## arXiv:quant-ph/0306035v1 5 Jun 2003

## Entangled two cavity modes preparation via a two-photon process

 $X\,.\,X\,.\,Y\, \dot{\Xi}^{1,2}$  ,L.Zhou^1, and H.S.Song^1

<sup>1</sup> Department of Physics, Dalian University of Technology, Dalian 116024, China

<sup>2</sup> Institute of Theoretical Physics, Chinese Academ y of Sciences, Beijing 100080, China

(January 12, 2022)

W e propose a scheme for entangling two eld modes in two high-Q optical cavities. M aking use of a virtual two-photon process, our scheme achieves maxim ally entangled states without any real transitions of atom ic internal states, hence it is immune to the atom ic decay. PACS number(s):03.67 Lx, 32.80 W r, 42.50 -p

Entanglem ent is one of the most characteristic features of quantum system s and lies at the heart of the di erence between the quantum and classical multi-particle world. It is the phenom enon that enables quantum inform ation processing and computing [1]. Beyond these and other related applications, complex entangled states, such as the GHZ triplets of particles [2] can be used for tests of quantum non-locality [3]. Moreover, the relaxation dynam ics of larger entangled states sheds light on the decoherence process and on the quantum -classical boundary [4]. There are a lot of proposal devoted to the preparation of quantum entangled states, among them the idea for photon dow n-conversion process  $[\beta]$ , with trapped ions [5], for cavity quantum electrodynam ics [6], with m acroscopic objects [7] or for an optical bre [8] has been realized experim entally.

In the latter case, the entanglem ent results from the nonlinear interaction between the two modes in an optical bre. This is closely connected to the recent advance of enhancing nonlinear coupling via electrom agnetically induced transparency (EIT) mechanism [9]. Measured value of the <sup>(3)</sup> parameter are up to six order of m agnitude larger than usual [10]. This has opened the door tow ard the application of this kind of nonlinear process to quantum information processing even for the very low photon-num ber case [11]. In fact, there are several proposals for exploiting huge K err non-linearities to perform computation and quantum teleportation [12,13] or for quantum non-dem olition measurements [14]. A part from the Kerrnonlinearity, the experimental achievement of atom ic Bose-E instein condensation (BEC) also provide us a chance to create m any particle entanglem ent with nonlinear interactions [15{22]. All these show that the non-linear interaction between di erent quantum modes is a valuable resource for quantum information processing.

In this paper, we present a new theoretical scheme for entangling two quantum modes in two high-Q optical cavities. Through a virtual two-photon process, an effective non-linear interaction between the twom odes can be established. By making use of the virtual two-photon process, our new protocol signi cantly reduces the e ect of atom ic spontaneous em ission during the entanglem ent preparation process.

Our system consists of two optical cavities as in Ref. [23] and an atom system surrounded by the two optical cavities. The axis of the two cavities are perpendicular each to other, the internal structure of the atom is depicted in Figure 1,



E lectronic address yixx@ dlut.edu.cn

FIG.1. A 4-level atom interacting with two cavity quantum elds. Both cavity elds are detuned from atom ic resonance by  $= 1_{i}$ , and  $= 2_{e}$ .

The atom is assumed to make two-photon transitions of frequency  $!_{e}$  between the nondegenerate state joi with energy  $!_{g} = 0$  and the excited state joi. The transitions are mediated by two intermediate degenerate levels  $ji_{1}i$  and  $ji_{2}i$  (with energy  $!_{i}$ ): the frequencies for transitions joi !  $ji_{1}i(orji_{2}i)$  and  $ji_{1}i(orji_{2}i)$  ! jei are and +, respectively. With these notations, the system can be described by

$$H = h_{a}a^{y}a + h_{b}b^{y}b$$

$$+ hg_{c} (jgihi_{1} ja^{y} + ji_{1} ihe ja^{y} + hc:)$$

$$+ hg_{c} (jgihi_{2} jb^{y} + ji_{2} ihe jb^{y} + hc:)$$

$$+ h!_{i} ji_{i} ihi_{i} j + h!_{c} jeihe j + h!_{i} ji_{2} ihi_{2} j; \qquad (1)$$

