# Optim ized teleportation in Gaussian noisy channels

Stefano O livares<sup>1</sup>, M atteo G . A . Paris<sup>2</sup>, and Andrea R . Rossi<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>D ipartim ento di Fisica and Unita INFM, Universita degli Studi di Milano, via Celoria 16, I-20133 Milano, Italia

<sup>2</sup>INFM UdR Pavia, Italia

#### A bstract

We address continuous variable quantum teleportation in Gaussian quantum noisy channels, either therm allor squeezed-therm al. We rst study the propagation of twin-beam and evaluate a threshold for its separability. We not that the threshold for purely therm all channels is always larger than for squeezed-therm allones. On the other hand, we show that squeezing the channel improves teleportation of squeezed states and, in particular, we not the class of squeezed states that are better teleported in a given noisy channel. Finally, we not regimes where optimized teleportation of squeezed states improves amplitude-modulated communication in comparison with direct transmission.

# 1 Introduction

In a quantum channel, inform ation is encoded in a set of quantum states, which are in general nonorthogonal and thus, even in principle, cannot be observed without disturbance. Therefore, their faithful transmission requires that the entire communication protocol is carried out by a physical apparatus that works without knowing or learning anything about the travelling signal. In this respect, quantum teleportation provides a remarkable mean for indirectly sending quantum states.

The key ingredient of quantum teleportation is an entangled bipartite state used to support the quantum communication channel [1]. This allows the preparation of an arbitrary quantum state at a distant place without directly transmitting it. In optical in plementations of continuous variables quantum teleportation (CVQT), the entangled source is typically a twin-beam state of radiation (TWB), whose two modes are shared between the two parties. A faithful transmission of quantum information through the channel requires a large input-output delity, which in turn is an increasing function of the am ount of entanglem ent. However, the propagation of a TW B in noisy channels unavoidably leads to degradation of entanglem ent, due to decoherence induced by losses and noise. Indeed, the e ect of decoherence on TW B entanglem ent and, in turn, on teleportation delity, have been addressed by m any authors [2{7]. Thresholds for separablity of TW B have been established and teleportation of both classical and nonclassical states has been explicitly analyzed [8,9]. In particular, in R ef. [9] it was investigated how m uch nonclassicality can be transferred by noisy teleportation in a zero tem perature therm all bath. M oreover, the stability of squeezed states in a squeezed environm ent has been recently studied, showing that such nonclassical states loose their coherence faster than coherent states even if coupled with nonclassical reservoir [10]. The open question is then if there exist situations where squeezed states are favoured with respect to coherent ones, especially for quantum communication purporses.

In this paper we investigate the behavior of a TW B propagating through a G aussian noisy channel, either them allor squeezed-them al, and address its perform ances for applications in quantum communication [11,12]. As we will see, in presence of noise along the channel, teleportation of a suitable class of squeezed states can be an e ective and robust protocol for am plitude-based communication compared to direct transmission.

Squeezed environments were addressed by many authors for preservation of the macroscopic quantum coherence. In fact, if squeezed quantum uctuations are added to dissipation, a macroscopic superposition state preserves its coherence longer than in presence of dissipation alone [13]. Reference [14] showed that the interference fringes due to a superposition of two macroscopically distinct coherent states (\Schrodinger's cat states") could be improved by the inclusion of squeezed vacuum uctuations. An interesting physical realization of an environment with squeezed quantum uctuations based on quantum – non-demolition-feedback was proposed in reference [15]. Elective squeezed-bath interactions were studied in references [16,17], where the technique of quantum –reservoir engineering [18] was actually used to couple a pair of two-state atoms to an elective squeezed reservoir.

The paper is structured as follows: in sections 2 and 3 we describe the evolution of a TW B in a squeezed-therm all bath and study its separability by means of the partial transposition criterion; section 4 addresses the TW B coupled with the non classical environment as a resource for quantum teleportation of squeezed states; in section 5 we compare the performances of direct transmission and teleportation. In section 6 we draw some concluding remarks.

