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#### Abstract

The analyticity properties of the $S \cdot m$ atrix in the physical region are determ ined by the corresp ondence principle, which asserts that the predictions of classical physics are generated by taking the classical lim it of the predictions of quantum theory. The analyticity properties deducible in this w ay from classical properties include the locations of the singularity surfaces, the rules for analytic continuation around these singularity surfaces, and the analytic character (e.g., pole, logarithm ic, etc.) of these singularities. These im portant properties of the $S \rightarrow m$ atrix are thus derived $w$ ithout using stringent locality assum $p-$ tions, or the Schroedinger equation for tem poral evolution, except for freely $m$ oving particles. Sum -over-all-paths $m$ ethods that em phasize paths of stationary action tend to produce the quantum analogs of the contributions from classicalpaths. T hese quantum analogs are derived directly from the associated classical properties by reverse engineering the corresp ondence-principle connection.
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## 1. Introduction.

The S m atrix was introduced by $W$ heeler[1]. It speci es the am plitude for the scattering of any set of originally noninteracting initial particles to any set ofeventually noninteracting nalparticles. The fillphysical content of relativistic quantum theory resides in the $S \mathrm{~m}$ atrix: any two form ulations that give the sam eS m atrix are considered to be physically equivalent.
$T$ he $S m$ atrix is a function of the $m$ om entum -energy four-vectors of the initial and nalparticles. The law of conservation ofm om entum-energy entails that the term of the $S m$ atrix that describes the scattering of any speci ed set of initial particles to any speci ed set of nalparticles must have a $m$ om entum -energy conservation-law delta function that constrains the sum of the $m$ om entum -energy vectors of the nal particles to be equal to the sum of the $m$ om entum -energy vectors of the initial particles. The rem aining factor, which is de ned only at points that satisfy this conservation-law condition, is called a scattering function. It is nite at alm ost all points in its dom ain of de nition. This is im portant because com putations starting from the Schroedinger equation tend to give scattering functions that are everywhere in nite. Thus H eisenberg [2] and others [3] have proposed an approach to relativistic quantum theory that avoids the in nities that arise from the Schroedinger equation by discarding that equation altogether, and com puting the $S m$ atrix directly from certain of its general properties. In this approach one never speci es the (Schroedinger-equation-induced) tem poral evolution that takes in itial states continuously to nal states, but which, according to the basic philosophy, lacks physical signi cance. The S-m atrix m ethod works very well for sim ple cases. It $m$ ay work in general, but new com putational techniques would be needed to achieve this.

A key property of the scattering functions is that each of them is analytic (holom orphic) at alm ost every point of its original (real) dom ain ofde nition. This property was originally deduced from an exam ination ofFeynm an's form ulas for these functions, which are derived essentially from the (relativistic) Schroedinger equation. Landau [4] and $N$ akanishi 5 ] independently deduced
the very restrictive necessary conditions for the occurrence of singularities of these functions. Colem an and $N$ orton [6] then noted that these LandauN akanishi conditions are precisely the conditions for the existence of a classicalphysical process that has the sam e topological structure | i.e., has the sam e arrangem ent of line segm ents connected at vertices | as the Feynm an graph w ith which it is associated.

A Feynm an graph is topological structure of line segm ents joined at vertices. It was used by Feynm an to specify a corresponding $m$ athem atical contribution to the $S \mathrm{~m}$ atrix. The associated Landau- N akanishidiagram is a diagram in four-dim ensional space-tim e that has the sam e topological structure, but m oreover satis es all of the conditions of a corresponding process in classical physics. Thus a Landua-N akanishi diagram can be regarded as a representation of a process in classical-physics that consists of a netw ork of point particles that interact only at point vertices, and that propagate betw een these vertioes as freely $m$ oving particles.

The rules of (relativistic) classical particle physics assign a mom entum energy four-vector to each line of the diagram, and im pose the conservationlaw condition that the energy $m$ om entum ow ing into the diagram along the initial incom ing lines must be able to ow along the lines of the graph, and then out along the nal outgoing lines with energy-m om entum conserved at each vertex. This conservation-law condition is im posed also by the Feynm an rules. B ut the Landau $N$ akanishi (i.e., classical-physics) diagram is required to satisfy also the \classicalphysics" requirem ent that each line of the spacetim e diagram be a straight-line segm ent that is parallel to the $m$ om entum energy carried that line. [In classical relativistic particle physics each freely$m$ oving particle $m$ oves in space-tim e in the direction of itsm om entum -energy four-vector $\left(p=m v ; v^{2}=1\right)$, but this property is not im posed in quantum theory: it would con ict w ith the uncertainty principle, and, likew ise, w ith the Fourier-transform ation connection betw een space-tim e displacem ents and m om entum -energy that constitutes the foundation of quantum theory.]

The Landau- N akanishi diagram is, then, the picture of a possible classi-
cal process, involving point particles interacting at points, and conform ing to the conditions of relativistic classical-particle physics. These conditions were shown by Landau and N akanishi to specify the location (in the space of the $m$ om entum -energy four vectors of the initial and nal particles) of a singularity $\mid$ failure of analyticity $\mid$ of the contribution to the S m atrix corresponding to the associated Feynm an graph.

The purpose of this article is to highlight the fact that although this im portant connection between the physical-region singularities of the quantum scattering functions and associated classical scattering processes was originally derived from very strong quantum assum ptions involving the concepts of point interactions and continuous Schroedinger evolution in tim e, the result is actually a consequence ofm uch less. It is a consequence of the \oorrespondence principle" connection betw een relativistic quantum physics and relativistic classical-particle physics. This principle asserts that the predictions of classical physics em erge from quantum theory in the \classical lim it" in which all e ects due to the nonzero value of P lanck's constant becom e negligible.

