Ray chaos in optical cavities based upon standard laser m irrors

A. A iello, M. P. van Exter, and J. P. W oerdm an Huygens Laboratory, Leiden University, P.O. Box 9504, Leiden, The Netherlands (D ated: A pril 1, 2022)

W e present a composite optical cavity m ade of standard laser m innors; the cavity consists of a suitable combination of stable and unstable cavities. In spite of its very open nature the composite cavity shows ray chaos, which m ay be either soft or hard, depending on the cavity con guration. This opens a new, convenient route for experim ental studies of the quantum aspects of a chaotic wave eld.

PACS num bers: 05.45.Gg, 42.60 Da, 42.65.Sf

The quantum mechanics, and more generally the wave mechanics of systems that are classically chaotic have drawn much interest lately; this eld is loosely indicated as \quantum chaos" or \wave chaos" [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7]. Practical experimental systems that display wave chaos are rare; best known is the 2D microwave stadium-like resonator which has developed into a very useful tool to study issues of wave chaos [2, 5]. Our interest is in an optical im plementation of all this; that would allow to study the quantum aspects of a chaotic wave eld, such as random lasing, excess noise, localization and entanglement [8, 9, 10].

However, the construction of a high-quality closed resonator (such as a stadium) is presently in possible in the optical dom ain due to the lack of om nidirectional mirror coatings with R = 100% re ectivity. The best one can do is to use a m etal coating; how ever this has only R < 95%in the visible spectrum [6, 11]. Of course, dielectric multilayered mirrors can reach R = 99:999% (or more) but these are far from being om nidirectional.

This leads to the consideration of an open optical cavity. One approach is to use a dielectric or sem iconductor m icroresonator with a deform ed cross section and pro t from (non-om nidirectional) total internal re ection [7, 12]; how ever, such a m icroresonator is di cult to fabricate and control. O ur approach is to construct an open cavity based upon standard high-re ectivity laser m irrors. W e will show, surprisingly, that this open cavity allows to generate hard chaos; a closed cavity is not required for that. W e will lim it ourselves to prove that our system is classically chaotic; by de nition, this is su cient for a system to be wave chaotic. A proper wavem echanical treatm ent, including the calculation of the spectrum, will be given later [13].

The basic idea is as follows (Fig. 1a). An unstable opticalcavity [15] can be built with a concave (focussing) m irror with radius of curvature R and a convex (dispersing) m irror with radius of curvature r at distance l < R r. This unstable cavity has exponential sensitivity to initial conditions [16] but does not have m ixing properties because an escaping ray never com es back; therefore chaos cannot occur. W e overcom e this di culty in the way illustrated in Fig. 1b: a second cavity, m irror-symmetric



FIG.1: (a) An unstable cavity is built of a spherical concave m irror (with radius R) and a cylindrical convex one (with radius r) at distance 1 < R r. (b) Two unstable cavities are coupled to form a single cavity which is globally stable for L < 2R. (c) Schem atic diagram of the cavity m odel utilized in the num erical analysis. For all calculations in this Letter we have assumed a = 0:003 and b = 0:025 where all length are expressed in m eters [14]. On the left m irror the position y and the velocity v de ne the geom etry of the chaotic scattering.

to the rst, is utilized to recollect rays leaving the rst cavity and eventually put them back near the starting point. The naldesign of our composite cavity is depicted in Fig. 1c; L is the total length of the cavity and satis es the relation L < 2R in order to assure the geometrical stability of the whole system. Depending on the values of R, r and l each of the two sub-cavities can be either stable or unstable. For l < R r they are unstable:

this case is the rst object of our study (see below for the case R r < l < R where the two sub-cavities are stable). A generic ray lying in the plane of Fig. 1c and undergoing specular re ections on the cavity m irrors, will never leave this plane. A swill be shown in this Letter, the 2D ray dynamics in this plane can be completely chaotic; consequently, from now on, we restrict our attention to the truly 2D cavity shown in Fig. 1c.

