Hydrogen atom in strong magnetic eld revisited

IB.Khriplovich and G.Yu.Ruban Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics, 630090 Novosibirsk, Russia, and Novosibirsk University

A bstract

We derive in a straightforward way the spectrum of a hydrogen atom in a strong magnetic eld.

The spectrum of hydrogen atom in a strong magnetic eld was found long ago [1], and is presented now in textbooks (see, e.g., [2] (x112, Problem 3)). The approach used therein is as follows. At rst, using the wave functions of electron in a magnetic eld, one constructs an elective potential for the motion along the eld, and then the spectrum in this potential is found. We present here a somewhat dierent solution of the problem, with the results coinciding in fact with those of [1, 2]. We hope however that our approach, physically straightforward and transparent, is of some interest. At least, the present note may be considered as a sort of minimoview on the subject.

Our starting point is the obvious observation that in a su ciently strong magnetic eld H (the exact criteria are discussed below) the motion of an atom ic electron becomes almost one-dimensional, along the magnetic eld, in the Coulomb potential $\hat{e}=z$.

The corresponding one-dimensional wave equation is

$$u^{0} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{7} \quad u = 0: \tag{1}$$

We have introduced in it the usual dimensionless variable:

$$\frac{2z}{a}$$
! z;

here $a = h^2 = m_e e^2$ is the Bohr radius, m_e is the electron mass, is the electron energy as

$$E = \frac{m_{e}e^{4}}{2h^{2}}:$$
(2)

Equation (1) coincides exactly with the radial equation for the s-wave in the three-dim ensional Coulomb potential $\stackrel{\circ}{e}=r$, and has therefore the comm on hydrogen spectrum

$$E_n = \frac{m_e e^4}{2h^2n^2} \quad n = 1;2;3:::;$$
 (3)

and the set of solutions

$$u_n(z) = \exp(z=2) z F(n;2;z); = n;$$
 (4)

where F is the con uent hypergeom etric function (here and below we are not interested in the norm alization factors). These solutions vanish at the origin and are trivially continued to z < 0. Thus obtained solutions on the whole z axis are odd under z ! z (as rejected by the superscripts \minus" in (3), (4)).

There is however an essential difference between the present problem and the s-wave C oulomb one. In the last case (4) is the only solution. The reason is well-known. Naively the radial wave equation for R (r) (= u (r)=r) has two independent solutions, which behave for r ! 0 as R const (u r) and R l=r (u const), respectively. However, in fact R l=r is no solution at all for the hom ogeneous wave equation if the point r=0 is included, since 4(l=r)=4 (r). As to our problem, equation 1) does not describe really the vicinity of z=0 since therein we have to consider seriously the magnetic eld itself. Therefore, there are no reasons to discard those solutions of (1) which tend to a constant for small z (and of course decrease exponentially for z ! 1).

Such solutions are presented in a convenient form in [2] (M athematical Appendices, x d, (d.17)). To our purpose they can be written for z > 0 as

$$u^{+}(z) = \exp(z=2) \ 1 \quad z \ln z F (1 \quad ;2;z)$$

$$+ \frac{x^{k}}{k=0} \frac{(1 + k) [(1 + k) (k+2) (k+1)]}{(1) k! (k+1)!} z^{k}; \quad (5)$$

here () denotes the logarithm ic derivative of the gam m a function: () = 0 ()= (). Being trivially continued to z < 0, thus obtained solutions on the whole z axis are even under z! z (as re ected by the superscript \plus" in 5) and in the corresponding eigenvalues below).

Under any reasonable regularization of the logarithm ic singularity at $z \,! \, 0$, the even solutions should have vanishing rst derivative at the origin. In this way we obtain the following equation for the eigenvalues of :

$$\ln \frac{a}{a_H} = \frac{1}{2} + (1);$$
 (6)

here $a_H = \frac{1}{hc}$ is the typical scale for the radius of electron orbits in the magnetic eld H . W e are working in the logarithm ic approximation, i.e. assume that

$$= \ln a = a_H$$
 1: (7)

This allows us to use a crude cut-o at a_H for the form allogarithm is divergence at $z \,! \, 0$, as well as to simplify somewhat this equation.

