M easurem ent-induced decoherence and G aussian sm oothing of the W igner distribution function

Yong-Jin Chun and Hai-W oong Lee

D epartm ent of P hysics, K orea A dvanced Institute of Science and Technology, D aejeon, 305-701, K orea

A bstract

W e study the problem of measurem ent-induced decoherence using the phase-space approach employing the G aussian-sm oothed W igner distribution function. Our investigation is based on the notion that measurem ent-induced decoherence is represented by the transition from the W igner distribution to the G aussian-sm oothed W igner distribution with the widths of the sm oothing function identied as measurem ent errors. We also compare the sm oothed W igner distribution with the corresponding distribution resulting from the classical analysis. The distributions we computed are the phase-space distributions for simple one-dimensional dynam ical systems such as a particle in a square-well potential and a particle moving under the in uence of a step potential, and the time-frequency distributions for high-harm onic radiation emitted from an atom irradiated by short, intense laser pulses.

Key words: measurement, decoherence, Gaussian smoothing, Wigner distribution function, high harmonic generation PACS: 03.65.Ta, 03.65.Yz, 42.65 Ky

1 Introduction

Quantum phase space distribution functions [1,2] o eran alternative means of formulating quantum mechanics to the standard wave mechanics formulation. Som e of the properties that these functions possess, how ever, make it difcult to associate them with a direct probabilistic interpretation. The W igner distribution function [3], for example, can take on negative values, while the

Em ailaddress: hwlee@laputa.kaist.ac.kr (Hai-W oong Lee).

Husim idistribution function [4], although nonnegative, does not yield the correct m arginal distributions. These functions are therefore usually regarded as a mathematical tool used to describe the quantum behavior of the system being considered and are thus referred to as the quasiprobability distribution functions.

There, however, have been continued theoretical investigations [5,6,7] on the possibility of the physical signi cance of the Husim i distribution function and more generally of the nonnegative smoothed W igner distribution function, ever since A rthurs and K elly [5] showed that the Husim i distribution is a proper probability distribution associated with a particular model of sim ultaneous measurements of position and momentum. An operational de nition of a probability distribution can be given [6] that explicitly takes into account the action of the measurement device modeled as a $\$ lter". The analysis show s that the phase-space distribution connected to a realistic simultaneous measurement of position and momentum can be expressed as a convolution of the W igner function of the system being detected and the W igner function of the

Iter state. If the W igner function of the Iter state is given by a minimum uncertainty G aussian function, which is the case for an ideal simultaneous m easurement with the maximal accuracy allowed by the Heisenberg uncertainty principle, the distribution can be identied as the Husimidistribution. A generalization to the case of a measurement with less accuracy leads immediately to an identication of the nonnegative smoothed W igner distribution as a distribution connected to a realistic measurement.

It is then clear that, in the phase-space approach, the act of a measurement is conveniently modeled by G aussian smoothing, with the widths of the smoothing function identied as measurement errors. The phase-space approach based on the smoothed W igner distribution function thus provides a convenient framework in which to study any changes that occur in the phasespace distribution of a system as a result of a measurement.

In this paper we aim to investigate e ects of a measurement on the phasespace distribution of a system using the phase-space description based on the sm oothed W igner distribution function [8]. We consider som e sim ple onedimensional systems a particle in a square-well potential, a particle moving under the in uence of a step potential, and a one-dimensional model atom irradiated by high-power laser pulses em itting high-harm onic radiation and com pute their W igner and sm oothed W igner distribution functions. The pure quantum distribution of the system s una ected by a measurement is represented by contour curves of the W igner distribution functions, whereas the \coarse-grained" distribution, i.e., the distribution \contam inated" by a measurement is displayed by contour curves of the smoothed W igner distribution functions. It may be expected that delicate quantum features are obscured more strongly by a measurement with larger measurement errors. Such effects of a measurem ent, which may be referred to as measurem ent-induced decoherence, can be studied by observing changes in the contour curves of the sm oothed W igner distribution functions, as the widths of the sm oothing

G aussian function are increased. We also compare the contour curves of the smoothed W igner distributions we computed with the corresponding contour curves resulting from the classical analysis. This should yield information on what quantum features survive measurement-induced decoherence.

