Stability of Quantum M otion: Beyond Ferm i-golden-rule and Lyapunov decay

W en-ge W ang^{1;2}, G. Casati^{3;4;1}, and Baowen Li¹

¹D epartm ent of Physics, National University of Singapore, 117542 Singapore

²Department of Physics, Southeast University, Nanjing 210096, China

³Center for Nonlinear and Complex Systems, Universita degli Studi

dell'Insubria and Istituto Nazionale per la Fisica della Materia,

Unita di Como, Via Valleggio 11, 22100 Como, Italy

⁴ Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nuclear, Sezione di Milano, Via Celoria 16, 20133 Milano, Italy

(Dated: 10 Spetem ber 2003)

W e study, analytically and num erically, the stability of quantum motion for a classically chaotic system. We show the existence of di erent regimes of delity decay. In particular, when the underlying classical dynamics is weakly chaotic, deviations from Ferm i-Golden-rule and Lyapounov regimes are observed and discussed.

PACS num bers: 05.45 M t, 05.45 Pq, 03.65.Sq

The nature of correlations decay is an important subject in di erent ekls of physics. In particular, after the discovery of the so-called dynam ical chaos, a large e ort has been devoted to understand their behavior in relation to dynam ical properties. The main reason is to know the precise conditions under which a statistical description is legitim ate and to estim ate the nature of the approxim ations which are involved.

A nother in portant characteristic of dynam ical system s is the stability of their solutions under slight variation of the H am iltonian. A quantitative measure of this stability is given by the so-called delity or quantum Loschm idt echo. The delity M (t) = $jn(t)^2$, measures the overlap of two states started from the same initial state and evolved under slightly di erent H am iltonians H₀ and H = H₀ + V, which are classically chaotic,

$$m(t) = h_0 jexp(iH t=~)exp(iH_0t=~)j_0i:$$
 (1)

Quite surprisingly, in spite of its physical relevance, the behavior of delity has been scarcely considered and only recently, in connection with quantum computation, a large num ber of papers appeared. Som e in portant features of delity are now understood even though we are still far from the detailed level of know ledge we have about related quantities such as correlations functions and escape probabilities. So far, above the perturbative regime of small, with Gaussian type decay [, 2, 3], two main types of exponential decay of the delity have been identi ed: i)-The Ferm i-golden-rule (FGR) decay, with the exponent given by the half-width of the corresponding local spectral density of states [3, 4, 5, 6]. This decay has been related to the decay of autocorrelation function [9]. ii) - The Lyapunov regime, above the FGR regime, with decay rate given by the Lyapunov exponent of the underlying classical dynamics [4, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15].

In this paper we show that for classically chaotic systems, in particular those with weak chaos, the behavior of delity can be much more rich and complex than



FIG. 1: Fidelity \overline{M} (t) as a function of ²t for K₀ = 0.4, 7:67 10 ⁵ and N = N₀;2N₀;4N₀;8N₀ (from bottom to top) where N₀ = 4096. (= 0.05;0.1;0.2;0.4). The FG R decay ' e ^{2:2 ²t} is shown by the dashed line. Full circles represent the sem iclassical values \overline{M}_{a} (t) at = 0.4, com puted with expression (7). The num erically com puted sem iclassical values \overline{M}_{f} (t) turn out to be negligible so that \overline{M} (t) is well approximated by \overline{M}_{a} (t), as clearly seen from the gure at = 0.4. A verages were perform ed over 400 initial point sources, with ₀ taken random ly in the interval [0;2). (The same decaying behaviors are observed for initial G aussian wavepackets.)

expected. In particular we study perturbation borders which separate di erent types of decay.

We start by displaying numerical results which strongly deviate from the expected behavior. We consider here the simple, well known, saw tooth map model[12]. The classical map writes:

$$\overline{p} = p + K_0 (); = + \overline{p}; \pmod{2}$$
 (2)

For K₀ > 0, the motion is completely chaotic, with Lyapunov exponent = $\ln f (2 + K_0 + [(2 + K_0)^2 \quad 4]^{1=2})=2g$.