The correspondence principle entails, how ever, much more than just the analyticity of the $S m$ atrix at allpoints that do not correspond to a classicalphysics process. It entails also that, in a real neighborhood of alm ost every real singular point, the scattering function is the lim it of a function analytic in the interior of a certain cone-like dom ain that extends som e nite distance into the complex dom ain from its tip in the real neighborhood. This $m$ eans that each physical scattering function is a lim it of single analytic function. $T$ hat feature of the $S m$ atrix is one of the key general properties upon which the $S-m$ atrix approach is based. Its derivation from the correspondence principle was given by Chandler and Stapp [7] and by Iagolnitzer and Stapp [8]. The rst of these two papers sets out the general fram ew ork, but is form ulated w ithin a distribution-analytic fram ew ork in which the wave functions are, apart from $m$ ass-shell-constraint delta functions, in nitely di erentiable functions of com pact support. Consequently, it achieves analyticity only
m odulo in nitely di erentiable background term s . The second of these papers uses essentially $G$ aussian wave functions to obtain full analyticity.

It is w orth noting that Sato [9] independently constructed a $m$ athem atical $m$ achinery called the sheaf of $m$ icrofunctions, which can be used to describe the sam e cone-like dom ain when applied to the $S m$ atrix.

The correspondence principle entails even $m$ ore. It speci es also the nature of these singularities: whether they are, for exam ple, pole, or logarithm ic singularities. This $m$ eans that the quantum e ects closely associated with these classical-physics processes are determ ined already by the correspondence principle, without appeal the notion of true point interactions or of the Schroedinger equation. That is, the correspondence principle, which is a condition on the classical lim it of quantum theory, can be \reverse engineered" to deduce those features of the quantum S m atrix that produce the classical result in the classical lim it. A nd these feature include the analytic character of the the S M atrix scattering functions in their original (real) dom ains of de nition.
2. An A sym ptotic Fall-O Property.

The papers w ith C handler and Iagolnitzer just cited dealexchusively w ith particles of non-zero rest $m$ ass. The $m$ om entum -space wave function of particle $i$ then has, due to the $m$ ass-shell condition, the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{i}\left(p_{i}\right)={ }_{i}\left(p_{i}\right) 2 \quad\left(\mathrm{~m}_{1}^{2} \quad m_{i}^{2}\right) ; \tag{2:1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $p_{i}^{2}$ is the Lorentz innerproduct of $p_{i} w$ ith itself, $w$ th $m$ etric $(1 ; 1 ; 1 ; 1)$, and $m_{i}$ is the (nonzero) rest m ass of particle $i . Q$ uantum theory is characterized, fundam entally, by the Fourier-transform link between $m$ om entum energy and space-time. $T$ hus the spacetim $e$ form of this $m$ om entum -energy wave function is given by the Fourier transform :

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{i}\left(x_{i}\right)=(2)^{4} d^{4} p_{i} \exp \left(i p_{i} x_{i}\right) \quad i\left(p_{i}\right): \tag{2:2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The spactim ew ave function has im portant asym ptotic fallo properties. In A ppendix A of reference [13] it is shown that if ${ }_{i}\left(p_{i}\right)$ has com pact support
and is continuous, together $w$ ith its rst and second derivatives, and if $u$ is any positive tim e-like four-vector satisfying $v^{2}=1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{!} f\left(m_{i} ;\right)^{e}(v)=i_{i}\left(m_{i} v\right) ; \tag{2:3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(m_{i} ;\right)=2 m_{i}\left(2 \quad i=m_{i}\right)^{2=3} \exp \left(m_{i}\right): \tag{2:4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the formula (22) the expression $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}$ in the exponent is originally divided by P lanck's constant over 2 . But that factor has been rem oved by choosing units of space and time so that P lanck's constant (divided by 2 ) and the velocity of light are both unity. But then letting go to in nity is e ectively equivalent to letting $P$ lanck's constant go to zero: the expansion of the spacetim e scale is $m$ athem atically equivalent to going to the classical lim it. Form ula (2.3) show s that in this lim it the probability distribution in spacetime for a freely $m$ oving particle is speci ed by the m om entum space distribution function $i\left(p_{i}\right)$ in accordance w th the relativistic classical physics formula $p_{i}=m i v$.

The fallo property described above was derived from quantum theory. Later I shall derive it from classical physics.

The correspondence principle asserts that the classical-physics results hold not only for these free-particle states but also for processes corresponding to netw orks of locally interacting particles that propagate freely over the asym ptotically large distances between their interactions: the classical physics probabilities em erges from the quantum probabilities in the asym ptotic ! 1 lim it. This correspondence-principle requirem ent determ ines not only the locations and natures of the singulaties of the quantum $m$ om entum space scattering functions, but norm ally entails also that, in a realneighborhood of a singular point $P$, the scattering function is a lim it of a function analytic in the intersection of a com plex neighborhood of $P$ w th the interior of a cone that extends from the realdom ain in a set ofdirections that is speci ed by the structures of the classicalscattering diagram sassociated with
that singular point $P$. This connection between directions of analyticity at singularities and classical spactim e diagram s is m ade via a 4n-dim ensional displacem ent vector $U$ introduced in reference [7].
3. $T$ he $4 n-d i m$ ensional displacem ent vector $U$.

C onsider a spacetim e diagram $D$ that describes a possible network of classical particles with a total of $n$ initial and nal particles. This diagram D determ ines (via the directions of the initial and nal lines) a set $\mathrm{P}=$ ( $\mathrm{p}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}$ ) of initial and nalm om entum -energy vectors.

It is convenient to introduce in addition to the physicalm om entum -energy vectors $p_{i}$, which have positive energy com ponents, also the $m$ athem atical $m$ om entum -energy vectors $k_{i}$, where $k_{i}=p_{i}$ for initialparticles, and $k_{i}=p_{i}$ for nal particles. Then the law of conservation of energy $m$ om entum reads ${ }^{P} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}}=0$.
$T$ he $4 n$-dim ensional displacem ent vector $U$ is de ned as follow s. From any arbitrarily chosen origin $O$ in spaœtim e draw, for each intial and nal particle $i$, a vector $u_{i}$ from $O$ to som e point on the straight-line that contains the initial or nal line i. De ne