In Section 2 we brie y describe the W igner and sm oothed W igner distribution functions and discuss their physical signi cance in relation to a m easurement. In Section 3 we choose, as our examples of simple dynamical systems, a particle in an in nite square-well potential and a particle subjected to a step potential and compute their contour curves of the W igner and sm oothed W igner distribution functions. These contour curves form the basis of our discussion ofm easurem ent-induced decoherence. A nother example we take for our study is a one-dimensional m odel atom irradiated by high-power laser pulses, which is described in Section 4. The time-frequency W igner and sm oothed W igner distributions are computed for high-harm onic radiation em itted from the m odel atom , and the e ect of a m easurem ent on the time-frequency distribution is discussed. Finally a discussion is given in Section 5.

2 W igner and sm oothed W igner distribution functions

The W igner distribution function [3] is de ned by

$$W (q;p) = \frac{1}{2} dx e^{2ipx - x} (q x) (q + x); \qquad (2.1)$$

where q and p are the coordinate and the m om entum of the system being considered and is the wave function. In our study of one-dimensional dynamical system s, we restrict our attention to the simple case when the system is in its energy eigenstate. The wave function and the W igner distribution function W is then independent of time. The G aussian-sm oothed W igner distribution function [8] is given by

$$G (q;p) = \frac{1}{2_{q}p}^{Z} dq^{0} dp^{0} e^{\frac{(q^{0} q)^{2}}{2_{q}^{2}}} e^{\frac{(p^{0} p)^{2}}{2_{p}^{2}}} W (q^{0};p^{0}): \qquad (2.2)$$

W hen the sm oothing G aussian function is a m in imum uncertainty wave packet, i.e., when $q p = \frac{\alpha}{2}$, G can be identified as the H usim idistribution function,

H (q;p) =
$$\frac{1}{2 \sim r} \frac{r}{r} = \frac{Z}{r} dx e^{(x q)^{2} = 2r} e^{ipx = r} (x)^{2}$$
; (2.3)

where the parameter dened as $=\frac{2}{2} \frac{2}{q} = \frac{2}{q} \frac{2}{p}$ has the dimension of m ass=time. If G is to represent a probability distribution corresponding to a simultaneous measurement of q and p, the widths should satisfy the inequality $q_p = \frac{2}{2}$, where q and p, respectively, can be identified as measurement

errors in q and p of the detection apparatus. For general m athem atical treatm ents, however, one m ay also include the unphysical regim e $_{q p} < \frac{1}{2}$ in the analysis. In particular, in the lim it $_{q}$! 0 and $_{p}$! 0, G (q;p) approaches the W igner function W (q;p).

In general the W igner function can be de ned in space of any pair of conjugate variables. In particular, in studies of signal processing, the W igner function in the time-frequency space, W (t; !), plays an important role [9]. The main tool for our time-frequency analysis of high-harm onic radiation generated by an atom invadiated by laser pulses, which is described in Section 4, is the W igner time-frequency distribution function of the dipole acceleration

$$W(t;!) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{1}^{2} de^{2t!} d(t) d(t+); \qquad (2.4)$$

where the dipole acceleration d (t) is given by

$$d(t) = \frac{d^2}{dt^2}d(t) = h(t)\dot{x}j(t)i:$$
 (2.5)

In Eq. (2.5), (t) represents the wave function of the electron in the atom and x is the position of the electron. The G aussian-sm oothed W igner distribution function in time-frequency space is given by

$$G(t;!) = \frac{1}{2 t!} \int_{0}^{Z} dt^{0} d! e^{\frac{(t^{0} t)^{2}}{2 t}} e^{\frac{(t^{0} t)^{2}}{2 t}} W(t^{0};!^{0}): \qquad (2.6)$$

When the widths t and ! satisfy t ! = $\frac{1}{2}$, G becomes the Husimitime-frequency distribution function

$$H(t;!) = \frac{1}{2}^{r} - de^{(t)^{2}=2}e^{i!} d()^{2}; \qquad (2.7)$$

where the parameter given by $= \frac{1}{2 \frac{2}{t}} = 2 \frac{2}{!}$ has now the dimension of (time)².

