Yan-HuiW ang and Le-M an Kuang  $^{\rm y}$ 

Departm ent of Physics, Hum an Norm al University, Changsha 410081, China

M any previous works on quantum photolithography are based on maximally-entangled states  $(M \in S)$ . In this paper, we generalize the M E S quantum photolithography to the case where two light beam s share a N-photon nonmaximally-entangled state. we investigate the correlations between quantum entanglem ent and quantum photolithography. It is shown that for nonlocal entanglem ent between the two light beam s the am plitude of the deposition rate can be changed through varying the degree of entanglem ent described by an entanglem ent angle while the resolution remains unchanged, and found that for local entanglem ent between the two light beam s the elective R ayleigh resolution of quantum photolithography can be resonantly enhanced.

PACS number(s): 42.50 Dv, 42.25 Hz, 85.40 Hp

I. INTRODUCTION

Recently, much attention has been paid to quantum photolithography [1, 2, 3, 4] due to the possibility of beating the classical Rayleigh di raction lim it through using on quantum entanglement between two used light beams. Optical lithography is a widely used printing method which has been the primary tool of the sem iconductor industry for transferring circuit in ages onto substrates to produce sm aller and sm aller processors. In this process, light is used to etch a substrate and the exposed or unexposed areas on the substrate de ne patterns. The resolution of in ages transferred by using classical light beams is restricted to the Rayleigh di raction  $\lim it =4$ , being the wavelength of the light, hence one can achieve a resolution only comparable to the wave length of the light used in classical optical lithography [5, 6, 7]. In Ref. [3], Agedi and coworkers introduced a procedure called quantum lithography in one dim ension that predicts an increase in resolution beyond the di raction limit due to quantum entanglem ent between two light beams, and demonstrate a quantum lithography method to improve the resolution by a factor N in contrast to classicalone, using N -photon m axim alentangled state (MES) [8]. The Maryland group [2] com pleted a proof-of-principle experim ental dem onstration of quantum lithography by using two-photon entangled state generated via a specially designed spontaneous param etric down-conversion. The increase in resolution makes quantum lithography a potentially useful tool to produce smaller computer chips in nanotechnology. Then the one-dimensional quantum lithography method was generalized to the two-dimensional case [9] and entangled binom ial states [10]. Since the num ber of elements writable on a surface scales inverse quadratically with the minimum feature dimension, this improvement is an im portant advance. The purpose of the present paper is

to generalize the MES quantum lithography to the case where two light beam s share a N -photon nonm axim allyentangled state (NMES), and investigate properties of the deposition rate for general patterns in one dimension.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we introduce the NMES quantum lithography method after brie y recalling the MES quantum lithography method. In Sec. III we discuss pattern engineering in one dimension. Finally, we sum marize our results in Sec. IV.

## II. NMESQUANTUM PHOTOLITHOGRAPHY

The quantum photolithographic process is based on the multiphoton absorption process on a substrate. The characterparam eter of the optical lithography is the minim al resolvable feature size which, according to Rayleigh criterion, occurs at a spacing corresponding to the distance between an intensity maximum and an adjacent intensity minimum [10], or optical resolution which may denote the minimal distance between two nearby points that can still be resolved with microscopy or the minimal distance separating two points that are printed using lithography. For a N-photon state j<sub>N</sub> i the resolution is determined by the absorption rate at the imagine surface which is proportional the expectation value of the dosing operator

$$N() = h_N j_N j_N i; \qquad (1)$$

where the dosing operator is de ned by

$$\hat{N}_{N} = \frac{\left(\hat{e}^{Y}\right)^{N} \hat{e}^{N}}{N !}; \qquad (2)$$

with the superposition mode operator  $\hat{e} = (\hat{a} + \hat{b}) = \frac{p}{2}$  and its adjoint  $\hat{e}^{v} = (\hat{a}^{v} + \hat{b}^{v}) = \frac{p}{2}$ . If a substrate is exposed for a time t to the light source, the exposure function P() = N t gives an exposure pattern.