FIG.2: The exponential decay rate versus perturbation strength , calculated from the best t of $\ln M$ (t). G aussian wavepackets are taken as initial states. The solid curve show s the rate ' 2.2² of the FGR-decay. The dashed horizonal lines correspond to the Lyapunov exponents = 0:62;0:96 and 1.32 for K₀ = 0:4;1 and 2, respectively. N = 131072.

The quantum evolution on one map iteration is described by

$$= U_0 \qquad \exp \quad ip^2 = (2^{-1}) \exp [ik_0 (^{-1})^2 = 2] ; \quad (3)$$

where $p = i \sim 0 = 0$ and $k_0 = K_0 = \sim$, with the electrive Planck constant $\sim = 2 = N$ and N being the dimension of the Hilbert space. For the perturbed system, $K = K_0 +$ and $k = k_0 +$, where $= = \sim$ and $<< K_0$.

In Fig. 1 we show the delity decay in the expected FGR regime 1 = N. 1. In spite of the fact that the classical motion is chaotic, it is clearly seen that the behavior does not obey the FGR which, according to [4, 12], should be / exp(t) with 22^2 . The same conclusion can be drawn from Fig. 2 where we plot the decay rate of the delity as a function of . Indeed at $K_0 = 0.4$ the decay rate versus appears quite dimension the quadratic one [16].

D eviations are present even at K₀ = 1 and only at K₀ = 2 one has good FGR decay. Moreover, above the FGR regime, where one expects Lyapunov decay, there are strong oscillations above and below the decay rate (for K₀ = 1, and 2). Only at larger values, one enters the Lyapunov regime.

In order to explain the above numerical results, we start from the standard sem iclassical approach [7, 14]. For simplicity, we consider a nite gon guration space, with dimension d and volume V = dr. The momentum space is also nite, with a volume V_p . In the sem iclassical approach, an initial state $_0$ (r_0) is propagated by the sem iclassical Van V leck-Gutzwiller propagator, (r;t) = $dr_0 K_{sc}$ (r; r_0 ;t) $_0$ (r_0), where K_{sc} (r; r_0 ;t) =

 $_{\rm s}$ K $_{\rm s}$ (r; r₀; t), with

$$K_{s}(r;r_{0};t) = \frac{C_{s}^{1=2}}{(2 i^{-1})^{d=2}} \exp \frac{i}{2} S_{s}(r;r_{0};t) \frac{i}{2} s : (4)$$

The labels in Eq. (4) (m one exactly $s(r;r_0;t)$), indicate classical trajectories starting at r_0 and ending at r in a time t; $S_s(r;r_0;t)$ is the time integral of the Lagrangian along the trajectory s, $S_s(r;r_0;t) = \begin{cases} R_t \\ 0 \end{cases} dt^0 L$, $C_s = jdet(0^2 S_s = 0r_0;0r_j)j$ and s is the M aslov index counting the conjugate points.

In Ref. [14], it is shown that the sem iclassical approximation to m (t) for initial G aussian wavepackets has a simple and convenient expression in the initial momentum space. Following similar arguments for initial point sources, hrj $_0i = \frac{1}{(2 \ \sim)^d = V_p}$ (r r_0), (the theory can be extended to general initial states), one can write m (t) as

$$m(r_0;t)' \frac{1}{V_p}^Z dp_0 \exp \frac{i}{2} S(p_0;r_0;t);$$
 (5)

where $S(p_0;r_0;t)$ is the action di erence along the trajectory starting at $(r_0;p_0)$ for the two systems H₀ and H. In the Rst_{t} order classical perturbation theory, $S(p_0;r_0;t) = \int_{0}^{R_t} dt^0 V[r(t^0)]$, with V evaluated along the trajectory.