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{U}=\left(\mathrm{u}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{n}}\right): \tag{3:1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a xed spacetim e diagram $D$ this $4 n-d i m$ ensionaldisplacem ent vector $U$ is not uniquely $x e d$ : one can add to $U$ any vector of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{U}_{0}=\left(\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}_{1} \mathrm{k}_{1} ; \mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}_{2} \mathrm{k}_{2} ;::: ; \mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) ; \tag{3:2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ is a real spactim e vector, and for each $i$ the param eter $b_{i}$ is a real number. Changing a just shifts the location of $D$ relative to the origin $O$, and changing $b_{i} j u s t ~ s l i d e s ~ t h e ~ t i p ~ o f ~ u_{i} a l o n g$ the straight line $i$.
$N$ otice that the com bination of the four conservation-law delta functions and the $\mathrm{n} m$ ass-shell delta fiunctions restricts the relevant set of points in the 4 n -dim ensional space of points $\mathrm{K}=\left(\mathrm{k}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ to a surface of $\propto 0$-dim ension
$4+n$, and that the $4+n$ dim ensional set of vectors $U_{0}$ spans the set of norm als to that co-dim ension $4+n$ surface: the contravarient vectors form ed by taking linear com binations of the gradients to the argum ents of the $4+n$ delta functions constifute the set of vectors $U_{0}$. This is the sim plest exam ple of the im portant fact that the set of vectors $U$ associated $w$ ith a singular point $K$ generally span the space de ned by the set of norm alvectors to the surface of singular points passing though $K$. This norm ality of the vectors $U$ associated w th diagram s of classical physics to the surfaces of singularities of the $S$ m atrix provides the link between relativistic classical physics and dom ains of analyticty of scattering functions in relativistic quantum physics.

## 4. A nother A sym ptotic Fall-O Property.

If the wave function $i_{i}\left(p_{i}\right)$ in Eq. (2.1) is in nitely di erentiable and of com pact support, and ifV is the associated velocity (double) cone consisting of all lines through the origin ( $p_{i}=0$ ) that intersect the com pact support (in the $m$ ass shell $p_{i}^{2}=m_{i}^{2}$ ) of $i_{i}\left(p_{i}\right)$ then, for allu in any com pact set that does not intersect $V$, the fiunction ${ }^{e}(u \quad)$ uniform ly approaches zero faster than any inverse power of the scale param eter : for any integer N

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{1} N e_{i}(u \quad)=0: \tag{4:1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is a standard result (cf. ref[8], Eqn. (28)), and 让 allow s one to prove the weaker analyticity properties that hold modulo in nitely di erential background term s. (See ref. [7]). But to derive full analyticity from the correspondence principle a stronger fallo property is needed.

This stronger asym ptotic fallo property is obtained by introducing into the wave functions $i\left(p_{i}\right)$ an exponential factor that shrinks in width as tends to in nity. Speci cally, one introduces free-particle $m$ om entum -space wave functions of the form

$$
\left.; i p(p)=(p) \exp \left(\begin{array}{ll}
(p & p \tag{4:2}
\end{array}\right)^{2} \quad\right):
$$

and also requires the in nitely di erential function (p) (ofcom pact support) to be analytic at $p=p$, where $p^{2}=p^{2}=m^{2}$ :Then the follow ing fallo
property holds: for all 4 -vectors $u$ in any com pact set that does not intersect the line through the origin containing $p$, and for all 0 sm aller than som $e$ xed 0 , there is a pair of nite numbers ( $C$; ) such that for all
je; p (u )j<C exp :

C lassical and quantum proofs of this fallo property will be described below. But let us rst show how this property of the free-particle coordinatespace wave functions is used to deduce, from the correspondence principle, dom ains of analyticity for the m om entum-space scattering function.
5. K inem atics and P robabilities.

The connection to the correspondence principle is obtained by using initial and nalwave functions ${ }_{i}\left(p_{i} ; u_{i}\right)$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
i\left(; \quad ; q_{i} ; p_{i} ; u_{i}\right)=i\left(; \quad ; p ; p_{i}\right) \exp i u_{i} p_{i} \tag{5:1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, for any $i$, in accordance $w$ ith (2.1) and (4.2),

$$
(; ; p ; p)=\quad ; \text {; }(p) 2 \quad\left(p^{2} \quad m^{2}\right)
$$

The wave function (5.1) represents the particle state obtained by translating the state represented by $i_{i}$ by the spacetim e displacem ent $u_{i}$. T he param eters are taken to be the sam e for all i. It is convenient to use henceforth real $i\left(p_{i}\right)$, each of which is equal to one (unity) in some nite neighborhood of $p_{i}$.

The correspondence-principle results are obtained by exam ining the !
1 behaviour of the transition am plitude

$$
\begin{equation*}
A()=S\left[£_{i}\left(; ; \mathcal{P}_{i} \mathrm{p}_{i} ; u_{i}\right) g\right] \tag{5:2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the right-hand side is


The absolute value squared of the complex number A( ), tim es $f()$, is the transition probability associated with these states of the initialand nal particles, and $f()$ is the inverse of the square of the product of the norm s of the wave functions $i$ of (42). This factor grow s like ( $)^{\beta n}$, but this grow th can be absorbed into a bound of the form C exp by a slight adjustm ent of $C$ and .
6. The C orresp ondence-P rinciple C ondition.

For any xed $K$ (w th ${ }^{P} k_{i}=0$ and, for each $i, k_{i}^{2}=m_{i}^{2}$ ) there is a set $C(K)$ of vectors $U$ such that each pair of $4 n$-dim ensional vectors ( $K$; $U$ ) satis es the Landau N akanishi conditions. This set $C(K)$ includes the set $C_{0}(K)$ consisting of all of the vectors $U_{0}$ of the form (32): each of these vectors $U_{0}$ speci es a classical-physics diagram $D$ in which all of the initial and nalparticles pass through a single com $m$ on point. Each of these vectors $U_{0}$ has a null (Lorentz) inner product with every tangent vector to $\mid$ i.e., w ith every in nitesim al displacem ent in | the surface at $K$ of singularities generated by the m ass-shell and overall conservation-law delta functions.

Suppose $C(K)=C_{0}(K)$. That would $m$ ean that, on the one hand, there are for the set $f k_{i} g$ of initial and nal ( $m$ athem atical) $m$ om entum -energy vectors speci ed by K no classical-physics diagram s except the trivial ones in which all the initialand nalparticles pass through a com $m$ on point, and, on the other hand, according to the Feynm an rules, no singularity of the quantum scattering function. But from the $S$-m atrix point of view the Feynm am rules are suspect, because they com e essentially from the physically $m$ eaningless continuous tim e evolution, and also lead to in nities. H ow ever, the general correspondence principle condition that the predictions of classical physics should em erge in the lim it where $P$ lanck's constant goes to zero, or, equivalently, where goes to in nity, would seem to be an exceedingly plausible and secure condition. The analyticty of the scattering function at this point $K$ is, in fact, a consequence of that correspondence condition.

