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O rthogonality, one ofthe basicm athem aticalconcepts, plays an im portant role in physics, especially quantum physics and, in particular, quantum optics. A well-know n exam ple including both classicaland quantum cases is orthogonality oftw o polarization $m$ odes of electrom agnetic radiation. P hysically, orthogonality of two arbitrary polarization states $m$ eans that if light is prepared in a certain (in the general case, elliptic) polarization state it will not pass through a lter selecting the orthogonal state. (A lter selecting an arbitrary polarization state can be $m$ ade of a rotatable quarter-w ave plate and a rotatable linear polarization lter [1].) O rthogonality of polarization states has an explicit representation on the $P$ oincare sphere where each polarization state is depicted by a point. The state orthogonalto a given one is show $n$ by a point placed on the opposite side of the sam e diam eter. E xam ples are states $w$ ith verticaland horizontal linear polarization, right- and left-circularly polarized states, and any two elliptically polarized states w ith opposite directions of rotation and inverse ax is ratios. This concept of orthogonality relates to both classical polarization states of light and single-photon quantum states of polarized light [2]. $M$ athem atically, orthogonality of tw o polarization states $m$ eans that the scalar product of tw o corresponding Jones vectors [1] is equal to zero. In quantum optics, this corresponds to zero scalar product of polarization state vectors, for instance, state vectors of single-photon states. This is a particular case of the generalrule: orthogonality ofquantum statesm eans that their scalar product is equal to zero.

H ow ever, in addition to single-photon states there are other types of nonclassical light. In quantum optics, one of the central roles is played by tw o-photon states, which are $m$ ost easily generated via spontaneous param etric down-conversion (SPDC) [3]. In a two-photon state, radiation consists of photon pairs, often called biphotons, that are correlated in frequency, wavevector, $\mathrm{mo-}$ $m$ ent ofbirth, and polarization. Focusing on the case of collinear frequency-degenerate SPD C, here we w ill discuss the so-called single-m ode biphotons. A lthough even in the frequency-degenerate collinear case SPDC has a nite frequency and angular spectrum, under certain experim ental conditions such biphotons can be treated as relating to a single frequency and angularm ode.

O ne can show that the polarization state of a singlem ode biphoton [4] can be described as a qutrit, a three-
state quantum system. U sing qutrits instead of qubits for the transm ission of quantum inform ation has been previously discussed [5], in particular, in connection w ith temary cryptography protocols [6], [7], [8]. T he general case of a qutrit represented by a biphoton in an arbitrary pure polarization state is given by the state vector

$$
\begin{equation*}
j i=c_{1} j ; 0 i+c_{2} j 1 ; 1 i+c_{3} j 0 ; 2 i ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{i}$ are com plex am plitudes satisfying the nom al-
 denotes a two-photon ( $n+m=2$ ) state $w$ ith $n$ photons polarized horizontally and $m$ photons polarized vertically [9]. In [10], it is show $n$ that the state (1) allow s an explicit representation on the $P$ oincare sphere. It can be w ritten in the form oftw o arbitrarily polarized correlated single-photon states,

$$
\begin{equation*}
j \text { i }=\frac{a^{y}(;) a^{y}\left({ }^{0} ;{ }^{0}\right) \text { juaci }}{\dot{j}^{y}(;) a^{y}\left({ }^{0} ;{ }^{0}\right) \text { jvaci }}: \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $a^{y}(;)$ and $a^{y}\left({ }^{0}{ }^{0}\right)$ are operators of photon creation in arbitrary polarization $m$ odes given by the 0 ordinates ; , ${ }^{0}$. ${ }^{0}$ on the $P$ oincare sphere:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{y}(;)=\cos (=2) \frac{y}{H}+e^{i} \sin (=2) a_{V}^{y} ; \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w h e r e a_{H}^{Y} ; v$ are photon creation operators in the horizontal and vertical linear polarization $m$ odes, and sim ilarly for $a^{\mathrm{Y}}\left({ }^{0} ;{ }^{0}\right)$. A xial angles ; ${ }^{0}$ and azim uthal angles ; ${ }^{0}$ [1] are in one-to-one correspondence w th the four param eters describing the state (1), which are, for instance, $d_{1}=\dot{\mathcal{c}}_{1} j d_{3}=\dot{\mathcal{j}}_{3} j \quad 1=\arg \left(c_{1}\right) \quad \arg \left(c_{2}\right)$, ${ }_{3}=\arg \left(c_{3}\right) \quad \arg \left(c_{2}\right)$. Representation (2) means that a biphoton of arbitrary polarization can be shown as a pair of points on the $P$ oincare sphere. It tums out that the Stokes vector of a biphoton is sim ply a norm alized sum of the Stokes vectors of photons form ing it ('biphoton halves'), and the polarization degree $P$ of the pair is given by the angle at which the pair can be seen from the sphere center.