Before going into the NMES lithography, let us brie y review the MES quantum lithography presented in Ref  $\beta$ . Consider two counterpropagating light beam s a and

Corresponding author.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>y</sup>Em ail: lm kuang@ hunnu.edu.cn

b cross each other at the surface of a photosensitive substrate. They have a relative phase di erence = kx=2with the optical wave number k = 2 = and x is the lateral dimension on the substrate to describe the position where the two beams meet. For the N -photon m axim ally-entangled number state of the two light beam s

$$j_{N} i_{ab} = \frac{1}{p_{2}} (N; 0i_{ab} + e^{iN} j); N i_{ab});$$
 (3)

from Eqs. (1) and (2) one can get the following deposition rate  $\left( \frac{1}{2} \right)$ 

$$_{\rm N}$$
 () =  $\frac{1}{2^{\rm N}}$  (1 +  $\cos{\rm N}$  ); (4)

which indicates that a 2 shift of will displace N times. Hence, the N-photon MES (1) produces an effective Rayleigh resolution given by

$$x = \frac{1}{4N};$$
(5)

which increases the resolution by a factor N in contrast to the classical di raction limit x = -4.

Now we consider the quantum lithography with a N - photon NMES given by

$$j_{N} i_{ab} = \cos N; 0 i_{ab} + e^{iN} \sin N; 0 i_{ab};$$
 (6)

where measures the entanglement of the state, it changes from 0 (no entanglement) to =4 (maximal entanglement).

For the N -photon NMES (6), from Eqs. (1) and (2) we obtain the following deposition rate

$$_{\rm N}$$
 (;) =  $\frac{1}{2^{\rm N}}$  [1 + sin (2) cos (N)]: (7)

In what follows, we consider the in uence of quantum entanglem ent for the two cases of nonlocal and local entanglem ent, respectively.

C ase 1. Nonboal entanglem ent. In this case, the entangling angle of the two light beams is independent of the position where the two beams meet, then is irrelevant to the phase di erence in the NMES (6). From the expression of the deposition rate (7) we can see that the am plitude of the deposition function increases with the degree of entanglem ent. Hence one can manipulate and control the am plitude of the deposition rate through varying the quantum entanglem ent between the two light beam s.

C ase 2. Local entanglem ent. In this case, the entangling angle of the two light beams is dependent of the position where the two beams meet, then is relevant to the phase di erence in the NMES (6). From Eq. (7) we can see that this type of phase relevance, which is induced by the local entanglem ent, a ects the period of the deposition function. It is the period of the deposition function that determ ines the resolution of the quantum photolithography. Hence, the local entanglem ent between the two light beam s can improve the resolution. In particular, if we suppose that the entanglement angle changes with variation of the phase according to the resonant relation 2 = kN with k being positive integers, then the deposition function (7) becomes

N () = 
$$\frac{1}{2^{N+1}}$$
 [2 + sin (k + 1)N + sin (k - 1)N ];  
(8)

which leads to the following resolution

$$x = \frac{1}{4(k+1)N}$$
 (9)

which indicates that resonant change of the entanglem ent angle of the N -photon NMES enhances the resolution by a factor in contrast to the case of the N -photon MES. Therefore, one can improve the resolution through resonantly changing the entanglem ent angle of the N -photon NMES.

In optical lithography, one usually wishes that produced patterns are uniform. However, the second and third terms on the right-hand side of Eq. (8) have di erent periods with respect to the phase . In general superpositions of periodic functions with di erent modulation periods produces so-called collapse-and-revival phenom ena which appear in laser-atom interactions [12, 13]. These collapses and revivals produce are non-uniform patterns. This non-uniform pattern problem s can be rem oved through choosing speci c values of k in Eq. (8). If we set k = 1, the deposition function becomes

$$_{\rm N}$$
 () =  $\frac{1}{2^{\rm N+1}}$  [2 + sin (2N)]; (10)

which leads to the following resolution

$$x = \frac{1}{8N};$$
 (11)

which implies that uniform patterns can be produced and the resolution is doubled with respect to the MES quantum lithography.

## III. PATTERN ENGINEERING IN ONE DIMENSION

In this section, we show how to manipulate and control patterns in one dimension using the N -photon NMES and superposition principle in quantum mechanics. In order to engineer arbitrary one-dimensional paterns, we consider a more general N -photon NMES

$$j_{Nm} i_{ab} = e^{im} \cos N m; m i_{ab} + e^{i(Nm)} e^{i_m} \sin m; N m i_{ab}; (12)$$

which is an extension of the N -photon NMES given by Eq. (6) and reduces to Eq. (6) when m = 0 and m = 0. In order to obtain the deposition rate corresponding

to the N -photon NMES (12), we have to calculate the matrix elements of the dosing operator

$$\sum_{N m}^{N m} = h_{N m} j_{N j} j_{N m} i;$$
 (13)

which is given by

$$\sum_{N m}^{N m^{0}} (;) = \frac{1}{2^{N}} \frac{q}{C_{N}^{m} C_{N}^{m^{0}}} \cos^{2} e^{i(m^{0} m)} + \sin^{2} e^{i(m^{0} m)} e^{i(m^{0} m)} + \frac{1}{2} \sin^{2} e^{i(N m m^{0})} e^{i_{m^{0}}} + e^{i(N m m^{0})} e^{i_{m}} ];$$
(14)

where we have used the symbol  $C_N^m = N \models (N m) m!$ 

Then the expectation value of the dosing operator with respect to the general N -photon NMES (12) can be obtained by taking the condition  $m = m^0$  in Eq. (12)

$$_{Nm}$$
 (;) =  $\frac{1}{2^{N}}C_{N}^{m}$  f1 + sin (2) cos[(N 2m) + m]g (15)