For any point $K$ such that $C(K)=C_{0}(K)$ consider any $U$ that does not belong $C(K)$. If U does not belong to $C(K)=C_{0}(K)$ then for at least one of
the $n$ particles $i$ the com ponent vector $U_{i}$ is not parallel to $k_{i}$. But then the am plitude A ( ) willpidk up an exponential fallo factor ofthe kind shown in (4.3). These vectors $U$ cover a unit sphere in the $3 n 4$-dim ensional subspace that is norm al to the $n+4$-dim entional subspace $C(K)$. T hus there $w i l l$ be a least value of for the $U$ 's on this (com pact) unit sphere.

This uniform exponential fall-o over this unit sphere arises, in the classical com putation, from the exponential fallo of the overlap of the probability functions of the initial and nal particles: i.e., from the exponentially decreasing probability, as increases, for all of the initial and nal particles to be in any single nite region of space-tim e that grows like the square root of . In classical physics such an exponential decrease in this probability, coupled w ith the fact that the only classical scattering process that can carry the initialm om entum -energies to the nalm om entum -energies is one where all the initial and nal particle tra jectories pass through som e such grow ing space-tim e region entails a sim ilar fallo of the transition probabilities: the probability for this kind of classical process to occur cannot grow faster than the product of the probabilities that the particle can all be in any such grow ing region. Thus the correspondence principle requires that transition am plitude A ( ) have the same sort of fall o as the one arising from the overlap of the wave functions. It will now be show $n$ that this condition entails the analyticity of the scattering function at this point $K$ where $C(K)=C_{0}(K)$.
7. D erivation of analyticity at trivial points.

By a \trivial point" I m ean a point $K$ such that $C(K)=C_{0}(K)$ : the only classical processes $w$ th extemalm om enta speci ed by $K$ are the trivial single-vertex diagram s.

The set of Landau- N akanishi surfaces that enter any bounded region of $K$ space has been shown to be nite $\mathbb{R}$ ef. 10]. A nd each such surface is con ned to a co-dim ension-one analytic $m$ anifold. C onsequently, each trivial point $K$ lies in an open neighborhood of such points.

Introduce a set of analytic coordinates $q$ in the $3 n \quad 4$-dim ensionalm ani-
fold in $K$-space restricted by the $m$ ass-shell and conservation-law conditions near $K$. Let the $q$ be a subset of the space com ponents of the set of vectors ( $k_{i} k_{i}$ ), and let the $v$ associated with any $q(K)$ in the neighborhood of $\mathrm{q}(\mathrm{K})=0$ be the corresponding $3 \mathrm{n} \quad 4$ components of $\mathrm{U} \quad \bmod C_{0}(K)$, so that $K U$ in (52) becom es ( qv $k v$ ), where the metric $(1 ; 1 ; 1)$ is now used, and $v$ represents displacem ents aw ay from the displacem ents that generate the trivial single-vertex processes. Then the A ( ) in (52), tim es the (unim portant) phase factor $\exp (\mathrm{ikv})$. can be w ritten as

$$
T(v ; r)=^{z} d q F(q) \exp (r(q)) \exp (\text { iqv }) ;
$$

where

$$
(q)={ }_{i}^{x}\left(k_{i}(q) \quad k_{i}(0)\right)^{2} ;
$$

$r=\quad$, and $F(q)$ is the scattering function tim es a factor that is real, innitely di erentiable of com pact support, and analytic at $q=0$, which is the q-space im age of K. A fallo property of the form (4.3) is required to hold forall and allo 0 , with $r=$, and all $v=\hat{v}$ with $j \hat{j} j=1$. W hat needs to be proved is that this fallo condition, together w ith the analogous rapid (faster than any power of ) fallo at $=0$, entails the analyticity of F (q) at $\mathrm{q}=0$.

This rapid fallo of the bounded $T(v ; 0)=T(\hat{v} ; 0)$ for all unit vectors $\hat{v} \mathrm{~m}$ eans that F ( q ) is the well-de ned and in nitely di erentiable Fourier transform :

$$
F(q)=(2)^{Z^{z}} d v \exp (i q v) T(v ; 0) ;
$$

where $l=3 n$ 4. To show that $F(q)$ is analytic at $q=0$ rew rite this equation in the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (2 })^{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{q})=^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{dv} \exp (\mathrm{iqv})
\end{aligned}
$$

C onsider rst the rst term in the big bradkets. The correspondence prin-
 function (q) is zero at $q=0$, and hence the associated exponential grow th is dom inated by the fallo factor for $q$ in a su ciently sm all neighborhood of $q=0$, Indeed, this bound keeps the integral well de ned and analytic for all $q$ in a sm all com plex neighbor of $q=0$. Thus the contribution $F_{1}(q)$ to $F(q)$ com ing from the rst term in the big brackets is analytic at $q=0$.

To prove that this property holds also for the other contribution, $\mathrm{F}_{2}(\mathrm{q})$, substitute (7.1) into the second term in the big brackets. The $@=@ r$ can be $m$ oved under the integral over dq because F (q) is in nitely di erentiable of com pact support. T his gives for the integrand

$$
\exp (i q v) \frac{@}{@ r}[T(v ; r) \exp (r \quad(q))]=
$$

Z

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dqF}\left(q^{0}\right) \exp \left(i\left(q \quad q^{0}\right) v\right) \exp \left(r\left(\quad(q) \quad\left(q^{0}\right)\right)\right)\left[\quad(q) \quad\left(q^{0}\right)\right] \tag{7:5}
\end{equation*}
$$