A question arises: what does orthogonality of two biphoton polarization states $m$ ean? A susually in quantum $m$ echanics, it $m$ eans that the product of their state vectors is equal to zero. For instance, orthogonality of
two bịhotons ab $\frac{a^{y} b^{y} j v a c i}{j j^{y} b^{y} j v a c i j j}$ and cd $\quad \frac{c^{y} d^{y} j v a c i}{j j^{y} d^{y} j{ }^{y} \mathrm{jacijj}^{\prime}}$ w ith the operators of photon creation in arbitrary polarization $m$ odes denoted now by $a^{y} ; b^{y} ; c^{y} ; d^{y}, m$ eans that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { hvacjoda }{ }^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{~b}^{\mathrm{y}} \text { juaci }=0 \text { : } \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

W hat does orthogonality of tw o biphotons $m$ ean from the view point of physics? $T$ his question $w$ as answ ered in [12] w here an operationalcriterion oforthogonality for arbitrarily polarized biphotons $w$ as form ulated. N am ely, orthogonality oftw o biphotons can be tested using a sim ple setup consisting of a non-polarizing beam splitter, tw o detectors installed in its two output ports, w ith an arbitrary polarization lter inserted at the input of each detector, and a coincidence circuit. A biphoton is registered if there is a coincidence of photocounts from the tw $o$ detectors. Let a biphoton $j$ abi be at the input and the lters in the output ports of the beam splitter select polarization states corresponding to photon creation operators $c^{y}$; $d^{y}$. Then orthogonality of $j$ abi and $j c^{i} i$ is equivalent to the absence of coincidences [13] in such a setup. N ote that orthogonality of any two polarization states am ong $a^{y}$ juaci, $b^{y}$ juaci, $c^{y}$ juaci, $d^{y}$ juaci is not required.

Such an experim ent is them ost general case of the wellknow $n$ anticorrelation experim ent [14]. Earlier, a particular case of this polarization version of anticorrelation experim ent has been perform ed for type-II SPD C 15]. $T$ he absence of coincidences in the anticorrelation experi$m$ ent [15] can be interpreted as orthogonality of the states HVVi $\quad a_{\mathrm{H}}^{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{V}}^{\mathrm{Y}}$ jvaci and D $\overline{\mathrm{D}} \mathrm{i} \quad \mathrm{a}_{45}^{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{Y}}{ }_{45}$ jvaci, a pair of photons polarized linearly at angles 45 to the vertical axis. Sim ilarly, in [16], orthogonality of the states f V i and $\ddagger \overline{\mathrm{D}}$ i to the state ofright-and left polarized photons, ${ }^{R}$ Li, has been dem onstrated. In both cases, orthogonalbiphotons had zero polarization degree, which $m$ eans that they were pairs of orthogonally polarized photons. A nother exam ple of a basis form ed by three mutually orthogonalbiphotons w th zero polarization degree was dem onstrated in [17].

Several exam ples of orthogonalbiphotons of other polarization degrees are show $n$ in $F$ ig.1. Fig. 1a show s three m utually orthogonal states $\mathcal{H} V i, D \bar{D}$ i, RLi ofbiphotonsw ith zero polarization degree studied in [15] and 16]. A 11 three states are biphotons consisting of tw o orthogonal photons; at the same time, no photon form ing a biphoton is orthogonal to any photon of the other two biphotons. Three biphotons show $n$ in $F$ ig.1a form an orthogonalbasis.

W henever a biphoton is xed (two points are xed on the $P$ oincare sphere), there are in nitely $m$ any biphotons orthogonal to it. Replacing the Poincare sphere by the globe, one can pick tw o spots denoting a biphoton to be, for instance, M oscow (R ussia) and Turin (Italy). Then, one should $m$ ake a choige for the third point. Let it be

Baltim ore $(M D, U S A)$. Then the fourth point is found from Eq. (4), and it tums out to be near $N$ ew Zealand and the B ounty isles. So, the biphoton 'M oscow -Turin' is orthogonal to the biphoton ' B altim ore-Bounty'!