Since the m easurem ent errors satisfying the inequality $q p = \frac{2}{2}$ (or $t ! \frac{1}{2}$) belong to the physical regime, the contour curves of G associated with the sm oothing G aussian function satisfying this inequality can in principle be observed. Perhaps the most straightforward way of constructing such contour curves from m easurem ents is to make a large number of simultaneous m easurem ents of position and momentum (or time and frequency) upon identically prepared system s. Each m easurem ent should be performed with the same measurem ent errors q and p (or t and !). Each measurem ent should also be performed on a given system no more than once, because the measurem ent disturbs the system and its phase-space distribution. From the results of such measurem ents one can determ ine the phase-space distribution (or the time-frequency distribution) for the system under consideration. The contour curves of the sm oothed W igner distribution function associated with the widths q

and $_{p}$ (or $_{t}$ and $_{!}$) of the sm oothing G aussian function are then obtained by connecting the phase-space points (or the time-frequency points) having the same phase-space probability (or the same time-frequency space probability). Our main concern here is the elects of a measurement on quantum properties of a system. Information on such elects can be directly obtained by comparing contour curves of the W igner distribution function and those of various sm oothed W igner distribution functions associated with dilerent values of $_{q}$ and $_{p}$ (or $_{t}$ and $_{!}$). In the next two sections we present results for our computation of the contour curves in phase space for two simple one-dimensional dynam ical system s and in time-frequency space for high-harm onic radiation emitted by an atom irradiated by laser pulses.

3 One-dimensional dynamical systems in a stationary state

In this section we present results of our computation of contour curves of the W igner and smoothed W igner distribution functions for two simple systems in a stationary state; a particle in a symmetric in nite square-well potential and a particle m oving under the in uence of a step potential. For stationary systems it is possible to obtain contour plots of the W igner distribution function directly by solving a pair of time-independent equations of motion satis ed by the W igner function [10]. One can also derive a pair of time-independent equations of motion for the smoothed W igner distribution function and can thus obtain its contour plots from the solutions of these equations. In the present work, however, we have chosen to numerically integrate the W igner distribution function according to Eq. (2.2) in order to obtain contour curves of the sm oothed W igner distribution function for the smooth function, because an analytical form for the W igner distribution function is known for each of the two systems being considered here.

3.1 Particle in a square well

W e consider a particle in a symmetric in nite square-well potential

$$V(q) = \begin{cases} {}^{8} < 0; & \text{if a } q \ a, \\ {}^{1} 1; & \text{if } q < a, \text{ or } q > a: \end{cases}$$
(3.1)

An analytic form for the W igner distribution function is known for this system in its eigenstate [11]. For all our computation we take the mass of the particle to be 1 and the width of the well to be 20 in an arbitrary unit system in which $\sim = 1$.

Shown in Figs. 1 (a) and 1 (b) are contour plots of the W igner distribution

function and the sm oothed W igner distribution function, respectively, for the particle in its ground state. The sm oothing parameters for Fig. 1 (b) are chosen to be q = 3.62 and p = 0.157 ($q p = 0.57 > \frac{2}{2}$). Comparing Figs. 1 (a) and 1 (b), we see that the smoothed W igner distribution function has a simpler structure than the nonsmoothed W igner distribution function. This re ects the e ect of measurem ent-induced decoherence. The most conspicuous quantum structure which is exhibited in Fig. 1 (a) but is not revealed when observed with $_{q} = 3.62$ and $_{p} = 0.157$ is closed orbits o the origin called \islands". Two pairs of the islands are shown in Fig. 1 (a). C lose inspection of the contour plot of the W igner distribution function, however, reveals many such islands, although not shown in the gure. We note that a purely classical dynamical consideration would indicate that the contour lines of the classical probability follow classical trajectories. Thus, for the present case of a particle in a square-well potential, classical contour lines would consist of a set of pairs of straight horizontal lines in the region a q a. It is interesting to note that the contour curves of the smoothed W igner function, Fig. 1(b), are not straight lines, which indicate that some quantum characteristics still survive G aussian sm oothing. The quantum dynam ical property represented by curved contour curves m ay be referred to as nonlocality, because these curves lead one to interpret that the particle changes its momentum even before it actually hits the potential wall. (For classical dynam ical interpretation of W igner contour curves and problem s arising from it, see [11] and [12].) This quantum behavior (curved contour curves) lies within the lim its of observation because Fig. 1 (b) belongs to the physical regime ($_{q}$ p $\frac{\tilde{2}}{2}$).

