Stabilization of Ultracold M olecules U sing Optim al Control Theory

Christiane P.Koch,^{1,2}, Jose P.Palao,^{2,3} Ronnie Koslo,² and Francoise Masnou-Seeuws¹

¹Laboratoire A in e Cotton, CNRS, B ât. 505, C am pus d'Orsay, 91405 Orsay Cedex, France

²D epartm ent of Physical Chemistry and The Fritz Haber Research Center, The Hebrew University, Jerusalem 91904, Israel

³Departam ento de Fisica Fundam ental II, Universidad de La Laguna, La Laguna 38204, Spain

(Dated: April 17, 2024)

In recent experiments on ultracold matter, molecules have been produced from ultracold atoms by photoassociation, Feshbach resonances, and three-body recombination. The created molecules are translationally cold, but vibrationally highly excited. This will eventually lead them to be lost from the trap due to collisions. We propose shaped laser pulses to transfer these highly excited molecules to their ground vibrational level. Optim al control theory is employed to not the light eld that will carry out this task with minimum intensity. We present results for the sodium dimer. The nal target can be reached to within 99% if the initial guess eld is physically motivated. We not that the optim al elds contain the transition frequencies required by a good Franck-C ondon pumping scheme. The analysis is able to identify the ranges of intensity and pulse duration which are able to achieve this task before other competing process take place. Such a scheme could produce stable ultracold molecular samples or even stable molecular B ose-E instein condensates.

I. IN TRODUCTION

The creation of cold m olecules from atom ic Bose-E instein condensates (BEC) [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8] as well as from ultracold therm al gases [9, 10] has advanced remarkably over the last two years. In both cases m olecules are formed due to interaction of atom s with an external edd. The latter can be the electric eld of a laser leading to photoassociation [11] or a magnetic eld tuned to drive the atom s across a Feshbach resonance [12]. Tuning close to a Feshbach resonance can furtherm ore be used to obtain a very large scattering length. This enhances three-body recombination and m olecules are thereby formed [6]. In most experimental schemes translationally cold, but vibrationally very highly excited m olecules are produced. These highly excited m olecules are not stable with respect to collisions, and dimers consisting of bosonic atom s in particular are very rapidly. In the case of sodium this happens within m illiseconds [5]. C reation is therefore only a rst step toward novel experiments using ultracold m olecules [13], and their stabilization is the obvious next task.

The ultimate goal is to create v = 0; J = 0 molecules. The task of transferring the highly excited molecules to v = 0; J = 0 is far from being trivial: a long-range molecule and a v = 0 molecule are very di erent (Cf. Fig. 1 where the wavefunctions for the last bound and the ground vibrational level of the ground state of N a_2 are drawn). This task has to be solved under three major constraints: 1.) The goal has to be achieved in a time short compared to the collisional lifetime. The rate for collisional decay is not precisely known at present, but lifetimes on the order of or shorter than m s should be expected [5]. Calculations for collisional decay of m olecules in highly excited vibrational levels have been performed in the non-reactive $^{3;4}$ He + H₂ (v) case [14], showing an increase of the relaxation rate by nearly three orders of magnitude when the initial state is going from v=1 (rate 5 10^{17} cm³ s¹) to v=10. In the 5 10^{10} cm 3 s 1 [15]. Therefore, reactive $Na + Na_2(v)$ case, quantum calculations for the v = 1 ! 0 rate yield at an atom ic density of 10^{11} cm³, a vibrational quenching relaxation time well below 1 m s is indeed to be expected. 2.) If laser pulses are to be used, spontaneous em ission has to be avoided. The radiative lifetim e of the excited state is on the order of 10 ns. 3.) Due to vibrational energy "pooling" or vibration-to-vibration ladder climbing [16] the interm ediate range of binding energies needs to be avoided at any cost, i.e. the molecules need to be im mediately transferred to the lowest levels.

In this paper, we suggest to employ optim al control to transfer the highly excited m olecules to the rovibrational ground state. Optim al control has been intensely studied both theoretically and experimentally in many areas of physical chemistry. Optim al control theory (OCT) [17] o ers the prospect of driving an atom ic or molecular system to an arbitrary, desired state due to the interaction with an external eld. Experimentally, control is achieved via feedback learning bops, see e.g. [18] for a recent review. The difference between the approach we suggest and control experiments as they have been performed over the last decade lies in the different target: In the latter the goal consists usually in varying the ratio between different dissociation channels, i.e. many nal states are available and the target

arXiv:quant-ph/0402066v1 9 Feb 2004

E lectronic address: christiane.koch@ lac.u-psud.fr

is rather broad, while in the present context a single ro-vibrational level is to be achieved. However, this does not pose a problem in principle { it m ight m ake control harder to achieve, but it does not render it in possible. We suggest optim al control to transfer the highly excited m olecules to the rovibrational ground state because it provides an e cient m ethod operating on a very short tim escale.

