

Complete population transfer in degenerate n -state atoms

J.H. McGuire, Kh.Kh. Shakov, and Kh.Yu. Rakhimov*
Physics Department, Tulane University, New Orleans, LA 70118 USA.
 (Dated: January 23, 2022)

We find a set of conditions to achieve complete population transfer, via coherent population trapping, from an initial state to a designated final state at a designated time in a degenerate n -state atom, where the transitions are caused by an external interaction.

PACS numbers: 32.80.-t, 42.50.-p, 32.80.Qk

Population control in quantum systems, namely transfer of electrons from an ensemble of atoms all in the same initial state to specified final states within the ensemble, is used in problems ranging from coherent population trapping [1, 2], including electromagnetically induced transparency [3, 4], and quantum computing [5, 6], to chemical dynamics [7, 8]. These problems are modeled in terms of an n -state atom interacting with an external field [9, 10, 11]. In recent papers [12, 13, 14] we have shown that 2-state and 3-state atoms are relatively easy to understand in the degenerate limit, where all states have the same energy. We have specifically shown how to achieve complete population transfer in degenerate 2-state [14] and 3-state [12] atoms when the matrix elements of the external interaction have a common time dependence. Here we present a way to achieve complete population transfer in degenerate n -state atoms.

Our degenerate energy approximation is somewhat similar to the rotating wave approximation (RWA) [9, 10] that has been widely applied to both 2 and 3-state atomic models. In RWA, however, degenerate atomic states are not used. Instead one tunes the frequency of the external field, $V(t) = \chi \cos(\omega t)$, to the frequency difference of two non-degenerate levels so that the detuning parameter, $\Delta = \hbar\omega + E_1 - E_2$, tends to zero. Thus in RWA an initial state of an atom plus one photon is degenerate in energy with the final state of the atom. An advantage of using degenerate atomic states is that one is not restricted to external interactions with frequencies close to the transition frequency. Thus ω can be used for control [14], e.g. to vary the duration of time that the transferred population remains in the designated state, or to reduce the population leakage that occurs when the energy levels are not fully degenerate. On the other hand RWA has the advantage that analytic solutions have been found when the detuning parameter is small, but finite.

Consider an n -state atom interacting with an external field, $V_{ext}(\vec{r}, t)$. The total Hamiltonian for this system is $H = H_0 + V_{ext}(\vec{r}, t)$. The n eigenstates, ϕ_k , and corresponding eigenenergies, E_k , of H_0 are assumed to be known. The total wavefunction may be expanded

in terms of the known eigenstates, namely, $\Psi(t) = a_1(t)\phi_1 + a_2(t)\phi_2 + \cdots + a_n(t)\phi_n$. With atomic units, using $i\dot{\Psi} = (H_0 + V_{ext}(\vec{r}, t))\Psi$, with $H_0\phi_k = E_k\phi_k$ and $\int \phi_k^* \phi_j d\vec{r} = \delta_{kj}$, one then obtains [10], $i\dot{a}_k(t) = E_k a_k(t) + \sum_{j=1}^n V_{kj}(t) a_j(t)$, where $V_{kj}(t) = \int \phi_k^* V_{ext}(\vec{r}, t) \phi_j d\vec{r}$. These equations are exact for an n -state atom. We now assume that the system is degenerate, namely that all the energies, E_k , are the same. Since the zero point of energy is arbitrary, one may generally set $E_k = 0$. These conditions give the coupled equations for a degenerate n -state system, namely,

$$i\dot{a}_k(t) = \sum_{j=1}^n V_{kj}(t) a_j(t) \quad . \quad (1)$$

We use the initial condition $a_1(0) = 1$, and $a_k(0) = 0$ for $k \neq 1$. We additionally assume that all of the $V_{kj}(t)$ have the same time dependence. Here we also take $V_{kj}(t) = V_{jk}(t)$ to be real.