#### 2 Twin beam coupled with a squeezed therm albath

The propagation of a TW B interacting with a squeezed-therm all bath can be m odelled as the coupling of each part of the state with a non-zero tem perature squeezed reservoir. The dynam ics can be described by the two-m ode M aster equation [19]

$$\frac{d_{t}}{dt} = f (1 + N)L[a] + (1 + N)L[b] + NL[a^{y}] + NL[b^{y}] + MM[a^{y}] + M M[a] + MM[b^{y}] + M M[b]g_{t}; (1)$$

where t (t) is the system 's density matrix at the time t, is the damping rate, N and M are the elective photons number and the squeezing parameter of the bath respectively, L [D] is the Lindblad superoperator, L [D] t =  $0 t_0^{\gamma} \frac{1}{2}0^{\gamma}0 t_1 \frac{1}{2}t_0^{\gamma}0$ , and M [D] t =  $0 t_0^{\gamma} \frac{1}{2}00 t_1 \frac{1}{2}t_0^{\gamma}0$ . The terms proportional to L [a] and L [b] describe the losses, whereas the terms proportional to L [a<sup>\gamma</sup>] and L [b<sup>\gamma</sup>] describe a linear phase-insensitive amplication process. Of course, the dynamics of the two modes are independent on each other.

Thanks to the dimensional representation of the superoperators in equation (1), the corresponding Fokker-P lanck equation for the two-mode W igner function  $W = W (x_1; y_1; x_2; y_2)$  is

which, introducing = t= and =  $(2N + 1)^{-1}$ , reduces to the standard form

$$\begin{array}{l}
\left( \begin{array}{ccc} W \end{array}\right) = & \left( \begin{array}{ccc} 8 \\ < \\ \end{array} \right) \\
\left( \begin{array}{ccc} X^{4} \\ \end{array} \right) \\
\left( \begin{array}{ccc} y \\ z_{j} \end{array}\right) \\
\left( \begin{array}{ccc} 1 \\ z_{j} \end{array}\right) \\
\left( \begin{array}{ccc} 2 \\ z_{j$$

where, for sake of sim plicity, we put  $\underline{x} = (x_1; y_1; x_2; y_2)$   $(x_1; x_2; x_3; x_4)$ . In equation (3)  $a_j(\underline{x})$  and  $d_{ij}$  are the matrix elements of the drift and di usion matrices A ( $\underline{x}$ ) and D respectively, which are given by

$$A(\underline{x}) = -\frac{1}{2} \underline{x}; \qquad (4)$$

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2} < eM \end{bmatrix} = mM \end{bmatrix} 0 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = mM \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2} < eM \end{bmatrix} 0 \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = mM \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2} < eM \end{bmatrix} = mM \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ C \\ C \\ C \\ C \end{bmatrix}$$
(5)

Notice that in our case the drift term is linear in  $\underline{x}$  and the di usion matrix does not depend on  $\underline{x}$ . We assume M as real and a  $\underline{TW}$  B as starting state i.e.  $_{0}$   $_{\underline{TW}B} = \underline{TW}$  B iihhTW B  $\underline{j}$  where  $\underline{TW}$  B  $\underline{ii} = \frac{P}{1} \frac{\overline{x}^{2}}{x^{2}} \frac{P}{p} x^{a^{y_{a}}}$   $\underline{j}$  ipipi. The TW B corresponds to the W igner function

$$W_{0}(\mathbf{x}_{1};\mathbf{y}_{1};\mathbf{x}_{2};\mathbf{y}_{2}) = \frac{\exp\left(\frac{(\mathbf{x}_{1} + \mathbf{x}_{2})^{2}}{4\frac{2}{+}} - \frac{(\mathbf{y}_{1} + \mathbf{y}_{2})^{2}}{4\frac{2}{+}} - \frac{(\mathbf{x}_{1} - \mathbf{x}_{2})^{2}}{4\frac{2}{+}} - \frac{(\mathbf{y}_{1} - \mathbf{y}_{2})^{2}}{4\frac{2}{+}}\right)}{(2)^{2} - \frac{2}{+}^{2}}$$
(6)

with  $^2 = \frac{1}{4}e^2$  and , x = tanh , being the squeezing parameter of the TW B.Now the solution of the Fokker-Planck (3) is given by [19]

$$W (x_{1};y_{1};x_{2};y_{2}) = \frac{\exp\left(\frac{(x_{1} + x_{2})^{2}}{4 \frac{2}{1}} - \frac{(y_{1} + y_{2})^{2}}{4 \frac{2}{2}} - \frac{(x_{1} - x_{2})^{2}}{4 \frac{2}{3}} - \frac{(y_{1} - y_{2})^{2}}{4 \frac{2}{4}}\right)}{(2)^{2} - 1 - 2 - 3 - 4} (7)$$

where  $j^{2} = j^{2}$  (; ;  $n_{th}; n_{s}$ ), j = 1;2;3;4, are

$${}^{2}_{1} = {}^{2}_{+} e^{-t} + D^{2}_{+} (t); \qquad {}^{2}_{2} = {}^{2} e^{-t} + D^{2} (t);$$

$${}^{2}_{3} = {}^{2} e^{-t} + D^{2}_{+} (t); \qquad {}^{2}_{4} = {}^{2}_{+} e^{-t} + D^{2} (t);$$
(8)

and

$$D^{2}(t) = \frac{1+2N}{4} 2M = 1 e^{t}$$
 (9)

with M j (2N + 1)=2. The latter condition is already enforced by the positivity condition for the Fokker-Planck's di usion coe cient, which requires

$$M \qquad N (N + 1):$$
(10)