The study of the chaotic properties of our composite cavity, starts from the analogy between geometric optics of a light ray and H am iltonian m echanics of a point particle [17]. In this spirit we consider the light ray as a unit-m ass point particle that undergoes elastic collisions on hard walls coincident with the surfaces of the m irrors. Between two consecutive collisions, the motion of the point particle is determined by the free H am iltonian H = $p^2=2$ whereas at a collision the position r(t) and the velocity v(t) (jv(t)j= 1 m = s throughout this Letter) of the particle satisfy the law of relations:

$$r(t_{+}) = r(t_{+}); \quad v(t_{+}) = (1 \quad 2nn)v(t_{-}); \quad (1)$$

where $t = t \quad 0^+$, n is the unit vector orthogonal to the surface of the mirror at the point of impact and the second rank tensor nn has Cartesian components $[nn]_{ij} = n_i n_j$ (i; j = 1;2). The dynamics described by Eqs.(1) preserves both the phase space volum es and the symplectic property [16]. The losses of a cavity due to nite re ectivity of the mirrors can be quantied by the nesse of the cavity, that is the number of bounces that leads to e¹ energy decay. For optical cavities realized with commercially available mirrors values for the nesse of 10^5 (or even larger) can be achieved [14]. In our model we assume a mirror re ectivity equal to 100% for all mirrors and consequently we restrict ourselves to losses due to the nite transverse dimensions of the concavem irrors (param eter b in Fig. 1c). If chaos occurs, alm ost all trajectories will escape in the end [16]; the key question is whether a typical trajectory will survive su ciently long that chaos is still a useful concept.

We use the Poincare surface of section (SOS) [16] as a tool to display the dynam ical properties of our com posite cavity. There are several possibilities for de ning a SOS; we choose as reference surface the left m irror, plotting y and v_v each time the ray is rejected by that mirror. In Fig. 2a we show the SOS generated by a single orbit for a cavity con guration such that 1 < R r (geom etrically unstable sub-cavities). Apparently, hard chaos occurs; the unstable periodic orbit bouncing along the Z axis of the cavity is represented by an hyperbolic xed point on the SOS. The explicit value of the Lyapunov exponent for this orbit can be easily calculated exploiting the above m entioned analogy between geom etric optics and Ham iltonian mechanics. We recall that the magni cation M [15] of the cavity shown in Fig. 1a can be easily calculated in term s ofm, the half of trace of the ABCD



FIG.2: Poincare maps obtained as described in the text. In both cases the cavity parameters a and b are chosen as: a = 0.003, b = 0.025. Note that v_y ' 0.1 corresponds to an angle of incidence of about 6 [14]. (a) Hard-chaos con guration: R = 1, r = 0.25, l = 0.04. A single chaotic orbit is shown. (b) Soft-chaos con guration: R = 1, r = 0.9, l = 0.45. Three stable orbits (concentric ellipses) and a single chaotic one are shown.

matrix of the cavity:

$$M = m + (m^{2} 1)^{1=2}; \qquad (2)$$

where

$$m = 2 \ 1 \ \frac{1}{R} \ 1 + \frac{1}{r} \ 1$$
: (3)

Since the ABCD matrix of the cavity coincides with the monodrom y matrix [2] for the unstable periodic orbit bouncing back and forth along the Z-axis, the positive Lyapunov exponent $_0$ for such orbit is given by:

$$_{0} = \frac{v}{21} \ln M$$
; (v = 1m =s): (4)

TABLE I: Results for Lyapunov exponents $_1$ for di erent cavity con gurations which are speci ed by $l_{\rm R}~(l_{\rm L})$ as the length of the right (left) sub-cavity and R (r) as the radius of curvature of the concave (convex) m irror. All lengths are given in m, further details are given in the text.

Con guration	R	r	$l_{\!\rm L}$	1 _k	1	
υυ	1	25	.04	.04	0:104	0:004
US	1	.90	.05	.30	0:0165	0:0002
SS	1	.90	.45	.45	0:0040	0:0001
M M	1	.80	.20	20	0:0090	0:00015
SM	1	.80	.40	20	0:0096	0:0001
UM	1	.80	.01	20	0:0191	0:0004

W ith the num erical values utilized for Fig. 2a we obtain M $^\prime$ 1:94 and $_0$ $^\prime$ 8:27 (in units of s 1).

