Least paradoxical states of the Schrodinger cat

Andrey Pereverzev

Department of Chemistry, Trinity University, San Antonio, Texas 78212 (Dated: March 2, 2022)

M odeling the Schrodinger cat by a two state system and assuming that the cat is coupled to the environm ent we look for the least paradoxical states of the Schrodinger cat in the following way. We require the reduced density matrix of the cat for one of the two states in the superposition to be the same as the one for the total state while distinct from the reduced density matrix of the cat for the other state in the superposition. We then look for the reduced density matrices for which the cat is as alive as possible for the rst state (and as dead as possible for the second state). The resulting states are those in which the probability for the cat to be alive (or dead) is 1=2+2=40:854.

PACS num bers: 03.65.-w, 03.65.Ud, 03.65.Ta

In the thought experim ent introduced by Schrodinger [1] a superposition of m icroscopically distinct orthogonal states leads to a superposition of macroscopically distinct orthogonal states of the type

$$j i = \frac{1}{p_{-}} j_{1} i + \frac{1}{p_{-}} j_{2} i; \qquad (1)$$

where j₁i describes the Universe in which the cat is alive, while j₂i describes the Universe in which the cat is dead. Rather then discussing possible resolutions of the paradox (see, e.g. [2]) we would like to consider for what states the paradoxical nature of superposition (1) is least pronounced. Let us denote the reduced density matrices of the cat for states j_1, j_1 , and j_2 iby, respectively, $_1$, and $_2$. The paradox would not exit if $_1$ and $_2$ corresponded, respectively, to pure states for alive and dead was identical to either $_1$ or $_2$. C learly, this cat, and is not possible. However, since the cat can be entangled with the environm ent, we can relax the requirem ent that $_1$ and $_2$ correspond to pure states while keeping the requirement that is the same as either $_1$ or $_2$. This would imply that the cat has some probability of being dead for state 1 and som e probability of being alive for state $_2$. Then we can look for the density matrices $_1$ and $_2$ for which the cat is, respectively, as alive and as dead as possible. Our analysis show that the resulting states of the cat are those for which its probability to be alive (or dead) is 1=2+2=4 0:854.

To form ulate the problem more precisely we model the cat by a two state system with states jli and j2i which correspond, respectively, to alive and dead states of the cat. We impose no restrictions on the form of the environment. Since the cat is modeled by the two state system one can visualize the problem by using the B loch vector form alism [3]. Since we require that is equal to, say, 1, the B loch vectors associated with and ₁ have to be the same. We also would like the reduced density matrices 1 and 2 to correspond to distinct states of the cat. However, once 1 is given, there is no unique way to choose a distinct 2. One possible choice is based on the fact that in the Bloch picture two orthogonal pure

states correspond to two vectors of unit length pointing in the opposite directions. Generalizing this to the density matrices we will require the vector for $_{2}$ to be of the same length as for $_1$ but pointing in the opposite direction. Let the B loch vector for state jli to lie in the positive z direction. Then our problem is to nd vectors for , 1, and 2 which, in addition to the requirem ents im posed above, have the largest absolute value of the z component. It is clear from this picture that one necessary condition is that all three vectors are parallel to the z axis. To nd the maximum possible length of the vectors and the corresponding probabilities it is convenient to write states j_1 i and j_2 i which appear in (1) as the Schm idt decom positions, i.e.,

$$j_{1}i = p \frac{j_{1}j_{1}i + 1}{p - 1} \frac{j_{2}j_{2}j_{2}i}{p - 1};$$

$$j_{2}i = p \frac{j_{1}j_{1}i + 1}{p - 1} \frac{j_{2}j_{2}j_{2}i}{p - 1};$$
(2)

Here 2 is the probability that the cat is alive in state j_1 i and that it is dead in state j_2 i. Since Eqs. (2) are the Schm idt decom positions, functions j_1 i and j_2 i are orthonorm alas are functions j_1 i and j_2 i. O ur analysis now reduces to the search for states $j_1 i_r j_2 i_r j_1 i_r$ and j_2 i which lead to the largest value for ² and ensure that all the requirem ents in posed above are satis ed.