Figs.2(a)–2(d) show contour curves for the same particle in its fth eigen– state [13]. The contour plot of the W igner distribution function shown in Fig. 2 (a) indicates that there are ve maxim a along the q axis arising from the ve-peak standing wave structure of the eigenfunction and two maxim a along the p axis corresponding to the momenta to the right and left, respectively, of the classical particle of the sam e energy. Characteristic quantum features such as curved contour lines and the form ation of islands are even m ore evident here.Figs.2(b) and 2(c) are contour plots of the Husim i distribution function $(q_p = \frac{1}{2})$ with q = 0.637, p = 0.785, and with q = 5.70, p = 0.0877, respectively. One sees that a choice of a large $_{\rm q}$ ($_{\rm p}$) results in strong sm oothing along the q (p) axis. These two gures represent the quantum phase-space distribution connected to ideal simultaneous measurements with dierent degrees of the position vs.m om entum uncertainties. The fact that H usim i curves are dierent for a dierent choice of $_{\rm q}$ or $_{\rm p}$ m eans physically that the quantum distribution looks di erent depending on how one observes it. It should be noted that the curves of Figs. 2 (b) and 2 (c), although simpler in structure than the curves of Fig. 2 (a) due to measurem ent-induced decoherence, still exhibit strong quantum behavior such as the islands even though they belong to the physical regime. This can be understood if we note that the uncertainties $\overline{hq^2 i}$ hqi^2 and p $hp^2 i$ hpi^2 associated with the ffh eigenstate q

are given by $_q = 5:70$ and $_p = 0:785$ ($_q _p = 4:48$). The widths $_q$ and $_p$ of the sm oothing G aussian function used for F igs. 2 (b) and 2 (c) are less than the uncertainties $_q$ and $_p$ inherent in the ffh eigenstate, and therefore the probabilistic nature of the quantum eigenstate is expected to be displayed by the corresponding contour curves. Fig. 2 (d) shows contour plots for the case $_q = _q$ and $_p = _p$. One sees now that the islands, a clear indication of a strong quantum feature, have disappeared. Note, how ever, that the nonlocal nature (i.e., curved contour curves) is still indicated by the contour curves of F ig. 2 (d), as these curves deviate from the corresponding classical trajectories, i.e., straight horizontal lines.

3.2 Particle incident on a potential step

As a second example we consider a particle of xed energy E moving under the in uence of a step potential

$$V(q) = \begin{cases} \frac{8}{10}; & \text{if } q < 0, \\ \vdots & V_0; & \text{if } q = 0. \end{cases}$$
(3.2)

The wave function and the W igner distribution function for this particle have been given earlier [11]. The parameter values we have chosen for our computation are $m = 1, V_0 = 1, E = 0.5$ in an arbitrary unit system in which $\sim = 1$. Since the particle energy E is one half the potential step V_0 , the wave function decreases exponentially with respect to q in the region q = 0.

Fig. 3(a) shows a contour plot of the W igner distribution function for the particle. In addition to the quantum features such as curved contour lines and the islands that are already exhibited by the W igner curves of the particle in a square-well potential, we see that some curves exhibit tunneling into the potential step.