We may now ask what will happen if the two subcavities of Fig. 1c are stable (i.e. R r < l < R) so that there is no magni cation. W ill the chaoticity (partly) survive, or not? As shown in Fig. 2b we nd that in that case the periodic orbit bouncing along the Z axis is represented by an elliptical xed point on the SOS, surrounded by a KAM island of stability in which three stable trajectories are clearly visible. Surprisingly, we nd that despite the stability of the two sub-cavities, the KAM island of stability is embedded in a sea of chaotic trajectories with a positive Lyapunov exponent. Therefore depending on the values of the param eters R, r and l our cavity can exhibit either fully chaotic behavior, or soft-chaotic behavior with coexistence of ordered and stochastic trajectories. A rigorous theory for the calculation of average Lyapunov exponents, entropies and escape time for open systems, has been developed by Gaspard and coworkers in the last decade [18]. In short, in chaotic open systems there exists a fractal set of neverescaping orbits, the so-called repeller [19] on which quantities as the average Lyapunov exponents can be evaluated. In a Ham iltonian system with two degrees of freedom the Lyapunov exponents com e in pairs (i; i), (i = 1;2) with 1 $_2$ = 0 and $_i$ + i = 0 [16]. We have calculated [20, 21] the values of 1 for dierent long-living trajectories belonging to the repeller for di erent cavity con qurations; the \pairs rule" (sum of all Lyapunov exponents equal to 0) has been con med within our num erical accuracy. The results are shown in Table I. Each cavity con guration is labelled as LR where L;R = U;M;S are labels which indicate the stability properties of the left and right sub-cavity respectively: U = Unstable (m > 1), M = M arginally stable(m = 1), S = Stable (m < 1). In all cases we nd a positive Lyapunov exponent which con m s that chaos has developed; the maximum value ($_1 = 0.104$) occurs for the UU case. We stress the fact that the values for the param eters characterizing the di erent cavity con qurations given in Table I are experim entally realistic (i.e.

such m irrors are com m ercially available).

Note that the value $_0$ ' 8:27 quoted above for the periodic orbit bouncing along the Z axis of the unstable cavity is quite di erent from the average value $_{\rm U\,U}$ ' 0:10. We argue that this is due to the fact that the same unstable periodic orbit m ay be considered as an orbit of the single half-cavity (very open system : no chaos at all) or as an orbit of the overallcom posite cavity. C onsequently the sub-cavity value for $_1$, even though it rem ains obviously the same, is \diluted" into the value for the whole cavity.



FIG.3: Escape-tim e as a function of the impact parameter y for the same hard-chaos cavity conguration utilized in Fig. 2a, shown at dierent relative magnications (1, 15 and 20) of the horizontal axis. The nite value (4000 secs) for each singular peak corresponds to the nite value (6 10^4) of the maximum number of bounces allowed in the simulations. In the three pictures are clearly visible the \windows of continuity" (arrows) and the repetition of the same pattern on dierent scales (self similarity).

It is possible to obtain a picture of the repeller set by plotting the escape-time function [16]. For each trajectory starting at position y (\impact parameter") and horizontal velocity v on the left m irror (see Fig. 1c), we

calculate the time at which the last bounce occurs before the ray leaves the cavity. Trajectories which escape in a nite time (alm ost all), give a nite value for the escapetim e function, whereas trapped orbits are represented as singular points. By de nition, all initial conditions leading to a singularity of the escape-tim e function belong to the repeller itself. In Fig. 3 we show a portion of the escape time function for a hard-chaos cavity con guration (UU: sam e param eters as in Fig. 2a). In Fig. 3a we observe clearly three \w indows of continuity" [18] (arrows) for which the escape time function has a small value. Actually, Fig. 3a as a whole results from the blow-up of the escape time function in a region bounded by two other windows of continuity which are partially visible on the left and the right side of the gure. Consecutive blow-ups of Fig. 3a are shown in Fig. 3b and Fig. 3c. We note that going from one picture to the next both the density and the height of the singular peaks increase thus indicating that the repeller set is dense. M oreover the windows of continuity act as convenient markers of the self-sim ilar nature of the pattern as a whole; this selfsim ilarity strongly suggests that the escape time function is singular on a fractal set, as expected for a repeller. The dense occurrence of singular points is a clear signature of the mixing mechanism due to the con nem ent generated by the outer concave m irrors. Note that typical escape times are much larger than 1 = UU, thus allowing ample tim e for chaos to develop.