If we assume the environment as composed by a set of oscillators excited in a squeezed-therm alstate of the form = S (r)  $_{\rm th}S^{\rm y}(r)$ , with S (r) =  $\exp f_2^{1}r[a^{\rm y2}]$ 

 $a^{2}$  ]g and  $_{th} = (1 + n_{th})^{-1} [n_{th} = (1 + n_{th})]^{a^{\gamma}a}$ , then we can rewrite the parameters N and M in terms of the squeezing and thermal number of photons  $n_{s} = \sinh^{2} r$  and  $n_{th}$  respectively. Then we get [10]

$$M = (1 + 2n_{th}) \frac{q}{n_s(1 + n_s)}; \qquad (11)$$

$$N = n_{th} + n_s (1 + 2n_{th}) :$$
 (12)

U sing this param etrization, the condition (10) is autom atically satis ed.

## 3 Separability

A quantum state of a bipartite system is separable if its density operator  $_{k}$ , where fp<sub>k</sub>g is a probability distribution can be written as % =<sub>k</sub> P<sub>k k</sub> and 's and 's are single-system density matrices. If a state is separable the correlations between the two systems are of purely classical origin. A quantum state which is not separable contains quantum correlations i.e. it is entangled. A necessary condition for separability is the positivity of the density matrix %<sup>T</sup>, obtained by partial transposition of the original density matrix (PPT condition) [20]. In general PPT has been proved to be only a necessary condition for separability; however, for some specic sets of states, PPT is also a su cient condition. These include states of 2 2 and 2 3 dimensional Hilbert spaces [21] and Gaussian states (states with a Gaussian W igner function) of a bipartite continuous variable system, e.g. the states of a two-mode radiation eld [22,23]. Our analysis is based on these results. In fact, the W igner function of a twin-beam produced by a parametric source is Gaussian and the evolution inside active bers preserves such character. Therefore, we are able to characterize the entanglem ent at any time and nd conditions on the ber's parameters to preserve it after a given ber length. The density matrix's PPT property can be rephrased as a condition on the  $covariance m atrix of the two m odes W igner function W (x_1; y_1; x_2; y_2).We have$ that a state is separable i

$$V + \frac{i}{4} \qquad 0 \tag{13}$$

where

and

$$V_{pk} = h_{p}_{ki} = d^{4}_{p}_{k} W();$$
 (15)

with j = j h ji, and  $j = fx_1; y_1; x_2; y_2g$ . The explicit expression of the covariance m atrix associated to the W igner function (7) is

and, then, condition (13) is satis ed when

$${}^{2}_{1} {}^{2}_{4} {}^{2}_{16}; {}^{2}_{2} {}^{2}_{3} {}^{1}_{16}; {}^{(17)}$$

Notice that changing the sign of M leaves conditions (17) unaltered.

By solving these inequalities with respect to time, twe nd that the twomode state becomes separable for  $t > t_s$ , where the threshold time  $t_s = t_s$  (; ;  $n_{th}$ ;  $n_s$ ) is given by

$$t_{s} = \frac{1}{\log^{0} f} + \frac{1}{1+2n_{th}} \int_{t}^{t} f^{2} + \frac{n_{s}(1+n_{s})}{n_{th}(1+n_{th})} f^{2} ;$$
(18)

and we de ned

f f(;n<sub>th</sub>;n<sub>s</sub>) = 
$$\frac{(1+2n_{th})^{h} + 2n_{th}}{4n_{th}(1+n_{th})}$$
; (19)

As one m ay expect,  $t_s$  decreases as  $n_{th}$  and  $n_s$  increase. M oreover, in the lim it  $n_s$ ! 0, the threshold time (18) reduces to the case of a non squeezed bath, in form ula [4,7]

$$t_{0} = t_{s}(;;n_{th};0) = \frac{1}{2} \log 1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{e^{2}}{2n_{th}}!$$
(20)

In order to see the e ect of a squeezed bath on the entanglem ent time we de ne the function

G (; 
$$n_{th}$$
;  $n_s$ )  $\frac{t_s t_0}{t_0}$ : (21)

In this way, when G > 0, the squeezed bath gives a threshold time longer than the one obtained with  $n_s = 0$ , shorter otherwise. These results are illustrated in gure 1, where we plot equation (21) as a function of  $n_s$  for dimentivalues of  $n_{th}$  and . Since G is always negative, we conclude that coupling a TW B with a squeezed-therm all bath destroys the correlations between the two channels faster than the coupling with a non squeezed environment.