In particular, when = =2, the deposition rate (15) becomes

$$N_{\rm m}$$
 (=2; ) =  $\frac{1}{2^{\rm N}} C_{\rm N}^{\rm m}$  f1+ cos[(N 2m) + m]g: (16)

T hus, we recover the deposition rate for the case of the N -photon M E S [6].

O ne important point to be emphasized is that based on the N -photon NMES (12) one can design various types of patterns on a substrate through building various di erent kinds of superposition states of the N -photon NMES (12). We here consider the pseudo-Fourier method [8] where one uses a general superposition state with di erent photon numbers n and a xed distribution m over two modes

$$j_{N} i = C_{n} j_{nm} i;$$
 (17)

where the basic state  $j_{nm}$  i is dened by (12) through replacing N with n, it is a n-photon NMES, and  $C_n$  is an arbitrary coecients. Hence, the state  $j_{nm}$  i is a superposition state of states with dimension total photon numbers here are branch.

Since  $(\hat{a} + \hat{b})^N j_N i^{-\gamma} = h_N j(\hat{a}^\gamma + \hat{b}^\gamma)^N$ , branches with di erent photon numbers n and n<sup>0</sup> do not exhibit interference when we calculate the N-photon deposition rate of the superposition state (17) which can be written as

$$m = \int_{n=0}^{X^{N}} f_{n} f_{n} \int_{N} f_{nm} i: \qquad (18)$$

Making use of Eqs. (12) to (15), from (18) we obtain

$$m(;) = \sum_{n=0}^{X^{N}} j c_{n} j^{2} m (;); \qquad (19)$$



FIG.1: The simulation of the jsin jtype pattern on the substrate resulting from a superposition of states with N = 2;6 and 12, respectively. The solid curve is the simulated pattern of the test function jsin j. Here the phase di erence is in units of .

where  $_{nm}$  (; ) is given by Eq. (15) with replacing N by n. Eq. (19) in plies that the deposition rate of the superposition state (17) depends on only the module of the superposition coe cients  $C_n$ , and it is independent of the phase of  $C_n$ .

Using the expression of exposure pattern P () =  $_{m} t_{r}$  from Eqs. (15) and (19) we d that

$$P() = \frac{t}{2^{N}} \sum_{n=0}^{N} C_{N}^{m} f_{n} f^{2} f^{1} + \cos[(n - 2m) + m] \sin(2) g;$$
(20)

which indicates that the exposure pattern induced by the superposition state (17) is determ ined by the module of the superposition coe cients  $jC_n j$  the entanglement angle , and the relative phase n.

From Eq. (20) it is easy to understand the role of quantum entanglem ent in quantum photolithography. In fact, from Eq. (20) we can see that varying of the entanglem entangle is equivalent to rescaling time parameter t or/and the module of the superposition coe cient  $\mathcal{C}_n$  j for the case of nonlocal entanglem ent where the entanglem ent angle is independent of the associated phase di erence . In particular, when = =4, Eq. (20) reduces to the expression of exposure pattern of the MES case [8]

$$P() = \frac{t}{2^{N}} \sum_{n=0}^{N} C_{N}^{m} C_{n} f^{2} f^{1} + \cos[(n - 2m) + n]g; (21)$$

For the case of nonlocal entanglem ent the expression of exposure pattern (20) can be written as the sum of a general uniform background exposure of the substrate and a standard truncated Fourier series

$$X^{N}$$
  
P() = Qt+t (a<sub>n</sub> cosn + b<sub>n</sub> sinn); (22)

where Q is the uniform background penalty exposure rate

$$Q = \sum_{n=0}^{X^{N}} \mathcal{D}_{n} \mathcal{I}; \qquad (23)$$

and the expanding coe cients are determined by the module of the superposition coe cients  $j_n j$  the entanglem ent angle , and the relative phase  $_n$  with the following expressions

$$a_n = jC_n f \sin(2) \cos_n; \ b_n = jC_n f \sin(2) \sin_n;$$
(24)

From Eqs. (22), (23), and (24) we can see that quantum entanglement between two light beams dos not change the background penalty exposure rate but it controls the amplitudes of all Fourier components.