The smallest root of equation (6) is

$$_{0}^{+}=\frac{1}{2};$$
 (8)

which gives the ground state energy

$$E_0^+ = \frac{m_e e^4}{2h^2} \ln^2 \frac{h^3 H^2}{m_e^2 e^3 c}!$$
 (9)

Other roots of equation (6) are

$$_{n}^{+} = n + \frac{1}{-} \quad n = 1; 2; 3 :::;$$
 (10)

with the corresponding energies

$$E_{n} = \frac{m_{e}e^{4}}{2h^{2}n^{2}} = \frac{1}{n} \ln^{1} \frac{h^{3}H^{2}}{m_{e}^{2}e^{3}c} :$$
 (11)

Let us mention that the one-dimensional Coulomb problem was considered in $[3 \{ 5]]$ with various regularizations of the singularity at z ! 0, but without any relation to the problem of the hydrogen atom in a strong magnetic eld.

But let us come back to our problem. The resulting spectrum of the hydrogen atom in a strong magnetic eld looks as follows. Each Landau level in this eld serves as an upper limit to the sequence of discrete levels of the Coulomb problem in the z direction. This discrete spectrum consists of a singlet ground state with the energy given by formula (9), and close doublets of odd and even states of energies given by formulae (3) and (11). There is also a continuous spectrum of the motion along z above each Landau level.

This picture is valid for su ciently low Landau levels, as long as the radius of a magnetic orbit is much less than the Bohr radius. Obviously, in a strong magnetic eld this description fails for large magnetic quantum numbers, i.e., in the semiclassical region. Here we can estimate the orbit radius directly from the well-known spectrum of electron in a magnetic eld (see, e.g., [2] (x112, Problem 1)):

$$E = h \frac{eH}{m_e c} (N + 1=2); N = n + \frac{m + jm j}{2};$$
 (12)

here n is the radial quantum number in the xy plane, and m is the angular m om entum projection onto the z axis. Now the sem iclassical estimate for the magnetic radius is

$$a_H (N)$$
 $\frac{s}{\frac{hc}{eH}N} = a_H \frac{p}{N}$:

Thus the present picture of levels holds as long as

$$= \ln \frac{a}{a_{\rm H}} \qquad \ln N : \tag{13}$$

At last, let us consider the correspondence between the obtained system of levels in a strong magnetic eld and the hydrogen spectrum in a vanishing eld. A beautiful solution of this problem was given in [6] (and is quoted in [1]). We would like to present the solution here as well (having in m ind in particular that our note can be considered as a m ini-review).

The crucial observation made in [6] is as follows. While changing the magnetic eld from vanishingly small to a very strong one, the number of nodal surfaces of a given wave function remains the same. A hydrogen wave function (in zero magnetic eld) with quantum numbers n, l, m has $n_r = n - l - 1$ nodal spheres and l jm jnodal cones with a z axis. With the increase of the magnetic eld, the nodal spheres become ellipsoids of rotation, more and more prolate, tending to cylinders in the limit of in nite eld. The

correspondence between n_r and n (n being the radial quantum number in the xy plane in a strong magnetic eld) gets obvious from this picture:

$$n = n_r : (14)$$

The evolution of the hydrogen nodal cones is less obvious. However, due both to equation (14) and to the conservation of the total number of nodal surfaces, the number n_z of the nodes of a solution of equation (1) should coincide with the number of nodes of the corresponding spherical function,

$$n_z = 1 \quad \text{jm j:} \tag{15}$$

In other words, 1 jm j nodal cones of a hydrogen wave function evolve into n planes of constant z corresponding to the nodes of an eigenfunction of equation (14).

And at last, let us note that during the whole evolution of the magnetic eld, m remains constant.

Let us consider now, for instance, the ground state in the magnetic eld, with N = 0 (see (12)). Obviously, it is degenerate, and its corresponding magnetic wave functions have n=0 and m=0; 1; 2::.. Let us connection to the ground state solution of equation (1), with $n_z=0$. A coording to the above arguments, the hydrogen ancestors of those wave functions should have $n_r=0$ and l=im. In other words, these ancestors are:

1s;
$$2p; m = 1; 3d; m = 2;$$
 and so on:

We are grateful to VA.Novikov, V.V.Sokolov, M.J.Vysotsky, and A.V.Zolotaryuk for useful discussions. We acknow ledge the support by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research through grant No. 03-02-17612.

R eferences

- [1] R.J.Elliott and R.Loudon, J.Phys.Phys.Chem.Solids.15 (1960) 196
- [2] L.D. Landau and E.M. Lifshitz, Quantum Mechanics (1989, Nauka, Moscow)
- [3] R. Loudon, Amer. J. Phys. 27 (1959) 649
- [4] M. Andrews, Amer. J. Phys. 34 (1966) 1194
- [5] L.K. Haines and D.H. Roberts, Amer. J. Phys. 37 (1969) 1145
- [6] W. H. Kleiner, Lincoln Lab. Progr. Rep. (Feb. 1960)