H efer's theorem [8] allow s one to w rite

$$
\begin{equation*}
(q) \quad\left(q^{0}\right)=\left(q ; q^{0}\right) \quad\left(q \quad q^{q} ;\right. \tag{7:6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is a vector whose the com ponents $;(\% 1, \ldots, 3 n-4)$ are analytic in a product of dom ains around $q=0$, and $q^{0}=0$. Then (7.5) becom es

$$
\exp (i q v) \frac{@}{@ r}[T(v ; r) \exp (r \quad(q))]=D \operatorname{iv}_{v}[\exp (i q v) \exp (r \quad(q)) H \quad(q ; v ; r)] ; \quad(7: 7)
$$

where $H(q ; v ; r)$ is the vector

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(q ; v ; r)=i^{Z} d q^{0} F\left(q^{0}\right) \exp \left(i q^{0} v\right) \exp \left(r\left(q^{0}\right)\right)\left(q ; q^{0}\right): \tag{7:8}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e m ay thus w rite


For $x e d R$ we can change the order of integration and perform rst an integration over v for r= ${ }_{0}<j \dot{j}<\mathrm{R}$. Then $G$ auss' theorem gives the volum e integral of the divergence as the di erence of two surface integrals, one at $\dot{j} j=r=0$, the other at $\dot{j} j=R$. The estim ates given in Appendix $\mathbb{V}$ of ref. [9] show that the contribution at $R$ vanishes as $R$ ! 1 . The contribution at $j v j=r=0$ integrated on $r$ from 0 to 1 generates an integration over all $v$ $w$ ith $r$ replaced by $j v j 0$, and a Jacobian factor $J(q)$ that is analytic at $q=0$. Thus we obtain

$$
(2)^{1} F_{2}(q)=0^{Z} d v \exp (\text { iqv }) \exp (0 \dot{v} j(q)) \downarrow \quad H(q ; v ; 0 \dot{v} j) ;
$$

$w$ here $\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{v}=\dot{j} \mathrm{j} j$. This function $\mathrm{F}_{2}(\mathrm{q})$ is analytic at $\mathrm{q}=0$ for the sam e reasons that $\mathrm{F}_{1}(\mathrm{q})$ was. This com pletes the proof, apart from the straightforw ard calculations given in A ppendix IV of reference [9].
$N$ ote that that (7.1), with $r=0$, and (7.4) together $w$ ith $(7,10)$, gives a generalization of the Fourier transform ation theorem that inconporates $G$ aus sian factors. It gives, from them athem aticalpoint ofview, a localized version of the fam iliar connection between analyticity and exponential fallo of the Fourier transform . From the physics point of view it gives a connection between the analyticity of the scattering functions of relativistic quantum theory and the results of classical physics that em erge from quantum theory in the classical lim it where $P$ lanck's constant goes to zero.

The analyticity ofthe scattering functions except on the Landau-N akanishi surfaces has thus been derived, by \reverse engineering" the correspondence principle: quantum properties have been deduced from classical properties, the correspondence principle, and the basic connection between classical and quantum physics, nam ely the Fourier-transform connection between the $m$ om entum -energy and the space-tim e displacem ents of freely $m$ oving particles.
8. D erivation of $C$ one of A nalyticity at $M$ ost $S$ ingular $P$ oints.

A m ore com plex category of points $K$ consists of points $K$ such that all of the spacetim e diagram scorresponding to this $K$ are the sam e apart from
shifts in location or scale, but which di er from the sim ple single-vertex case except in the lim it where the diagram is shrunk to a point. For any such point $K$ the set $C(K)$ consists of $C_{0}(K)$ plus a single ray, $U(K)$ : the displacem ents along $U(K)$ generate the displacem ents of the extemal lines of the diagram aw ay from positions w here they allintersect at a single point. [T he argum ent can be extended to cover all points K such that all of the Landau-N akanishi surfaces that contain $K$ coincide with a single co-dim ension-one Landau$N$ akanishi surface, and hence all specify the sam e unique ray $U$ ( $K$ ).]

It is im portant that $U(K)$ is a ray, not a fill line: a displacem ent in the opposite direction does not give the locations of the extemal lines of a classically allow ed process. (T he interm ediate particles w ould have to m ove badkw ard in tim e, and carry the incom ing positive energy badkw ard in tim e.) Thus a com pact set of displacem ents $U$ not in $C(K)$, but con ned to a space essentially norm al to the set $C_{0}(\mathbb{K})$, cannot now cover an entire sphere: there m ust be a hole in this com pact set through which the single ray $U(K)$ can pass.

To dealw ith this case one can introduce the sam e set of local coordinates ( $q ; v$ ) as before, $w$ ith $\hat{v}=v=j \dot{j}$, and let $\hat{v}(K)$ be the point on the unit sphere $j \dot{j}=1$ that is the $i m$ age in $j v j=1$ of $U(K)$. Let $A(K)$ be a com pact set in $v$ space that lies in the unit sphere, and covers this sphere j$j=1$ except for points in a sm all open spherical ball about the point $\hat{v}(\mathbb{K})$. Let the points in this ball that lie also on the sphere j$j=1$ be called H (K ) (for Hole), so that each point on jjj= 1 lies either in $A(K)$ or in $H(K)$, but not in both.

C hoose the functions ( $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ) in (42) so that their supports are sm allenough so that the point $\hat{v}(K)$ corresponding to each point $K$ in the support of the product of the $\left(p_{i}\right)$ s lies in a closed subset of the open set $H(K)$. Then for allpoints $\hat{v}=\mathrm{v}=j \mathrm{j} j$ in $A(K)$ the function $T(\mathrm{v} ; ~ j \mathrm{j}) \mathrm{w}$ ill, by virtue of the correspondence principle, fallo faster than any power of jvjfor $=0$, and like (4.3) for $0<0$. The problem is then to show that the function $F(q)$ in (7.1) is the boundary value, in som e real neighborhood of $q=0$, of a function analytic in the intersection of a com plex neighborhood of $q=0$
with an open cone $Q$ in Im $q$.
To prove this, separate the $v$-space dom ain of integration in (7.3) into two disjoint parts, $V(H(K))$ and $V(A(K))$, where the latter consists of all rays from $v=0$ that pass through the closed set $A(K)$ of points in the sphere $j \mathrm{j}=1$, and $V(\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{K}))$ is the rest of V space.