where a(b) and  $a^{y}(b^{y})$  are the annihilation and creation operator for the cavity m ode a (b) with frequency  $_{a}$  ( $_{b}$ ), respectively, gc is the coupling constant of the atom to the cavity mode a (b) driving the transition  $j_{i_1}$  i orji<sub>1</sub>i ! jei (jgi ! ji<sub>2</sub>i orji<sub>2</sub>i ! jei). We will not consider the position dependance of the cavityatom coupling  $g_c(r)$ , a good approximation in the Lam b-Dicke limit. For the reason of simplicity, we assume a = b = hereafter. 0 ur Schem e works in the follow ing lim it: 1)Both the cavity mode a and b are strong detuned,ie., = ! i>> gc; >> and >> jgcf=; and 2) the cavity decay rete  $\langle g_c \hat{f} = as$  required for the high-Q optical cavity. Because these transitions jgi ! jī₄i, jī₄i ! jei, jgi ! jī₂i and jī₂i ! jei driven by the two cavity modes are far o -resonant, we m ay adiabatically elim inate the interm ediate states ji1 i and  $j_{2}i$  independently, the Ham iltonian (1) then takes the following form [24,25]

$$H = h!a^{y}a + h!b^{y}b + h (jgiheja^{y^{2}} + jeihgja^{2}) + \frac{!_{A}}{2} (jeihej jgihgj) + h (jgihejb^{y^{2}} + jeihgjb^{2});$$
(2)

with  $! = +2\frac{|\mathbf{j}_c|^2}{2}$ ,  $= !_i$ ,  $=\frac{|\mathbf{j}_c|^2}{2}$ ,  $!_A = !_e$ ,  $!_g$ . This is the H am iltonian which is broadly used to describe the two-photon process, and has received an extensive study during the last decades. For instance, the experimental realization of a two-photon cascade m icrom aser [26], the generation of Squeezing amplication [27] and the creation of entangled states [28]. Our proposal works with a new mechanism di erent from that by making use of very high K err coupling, the coupling between the two cavity modes in our protocol, to be discussed below, results from virtual two-photon processes.

In the lim it >> , i.e.,  $(2! !_A) >> jg_c f =$ , the two-photon process is on o -resonance, we may adiabat-ically elim inate the atom from the system, the Ham ilto-

nian (2) then takes the following form in the interaction picture

$$H_{eff} = h \frac{j \hat{j}}{j} (a^{y^2} a^2 + b^{y^2} b^2 + a^{y^2} b^2 + b^{y^2} a^2); \quad (3)$$

in derivation of the Ham iltonian (3), the atom in its ground state jgi initially is assumed. The two mode states will be de ned in terms of the usual two-mode Fock states jn;  $ni = jn i_a jn i_b$  with m (n) photons in mode a (b). First we consider a simple case that there are only two photons in the mode a while the cavity mode b in vacuum initially. The Ham iltonian (3) for this simple case is equivalent to

$$H_{eff} = 2h \frac{j \hat{j}}{d} (f i_a h E j + f E i_b h E j + a_b + a_b^+);$$

with de nition  $\not E i_x = \not 2i_x$ ; (x = a;b), and  $\overset{+}{x}^{()}$  is the pauli operator form ode x. It shows that after the interaction time  $T_0 = -8j^2$ ; the two cavity modes evolve to a maxim alentangled state  $\frac{p_1}{2}$  (j)  $E i + \not E 0i$ ) while have the atom in its ground state ji. We would like to note that the non-linear interaction term  $[a^{y_2}b^2 + hc:]$  is di erent from the K err nonlinear interaction  $a^{y_2}a^2$  and  $a^yab^yb$  [29,30], as the latter one is in the form of the square of the free H am iltonian, and hence either  $a^ya$  or  $b^yb$  is a constant of motion.

We have performed extensive numerical simulations with the full H am iltonian Eq. (1). Ignoring the atom ic spontaneous emission and the cavity decay, we nd the above analytical insights to be completely accurate, i.e., we indeed get the maximal entangled state (see Figure 2). In fact, we nd that the approximated H am iltonian Eq.(3) is quite an good approach to the full H am iltonian Eq.(1).

The top panel in Figure 2 shows selected results for the dependance of the population of state j;2i(dotted line) and j;0i (dashed line) on time, while the lower panel display the von Neumann entropy taken in base 2. An initial state j;2i and system parameters = 20g; = 5g are chosen for this plot. The maximal entangled state may be obtained at time t = 785 with perfect Fidelity (>> 99:9%). The Fidelity is de ned as the overlap between the output state and the desired state.