Since we require states j_1 i and j_2 i to be orthogonal to each other we must have

$$h_1 j_1 i + h_2 j_2 i = h_1 j_1 i + h_2 j_2 i = 0;$$
 (3)

Substituting Eqs. (2) into Eq. (1) we obtain for j i

$$ji = jli \frac{p_{\overline{2}}j_{1}i}{r_{\overline{2}}j_{2}i} + \frac{r_{\overline{2}}j_{1}i}{r_{\overline{2}}j_{2}i} + \frac{r_{\overline{2}}j_{2}j_{2}i}{r_{\overline{2}}j_{2}i} + \frac{r_{\overline{2}}j_{2}j_{2}j_{2}i}{r_{\overline{2}}j_{2}i} + \frac{r_{\overline{2}}j_{2}j_{2}j_{2}i}{r_{\overline{2}}j_{2}i} + \frac{r_{\overline{2}}j_{2}j_{2}j_{2}i}{r_{\overline{2}}j_{2}i} + \frac{r_{\overline{2}}j_{2}j_{2}j_{2}i}{r_{\overline{2}}j_{2}i} + \frac{r_{\overline{2}}j_{2}j_{2}j_{2}i} + \frac{r_{\overline{2}}j_{2}j_{2}j_{2}i} + \frac{r_{\overline{2}}j_{2}j_{2}j_{2}i}{r_{\overline{2}}j_{2}i} + \frac{r_{\overline{2}}j_{2}j_{2}j_{2}i} + \frac{r_{\overline{2}}j_{2}j_{2}i} + \frac{r_{\overline{2}}j_{2}j_{2}j_{2}i} + \frac{r_{\overline{2}}j_{2}j_{2}i} + \frac{r_{\overline{2}}j_{2}j_{$$

As we want the reduced density matrix obtained from state j i to be the same as the one obtained from state j₁i, state j i should have the Schm idt decom position

$$ji = jlij_1i + \frac{p}{1} \frac{2}{2} j_2 j_2 i; \qquad (5)$$

Comparing Eq. (4) and Eq. (5) we obtain for j_1i and j_2i

$$j_{1}i = r \frac{1}{2} j_{1}i + r \frac{1}{2} j_{1}i;$$

$$j_{2}i = r \frac{1}{2} j_{2}i + \frac{p}{2(1-2)} j_{2}i;$$
(6)

For Eq. (5) to be the required Schm idt decom position functions j_1i and j_2i have to be orthonorm al. It is sufcient to require that j_1i and j_2i are orthogonal and that one of them is norm alized since conditions (3) will ensure that the other state is also norm alized. Requiring that state j_1i is norm alized leads to the following equation for

$$2^{2} A \frac{p}{1} \frac{1}{2} 1 = 0;$$
 (7)

where $A = h_{1j_{1}i} + h_{1j_{1}i}$. The requirement of orthogonality of j₁i and j₂i leads to

$$(1 ^{2})h_{1}j_{2}i + {}^{2}h_{1}j_{2}i = (1 ^{2})h_{1}j_{2}i$$

+ ${}^{2}h_{1}j_{2}i = 0$: (8)

Solving Eq. (7) for and keeping only the positive solution (since the Schmidt decompositions allow only for positive expansion coe cients) we obtain

$$= \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2^{P} \frac{A}{4 + A^{2}}};$$
 (9)

States j $_1 i$ and j $_1 i$ are norm alized, as a result A can take values between 2 and 2. The maximum value of ,

m is reached for A = 2.T he corresponding probability $\frac{2}{m}$ is given by

$${}^{2}_{m} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{p}{\frac{2}{4}} = 0.854$$
: (10)

For A to be equal to 2 we must have $j_1 i = j_1 i$. Condition (3) then requires that $j_2 i = j_2 i$. The last two equalities ensure that condition (8) is also satis ed.