## 4 Optim ized quantum teleportation

In this section we study continuous variable quantum teleportation (CVQT) assisted by a TW B propagating through a squeezed-therm al environment. Let us rem ind the CVQT protocol: the sender and the receiver, say A lice and B ob, share a two-mode state described by the density matrix  $_{12}$ , where the subscripts refer to modes 1 and 2 respectively: mode 1 is sent to A lice, the other to B ob. The goal of CVQT is teleporting an unknown state , corresponding to the mode 3, from A lice to B ob. In order to implement the teleportation, A lice rst performs a heterodyne detection on modes 3 and 1, i.e. she jointly measures a couple of two-mode quadratures. The POVM of the measurement is given by

$$_{13}(z) = \frac{1}{2} D_{1}(z) Jii_{1331} hh J D_{1}^{Y}(z); \qquad (22)$$

where  $Jii_{13} = \int_{v}^{P} jvi_1 jvi_3$ , and  $D_1(z) = expfza^y = z$  ag is the displacement operator acting on mode 1. Each measurement outcome is a complex number z, which is sent to Bob via a classical communication channel, and used by him to apply a displacement D (z) to mode 2 such to obtain the quantum state tele which, in an ideal case, coincides with the input signal [24,25]. The W igner function of the heterodyne POVM is given by [26]

$$W [_{13}(z)](x_1;y_1;x_3;y_3) = \frac{1}{2} ((x_1 - x_3) + x) ((y_1 + y_3) - y); (23)$$

with z = x + iy, and since, using W igner functions, the trace between two operators can be written as [27]

$$Tr[D_1O_2] = d^2w W [D_1](w) W [D_2](w);$$
(24)

the heterodyne probability distribution is given by [28]

$$p(z) = {}^{3} dx_{1} dy_{1} dx_{2} dy_{2} dx_{3} dy_{3} W [](x_{3};y_{3}) W [_{12}](x_{1};y_{1};x_{2};y_{2}) W [_{13}(z)](x_{1};y_{1};x_{3};y_{3}) W [I_{2}](x_{2};y_{2});$$
(25)

while the conditional state of m ode 2 is

$$W [_{2}(z)](x_{2};y_{2}) = \frac{2}{p(z)} \begin{cases} ZZ & ZZ \\ dx_{1} dy_{1} & dx_{3} dy_{3} W [](x_{3};y_{3}) \\ W [_{12}](x_{1};y_{1};x_{2};y_{2}) \\ W [_{13}(z)](x_{1};y_{1};x_{3};y_{3}) W [I_{2}](x_{2};y_{2}); \end{cases} (26)$$

where  $W[I_2](x_2;y_2) = {}^1$ . Thanks to equation (23) and after the integration with respect to  $x_3$  and  $y_3$ , we have

$$W [_{2}(z)](x_{2};y_{2}) = \frac{1}{p(z)} \int_{-\infty}^{ZZ} dx_{1} dy_{1} W [](x_{1} + x; y_{1} + y)$$
$$W [_{12}](x_{1};y_{1};x_{2};y_{2})$$
$$= \frac{1}{p(z)} \int_{-\infty}^{ZZ} dx_{1} dy_{1} W [](x_{1};y_{1})$$
$$W [_{12}](x_{1} x; y_{1} + y;x_{2};y_{2}): (27)$$

Now we perform the displacement D (z) on mode 2. Since

W 
$$[D(z) D^{y}(z)](x_{j};y_{j}) = W [](x_{j} x;y_{j} y);$$

we obtain

with  ${}^0_2(z)$  D (z)  ${}_2(z)$  D  ${}^y(z)$ . The output state of CVQT is obtained integrating equation (28) with respect to all the possible outcomes of heterodyne detection

$$W [_{tele}](x_2; y_2) = d^2 z p(z) W [_2^0(z)](x_2; y_2) :$$
(29)

F inally, when the shared state is the one given in equation (7), equation (29) rewrites as follows