The averaged (over r_0) delity can be separated into a m ean-value part and a uctuating part [1], denoted by \overline{M}_a (t) and \overline{M}_f (t) respectively, \overline{M} (t) $\frac{1}{\ln(t)f} = \frac{1}{M}_a$ (t) + \overline{M}_f (t), where

$$\overline{M}_{a}$$
 (t) \overline{m} (t) $\frac{1}{2}$; with \overline{m} (t) = $\frac{1}{V}^{Z}$ dr₀m (r₀;t): (6)

From Eqs. (5) and (6), it is seen that the mean-value part \overline{M}_{a} (t) can be expressed in terms of the distribution P (S) of the action di erence S,

$$\frac{Z}{M_{a}(t)} d Se^{i S=\sim} P(S)^{2}; \text{ where } (7)$$

$$P(S) = \frac{R}{dr_0 dp_0} dr_0 dp_0 [S S(p_0; r_0; t)]: (8)$$

It is usually assumed that for chaotic system s P (S) is close to a G aussian with a variance $[2^{2}K (E)t]$, where $K (E) = \int_{0}^{1} dth V [r(t)] V [(r(0)]i is the classical action diffusion constant [B]. As a result, <math>\overline{M}_{a}(t)$ 'e^t, where

= $2^{2}K$ (E). At small , the uctuation is small com – pared with the average value, because the phase on the right hand side of Eq. (5) is proportional to ; then, \overline{M} (t) $'\overline{M}_{a}$ (t) has the FGR-decay.

Let us now consider a $x ed_B$, and divide the space of the initial momenta p_0 into connected, disjoint subspaces, denoted by A , where each A is the largest possible subspace such that the correspondence between p_0 and the nalposition r is one-to-one, i.e. di erent p



FIG.3: Comparison between the exact \overline{M} (t), its sem iclassical mean-value part \overline{M}_{a} , calculated by using Eqs. (5) and (6), and the uctuation part $\overline{M}_{f} = \overline{M}_{sc}$ \overline{M}_{a} , where \overline{M}_{sc} is the sem iclassical approximation to the delity, computed by the expression (5). Here N = 131072, K₀ = 2, and = 0.9 (left panel), = 3 (right panel). The exact \overline{M} (t) is in good agreement with its sem iclassical approximation \overline{M}_{sc} (t). The average is taken over 500 initial point sources.

inside each single component A gives di erent nalposition r. It is always possible to make such a division. The number of subspaces A is denoted by N . Note also that the sizes of A decrease exponentially with increasing time t. When p_0 runs over a subspace A , r may run overpart of the con guration space, denoted by V . Note that, with this division of the p_0 subspace, the trajectories starting at r_0 are divided into N groups and \near" trajectories typically belong to the same group.

The amplitude m $(r_0;t)$ in Eq. (5) can now be written as m $(r_0;t)'$ m $(r_0;t)$, where

m
$$(r_0;t) = \frac{1}{V_p} \int_{V_p}^{Z} dr c_s exp = \frac{1}{2} S_s(r;r_0;t)$$
 (9)

with integration over the subspace V , in which the change of variable p_0 ! r within the subspaces A has been done and $S_s\left(r;r_0;t\right)$ coincides with $S\left(p_0;r_0;t\right)$ for the same trajectory s starting at $(r_0;p_0)$ with p_0 2 A $.\ \overline{M}_f\left(t\right)$ is written as

$$\overline{M}_{f}(t) = \frac{X}{m}_{f} w \text{ ith } m_{f} = m (r_{0};t) \frac{\overline{m}(t)}{N}: (10)$$

W hen is large enough, above a critical border $_{\rm f}$, m (r₀;t) can be regarded as possessing random phase, and therefore $\overline{\rm M}_{\rm f}$ can be approximated by its diagonal



FIG. 4: Similar to Fig. 3, for $K_0 = 1$ and = 6. At this large , \overline{M}_a (t) is negligible compared with \overline{M}_f (t).

part

$$\frac{X}{M_{f}}(t) \cdot \frac{X}{m_{f}} \cdot \frac{X}{m_{f}}$$

where the second approximation is obtained by noticing that $\overline{jn}~(t)=\!\!N~j\!<\!<jn$ jat large .

