} Tr_{M} \qquad p = \int_{j1}^{j1} Tr_{M} \qquad p = \int_{j1}^{j1} p_{K j j} p_{m j 1} j_{1} A_{K} j_{Q} ij_{M} ih_{M} h_{M} h_{Q} j_{M}^{y}$$

$$= X = \int_{j1}^{j1} J_{1} \qquad X \qquad p = \int_{j1}^{j1} p_{K j j} p_{m j 1} A_{K} j ih_{M} j_{M} h_{M} h_{M} h_{M} h_{M} h_{M}^{y}$$

$$= X = \int_{j1}^{j1} J_{1} \qquad X \qquad p = \int_{j1}^{j1} P_{K j j} A_{K} j_{Q} h_{Q} h_{M}^{y}$$

- [1] ¹/₁.M. C^kover and J.A. Thom as, E lem ents of Inform ation Theory (W iley, New York, 1991).
- [2] S.L.byd, Phys. Rev. A 55, 1613 (1997).
- [3] B. Schum acher and M. D. W estm oreland, Phys. Rev. A 56, 131 (1997).

The error operators are determ ined by the diagonal elem ents of the mem ory only, the o -diagonalmatrix elements have no e ect on the channel.

C onvergence of the D iagonal E lem ents of the M em ory State

The exact nature of the mem ory state itself depends on the states transmitted through the channel. Perhaps surprisingly, however, the diagonal elements of the state are independent of the transmitted states. To show this we note for a mem ory initially in the state $_{\rm M} = \frac{1}{j1} \frac{j1}{j1} \frac{j1}{j$

$$X = \int_{j1}^{j1} Tr_{QE} U_{QME} j_{QME} j_{Q$$

if m = k then the unitaries give $A_m^y A_k = I$, therefore the diagonalelem ents of $_M$ undergo the process of a M arkov chain. The o -diagonalelem ents do not necessarily vanish, but they do not a ect the error operators acting on the transm itted states. Only the diagonal elements of the mem ory state a ect the behavior of the channel.

ACKNOW LEDGM ENTS

W hile this work was undertaken, GB was supported by the Oxford-Australia Trust, the Harm sworth Trust, and Universities UK.

- [4] A. S. Holevo, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 44, 269 (1998).
- [5] C. H. Bennett, P. W. Shor, J. A. Smolin, and A. V. Thapliyal, EEE Trans. Inform. Theory 48, 2637 (2002), quant-ph/0106052.

- [6] C. Macchiavello and G. M. Palma, Phys. Rev. A 65, 050301R (2002), quant-ph/0107052.
- [7] S. Bose, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 207901 (2003), quantph/0212041.
- [8] K.Kraus, States, E ects, and Operations: FundamentalNotions of Quantum Theory (Springer{Verlag, Berlin, 1983).
- [9] B.Schum acher, Phys. Rev. A 54, 2614 (1996).
- [10] A.S.Holevo, Probl. Peredachi Inf. 9, 3 (1973).

- [11] B.Schum acher and M.A.Nielsen, Phys. Rev. A 54, 2629 (1996).
- [12] C.H.Bennett, D.P.D iV incenzo, J.A.Sm olin, and W.K. W ootters, Phys.Rev.A 54, 3824 (1996).
- [13] H.Barnum, E.Knill, and M.A.Nielsen, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 46, 1317 (2000).
- [14] C.H.Bennett, P.W.Shor, J.A.Smolin, and A.V. Thapliyal, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 3081 (1999).