In Figs. 3(b) and 3(c) we show contour plots of the Husin i distribution function for the cases $_{q} = 0.5$, $_{p} = 1$ and $_{q} = 1.58$, $_{p} = 0.316$, respectively. Strong quantum features such as nonlocality, islands and tunneling are still clearly shown, although the curves belong to the physical regime. This can be understood by recalling that, for the particle of energy E = 0.5 being considered here, $_{q} ! 1_{p} \frac{(since the particle is extended in the entire half space q < 0) and <math>_{p} = \frac{1}{2mE} = 1$, and the widths $_{q}$ and $_{p}$ chosen for Figs. 3(b) and 3(c) are still su ciently small that the quantum nature of the eigenfunction survives.

Finally in Fig.3 (d) we show a contour plot of the sm oothed W igner distribution function with $_q = 2.236$, $_p = 1.A$ lthough the islands have disappeared now, the curves still exhibit nonlocality and tunneling. The curves are not quite the same as the classical trajectories which consist of a set of pairs of straight lines in the region q < 0 for $E < V_0$. 4 High-harm onic radiation generated by a one-dimensional atom irradiated by laser pulses

The phenom enon of high-harm onic generation in atom ic gases irradiated by high-power laser pulses has been investigated intensively in the past both theoretically and experimentally [14]. A typical emission spectrum observed experimentally shows a broad plateau extending to high-order harm onics accompanied with a fast cuto . The mechanism by which high-order harm onics are generated is now well understood. In particular, the simple classical threestep model [15] has been quite useful in providing the conceptual understanding of this phenomenon.

In this work we focus on the tim e-frequency distribution of the em itted radiation, i.e., on the relationship between the tim e of em ission and the frequency of em itted radiation. Since the tem poral variation of the em itted signal can be represented by the dipole acceleration [16], the pure quantum -m echanical tim e-frequency distribution of the em itted radiation is described by the W igner tim e-frequency distribution of the dipole acceleration as de ned in Eq. (2.4) [17]. O urm ain interest lies in the e ect of m easurem ent on this W igner tim efrequency distribution. In this particular case, the m easurem ent should consist of the sim ultaneous m easurem ent of tim e and frequency. O ne needs to perform a large num ber of such m easurem ent upon a large num ber of identically prepared sam ples (atom ic gases irradiated by laser pulses). The tim e-frequency distribution associated with the m easurem ents with the uncertainties $_t$ and

: is given by the Gaussian-sm oothed W igner distribution G (t; !) of Eq. (2.6). We also compare this sm oothed W igner distribution with the corresponding distribution resulting from classical analysis.

In subsection 4.1 we give a brief description of the system. In subsection 4.2 we then nd the classical time-frequency distribution based on the three-step model. The main part of this section is subsection 4.3 in which quantum time-frequency distribution, both W igner and sm oothed W igner, are presented.

4.1 System

The system under consideration is a one-dimensional model atom irradiated by laser pulses. The wave function of the electron in the atom evolves with time according to the time-dependent Schrödinger equation which reads in atom ic units #

$$i\frac{\theta}{\theta t}$$
j (t) $i = \frac{p^2}{2} + V$ (x) xE (t) j (t) i ; (4.1)

where the atom ic potential V (x) is modeled upon a soft-core potential of the form

$$V(x) = p \frac{1}{x^2 + x^2}:$$
(4.2)

We set = 0:67 a.u. in order for the system to have a ground-state energy equivalent to the binding energy I_p of Ne.The laser pulse incident on the atom is assumed to be a Gaussian pulse with the electric eld E (t) given by

$$E (t) = E_0 \exp \left[2 \ln 2 \frac{t^2}{(t)^2} \right]^{\#} \cos(!_{\rm L}t):$$
(4.3)

In our calculations, we take the center frequency of the pulse, $!_{\rm L} = 800 \text{ nm}$, the full width at half maximum of the laser pulse, t = 160 fs, and the peak intensity $3 \quad 10^{14} \text{ W} = \text{cm}^2$.