W hen the phase space is hom ogeneous with constant local (m axim um) Lyapunov exponent , as in the saw-tooth m ap, the number N_s (r₀;r) of trajectories connecting two points r₀ and r in the con guration space is approximately N_s' N ' e ^t [17]. The sum mation over in (11) gives a contribution approximately proportional to N_{Rs}. At t large enough, the main time dependence of j_V drC_se^{$\frac{1}{t}$ S_s j is given by C_s / e ^t. Combining these results, it is seen that at > f, M f (t) has the Lyapunov decay, M f (t) / e ^t.}

In order to have the Lyapunov decay for \overline{M} (t), the term \overline{M}_{a} (t) must be small. To this end one needs to further increase above a critical value _r, so that the variance of the phase of m (r₀;t) with respect to r₀ will become so large that \overline{M}_{a} (t) is negligible.

The right panel of F ig. 3 gives an example of M $_{a}$ (t) M_{f} (t). This explains the uctuation of versus shown in F ig. 2 at K $_{0}$ = 2 and < 3. F ig. 4 instead gives an example with large enough (> $_{r}$), so that M_{a} (t) is negligible and M (t) ' M_{f} (t).

The deviation from FGR decay observed in Figs.1 and 2 is due to the deviation of P (S) from the Gaussian behavior. Indeed, when chaos in the underlying classical dynamics is strong enough (K $_0 > 1$), correlations be-



FIG.5: Distribution P [(S h Si)=] of the classical action di erence S, at t = 10, calculated by taking random ly 10⁷ initial points in the phase space, where h Si th () i = 2 t=6, with an average over the phase space.

tween non-overlapping parts of a trajectory decay very rapidly and the distribution P (S=) reaches, in a relatively short time, the Gaussian distribution. This is the case of Fig. 5 for K₀ = 2, where K (E) = 4 = 90' 1:08 with = 2K (E) 2 / 2:16 2 , in agreem ent with the numerical results in Ref. [12] and in Fig. 2. However, when K_0 is not su ciently large, e.g., $K_0 = 0.4$, a considerable deviation of P (S=) from the Gaussian distribution appears for times comparable to the delity decay times (Fig. 5). According to Eq. (7), this leads to deviations from the FGR-decay as observed in Fig.1. We would like to draw the reader attention to the fact that, for K₀ < 1 the saw-tooth m ap, even though completely chaotic, it possess a structure of cantori which, in the quantum case, can act as perfect barriers to quantum m otion thus leading to localization of wavefunctions.

Notice that the deviation of P (S =) from the G aussian distribution depends on K₀ but not on or . Therefore, by increasing , the e ect of this deviation becomes m ore and m ore important, since the FGR exponential decay has a decay rate proportional to ² while the deviation from the G aussian remains unchanged. Therefore, for a given system, there is a critical value d, below which the FGR decay is obeyed with good accuracy and above which FGR breaks down. This case is illustrated in Fig.2 for the case K₀ = 1, which coincides with the well-know n A mold catm ap, the paradigm aticm odelof chaos. Here the distribution P (S =) (at t= 10) is slightly different from the G aussian distribution and the decay rate

of delity deviates from the FGR decay for & 0.3. In cases of weak classical chaos, the value of $_{\rm d}$ can be so sm all that FGR is never observed (e.g. the case with K $_0 = 0.4$). The left panel in Fig. 3 shows instead a case at K $_0 = 2$ and = 0.9, in which $\overline{M}_{\rm a}$ (t) obeys the FGR

decay and \overline{M}_{f} (t) is negligible.

To sum m arize: above the perturbative border, the delity has a FGR decay for < d, while for > r, it has the Lyapunov decay. In the intermediate region, for $_{\rm d}$ < < $_{\rm f}$, the delity deviates from FGR and can decay even faster than Lyapunov. For $_{\rm f}$ < $_{\rm r}$, M _a (t) M $_{\rm f}$ (t) and the decay rate of M (t) uctuates around the Lyapunov exponent. It may be useful to recall here the physical meaning of di erent borders. Above d, the distribution P (S) deviates from the Gaussian and this induces deviations from the expected FGR decay. Below $_{r}$, M $_{a}$ (t) is non negligible as compared to M $_{f}$ (t) and this induces deviations from the expected Lyapunov decay.