The idea of our experim ent was to prepare som e arbitrary input biphoton state, to $m$ ake the registration part select the state orthogonal to it, and to dem onstrate orthogonality by scanning the param eters of the input and registered states around the $m$ inim um of $C 0-$ incidence counting rate. W e chose the input biphoton state to be a pair of photons polarized linearly at the opposite angles to the horizontaldirection, the polarization degree being $P=0: 5$. On the $P$ oincare sphere, this is show $n$ as two points on the equator placed sym $m$ etrically at the angles $74: 5 \mathrm{w}$ th respect to the ' H ' axis, which corresponds to photons polarized linearly at the angles $37: 25$ to the horizontal axis. For the reasons that we w ill explain later, it is convenient to $m$ ake one of the polarization lters in the registration part select the state polarized linearly at the angle 45 to the horizontalaxis. The other lter, as one can easily nd, should then select linear polarization at the angle 60 to the horizontal axis. This con guration, which is used in one of our experim ents, is show $n$ in $F$ ig.1b. Finally, $F$ ig.1c gives an exam ple of two orthogonal biphotons $w$ th polarization degree $P=0: 5$ in a 'non-plane' con guration. Thistim e, the points denoting the biphoton 'ab' are placed on the 'G reenw ich m eridian', if we follow the globe term inology. A gain, one of the polarizers selects the state polarized linearly at 45 . H ow ever, the position of the other polarizer is changed: now, it should select linear polarization at the angle 60 to the horizontal axis. U nder each P oincare sphere in Fig.1, the corresponding polarization states are shown schem atically. In all these exam ples, there is no orthogonality between separate photons, or 'halves ofbiphotons'.
$T$ he experim ental setup is show $n$ in $F$ ig 2. Collinear frequency-degenerate SPD C is generated in two sim ilar type-I lithium iodate crystals oflength $1 \mathrm{~cm}, \mathrm{cw}$ radiation of argon laser at wavelength 351 nm used as the pum p . The optic axis of the rst crystal is in the verticalplane while the optic axis of the other crystal is in the horizontal plane. The two-photon state generated after the crystals is of the form (1), w th $c_{2}=0$, the am plitudes $d_{1}$ and $d_{3}$ can be varied by rotating the $=2$ plate in the pum p beam, and the phase 31 can be varied by tilting the tw o quartz plates $Q P$, w hose optic axes are oriented in the verticalplane. $T$ he pum $p$ after the crystals is cut o by a UV mirror UVM. Spatial and frequency
ltering of the SPDC radiation is perform ed by a pinhole P and an interference ler $\mathbb{F}$ w ith 702 nm central wavelength and 3 nm bandw idth. The right-hand side of the setup show s the registration part (the B row $n-T w$ iss interferom eter). It includes a non-polarizing beam splitter BS and two detectors (photom ultiplier tubes) D 1, D 2 inserted in its output ports. At the input of each detec-
tor, there is a polarization lter consisting of a rotatable quarter-w ave plate Q W P 1,2 [18] and a rotatable polarizer P 1,2. C oincidences betw een the photocounts of the detectors are registered using a coincidence circuit w ith a resolution $T_{C}=5: 5 \mathrm{~ns}$.

The $m$ easurem ents were perform ed for two con gurations show $n$ in $F$ igs $1 b, c$. The plates $Q P$ were tilted so that the phase was equal to. Then the angle of the $=2$ plate was scanned from 0 to 90 . A sa result, the tw o points corresponding to the produced biphoton state travelled on the P oincare sphere: rst, from the ' VV ' point $(=0)$ to the 'HH'point $(=45)$ symmetrically along the opposite sides of the equator, then again to the 'VV' point ( $=90$ ) but this tim e, along the opposite sides of the ' $G$ reenw ich $m$ eridian'. This w ay, both cases shown in $F$ ig. $1 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{c}$ w ere realized. In the rst run, the polarizer P 1,2 orientations were xed as in Fig.1b: ${ }_{1}=45$ and $2^{2}=60$. In the dependence of coincidence counting rate on ( $F$ ig. 3 a ), the m inim um was at
$=30$, which corresponded to $d_{1}^{2}=d_{3}^{2}=3$. For this point, the ratio $d_{1}^{2}=d_{3}^{2}$ was m easured using the tom ography procedure developed in 19]; this ratio tumed out to be $3: 4 \quad 0: 8$. The 45 orientation ofP 1 is convenient since in this case, rotation of the half-w ave plate in the pum $p$ beam does not lead to the variation ofD 1 single counting rate $\mathrm{R}_{1}$.