This separation of the space of integration of the (bounded-by-virtue-ofunitarity) function $T(v ; 0)$ into two parts separates $F(q)$ into two term $s$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(q)=F_{H}(q)+F_{A}(q): \tag{8:1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The im aginary part of $q$ in $F_{H}(q)$ is restricted to the open cone $Q$ in which Im qv > 0 for allv in a closed cone $V$ that contains the closure ofV ( H ( K )) in its interior, apart from the origin $v=0$. For these $q$ the exponential factor exp iqv in (7.3) get from Im q a factor exp jim qj̈ji where $>$ const > 0 . $T$ his $m$ eans, because $T(v ; 0)$ is bounded, that the integral is absolutely converent, and hence that $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{H}}(\mathrm{q})$ is analytic near $\mathrm{q}=0$ for Im q in Q .

M ost of the real points $q$ very near to $q=0$ are \trivial" points, of the kind considered in the preceding section. At those trivial points $q^{0}$, the function $F\left(q^{0}\right)=F_{H}\left(q^{0}\right)+F_{A}\left(q^{0}\right)$ is analytic. These two term $s$ are taken at these points $q^{0}$ to be just the contributions to $F(q)$ speci ed in (7.4) and (7.10) restricted to the regions $V(\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{K})$ ) and $V(\mathrm{~A}(\mathrm{~K}))$ respectively. B oth of these contributions are analytic in the intersection of som e neighborhood of $q w$ th the cone $Q . T$ hus one can stay in the dom ain of analyticity by $m$ oving Im $q$ slightly into the cone $Q$ in order pass to the other side of the surface of singularities that passes through $q=0$.

A $m$ ore elaborate presentation of this argum ent, and of its generalizations to $m$ ore com plex cases, can be found in references [7] and [8], and also in Iagolnitzer's book [11].
9. C orresp ondence-P rinciple A sym ptotic Fall O.

I have described som e of the analytic consequences of the fall-o properties (2.3), (4.1), and (4.3). I tum now to a fuller discussion of the roots of these fallo properties in the correspondence to classical properties.

T he statistical predictions of quantum $m$ echanics correspond, at least in a form al way, to the predictions of classical statistical mechanics. In the latter theory one describes a system of $n$ particles at any time $t$ in term $s$ of a function $(x ; p ; t)$, which speci es how the probability is distributed over the points ( $x ; p$ ) of \phase space," where $x$ speci es the $3 n$ coordinate variables and p speci es the 3 n m om entum -space variables. Free-particle evolution keeps $p$ xed and shifts the location $x_{i}$ of a particle of (rest) $m$ ass $m_{i}$ during a tim e interval to the location $x_{i}+\mathrm{tp}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}}$. For large the second term dom inates, and the coordinate-space probability function goes over to the $m$ om entum -space probability function, properly scaled to account for the diverging directions of the di erent $m$ om entum vectors. $T$ his classical kinem atics entails that for free particles the classical distribution ( $x ; p ; t$ ) at large tim es $t$ becom es a product over $i$ of functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(u_{i} t_{i} p_{i} ; t\right)=j\left(u_{i} m_{i}\right) \quad\left(p_{i}\right)=f\left(m_{i} ; t\right)^{2} j \tag{9:1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(p_{i}\right)={ }^{Z} d^{3} x_{i}\left(x_{i} ; p_{i} ; t^{0}\right) ; \tag{9:2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is independent of $t^{0}$, and $f\left(m_{i} ; t\right)$ is the function de ned in (2.4). H ere $I$ am, for sim plicity, assum ing that the $m$ om enta are $s m$ allenough so that the nonrelativistic form ulas (where $t=$ and $p=m$ ) are adequate. ( $T$ he filly $\infty-$ variant form ulation gives the sam e results.) T he factor $\left(m_{i}=t\right)^{3}$ com ing from $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}} ; \mathrm{t}\right)^{2}$ com pensates for the linear spreading out of the probability distribution in coordinate space, and the $1=\left(2 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)^{2}$ com es from the norm alization in (2.1). This equality of the classically-derived and quantum $m$ echanically derived lim its constitutes, in this case, part of the correspondence-principle relationship betw een the asym ptotic properties in classical and quantum theory: both theories give the sam e asym ptotic form for the probability distribution in $(x ; p)$, for the case $=0$.

There is no con ict here w ith the uncertainty principle lim itation on the idea of a distribution in both $x$ and $p$ sim ultaneously: the huge spreading out of the coordinate-space distribution elim inates any such con ict.

But what is the rate of approach to this lim it?
The probability distribution in coordinate space at $t=0$ for the function in (4.2), at $=0$, would be given by the (absolute value squared of the) Fourier transform of (p). This transform of the in nitely di erentiable com pactly supported (p) falls o faster than any power of $\bar{j} j$. This leads to the quantum $m$ echanical prediction (4.1). C lassically, this original $x$-space distribution is the constant (non-expanding) background to the t-dependent diverging tra jectories. If this non-expanding background falls o faster than any power of x then its contribution at points $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{u}$ will fall o faster than any power of . Hence the approach to the large-t lim it com puted classically, by using the straight-line trajectories in space-tim e, also exhibits the faster than any power fallo speci ed in (4.1): the classicaland quantum predictions agree about both the lim it and the rate of approach to this lim it.

But what is the rate of fallo for the case $>0$ ?
To show that the fallo in this case conform sto (4.3) it is su cient to go to the fram ewhere $p$ is pure spacelike and the space part of $u$ is nonzero. Then

$$
j^{e} ; i p\left(u \quad j={ }^{z} d^{3} q=(2)^{3} \quad(q) \exp \left(\quad\left[q^{2}+i\left(q u \quad u_{0}\left(q \quad p_{0}\right)\right)\right]\right) j \quad\right. \text { (9:3) }
$$

where I again use the $m$ etric $(1,1,1)$ for the 3 -vector products $q u$ and $q^{2}$, and G $p_{0}=\left(q^{2}+m^{2}\right)^{1=2} m$.

To get the quantum prediction, consider a distortion of the q-space contour that is param eterized by a scalar . For $q^{2}>$ there is no distortion. For $\operatorname{Req} q^{2}<\quad$ the com ponent of $I m q$ that is directed along $u$ is shifted (keeping real the other tw o com ponents of the 3 -vector q) so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left[q^{2}+i\left(q u \quad u_{0}\left(q_{0} \quad p_{0}\right)\right)\right]=: \tag{9:4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$D$ istort the contour from $=0$ to a value such that all real q in $q^{2}$ lie inside the open set where is one, and such that jIm qj rem ains less than m.