W [tele](
$$x_2$$
; $y_2$ ) =  $\frac{ZZ}{4} \frac{dx^0 dy^0}{4_2 z^3}$ 

$$= \frac{\left[ \begin{array}{ccc} \left( \frac{(x^{0} - x_{2})^{2}}{4 - \frac{2}{3}} - \frac{(y^{0} - y_{2})^{2}}{4 - \frac{2}{2}} \right)^{2} \right]}{\left[ \left( x^{0}; y^{0} \right) \right]}{\left[ \left( x^{0}; y^{0} \right) \right]}$$
(30)  
$$= \frac{\left[ \begin{array}{ccc} \frac{d^{2}w}{4 - \frac{2}{3}} + \frac{d^{2}w}{4 - \frac{2}{3}} + \frac{(e - m - w)^{2}}{4 - \frac{2}{3}} \right]}{\left[ \left( \frac{e - m - w}{4 - \frac{2}{3}} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{4 - \frac{2}{3}} \right]}$$
(31)

which shows that the m ap L, describing CVQT assisted by a TW B propagating through a squeezed-therm all environment, is given by

$$= \int_{Z}^{L} \frac{d^{2}w}{4 _{2} _{3}} \exp \left( \frac{(\langle e[w])^{2}}{4 _{3}^{2}} - \frac{(=m [w])^{2}}{4 _{2}^{2}} \right)$$
  
D (w) D <sup>y</sup> (w); (32)

i.e. the teleportation protocol corresponds to a generalized G aussian noise. Notice that if  $n_s ! 0$ , from equations (8), (11) and (12) one has

$${}^{2}_{2}; {}^{2}_{3}! {}^{2} e^{t} + \frac{1+2n_{th}}{4} (1 e^{t});$$
(33)

which is the noise due to a therm alized quantum channel [8]. The map (32) can be extended to the case of a general G aussian noise as follows

$$L_{gen} = \frac{d^2 w}{det[C]} \exp^{n} w C w^{T} D(w) D^{Y}(w); \qquad (34)$$

where  $\underline{w}$  is the row vector  $\underline{w} = (\langle e[w]; = m[w] \rangle)$  and C is the covariance matrix of the noise [2].

Now, in order to use CVQT as a resource for quantum inform ation processing, we look for a class of squeezed states which achieves an average teleportation delity greater than the one obtained teleporting coherent states in the sam e conditions. The W igner function of the squeezed state = j; ih; jj; i= D ()S()jDi, is given by (we assume the squeezing parameter as real)

$$W [](x_3; y_3) = \frac{2}{e} \exp \left( \frac{2 (x_3 a)^2}{e^2} \frac{2 (y_3 b)^2}{e^2} \right);$$
(35)

with  $a = \langle e[], b = = m[]$ . Thanks to equations (31) and (35), we have

$$W \ [tele](x;y) = \frac{2 \exp \left(\frac{2 (x - a)^2}{e^2 + 8 \frac{2}{3}} - \frac{2 (y - b)^2}{e^2 + 8 \frac{2}{2}}\right)}{(e^2 + 8 \frac{2}{2})(e^2 + 8 \frac{2}{3})};$$
(36)

where we suppressed all the subscripts. The average teleportation delity is thus given by

$$\overline{F}_{\text{,tele}}(;;n_{\text{th}};n_{\text{s}}) = \frac{dx dy W [](x;y) W [_{\text{out}}](x;y)}{(e^{2} + 4 \frac{2}{2})(e^{2} + 4 \frac{2}{3})} \frac{1}{};$$
(37)

which attains its maximum when

$$= \max_{m \text{ ax}} \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{-2}{3} ; \qquad (38)$$

and, after this maxim ization, reads as follows

$$\overline{F}_{\text{tele}}(;;n_{\text{th}};n_{\text{s}}) = \frac{1}{1+4_{2}}:$$
(39)

For  $n_s ! 0$  we have  $_2 = _3$ , and thus then  $_{max} ! 0$ , i.e. the input state that maximizes the average delity (37) reduces to a coherent state. In other words, in a non squeezed environment the teleportation of coherent states is more elective than that of squeezed states. Moreover, equation (39) shows that meanwhile the TW B becomes separable, i.e.  $\frac{2}{2} = \frac{2}{3} = \frac{1}{16}$  (see equations (17)), one has  $\overline{F}_{tele} = 0.5$ . We remember that when the average delity is less than 0.5, the same results can be achieved using classical (non entangled) shared states [24,29]: in our case, it could be possible to verify the separability of the shared state simply studying the delity achieved teleporting squeezed states. Notice that the classical lim it  $\overline{F}_{tele} = 0.5$ , which was derived in the case of coherent state teleportation [29], still holds when we wish to teleport a squeezed state with a xed squeezing parameter. Finally, the asymptotic value of  $\overline{F}_{tele}$  for t ! 1 is

$$\overline{F}_{\text{tele}}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2 (1 + n_{\text{th}})};$$
(40)

which does not depend on the number of squeezed photons and is equal to 0.5 only if  $n_{th} = 0$ . This last result is equivalent to say that in presence of a zero-tem perature environment, no matter if it is squeezed or not, the TW B is non-separable at every time.