In the next run, the orientations ofP 1, P 2 were xed as in Fig 2c: $1_{1}=45$ and $2=60$. In this case, the $m$ inim um was achieved for $=60$ ( F ig.3b), corresponding to $d_{1}^{2}=d_{3}^{2}=1=3$.

Finally, orthogonality of two biphoton states was checked by xing all param eters ( , 1;2, ) in the con guration shown in Fig.1b and then scanning them around their optim al values. T he plot in Fig. 4 show s the dependence of the coincidence counting rate on the orientation of polarizer P 1, w ith the other polarizer xed at 60 and the halfw ave plate in the pum p beam xed at
$=30$. Sim ilar dependencies were obtained for scanning 2 and .

Two im portant notes should be $m$ ade about the $m$ easurem ent procedure. First, when the angle is scanned w ith xed positions of the tw o polarizers (Fig.3a), a considerable $m$ odulation in the singles counting rate $R_{2}$ of detector D 2 is observed ( $F$ ig.5). This is quite natural since in the course of variation the state of biphoton light changes from being vertically polarized through com pletely non-polarized state (at $=22: 5$ ) to being horizontally polarized. $W$ ith the polarizer $P 1$ oriented at

60 , the intensity oftransm itted light should vary three tim es, as it indeed does in Fig.5. H ow ever, the m in im um of the coincidence counting rate $R_{c}$ does not correspond to the point where $R_{2}$ is m inim al; according to the calculation, it occurs at $=30$. The second rem ark is that in all kinds of anticorrelation experin ents, the coincidence counting rate in the $m$ in im um is given by the level of accidental coincidence counting rate, which cor-
responds to the norm alized second-order G lauber's correlation function $g^{(2)}=1$. To dem onstrate this, instead of the coincidence counting rate, in all plots we present $g^{(2)}$ 20] instead of $R_{c}$.

To nd the dependence of the coincidence counting rate $R_{c}$ on all param eters, one can $w$ rite

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{c}} \quad \text { łjvacjoda }{ }^{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{~b}^{\mathrm{y}} \text { jvacif }{ }^{?}: \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The operators $\mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{y}}, \mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{y}}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}$ are substituted in (5) in the form (3), w th the angles taking the values $0 ;$; $0 ; 0$, respectively. The axial angles for a;b can be expressed as functions of via the relations given in [12] and the form ulas $d_{1}=\sin (2), d_{3}=\cos (2)$. The axial angles for c;d can be calculated as functions of $1 ; 2$, the angles of P 1,P 2 orientations. Then we obtain the coincidence counting rate,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{c}} \quad\left[\cos _{1} \cos { }_{2} \sin (2) \quad \sin _{1} \sin 2 \cos (2)\right]^{2} ; \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the single-photon counting rate in detector 2 ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{2} \quad \cos _{2}^{2} \sin ^{2}(2)+\sin ^{2} \cos ^{2}(2): \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations $(6,7)$ were used to plot the theoretical dependencies shown in $F$ igs.3,4.

To conclude, we have experim entally dem onstrated orthogonality of tw o biphotons having polarization degree betw een 0 and 1. O ur experim ent is a generalization of the 'anti-correlation dip' experim ent to the case of anbitrarily polarized photon pairs. The observed e ect can nd applications in temary quantum cryptography protocols [21].
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F IG .2: The experim entalsetup. SPD C is excited in tw o typeILiJO 3 crystals w ith the optic axes in orthogonal planes; the rst crystal generates $2 ; 0 i$ and the second one, j0;2i. Q uartz plates Q P enable variation of the phase betw een the tw o states from 0 to . UVM is a UV m irror, $P$ a pinhole, $\mathbb{F}$ an interference lter, BS a nonpolarizing beam splitter, Q W P 1,2 are quarter-w ave plates, P 1,2 rotatable polarizers, D 1,2 detectors.


FIG. 3: N orm alized second-order correlation function versus the angle for $=$ and the polarizers $P 1,2$ xed at 45 and 60 , respectively (a) and at 45 and 60 , respectively (b)


FIG. 4: N orm alized second-order correlation function versus the angle of the polarizer P 1 orientation w ith the half-w ave plate xed at $=30$ and the phase $=$


FIG.5: Single-photon counting rates at detectors D 1 (circles) and D 2 (squares) versus the angle for $=$ and the polarizers P 1,2 xed at 45 and 60 , respectively. The total decrease in the counting rates is caused by a gradual decrease in the pum p power.