As will be verified below, there is a general scheme to find analytic solutions for degenerate n -state atoms [13]. This scheme is straightforward. Seek a solution to Eqs(1) of the form $c(t) = x_1 a_1(t) + x_2 a_2(t) + \cdots + x_n a_n(t)$. Since $a_i(0) = \delta_{i1}$, one has that $x_1 = 1$. Next calculate $i\dot{c}(t)$ using Eqs(1) and require that $i\dot{c}(t) = zV(t) c(t)$. Here $V(t)$ is a common factor for the $V_{kj}(t)$ terms, and z is a linear sum of the x_i 's, dependent on the relative (time independent) strengths of the $V_{kj}(t)$. As illustrated below, this leads to an n^{th} order equation in each of the x_i 's for $i \neq 1$, whose roots may be denoted by x_{ji} . This yields n eigenvalues, z_j , and n eigenfunctions, $c_j(t) = e^{-iz_j A(t)}$, where $A(t) = \int_0^t V(t') dt'$. This process determines the matrix elements, \mathcal{M}_{jk} , for $c_j(t) = \sum_k \mathcal{M}_{jk} a_k(t)$. Specifically, $\mathcal{M}_{jk} = x_{jk}$. Inverting this relation yields the probability amplitudes for the electron population, $a_k(t) = \sum_j \mathcal{M}_{kj}^{-1} c_j(t) = \sum_j \mathcal{M}_{kj}^{-1} e^{-iz_j A(t)}$. Using $\cos(a - b) = \cos a \cos b + \sin a \sin b$, one quickly obtains,

$$P_k(t) = |a_k(t)|^2 = \sum_i^n \sum_j^n \mathcal{M}_{ki}^{-1} \mathcal{M}_{kj}^{-1} \cos[(z_i - z_j)A(t)]. \quad (2)$$

Thus the occupation probabilities may be determined analytically for a degenerate n -state atom.

Since the x_{ji} 's and z_j 's vary with the $V_{kj}(t)$, one may seek conditions on the matrix elements $V_{kj}(t)$ and

*Permanent address: Department of Heat Physics, Uzbekistan Academy of Sciences, 28 Katartal St., Tashkent 700135, Uzbekistan

on $A(t_0)$ such that the electron populations $P_k(t_0) = |a_k(t_0)|^2$ take desired values at $t = t_0$. It has been shown that complete population transfer occurs at $t = t_0$ if $A(t_0)/\pi = 1/2$ in the 2-state atom [14] and $A(t_0)/\pi = 1/\sqrt{2}$ in the 3-state atom [12]. In addition $V_{13}(t)/V_{23}(t) = 1$, and all the V_{ii} are the same for the 3-state atom.

Since for $k \neq 1$ all the $a_k(0) = 0$, the degenerate n -state equations, Eqs(1), simplify if $V_{kj}(t) = \gamma V_{23}(t)$ for all $j \geq 3$. Using $V(t) = \alpha^{-1}V_{12} = \beta^{-1}V_{13} = \gamma^{-1}V_{23} = \epsilon_i^{-1}V_{ii}$, Eqs(1) become,

$$\begin{aligned} i\dot{a}_1(t) &= V(t) (\epsilon_1 a_1(t) + \alpha a_2(t) + (n-2)\beta a_3(t)), \\ i\dot{a}_2(t) &= V(t) (\alpha a_1(t) + \epsilon_2 a_2(t) + (n-2)\gamma a_3(t)), \\ i\dot{a}_3(t) &= V(t) (\beta a_1(t) + \gamma a_2(t) + (\epsilon_3 + (n-3)) a_3(t)). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

This partially symmetric n -state system is mathematically equivalent to a 3-state system with $\tilde{V}_{13} = (n-2)\tilde{V}_{31}$ and $\tilde{V}_{23} = (n-2)\tilde{V}_{32}$. Since $V(t)$ is arbitrary at this point, we may set $\gamma = 1$ without loss of generality.

These equations may be solved using the general scheme described above. To find a solution that is mathematically simple, following the 3-state atom [12] we choose $\beta = 1$ and all $\epsilon_j = \epsilon$. The value of ϵ may be arbitrarily changed by an overall phase transformation of the a_j . Here we use $\epsilon = 0$. Taking $x_2 = x$ and $x_3 = y$ to simplify notation, one quickly obtains, $x = (\alpha + y)/(\alpha x + (n-2)y)$ and $y = (1 + x + (n-3)y)/(\alpha x + (n-2)y)$ with $z = \alpha x + (n-2)y$. This yields cubic equations in x and y . However, it is evident in this case that if $x = -1$ then $y = 0$, and if $x = 1$ then $y = y_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{-\alpha+n-3}{n-2} \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{\alpha-n+3}{n-2} \right)^2 + \frac{8}{n-2}} \right)$. Hence there are three eigenvalues for x , y and z , namely $\{x_j\} = \{1, 1, -1\}$, $\{y_j\} = \{y_+, y_-, 0\}$ and $\{z_j\} = \{\alpha + (n-2)y_+, \alpha + (n-2)y_-, -\alpha\}$. This gives three eigenfunctions, $c_j = e^{-iz_j A(t)}$, which are linear combinations of the $a_k(t)$. Specifically $a_k = \sum_{j=1}^3 \mathcal{M}_{kj}^{-1} c_j$,

$$\text{where, } \mathcal{M}^{-1} = \frac{1}{2(y_+ - y_-)} \begin{pmatrix} -y_- & y_+ & (y_+ - y_-) \\ -y_- & y_+ & -(y_+ - y_-) \\ \frac{2}{n-2} & \frac{-2}{n-2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This yields transition probabilities $P_k(t) = |a_k(t)|^2$, in accord with Eqs(2).