FIG.2. Populations of states 0;2i and 2;0i, and the von N eum ann entropy versus time, this gure is plotted for the case when the adiabatic limit satis ed. The constant line in the top panel denotes the probability of the atom being in its ground state, disregard where the photons are.

Sim ilar results are found for the initial state  $j_{4}^{2};0i$ , it is illustrated in Figure 3. The di erence is that there are three components  $j_{4}^{2};0i$ ,  $j_{2}^{2};2i$  and  $j_{2};4i$  in the output state, their population are shown in the top panel in Figure 3 by c,b,a, respectively. It is interesting to note that there is a tim e point (in Fig. 3, top panel) when line c and line a overlap, which corresponds to the system in  $\frac{1}{2}(j_{2};4i + j_{4};0i)$ . And at this point the entropy as plotted in the lower panel is 1, it equals the entropy of a maxim ally entangled state for two-qubit. A recent study on entangled two modes show that the am ount of entanglement present in a given state depends on how one de nes one's system s [31]. This means we could redene our two modes such that the state  $\frac{1}{2}(j_{2};4i + j_{4};0i)$ represents a maxim ally entangled state.



FIG .3. The same as in Fig2, but for the initial state  $\frac{1}{4}$ ;0i

It is surprising to nd that the same dynamics as in the adiabatic lim it persist even when adiabatic elim ination is not valid. As an example, in Figure 4 and Figure 5, we display results for = 8g; = 3g. Apparently, the atom as the interaction agent for the two cavity modes is enough for establishing an elective interaction between them .



FIG.4. The same results as in Figure 2, but for the case where adiabatic elimination of the atom ic levels is not valid.



FIG.5. The same results as in Figure 3, but for the case where adiabatic elimination of the atom ic levels is not valid.

Now, we discuss e ects of the dissipation or decoherence due to both the atom ic decay and the cavity loss. A s with any proposal for quantum information processing, ultim ately its success depends on being able to complete m any coherent dynam ics during the decoherence time. In principle, as long as a)  $\stackrel{2}{-}$  >> and b)  $\frac{1}{2} >>$  , we could expect essentially the same results as illustrated in Figure 2 and Figure 3. As there are no real transitions of atom ic states in our proposal, it makes this scheme immune to the atom ic spontaneous em ission or atom ic decay, so the restriction b) m ake no sense in this scheme. On the other hand, the condition a) is di cult to achieved because the two-photon process is relatively weak due to large o -resonant detunings for all its intermediate states. In Figure 6 and Figure 7, the e ects of cavity decay on dynam ics of the proposed system is illustrated. A sknown, the decoherence time for a state in ; ni depends on the total num ber of photons, and as Figure 6 and Figure 7 show, relative good results are found when the cavity loss rate is sm all.



FIG. 6. Populations for state  $\frac{1}{2}$ ;0i and  $\frac{1}{2}$ ;2i(top panel), as well as the von N eum ann entropy, with cavity decay rate = 0.005g, the other parameters chosen are the same as in Figure 4.



FIG.7. The same as in Figure 5, but with cavity decay rate = 0.005g.

Finally, we want to stress that the requirem ent for the interm ediate states degenerate is not necessary, in fact, our proposal works in the sam e m anner when the detuning i de ned by i = ! i have a di erent sign, i.e., 1 = 2. As all existing cavity QED based quantum computation protocols, optical cavity with high-Q and atom with sm all decay rate rem ain challenging because of the technological lim it of the Fabry-Perot optical cavity [32{35].

In conclusion, we have proposed a new protocol for preparing the maxim ally entangled state in two high-Q optical cavities. As the photons act as the information carrier, cavity with very high-Q factor is highly desired. We have explained the scheme in terms of the virtual two-photon process induced nonlinear interaction. In addition, our protocol can also be explained in terms of entanglement distribution by separable states [36]. This new protocol has advantages that its successful im plementation involves no real transitions of atom ic states, it makes this proposal immune to the atom ic spontaneous emission or atom ic decay.

ACKNOW LEDGEMENT:

This work is supported by EYTP of M  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{D}}$  E , and NSF of China.