In gure 2 we plot  $\overline{F}_{\text{tele}}$  as a function of t for di erent values of , n <sub>th</sub> and n<sub>s</sub>. As n<sub>s</sub> increases, the non classicity of the therm all bath starts to a ect the teleportation delity and we observe that the best results are obtained when the state to be teleported is the squeezed state that maximizes (37). Furtherm ore the di erence between the two delities increases as n<sub>s</sub> increases. Notice that there is an interval of values for t such that the coherent state teleportation delity is less than the classical lim it 0.5, although the shared state is still entangled.

#### 5 Teleportation vs direct transm ission

This section is devoted to investigate whether the results obtained in the previous sections can be used to improve quantum communication using non classical states. We suppose to have a communication protocol where information is encoded onto the eld amplitude of a set of squeezed states of the form j; i with xed squeezing parameter. In gure 3 we show a schematic diagram for direct and teleportation-assisted communication. A sone can see from the gure, direct transmission line's length L is twice the elective length of the teleportation-assisted scheme: this is due to the fact that the two modes of the shared state are chosen to be propagating in opposite directions.

W hen we directly send the squeezed state (35) through a squeezed noisy quantum channel, the state arriving at the receiver is

$$W [_{dir}](x;y) = \frac{2 \exp \left(\frac{2 (x - a e^{-t^{0} - 2})^{2}}{e^{2} - t^{0} + 4D_{+}^{2}} - \frac{2 (y - b e^{-t^{0} - 2})^{2}}{e^{2} - t^{0} + 4D_{-}^{2}}\right)}{(e^{2} - t^{0} + 4D_{+}^{2})(e^{2} - t^{0} + 4D_{-}^{2})}; \quad (41)$$

with D<sup>2</sup>, evaluated at time t<sup>0</sup>, given in equation (9) and time t<sup>0</sup> is twice the time t in plicitly appearing in equation (36), because of the previously explained choice. Equation (41) is the W igner function of the state  $_{dir}$ , solution of the single-m ode M aster equation

$$\frac{d_{t}}{dt} = f (1 + N)L[a] + NL[a^{y}] + MM[a^{y}] + M M[a]g_{t};$$
(42)

where , N , M and the superoperators L[D] and M [D] have the same meaning as in equation (1). As in case of quantum teleportation, we can denote the direct transmission delity (see equation (37)), obtaining

$$F_{j,dir}(j;n_{th};n_{s}) = \frac{\exp\left(\frac{a^{2}(1 - e^{-t^{0}-2})^{2}}{2 - \frac{a}{a}(t^{0})} - \frac{b^{2}(1 + e^{-t^{0}-2})^{2}(t^{0})}{2 - \frac{a}{b}(t^{0})}\right)}{2 - \frac{a^{2}}{a}(t^{0}) - \frac{a^{2}}{b}(t^{0})} : (43)$$

where

$${}^{2}_{a}(t^{0}) = \frac{1}{4}e^{2} (1 + e^{-t^{0}}) + D^{2}_{+}(t^{0});$$
(44)

$${}^{2}_{b}(t^{0}) = \frac{1}{4}e^{2}(1 + e^{t^{0}}) + D^{2}(t^{0}):$$
 (45)

Since F ;  $_{glir}$  depends on the amplitude = a + ib of the state to be transm itted, in order to evaluate the average delity here we assume that the trasm itter sends squeezed states with xed squeezed parameter and with amplitudes distributed according to the G aussian

P() = 
$$\frac{1}{2^2} \exp\left(\frac{j j^2}{2^2}\right)$$
 (46)

The average direct transmission delity reads as follows

$$\overline{F}_{\text{rdir}} = \frac{Z}{d^2} P()F_{\text{rdir}}(;n_{\text{th}};n_{\text{s}})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{q}{\left[ (1 - e^{-t^0 - 2})^2 + \frac{2}{a} (t^0) \right] \left[ (1 - e^{-t^0 - 2})^2 + \frac{2}{b} (t^0) \right]} \right)^{-1}; (48)$$

which, for t! 1 and using equation (38), reduces to

$$\overline{F}_{dir}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{q}{g_{+} (n_{th}; n_{s}) g (n_{th}; n_{s})} \right]^{1};$$
(49)

with

$$g (n_{th}; n_s) = \frac{1}{4} 1 + {r \over 1 + 8 n_s (1 + n_s)} 4 (1 + 2 n_s) {q \over n_s (1 + n_s)} + 2 n_s + n_{th} + 2 n_s n_{th} (1 + 2 n_{th}) {q \over n_s (1 + n_s)} + {r \over 2}$$