Then for all real points $q$ with $q^{2}>$ one has an exponential fall-o factor $\exp \quad$. For real $q$ such that $q<\quad$ the condition (9.4) gives a factor $\exp \quad . \quad$ O ne can obtain a bound like this for every four vector $u$ on the unit (Euclidean) sphere, $m$ inus $s m$ all open holes around the rays along the positive and negative tim e axis (along which p has been taken to lie). These holes can be de ned by conditions on the three-vector part a of $u$ : jaj< . The only singularity that could block this continuation is the singularity of $q$ at $q^{2}+m^{2}=0$, and this is prevented by our condition j $\mathrm{j} m$ $q j<m$.

A m ore detailed presentation is given in A ppendix III of Ref. 9.
The classical analog is obtained by taking the classical coordinate-space probability function, im agined now to specify the distribution of the classical particles, to be the one obtained from the Fourier transform. For large the contributions from 1 fallo exponentially. Ignoring that contribution, at very large, one has a coordinate-space function that is essentially a G aussian, which has a width that grow s like the square root of . H ence in the scaled-down coordinate $u=x=$ the width of the $G$ aussian shrinks like ( $)^{1=2}$, just as it does in $m$ om entum space. Thus the probability function in ( $u ; p$ )-space (or in ( $(\boldsymbol{i} ; \boldsymbol{p}$ )-space) for xed ( $u ; p$ ), falls o exponentially in , as long as one keeps jaj nitely aw ay from zero.

The fallo properties (4.1) and (4.3) pertain to the individual freely $m$ oving particles. But we need analogous fallo properties for process involving m ultiple scatterings of such freely m oving particles by quasi-local interactions.

In quantum theory one has an initial in and a nal fin. If a œertain preparation procedure In prepares a system to be in the initial state in, and if a certain m easurem ent procedure $F$ in will de nitely produce a \Yes" outcom e if the nalstate is $f$ in, and willde nitely produce a $\backslash \mathrm{N} \circ$ " outcome if the nalstate is orthogonal to $f$ in, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
P \text { robability }=j \text { in } S \text { fin } \mathcal{J}^{2} \tag{9:5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the predicted probability that a preparation of type In followed by a $m$ easurem ent of type $F$ in will yield an outcom e \Yes".

If the intersection of the supports of the wave functions (42) contain no points $K$ such that $C(K)$ is bigger than $C_{0}(K)$ then the only relevant classical scattering diagram s are the trivial one that have only one vertex. If the interactions not carried by physical particles have nite range (w ith perhaps exponential tails) then the transition probability w ill (as m entioned previously) be bounded, in classical physics, by the probability that all of the particles can be in som e region that grow s like the square root of. A nd the condition that $C(K)=C_{0}(K)$ for all points in the support of the $w$ ave functionsm eans that for any such grow ing region in spacetim e the probability that all the particles w ill be in this region w ill have an exponential in fall - com ing from som e nonzero displacem ent in either a $m$ om entum variable $q$ or a translation variable $u$. A nd the range of these displacem ents is com pact: they cover the com pact surface in $v$ space tim es the product of the com pact dom ains in $q$-space. Thus for these \trivial" points one gets, in the classicalphysics analog, a fallo of type (4.3), as already noted.

But how does one get the analogous result for multiple-scattering processes, which involve interm ediate particles?

The answ er is that if all interaction regions can be taken to grow no faster than the square root of , then in the scaled-down (by a factor ) coordinate system the diagram $m$ ust have point vertices. A nd $m$ om entum -energy is strictly conserved in classical mechanics. So the scaled-down diagram $s$ depict classical processes w ith point vertices. If no such diagram can $m$ atch the extemal conditions im posed by the ( $\mathrm{U} ; \mathrm{K}$ ) then there w ill alw ays by an exponential fallo factor com ing from som e extemal particle, which is what the argum ents require.
10. N ature of the S ingularity.

The correspondence principle entails analyticity except on the surfaces speci ed by the Landau $N$ akanishi equations, and it assures analyticity in the associated cones of analyticity at the Landau $N$ akanishi points. But
what about the nature of these singularities?
C onsider a 3-particle to 3-particle process in which two particles collide to create one nal particle plus one interm ediate particle that eventually collides w th the third initialparticle to produce the other two nalparticles. C lassical physics dem ands that in the positive-tim e asym ptotic regim e the transition probability function must fallo as ${ }^{3}$, due to the geom etric spreading. This is just the fallo obtained in section 2, and it corresponds to a pole singularity,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(p)=i\left(p^{2} \quad m^{2}+i\right)^{1} ; \tag{10:1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the energy-increases-w th-tim e part of the $m$ ass-shell delta function $2\left(\mathrm{a}^{2} \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right)$ of classical physics. Thus not only the location of this singulatity, and the i rule for continuing around it, but also the pole character of this singularity is determ ined essentially by the fall-o properties entailed by the correspondence principle.

The geom etric conditions that lead to the ${ }^{3=2}$ fall $\circ$ in the singleinterm ediate-particle case can be generalized to the case of any number of interm ediate particles. O ne obtains the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \mathrm{~d}=3 \mathrm{~N}_{1} \quad 4 \mathbb{N}_{\mathrm{v}} \quad \text { 1) } \quad 1 ; \tag{10:2a}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}=\frac{1}{2}\left(3 \mathrm{~N}_{1} \quad 4 \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{v}}+3\right) ; \tag{10:2b}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N_{v}$ is the num ber of vertioes, $N_{1}$ is the num ber of intemal lines, and $d$ is the \degree" of the singularity, w ith $d=1$ being ( $E$ ) or $E^{1}$, and $\mathrm{d}=0$ being $\log \mathrm{E}$, etc. Thus for the two-vertex, one intemal line case one gets $d=1$ (a pole singularity) and for the triangle diagram $w$ th three vertices and three intemal lines one gets $d=0$ (a logarithm ic singularity.) For $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}=2$ and $\mathrm{N}_{1}=2$ (tw o-particle threshold) one gets $\mathrm{d}=1=2$, $(\overline{\mathrm{E}})$.