4.2 Classical analysis

Here we consider the question of when each harmonic is emitted. If one uses classical analysis based on the three-step model [15], it is straightforward to obtain the relation between the time of emission and the frequency of emitted radiation. A fler tunneling through the C oulom b barrier, di erent electrons in atom s at di erent locations in general see the laser eld at di erent phases and therefore follow di erent classical trajectories. C onsequently, di erent electrons return to their nuclei at di erent times with di erent kinetic energies. Let us say that the ith electron returns to its nucleus at time t_i with kinetic energy K_i = $\frac{1}{2}$ m v_i². W hen this electron recombines with the nucleus, the radiation of frequency !_i given by ~!_i = I_p + K_i is emitted. C ollecting !_i and t_i for all di erent electrons, one has the answer to the question of when the radiation of a given frequency is emitted. The solid curves in Fig. 4 shows the result of such classical calculations. The curves exhibit the characteristic

structure, which indicates that, within one-half optical cycle of the laser eld, there exist two di erent classical trajectories, called \short" and \long" paths, with two di erent return times that lead to an emission of radiation of a given frequency [18]. The open circles in Fig. 4 represent contributions from multiple recollisions. There is a possibility that recombination does not occur when the electron returns to its nucleus. One thus needs to consider the cases when the electron recombines with the nucleus at the nth (n 2) encounter with the nucleus. These open circles together with the solid curves of the structure comprise the classical time-frequency distribution obtained using the three-step m odel.

4.3 Quantum - mechanical analysis

We now turn to a quantum time-frequency distribution of the em itted radiation [17]. For our computation of the W igner distribution of Eq. (2.4), we rst solve the time dependent Schrödinger equation, Eq. (4.1), using the C rank-N icolson m ethod. Once (t) is known, the dipole acceleration d(t) can be calculated using Eq. (2.5) and then the W igner time-frequency distribution W (t;!) can be obtained using Eq. (2.4). The G aussian-sm oothed W igner distribution G (t;!) can then be obtained using Eq. (2.6). In particular, the H usim it in e-frequency distribution can be computed relatively easily by using Eq. (2.7).

The W igner time-frequency distribution W (t;!) we computed shows a very complicated structure, and it is dicult to extract physical meaning out of it. We therefore choose not to show it here and just to mention that it consists of a large number of sm all islands, a clear indication that strong quantum behavior is exhibited by the time-frequency distribution.

In Figs. 5 (a)-5 (c), we show G aussian-sm oothed W igner time-frequency distributions we computed. The widths of the smoothing Gaussian function are t = 2236 and t = 0.224 for Fig. 5 (a). Since $t = \frac{1}{2}$, Fig. 5 (a) is the Husim i time-frequency distribution. For Figs. 5(b) and 5(c), we have t = 2.236, $_{!}$ = 0:448, and $_{t}$ = 4:472, $_{!}$ = 0:224, respectively. We note that the overall shape of the smoothed W igner distributions suggests the structure of the classical distribution of Fig. 4. The sim ilarity, however, is only qualitative, because the smoothed W igner distributions have many detailed structures (m any islands) absent in the classical distribution. We mention, how ever, that the sm oothed distributions of Figs. 5(a)-5(c) are much simpler than the nonsmoothed W igner distribution not shown. We see from Figs. 5(a)-5(c) that the distribution in the low-order region takes on the maximum value at times 0.5, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0, etc, i.e. at times at which the laser eld amplitude takes on the maximum or minimum value. The -like structure in the midplateau region shown in Figs. 5(a)-5(c) indicates that photons in the plateau region are emitted mainly at two di erent times within one-half cycle of the laser ed, in agreem ent with the classical analysis based on Fig. 4. The separation between these two times decreases and eventually vanishes as the harm onic order is increased toward the cuto . In the cuto region, therefore, photons are em itted once within one-half cycle. Figs. 5 (a)-5 (c) show that the distribution in the cuto region is maximum at times 0.7, 12, 1.7, 22, etc, indicating that photons in the cuto region are emitted at times just before the laser am plitude vanishes.