Teleportation is a good resource for quantum communication in noisy channel when  $\overline{F}_{\text{rele}} = \overline{F}_{\text{rdir}}$ , which gives a threshold  $^2_{\text{th}}$  on the width  $^2$  of the distribution (46)

$${}^{2}_{th}$$
 (;;  $n_{th}; n_{s}$ ) =  $\frac{1}{2(1 e^{-t})^{2}}$  [ ${}^{2}_{a}$  (2t) +  ${}^{2}_{b}$  (2t)]

$$+ \left[ \frac{2}{a} (2t) + \frac{2}{b} (2t) \right]^{2} + (\overline{F}_{\text{tele}})^{2}; \quad (50)$$

where  $\overline{F}_{\text{tele}}$  of Eq. (37) is evaluated at time t and, then,  $t^0 = 2t$ .

In gure 4 we plot  $\overline{F}_{\text{tele}}$  and  $\overline{F}_{\text{sdir}}$  with  $= \max_{\text{max}}$  for dierent values of the other parameters. We see that teleportation is an elective and robust resource for communication as the channel becomes more noisy and <sup>2</sup> larger. Moreover, when  $n_{\text{th}}; n_{\text{s}}$  ! 0, one obtains the following nite value for the threshold

$${}^{2}_{\text{th}}(;;0;0) = \frac{e^{t} 1 + e^{2}}{2e^{t} (1 e^{t})^{2}};$$
(51)

i.e. teleportation assisted communication can be more e ective than direct transmission even for pure dissipation at zero tem perature.

# 6 Conclusions

In this work we have studied the propagation of a TW B through a Gaussian quantum noisy channel, either therm allor squeezed-therm al, and have evaluated the threshold time after which the state becomes separable. Moreover, we have explicitly found the completely positive map for the teleportated state using the W igner form alism.

We have found that the threshold for a squeezed environment is always shorter than for a purely them alone. On the other hand, we have shown that squeezing the channel is a useful resource when entanglement is used for teleportation of squeezed states. In particular, we have found the class of squeezed states which optimize teleportation delity. The squeezing parameter of such states depends on the channel parameters them selves. In these conditions, the teleportation delity is always larger than the one achieved by teleporting coherent states. Moreover, there are no regions of useless entanglement, i.e. the delity approaches the classical limit  $\overline{F} = 0.5$  when the TW B becomes separable.

F inally, we have found regimes where the optim ized teleportation of squeezed states can be used to improve the transm ission of am plitude-m odulated signals through a squeezed-therm all noisy channel. The transm ission performances have been investigated by means of input-output delity, comparing the direct transm ission with the teleportation one. A ctually, decoherence mechanisms are di erent between these two channels: in the teleportation channel the delity is reduced due to the interaction of the TW B with the squeezed-therm all bath; in direct transm ission the signal is directly coupled with the

non-classical environment and, then, delity is a ected by the degradation of the signal itself. The performance of CVQT as a quantum communication channel in nonclassical environment obviously depends on the parameters of the channel itself, but our analysis has shown that if the signal is drawn from the class of squeezed states that optimize teleportation delity, and the probability distribution of the transmitted state amplitudes is wide enough, then teleportation is more elective and robust as the environment becomes more noisy.

# References

- [1] C.H.Bennett et al, Phys.Rev.Lett 70, 1895 (1993).
- [2] D.W ilson, J.Lee and M.S.K im, quant-ph/0206197 v3 (2002).
- [3] J.Lee, M.S.Kim and H.Jeong, Phys. Rev A 62, 032305 (2000).
- [4] J.S.Prauzner-Bechcicki, quant-ph/0211114 v2 (2003).
- [5] A.Vukics, J.Janszky and T.Kobayashi, Phys. Rev. A 66, 023809 (2002).
- [6] W.P.Bowen et al, Phys. Rev. A 67, 032302 (2003).
- [7] M.G.A Paris, Entangled light and applications in Progress in Quantum Physics Research, V.K rasnoholovets Ed., Nova Publisher, in press.
- [8] M.Ban, M.Sasakiand M.Takeoka, J.Phys. A: Math.Gen. 35, L401 (2002).
- [9] M. Takeoka, M. Ban and M. Sasaki, J. Opt. B: Quantum Sem iclass. Opt. 4, 114 (2002).
- [10] K.S.Grewal, PhysRevA 67, 022107 (2003).
- [11] M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang, Quantum Computation and Quantum Information (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000).
- [12] S.O livares and M.G.A.Paris, B inary optical communication in single-mode and entangled quantum noisy channels, preprint quant-ph/0309096
- [13] T.A.B.Kennedy and D.F.W alls, Phys. Rev. A 37, 152 (1988).
- [14] W .J.M unro and M .D .Reid, Phys.Rev.A 52,2388 (1995).
- [15] P. Tom besi and D. Vitali, Phys. Rev. A 50, 4253 (1994).
- [16] S.G. Clark and A.S. Parkins, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 047905 (2003).
- [17] S.G. Clark, A. Peng, M. Gu and S. Parkins, quant-ph/0307064.
- [18] N. Lutkenhaus, J. I. Cirac and P. Zoller, Phys. Rev. A 57, 548 (1998).
- [19] D.F.W alls and G.J.M ilburn, Quantum Optics (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994).