Thus, states j i, j $_1$ i, and j $_2$ i with the sought after properties will have the form

$$ji = {}_{m} jlij_{1}i {}_{p} \frac{p}{1 {}_{m} {}_{m}^{2}} jlj_{2}i;$$

$$j_{1}i = {}_{p} {}_{m} jlij_{1}i {}_{1}i {}_{m} p \frac{p}{1 {}_{m} {}_{m}^{2}} jlj_{2}i;$$

$$j_{2}i = {}_{p} {}_{1 {}_{m} {}_{m}^{2}} jlij_{1}i {}_{m} plij_{2}i;$$
(11)

where j₁i and j₂i are two arbitrary orthonorm alstates of the environment and $_{\rm m}$ is obtained from (10). To sum up, the reduced density matrices of the cat for states j i and j₁i are identical and diagonal in the basis of states jli and j2i, the probability for the cat to be alive is about 0.854. The reduced density matrix of the cat for state j₂i is also diagonal in the same basis, the probability for the cat to be dead is about 0.854. It is easy to see that the lim it $_m$ appears already on the level of an isolated two state system . Consider the following orthonorm all states for two state system

and their superposition

$$\text{JIII} = \frac{1}{p} \text{Ji} + \frac{1}{p} \text{JII} = \text{m} \text{Jij} \quad p \xrightarrow{p} \frac{1}{1 \quad m} \text{Jii} \quad (13)$$

Note that states jii, jIi, and jIIi have the sam e probabilities for the cat to be alive as, respectively, states $j_1 i_1 j_2 i_1$ and $j_1 i_2 i_2$ and $j_2 i_3 i_4$ and $j_1 i_2 i_3 i_4$ and $j_2 i_4 i_5 i_4$ and $j_1 i_2 i_3 i_4$ and $j_2 i_4 i_5 i_5 i_5$ and chose the vector for state jli to lie along the positive z axis, then state jIi corresponds to vector P $_{\rm I}$ at 45 degrees to the z axis. The B loch vector P II for state jIIi is of the same length as P I but pointing in the opposite direction, while vector P III for state jIII is at 45 degrees to the z axis and perpendicular to both P_{I} and P_{II} . It is clear from this picture that vectors P $_{\rm I}$ and P $_{\rm II}$ will have z components equal to $\frac{1}{2}=2$ with the corresponding probability for the cat to be alive given by $\frac{2}{m}$ while the z component for vector P III will be 2=2. By using this geom etrical picture one can convince oneself that this arrangem ent of vectors insures the largest absolute value of the z component for the three vectors. All three vectors, how ever, will have non zero and di erent x and y components. Thus, coupling the cat to the environment in states (11) cannot increase the absolute value of the z components and the corresponding probabilities. However, it allows to remove the x and y components of the B loch vectors for all three states m aking the reduced density matrices obtained from states ji and j₁ identical. It can be argued that in the resulting states (11) the fate of the cat is less dram atic than in the original form ulation of the paradox.

The author would like to thank Dr. Gonzalo O rdorez for useful discussions. Part of this research was supported by grants from the Robert A.W elch Foundation (Grant No.W -1442) and the Petroleum Research Fund, adm inistered by the American Chemical Society.

E lectronic address: and rey pereverzev@ trinity.edu

- [1] E.Schrodinger, Naturwiss. 23, 807 (1935); English translation in J.A.W heeler and W.H.Zurek, eds., Quantum Theory and Measurement (Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1983).
- [2] A.J.Leggett, J.Phys. Condens. M at. 14, R 415 (2002)
- [3] R.P.Feynman, F.L.Vernon Jr., and R.W. Hellwarth, J. Appl. Phys. 28, 49 (1957)