It may be interesting to remark that the relation between the decomposition in two part of \overline{M} (t) here and that in Ref. [7] is the following. At small enough \overline{M} (t) ' \overline{M}_{a} (t) ' M^{nd} (t), with \overline{M}_{f} (t) and M^{d} (t) negligible; while at large enough \overline{M} (t) ' \overline{M}_{f} (t) ' M^{d} (t), with \overline{M}_{a} (t) and M^{nd} (t) negligible. In the interm ediate regime of , in particular, in the crossover from the FGR decay to the Lyapunov decay, there may be considerable di erence between the two divisions.

In this paper, by using the sawtooth map, we have demonstrated that the delity decay in a generic chaotic system can have a very complex behavior. In particular, deviations from the Ferm i golden rule (for weak chaos) and Lyapunov decay have been discussed as well as the existence of perturbation borders separating di erent regimes. It is our opinion that delity is an important quantity which characterizes the stability of classical and quantum systems. It therefore deserves deeper analytical and num erical studies in order to fully understand its behavior in di erent dynam ical regimes.

The authors are grateful to V.Sokolov for valuable discussions. This work was supported in part by the A cadem ic Research Fund of the National University of Singapore. Support was also given by the EC RTN contract HPRN-CT-2000-0156, the NSA and ARDA under ARO contracts No. DAAD 19-02-1-0086, the project ED IQ IP of the IST-FET program me of the EC, the PRIN-2002 \Fault tolerance, control and stability in quantum inform ation processing", and the Natural Science Foundation of China No.10275011.

- [1] A.Peres, Phys.Rev.A 30, 1610 (1984).
- [2] T. Prosen, Phys. Rev. E 65, 036208 (2002); T. Prosen and M. Znidaric, J. Phys. A 35, 1455 (2002).
- [3] N. R. Cernuti and S. Tom sovic, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 054103 (2002); J. Phys. A 36, 3451 (2003).
- [4] Ph. Jacquod, P.G. Silvestrov, and C.W. J. Beenakker, Phys. Rev. E 64, 055203 (2001).
- [5] Ph. Jacquod, I. Adagideli, and C.W. J. Beenakker, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 154103 (2002).

- [6] J.Em erson et al, Phys.Rev.Lett.89, 284102 (2002).
- [7] R A. Jalabert and H M . Pastaw skiPhys. Rev. Lett. 86, 2490 (2001).
- [8] F.M. Cucchietti, H.M. Pastawski, and D.A.W isniacki, Phys. Rev. E 65, 045206 (2002);
- [9] D A.W isniacki and D.Cohen, Phys. Rev. E 66, 046209 (2002).
- [10] D A .W isniackiet al, Phys. Rev. E 65, 055206 (2002);
- [11] F M .Cucchietti et al, Phys.Rev.E 65,046209 (2002).
- [12] G. Benenti and G. Casati, Phys. Rev. E 65, 066205 (2002); F.Borgonovi, G.Casati, and B.Li, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 4744 1996).
- [13] W G.W ang and B.Li, Phys. Rev.E 66, 056208 (2002).

- [14] J.Van cek and E.Heller, Phys.Rev.E 68, 056208 (2003).
- [15] F.M. Cucchietti et alquant-ph/0307752.
- [16] D eviations from Ferm i golden rule have also been observed in the Bunim ovich stadium billiard [10]. The delity in that model was found to decay as exp(t=2), with being the halfwidth of the LDOS. In our case the decay rate of delity is close to . However, for K $_0 = 0.4$ considerable deviations in tail region of LDOS from the Lorentzian shape are present.
- [17] F. Haake, Quantum Signatures of Chaos, 2nd ed. (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001).