- [20] A. Peres, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 1413-1415 (1996).
- [21] P. Horodecki, M. Lewenstein, G. Vidal and I. Cirac, Phys. Rev. A 62, 032310 (2000).
- [22] Lu-M ing D uan, G.Giedke, J. I.Cirac and P.Zoller, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84 2722 (2000).
- [23] R. Sim on, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84 2726 (2000).
- [24] S.L.Braunstein and H.J.K im ble, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 869 (1998).
- [25] A. Furusawa et. al, Science 282, 706 (1998).
- [26] M.G.A.Paris, M.Cola and R.Bonifacio, J.Opt.B 5, S360 (2003).
- [27] K.Cahilland R.G lauber, Phys. Rev. 177, 1857 (1969).
- [28] S.O livares, M.G.A. Paris and R. Bonifacio, Phys. Rev. A 67, 032314 (2003).
- [29] S.L.Braunstein, C.A.Fuchs and H.J.K in ble, J.M od.Opt. 47, 267 (2000).



Fig. 1. P lots of the ratio  $G = (t_s t_0)=t_0$  as a function of the number of squeezed photons  $n_s$  for di erent values of the TW B parameter and of the number of therm alphotons  $n_{th}$ . The values of  $n_{th}$  are chosen to be: (a)  $n_{th} = 10^{-6}$ , (b) 10<sup>-3</sup>, (c) 10<sup>-1</sup> and (d) 1, while the solid lines, from bottom to top, refer to varying between 0.1 to 1.0 with steps of 0.15.



Fig. 2. Plots of the average teleportation delity. The solid and the dashed lines represent squeezed and coherent state delity, respectively, for di erent values of the number of squeezed photons  $n_s$ : (a)  $n_s = 0$ , (b) 0:1, (c) 0:3, (d) 0:7. In all the plots we put the TW B parameter = 1:5 and number of them alphotons  $n_{th} = 0.5$ . The dot-dashed vertical line indicates the threshold  $t_s$  for the separability of the shared state: when  $t > t_s$  the state is no more entangled. Notice that, in the case of squeezed state teleportation, the threshold for the separability corresponds to  $\overline{F} = 0.5$ .



Fig. 3. Direct and teleportation-assisted transm ission. (a) In direct transm ission, the sender directly sends state through the G aussian noisy channel: the state arriving at the receiver is  $_{dir}$ . (b) In teleportation-assisted transm ission, the sender m ixes at the balanced beam splitter BS the state to be transm itted with one of the two m ode of the shared state, arriving from the G aussian noisy channel, and then he m easures the quadrature x and y, respectively, of the output m odes. This result is classically communicated to the receiver, which applies a displacement D (z), z = x + iy, to the output state, obtaining tele (see section 4 for details). Notice that the length of the direct transm ission line is twice the elength of the teleportation-assisted transm ission one.



Fig. 4. Plots of average teleportation (equation (37)) and direct communication (equation (48)) delity as functions of t for di erent values of  $n_{th}$  and  $n_s$ : (a)  $n_{th} = n_s = 0$ ; (b)  $n_{th} = 0.3$  and  $n_s = 0$ ; (c)  $n_{th} = 0.5$  and  $n_s = 0$ : (d)  $n_{th} = 0.5$  and  $n_s = 0.3$ . In all the plots the solid line referes to  $\overline{F}_{tele}$  with = 1.5, whereas the dashed lines are  $\overline{F}_{dir}$  with (from top to bottom)  $^2 = 0.1;0.5;1;5$ . The squeezing parameter is chosen to be  $= m_{ax}$ , which maxim izes teleportation delity. Notice that direct transmission delity is evaluated in a time t equal twice the time of teleportation (see the scheme in gure 3). The dot-dashed vertical line indicates the threshold  $t_s$  for the separability of the shared state used in teleportation.