Thus, we have shown that it is possible to build, with com m ercially available optical elem ents, a com posite optical cavity which displays classical chaotic properties. Despite the \local" Ham iltonian structure of its phase space our optical cavity is, as we had anticipated, an open system . O pening up a closed chaotic H am iltonian system m ay generate transiently chaotic behavior due to the escape of alm ost all the trajectories [22] and our composite cavity prom ises an easy experim ental realization thereof. Evidence for ray chaos com es both from the com putation of Lyapunov exponents and from the plot of the escape tim e functions. The huge density of singular points in the escape time functions is a strong indication that the anticipated mixing works: unstable orbits which leave one half-cavity are recollected by the other half-cavity until they become again unstable and come back to the rst cavity. O rbits for which this process is repeated forever generate singularities in the escape function.

F inally, the most convenient experimental way to realize the composite cavity as a 3D system seems to be by using spherical elements for focussing mirrors and a cylindrical element for the dispersing one. A bi-convex cylindricalmirror (with X as the axis of the cylinder) is dispersing for trajectories lying in a plane orthogonal to the X -axis and is neutral (at surface) for trajectories in a plane containing the X -axis itself. In this case Fig. la represents the unstable cross section of the left subcavity. The realization of such an open chaotic cavity in the optical dom ain opens a broad perspective: m any quantum -optics experim ents can now be done on a practical chaotic system [8, 10]. Such experim ents will greatly bene t from the ease of m anipulation and control o ered by the m acroscopic nature of our com posite cavity: work along these lines is in progress in our group.

This project is part of the program of FOM and is also supported by the EU under the IST-ATESIT contract. W e acknowledge J.D ingjan and T.K laassen for stimulating discussions.

- F. Haake, Quantum Signatures of Chaos (Springer, Berlin, 2000), 2nd ed.
- [2] H. -J. Stockmann, Quantum Chaos, An Introduction (Cambridge University Press, 1999), 1st ed.
- [3] J.D ingjan, E.A ltew ischer, M.P.van Exter, and J.P. W oerdman, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 064101 (2002).
- [4] P.Pechukas, J.Phys.Chem .88, 4823 (1984).
- [5] H.Altetal, Phys. Rev. E 55, 6674 (1997).
- [6] P.B.W ilkinson, T.M. From hold, R.P. Taylor, and A.P. M icolich, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 5466 (2001).
- [7] C.Gmachletal, Science 280, 1556 (1998).
- [8] C.W. J.Beenakker, M. Patra, and P.W. Brouwer, Phys. Rev. A 61, 051801 (R) (2000).
- [9] G. Hackenbroich, C. V iviescas, B. E lattari, and F. Haake, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 5262 (2001).
- [10] T.S.M isinpashaev and C.W.J.Beenakker, Phys.Rev. A 57, 2041 (1998).
- [11] F.A. Jenkins and H.E.W hite, Fundam ental of Optics (M cG raw H ill, 1981), 4th ed., pag 536.
- [12] T.Fukushim a, S.A.Biellak, Y.Sun, and A.E.Siegman, Opt.Express 2, 21 (1997).
- [13] A. Aiello et al. (2003), in preparation.
- [14] W ith values for a, b, l, R and r as chosen in Figs. 1,2, the maximum angle of incidence on the mirrors occurring in Fig. 2 is 6 ; this is su ciently sm all as not to comprom ise the high re ectivity of a multilayer dielectric mirror.
- [15] A.E.Siegman, Lasers (University Science Books, M ill Valley, CA, 1996).
- [16] E.Ott, Chaos in Dynam ical Systems (Cam bridge University Press, 2002), 2nd ed.
- [17] V.I.A mokl, M athem atical M ethods of C lassical M echanics (Springer, New York, 1978).
- [18] P.G aspard, Chaos, Scattering and Statistical Mechanics (Cambridge University Press, 1998), 1st ed.
- [19] L.P.Kadano and C.Tang, Proc.Natl.A cad.Sci.U SA. 81, 1276 (1984).
- [20] G. Benettin and J. M. Strekyn, Phys. Rev. A 17, 773 (1978).
- [21] C. Dellago and H. A. Posch, Phys. Rev. E 52, 2401 (1995).
- [22] J. Schneider, T. Tel, and Z. Neufeld, Phys. Rev. E 66, 066218 (2002).