From Eqs(2) extrema of $P_i(t)$ occur at $t = t_0$ when $(z_1 - z_2)A(t_0)/\pi = k$ and $(z_2 - z_3)A(t_0)/\pi = k'$. The third condition is redundant since $P_1 + P_2 + (n-2)P_3 = 1$. One may show after some algebra that these conditions are met when,

$$\begin{aligned} A(t_0)/\pi &= \pm n_0 \sqrt{\frac{9}{18(n-2) + 4(n-3)^2}}, \\ \alpha &= V_{12}(t)/V_{23}(t) = -\frac{1}{3}(n-3), \\ \beta &= V_{13}(t)/V_{23}(t) = 1, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where n is the number of degenerate states, and n_0 is any odd integer. It may be shown that $k = -2k' = 2n_0$.




FIG. 1: Occupation probabilities as a function of time in a degenerate n -state atom in an external field $V(t) = \chi \cos(\omega t)$. The long dash line denotes $P_1(t)$, the probability that the electron is in its initial state; the solid line denotes $P_2(t)$, the probability that the electron is in the target state; and the short dash line denotes $(n-2)P_3(t)$, where $P_3(t)$ is the probability that the electron is a state other than the initial or target state. Complete population transfer occurs at $t = t_0$ when in Eqs(5), $\theta = 2\pi n_0 A(t)/A(t_0)$.

Using Eqs(2) one can then show,

$$\begin{aligned} P_1 &= |a_1(t)|^2 = \frac{1}{8}[3 + \cos(\theta) + 4 \cos(\theta/2)], \\ P_2 &= |a_2(t)|^2 = \frac{1}{8}[3 + \cos(\theta) - 4 \cos(\theta/2)], \\ P_3 &= |a_3(t)|^2 = \left(\frac{1}{n-2}\right) \frac{1}{2} \sin^2(\theta/2), \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where $\theta = \theta(t) = 2\pi n_0[A(t)/A(t_0)]$ with $A(t) = \int_0^t V(t')dt'$. Complete population transfer occurs from state 1 to state 2 at $t = t_0$ when $\theta(t) = 2\pi n_0$. Using Eqs(4) one may confirm that as $n \rightarrow \infty$ these solutions reduce to those of a 2-state atom with $V_{11} = V_{22}$, where $P_1 = \cos^2 A(t)$ and $P_2 = \sin^2 A(t)$.

To verify both the general scheme and our algebra, we solved Eqs(1) numerically for a 4-state atom using a fourth order Runge Kutta method for $V(t) = \chi \cos(\omega t)$. The results, shown in the figure, are identical to those given analytically by Eqs(5). When the numerical code is run with non-degenerate energies, i.e. $E_i - E_j = \hbar\omega_{ij} \neq 0$, we find that population transfer is not complete. When $(\omega_{ij}/\omega)^2 < 1$ the population leakage varies as $c(\omega_{ij}/\omega)^2$, where $|c| < 1$. Thus, population leakage may be reduced by increasing the frequency, ω , of the external field.

An advantage of our approach is that one is free to change the shape of $V(t)$. This may be used to control how long the system remains in its target state. An extreme example is a sudden 'kick', where $V(t) = A_0 \delta(t - t_0)$. In this case the system changes suddenly from state 1 to state 2 at $t = t_0$ and remains there indefinitely. This is a switch. One may apply a series of switches by taking

$V(t) = A_0[\delta(t - t_1) + \delta(t - t_2) + \dots + \delta(t - t_k)]$. Alternatively one may switch to different states by adjusting the matrix elements so that after each step $V_{12}(t)$ is interchanged with $V_{1'2}(t)$, where state $1'$ is now the state occupied before the next ‘kick’ is applied.