5 D iscussion

In this paper we have studied e ects of a measurement on the phase-space (time-frequency) distribution using the approach based on the sm oothed W igner distribution function. The physical signi cance of the phase-space (time-frequency) contour curves diers depending on the widths $_{\rm q}$ and $_{\rm p}$ ($_{\rm t}$ and $_{\rm l}$) of the sm oothed W igner distribution function used. W hile the

contour curves of the nonsmoothed W igner distribution function with $_q ! 0$ and $_p ! 0$ ($_t ! 0$ and $_! ! 0$) represent the unobservable pure quantum distribution, the H usim i curves with $_{q p} = \frac{2}{2} (_{t !} = \frac{1}{2})$ represent the quantum distribution observed in ideal simultaneous m easurements with the maxim alaccuracy allowed by the H eisenberg uncertainty principle. In general, contour curves in the physical regime corresponding to $_{q p} = \frac{2}{2} (_{t !} = \frac{1}{2})$ represent the quantum distribution associated with a coarse-grained observation caused by measurement errors. We have seen that quantum features such as the islands tend to disappear and the resulting quantum distribution tion becomes simpler in structure, as the measurement errors are increased. In general, how ever, the sm oothed W igner distributions associated with relatively large measurement errors have more structures than the corresponding classical distributions.

In conclusion we have shown, with simple one-dimensionalmodel systems as examples, that the phase-space formulation based on the smoothed W igner distribution function provides a very natural framework in which to study how the quantum phase-space distribution is a ected by a measurement.

A cknow ledgem ents

This research was supported by K orea R esearch Foundation under C ontract No. 2001-015-D P 0107.

References

- M.Hillery, R.F.O'Connel, M.O.Scully, and E.P.W igner, Phys. Rep. 106, 121 (1984).
- [2] H.W.Lee, Phys. Rep. 259, 147 (1995).
- [3] E.W igner, Phys. Rev. 40, 749 (1932).
- [4] K.Husimi, Prog. Phys. Math. Soc. Japan 22, 264 (1940).
- [5] E.Arthurs and J.L.Kelly, Jr., Bell Syst. Tech. J. 44, 725 (1965).
- [6] K.Wodkiewicz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 52, 1064 (1984).
- [7] E.Prugovecki, Ann.Phys.110, 102 (1978);
 S.T.Aliand E.Prugovecki, J.M ath.Phys.18, 219 (1977);
 K.Takahashiand N.Saito, Phys.Rev.Lett.55, 645 (1985);
 S.L.Braunstein, C.M. Caves, and G.J.M ilburn, Phys.Rev.A 43, 1153 (1991);
 S.Stenholm, Ann.Phys.218, 233 (1992);
 D.M.Appleby, J.Phys.A :M ath.Gen.31, 6419 (1998).