To understand (102) from the classicalpoint ofview consider the application of (9.1), applied to the entire classicaldiagram D , consisting of $N_{1}$ internal lines, $N_{e}$ extemal lines, and $N_{v}$ vertices. The factors $j\left(u_{i} m_{i}\right)=f\left(m_{i} ; t\right)^{2} j$
w ith $\mathrm{tp}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{i}}$, give the $3 \mathrm{~N}_{1}$ in (102a). Each intemal lines contributes a factor ${ }^{3}$ to the fallo of the probability, and hence a fallo factor ${ }^{3=2}$ in the am plitude, and this translates via the Fourier connection to an increase by $3 \mathrm{~N}_{1}=2$ of the degree $d$ of the singularity.

But the classical formula (9.1) has also a $m$ om entum factor (p). The $p_{i}$ in (9.1) $m$ ust include an extemal $m$ om entum -energy four-vector at each extemal line, and the function (p), w ith p being the collection of intemal and extemal four vectors, w ill have a conservation-law delta function at each of the $N_{v}$ vertiges. This is a classical condition. The scattering function has the one overall conservation-law delta function factored o, leaving $4\left(\mathbb{N} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{v}} \quad 1\right)$ delta functions.

The term of zeroth order in $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}$ is not determ ined by this argum ent, but is xed by the known pole case to be the extra term 1 in (102a). The im portant point is that to the extent that (102) determ ines the degree $d$ of the singularity, this degree is xed by the fall-o and conservation-law features exhibited by the associated classical process: the classical process exhibits the features that enter into Eqn. (102).

These rem arks tie Eqn. (102) to classical physics, but do not give a derivation of (102). This equation is derived in $K$ aw aiand Stapp [12], for all of the cases $m$ entioned above, and, m ore generally, for each physical-region singularity that corresponds to a unique Landau N akanishidiagram in which no two vertices coincide, at $m$ ost tw o lines connect any pair vertices, and no vertex is trivial in the sense that all of the lines connected to it are parallel. A ctually, farm ore is derived in ref. 12, nam ely an explicit form of the S$m$ atrix near certain points where several surfaces intersect, and these form $s$ play an im portant role in understanding the global analytic structure of the S m atrix.] The proof is based on the analyticity properties derived from the correspondences principle, on the general theory ofholonom ic microfunctions described in Sato, K awai, and K ashivara [13], and on the techniques and results developed in C oster and Stapp [14,15] for combining the analytity properties that follow from the correspondence principles w ith the im portant
unitarity property of the $S m$ atrix.
The other key elem ent in S-m atrix theory is \crossing": the postulate that a certain analytic continuation that changes $k_{i}$ to $k_{i} w i l l$ take one to the scattering function of a \crossed" process where initial (resp. nal) particle $i$ is replaced by nal (resp. initial) anti-particle i. H ence much of the structure of quantum theory is seen to be entailed already by the corresp ondence principle, plus naturalextensions of the analyticity properties entailed by the correspondence principle.
11. P hotons and In fra-red D ivergences.
$M$ assless particles, such as photons, pose new technical problem S , which are entw ined w ith an im portant infra-red problem. A num ber of studies [16, $17,18]$ of the e ects of the interaction of an electron (or positron) with low energy photons appeared to show that the pole-character of the electron is disnupted by this interaction: the pole exponent 1 is $m$ odi ed by a term of order $1=137$. H ow ever, any such change at the level of the $S \mathrm{~m}$ atrix itself w ould entaila signi cant deviation from the $1=r^{3}$ fallo, which is em pirically con m ed to very high accuracy.

Part of the problem in those works is that what was studied was the electron propagator, which corresponds, physically, to suddenly creating a charged electron at som e point $x$ and suddenly destroying it at som e other point $x^{0}$. But charge is conserved: it cannot be suddenly created or destroyed. So one should exam ine, instead, closed loops of charge, where tw o particles of opposite charge em erge from an initial place, and eventually com e together at som e later place. B ut even when this is done there still rem ains an infrared divergence problem, associated with the em ission of \in nite" num bers of soft (ie., low-energy) photons at each place where some de ection or deviation of the spactim e trajectory of the charged particle from straightline $m$ otion occurs. This infra-red problem is solved by again appealing to the correspondenœ principle.

The point is this. If one considers the space-tim e diagram associated w ith the Feynm an graph as a classical multiple-scattering process| of charged
particles| then one can com pute the classical electrom agnetic eld radiated by those $m$ oving charges. It has long been know $n$ that for every classical radiation eld there is a corresponding quantum state, called a coherent state. It involves in nite num bers of photons. To resolve the infra-red divergence problem com pletely one should use for the nalquantum state of the radiant elecrom agnetic eld, not the vacuum state plus added photons, but rather the quantum coherent state corresponding to the classical electrom agnetic eld radiated from the classical process speci ed by the Landau N akanishi diagram, plus added photons. So again, as before, the quantum process is largely determ ined by the underlying classical process: the classical process determ ines the bulk of the radiated quantum electrom agnetic eld, and once this part is properly incorporated the fallo properties associated with motions of the charged particles com e into proper accord w ith the predictions of classical physics, which then xes, via analyticty, the parts of the quantum scattering function closely associated with this classical process. O ne can then, again, reverse engineer the correspondence principle to get the quantum counterpart of the classical process. The program was initiated by Stapp [19], and various resulting analyticity properties were derived in a series of papers by K aw ai and Stapp [20, 21]

In the works described above the particle tra jectories were alw ays taken to be straight-line segm ents. H ow ever, Eqn. (2.16) of ref. 19 show s the e ect of the \C oulom b" contribution. It conform s to the classical rule. T he correspondence principle approach discussed here suggests allow ing the classicalparticle tra jectory to deviate from straight lines in a w ay that gives stationary action. T hat w ill cause these classical tra jectories to curve as they do classically under the in uence of a C oulomb potential. These curved trajectories will radiate soff photons that will need to be added to the nal coherent state.

This suggested application of the correspondence principle begins to look $m$ ore like a traditional spactim e description than an $S$-m atrix calculation. H owever, it is built not upon the presum ption of local interactions but
rather upon analyticity properties derived by a reverse engineering of the correspondenœe-principle classical lim it.
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