In this paper we consider transitions amplitudes and probabilities in an n -state atom with degenerate states coupled by $V_{ext}(t)$ whose matrix elements, $V_{ij}(t)$ all have a common time dependence. If the states are truly degenerate, the transition probabilities are given exactly by Eqs(2) above. Moreover, under conditions detailed in this paper population transfer can be complete. RWA differs in these two features since in RWA correction terms are always present for any finite value of ω . On the other hand, in realistic atomic systems while the $V_{ij}(t)$ often all have a common time dependence, the energy levels are seldom, if ever, exactly degenerate. Also levels outside n -state manifold usually exist. Consequently, use of our results is restricted to external fields with frequencies in the range, $\omega_{min} < \omega < \omega_{max}$. Here $\hbar\omega_{min}$ is the energy splitting of the nearly degenerate states, and $\hbar\omega_{max}$ is the energy difference between the nearly degenerate n -state manifold and the closest state in energy outside the manifold. While this restriction prevents the use of ideal ‘kicks’, if ω_{max} is large enough practical ‘kicks’ with a finite width in time may be designed that approximately satisfy all necessary requirements.

In general complete population transfer can be achieved by our scheme if all but one of the transition matrix elements are the same. This means that interactions obeying dipole selection rules may be used only in

2-state systems, and in 3-state degenerate systems where, fortuitously, $\alpha = n - 3 = 0$. We remark that use of degeneracy, $\Delta E \rightarrow 0$, imposes $\Delta t \rightarrow \infty$. This removes time sequencing in intermediate steps of the reaction process [12]. That is, any physical effect due to time sequencing of intermediate interactions is lost in the limit of degeneracy.

In summary, in degenerate n -state atoms electron population is completely transferred via an external interaction at a designated time, t_0 , from a launch state (1) to a target state (2) under two conditions. The first condition for complete transfer is that the ratio of the matrix elements of the external interaction, $V_{kj}(t)$, all be the same except for $V_{12}(t)/V_{23}(t) = \alpha = -\frac{1}{3}(n - 3)$. The second condition is that at $t = t_0$ the phase area of $V(t)$ satisfy $A(t_0) = \int_0^{t_0} V(t')dt' = \pm n_0\pi \sqrt{\frac{9}{18(n-2)+4(n-3)^2}}$, where $V_{ij}(t) = V(t)$ is any one of the matrix elements except $V_{12}(t)$. The ensuing equations for the populations of the states are simple. We have found other, more complex, solutions to Eqs(1) that yield complete population transfer. The duration of time the transferred population remains in state 2 can be controlled by varying the shape of $V(t)$.

Acknowledgments

We thank J.H. Eberly and B.W. Shore for useful discussion. This work was supported in part by the Division of Chemical Sciences, Office of Sciences, U.S. Department of Energy. KhR is supported by a NSF-NATO Fellowship.

-
- [1] G. Alzetta, A. Gozzini, L. Moi, and G. Orriols, *Nuovo Chimento Lett.* **B 36**, 5 (1976).
 - [2] L. Liu, J. Bellanca, and H. Metcalf, *Phys. Rev.* **A 65**, 043401 (2002).
 - [3] S. E. Harris, *Phys. Today* **50**, 36 (1997).
 - [4] M. D. Lukin and A. Imamoglu, *Nature (London)* **413**, 273 (2001).
 - [5] M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang, *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000).
 - [6] D. Bouwmeester, A. Ekert and A. Zeilinger, eds., *The Physics of Quantum Information* (Springer Verlag, NY, 2000).
 - [7] W. S. Warren, H. Rabitz and M. Dahleh, *Science* **259**, 1581 (1993).
 - [8] A. Mitra, I. R. Sola, and H. Rabitz, *Phys. Rev.* **A 67**, 043409 (2003).
 - [9] B. W. Shore, *Theory of Coherent Atomic Excitation* (John Wiley, NY, 1990), especially Chap. 14 (global RWA) and Chap. 15 (n -state ladder).
 - [10] P. Milonni and J. H. Eberly, *Lasers* (John Wiley, NY, 1985).
 - [11] P. Meystre, *Atom Optics* (Springer Verlag, NY, 2001).
 - [12] Kh. Yu. Rakhimov, J. H. McGuire and Kh. Kh. Shakov, submitted to *Phys. Rev. A*.
 - [13] J. H. McGuire, Kh. Kh. Shakov, and Kh. Yu. Rakhimov, submitted to *J. Phys. B*.
 - [14] Kh. Kh. Shakov and J. H. McGuire, *Phys. Rev.* **A 67**, 033405 (2003).