[8] N.D.Cartwright, Physica 83A, 210 (1976); R.F.O'Conneland E.P.W igner, Phys. Lett. 85A, 121 (1981); A. Jannussis, N. Patargias, A. Leodaris, P. Filippakis, T. Filippakis, A. Streclas, and V. Papatheou, Lett. Nuovo C im ento 34, 553 (1982); D. Lalovic, D. M. Davidovic, and N. Bijedic, Physica A 184, 231 (1992). [9] See, for example, L. Cohen, Proc. IEEE 77, 941 (1989). [10] D.B.Fairlie, Proc.Camb.Phil.Soc. 60, 581 (1964); W.Kundt, Z.Naturforsch. 22a, 1333 (1967); T.Curtright, D.Fairlie, and C.Zachos, Phys.Rev.D 58, 025002 (1998); M.Hug, C.Menke, and W.P.Schleich, J.Phys. A 31, L217 (1998). [11] H.W. Lee and M.O. Scully, Found. Phys. 13, 61 (1983). [12] H.W.Læ, Found. Phys. 22, 995 (1992); H.W.Lee, Phys.Lett.A, 146, 287 (1990); R.Sala, S.Brouard, and J.G.Muga, J.Chem. Phys. 99, 2708 (1993); K.L.Jensen and F.A.Buot, Appl. Phys. Lett. 55, 669 (1989); N.E.Henriksen, G.D.Billing, and F.Y.Hansen, Chem. Phys. Lett. 149, 397 (1988); G.W.Bund, S.S.M izrahi, and M.C.Tijero, Phys. Rev. A 53, 1191 (1996); T.Curtright and C.Zachos, J.Phys. A 32, 771 (1999); M.Hug and G.J.M ilburn, Phys. Rev. A 63, 023413 (2001); A.L.Rivera, N.M. Atakishiyev, S.M. Chumakov, and K.B.Wolf, Phys. Rev. A 55,876 (1997); B.Segev, Phys.Rev.A 63, 052114 (2001). [13] M.A.M. de Aguiar and A.M.O. de Almeida, J. Phys. A 23, L1025 (1990); H.W.Lee, Phys. Rev. A 50, 2746 (1994). [14] For a review, see, e.g., M. Protopapas, C. H. Keitel, and P. L. Knight, Rep. Prog.Phys. 60, 389 (1997). [15] J. L. Krause, K. J. Schafer, and K. C. Kulander, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 3535 (1992); K.J.Schafer, B.Yang, L.F.D M auro, and K.C.Kulander, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70,1599 (1993); P.B.Corkum, Phys.Rev.Lett. 71, 1994 (1993). [16] J.B.W atson, A. Sanpera, and K. Burnett, Phys. Rev. A 51, 1458 (1995). [17] J.H.Kim, D.G.Lee, H.J.Shin, and C.H.Nam, Phys. Rev. A 63, 063403 (2001). [18] P. Salieres, A. L'Huillier, and M. Lewenstein, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 3776 (1995); M. Lewenstein, P. Salieres, and A. L'Huillier, Phys. Rev. A 52, 4747 (1995); Ph.Balcou, A.S.Dederichs, M.B.Gaarde, and A.L'Huillier, J.Phys.B 32, 2973 (1999); M.Bellini, C.Lynga, A.Tozzi, M.B.Gaarde, T.W.Hansch, A.L'Huillier, and C.G.W ahlstrom, Phys.Rev.Lett. 81, 297 (1998);

D.G.Lee, H.J.Shin, Y.H.Cha, K.H.Hong, J.H.Kim, and C.H.Nam, Phys. Rev.A 63,021801(R) (2001).

Figure Captions

F igure 1.C ontour plots for a particle in a symmetric in nite square-well potential in its ground state. The mass of the particle is 1 and the width of the potential well is 20 in an arbitrary unit system in which $\sim = 1$. (a) W igner function, (b) smoothed W igner function with $_{\rm q} = 3.62$ and $_{\rm p} = 0.157$.

Figure 2. Same as Figure 1 except that the particle is in its ffh eigenstate. (a) W igner function, (b) Husim i function with $_q = 0.637$, $_p = 0.785$, (c) Husim i function with $_q = 5.70$, $_p = 0.0877$, (d) sm oothed W igner function with $_q = 5.70$, $_p = 0.785$.

F igure 3. C ontour plots for a particle m oving under the in uence of a step potential. The m ass and energy of the particle are 1 and 0.5, respectively, and the value of the potential is 0 for q < 0 and 1 for q = 0, in an arbitrary unit system in which $\sim = 1$. (a) W igner function, (b) Husim i function with $_q = 0.5$, $_p = 1$, (c) Husim i function with $_q = 1.58$, $_p = 0.316$, (d) sm oothed W igner function with $_q = 2.236$, $_p = 1$.

F igure 4. Classical time-frequency distribution for high-harm onic radiation. The solid curves represent contributions from the short and long paths, and the open circles represent contributions from multiple recollisions.

F igure 5. Contour plots for high-harm onic radiation. (a) Husim i function with t = 2.236, t = 0.224, (b) sm oothed W igner function with t = 2.236, t = 0.248, (c) sm oothed W igner function with t = 4.472, t = 0.224.



Fig.1.



Fig.2.



Fig.3.



Fig.4.



Fig.5.