It is well known that any su ciently well-behaved periodic function can be written as an in nite Fourier series. However, when we create patterns with the pseudo-Fourier lithography method, we do not have access to every component of the Fourier expansion, since this would involve an in nite number of photons. This means that we can only employ truncated Fourier series, and these can merely approximate arbitrary patterns.

The Fourier expansion has the nice property that when a series is truncated at N, the remaining terms still give the best Fourier expansion of the function up to N. In other words, the coe cients of a truncated Fourier series are equal to the rst N coe cients of a full Fourier series.

As an example, in what follows we use the pseudo-Fourier m ethod to simulate a pattern generated by the following test function

$$F() = jsin j;$$
 (25)

which can be expanded as a Fourier series

F () = 
$$\frac{2}{n} - \frac{4}{n} \frac{X}{(4n^2 - 1)}$$
; (26)

C om paring Eq. (26) with (22) and using (24) we nd the subsidiary phase  $_m$  and the superposition  $\cos - \operatorname{cients} C_n$  in Eqs. (12) and (17) to be

$$m = m$$
;  $C_{2n+1} = 0$ ; (27)

Substituting Eqs. (27) and (28) into Eq. (17), one can obtain the superposition state to realize the pattern of the test function given by Eq. (25). And from (27), (28) and (17) we can see that the superposition state consists of even-number-photon NMES like (12), and only the module of the superposition coe cients a ect the deposition rate of quantum photolithography. In Figure 1 we have simulated the test pattern (25) by using the superposition state given by Eq. (24) for N = 2, 6, and 12 cases, respectively. From Figure 1 we can see that the calculated patterns are in good agreement with the test pattern as shown by the solid curve, and the larger the value of N is, the better the e ect of the simulation.

## IV. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In conclusion we have generalized the N -photon MES quantum photolithography to the N -photon NMES case, and investigated the correlations between quantum entanglem ent and quantum photolithography. It has been found that quantum photolithography can be manipulated and controlled through varying quantum entanglement between two applied light beams. Especially, for the nonlocal entanglem ent case, we have showed that the amplitude of the deposition function increases with the degree of entanglem ent. Hence one can manipulate and control the amplitude of the deposition rate through varying the quantum entanglem ent between the two light beams while the resolution of quantum lithography remains unchanged. And for the local entanglem ent case, we have found that the local entanglem ent between the two light beams can enhance the e ective Rayleigh resolution of quantum photolithography. However, it would be challenging to create locally entangled states.

## A cknow ledgm ents

This work is supported by the National Fundam ental Research Program Grant No. 2001CB 309310, the NationalNaturalScience Foundation Grant Nos. 90203018 and 10075018, the State Education M inistry of China, the EducationalComm ittee of Hunan Province, and the Innovation Funds from Chinese A cadem y of Sciences via the Institute of Theoretical Physics, A cadem ia, Sinica.

- [L] Rathe U V and Scully M O, 1995 Lett. M ath. Phys. 34 297
- [2] D'Angelo M, Chekhova M V, and Shih Y 2001 Phys. Rev. Lett. 87 013602
- [3] Boto A N, Kok P, Abram sD S, Braunstein S L, W illiam s C P, and Dow ling J P 2000 Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 2733
- [4] Lugiato L A, Gatti A and Bram billa E 2002 J.Opt.B: Quantum Sem iclass.Opt.4 S1

- [5] Bruck S R J et al., 1998 M icroelectron. Eng. 42 145
- [6] Mack C A 1996 Opt. Photonics News 7 29
- [7] M anuscripure M and Liang R 2000 Opt. Photonics News 11 36
- [8] Kok P, Boto A N, Abram sD S, W illiam sC P, Braunstein S L, and Dow ling J P 2001 Phys. Rev. A 63 063407
- [9] B jork G, Sanchez-Soto L L and Saderholm J 2001 Phys. Rev. A 64 013811
- [10] B jork G , Sanchez-Soto L L and Saderholm J 2001 P hys. Rev. Lett. 86 4516
- [11] Rayleigh L 1879 Philos. M ag. 8 261; Born M and W olf E 1980 Principles of Optics (Pergam on Press. New York. 1980), 6th ed., Sec. 7.6.3.
- [12] Narozhny N B 1981 Phys. Rev. A 23 236
- [13] Rem pe G, W alther H and K lein N 1987 Phys.Rev.Lett. 58 353