- [1] D. Bouwmeester, A. Ekert, and A. Zeilinger, The physics of quantum information, Quantum cryptography, Quantum teleportation, Quantum computation (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000).
- [2] D.M.Greenberger, M.A.Horne, and A.Zeilinger, Am. J.Phys.58, 1131 (990).
- [3] J.W .Pan, et al. Nature 403,515 (2000).
- [4] W .H.Zurek, Physics Today, 44 (10), 36 (1991).
- [5] C.A. Sackett, et al. Nature, 404, 256 (2000).
- [6] A. Rauschenbeutel, et al., Science, 288,2024 (2000); A. Rauschenbeutel, et al., Phys. Rev. A 64, 050301 (2001).
- [7] B. Julsgaard, et al., Nature 413,400 (2001).
- [8] Ch.Silberhom, et al., quant-ph/0103002.
- [9] H. Schm idt, A. Im am oglu, Opt. Lett. 21,1936(1996);
  ibid., 23,1936 (1996); S.E. Harris, Phys. Today 50 (7),
  36 (1997); S.E. Harris, J.E. Field, and A. Im am oglu,
  ibid.,64,1107 (1990); J.P.M arangos, J.M od. Opt. 45,
  471 (1998).
- [10] L.V.Hau, S.E.Harris, Z.Dutton, and C.H.Behroozi, Nature 397, 594 (1999).
- [11] S.E.Harris, L.V.Hau, Phys.Rev.Lett.82,4611 (1999).
- [12] P.T.Cochrane, G.J.M ilbum, Phys. Rev. A 64, 062312 (2001).
- [13] D. V itali, M. Fortunato, P. Tombesi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 445 (2000).
- [14] B. C. Sanders, G. J. M ilbum, Phys. Rev. A,39, 694 (1989).
- [15] A. Sorensen, L.M. Duan, J. I. Cirac, and P. Zoller, Nature 409,63 (2001).
- [16] H.Pu, P.M eystre, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 3987 (2000).
- [17] L.M.Duan, A.Sorensen, J.I.Cirac, and P.Zoller, Phys. Rev.Lett.85 3991 (2000).
- [18] L. M. Duan, J. I. Ciract, P. Zoller, Phys. Rev. A 65, 033619 (2002).
- [19] N.P.Bigelow, Nature 409,27 (2001).
- [20] U. V. Poulsen, K. Molmer, Phys. Rev. A 64, 013616 (2001).
- [21] K. Helmerson, L. You, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 170402 (2001).
- [22] A. M icheli, D. Jaksch, J. I. Cirac, P. Zoller, Phys. Rev. A 67,013607 (2003).
- [23] M.B.Plenio, S.F.Huelga, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88,19790 (2002).
- [24] R.R.Puri, R.K.Bullough, J.Opt.Soc.Am.B, 5, 2021 (1988).
- [25] M. Alexanian, S. K. Bose, Phys. Rev. A 65, 033819 (2001).
- [26] M. Brune, J. M. Raim ond, P. Goy, L. Davidovich, S. Haroche, Phys. Rev. Lett. 59, 1899 (1987).
- [27] C.Gerry, J.Eberly, Phys. Rev. A 42,6805 (1990).
- [28] D.A.Cardimona, V.Kovanis, M.P.Sharma, and A.

Gavrielides, Phys. Rev. A 43, 3710 (1991).

- [29] G.S.Agarwal, J.Banerji, Phys.Rev.A 57, 674 (1998).
- [30] M.O.Scully, M.S.Zubairy, Quantum optics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [31] S.J.van Enk, Phys. Rev. A 67, 022303 (2003).
- [32] T.Pellizzari, S.G ardiner, J.I.C irac, and P.Zoller, Phys. Rev.Lett. 75, 3788 (1995).
- [33] J. Pachos and H. Walther, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89,187903 (2002).
- [34] A.Beige, D.Braun, B.Tregenna, and P.Knight, Phys. Rev.Lett. 85, 1762 (2000); A.Beige, D.Braun, and P. L.Knight, New J.Phys. 2, 22 (2000); C.Marr, A.Beige, and G.Rampe e-print quant-ph/0305116.
- [35] L. You, X. X. Yi, and X. H. Su, Phys. Rev. A 67, 032308 (2003); X. X. Yi, X. H. Su, and L. You, Phys. Rev.Lett. 90, 097902 (2003).
- [36] T. Cubit, F. Verstraete, W. Duer, J. I. Cirac, quantph/0302168.