A lternatively, two-photon R am an transitions have been suggested [3] to transfer the highly excited m olecules to low -lying vibrational states. How ever, if really the low est vibrational levels shall be populated, a R am an scheme with continuous wave (CW) laser pulses is not conceivable due to unfavorable Franck-C ondon overlaps. The fram ework of Stim ulated R am an A diabatic P assage (ST IRAP) is not any m ore promising since a highly oscillatory wave function with 80% or m ore of its probability density in the asymptotic region can not be transferred adiabatically into a very com pact wave function, typically of G aussian shape (Cf. Fig. 1). This has been overlooked in previous studies [19, 20] in which the vibrational degree of freedom was not explicitly taken into account.

O ptim al control theory has been applied before in the context of the form ation of ultracold molecules, speci cally to calculate optim al conversion of an atom ic into a molecular BEC [21]. In this work, a laser pulse was employed together with a magnetic eld which induced a Feshbach resonance. The authors worked in the fram ework of the G ross-P itaevski equation which is a non-linear Schrödinger equation while employing a linear variant of OCT. A nonlinear version of OCT as required for the application to the G ross-P itaevski equation has been worked out recently [22]. The vibrational structure of the molecules was simply taken into account by assigning a few vibrational levels in R ef. [21], but the coordinate-dependence of the vibrational wave functions, in particular the qualitatively di erent character of initial and nal state wave functions, was neglected. Finally, the initial state in R ef. [21] corresponds to two free, colliding atom s. If one was to include the vibrational structure in the model of R ef. [21], the resulting H ilbert space would be in nite-dimensional, and due to the theorem of controllability [23, 24] one would not be guaranteed that an optim al solution exists at all.

For conceptual clarity, we will therefore separate the creation of molecules, how ever weakly bound, from the process of their stabilization. This approach corresponds furtherm ore nicely to the current status of experiments on cold molecules which create weakly bound dimers via magnetic Feshbach resonances or three-body recombination [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8]. The stabilization of these molecules in the experiment still remains an open problem to which no easy answers exist. In this paper, we will hence start from extremely weakly bound molecules and employ optimal control theory to obtain short, shaped laser pulses which drive the system from a specified, highly excited vibrational level to the ground state.

We will apply the optimal control algorithm to the formation of stable sodium dimers. From molecular spectroscopy experiments with CW lasers [25], we know that a pathway connecting the rovibrational ground state with the last bound levels exists. Furtherm ore, sodium has been one of the rst systems to be studied by fem to second spectroscopy [26] and control experiments [27]. Its properties under ultracold conditions are equally well studied, see e.g. [5, 28]. The scheme we suggest is similar in spirit to that of Ref. [29] where nanosecond pulses were used. In order to compare to current experimental control techniques, however, we employ pulses of fem to second and picosecond tim escale. These pulses are optim ized by the control algorithm while they would serve as input for a feedback learning loop in a prospective experiment, see e.g. [18]. This is in contrast to the intuitively chosen pulses of Ref. [29]. A large number of theoretical studies on fem to second pulse control of sodium has been reported, mostly in the context of photodissociation and ionization, see e.g. [30, 31, 32]. Our approach has been motivated by the experiment of Ref. [25] where the last bound levels of the N a_2 ground state were probed. This was achieved by exciting v = 0 N a_2 m olecules from a molecular beam with CW lasers to an excited electronic state and subsequent spontaneous emission. A scheme with multiple excitation-deexcitation steps was necessary due to Franck-Condon overlaps. In principle, one could invert this scheme to create v = 0 from highly excited molecules. However, the yield would be low and the required tim es cannot compete with the tim e scales of collisional loss and spontaneous em ission. We therefore look for optim al ultrashort pulses which realize this inverse scheme.

We form ulate the problem as one of optim izing state-to-state transitions. This is in contrast to a density matrix form ulation which describes transitions from an ensemble of states to another one [33, 34]. As an extreme case, the latter includes true cooling as a transition from an ensemble of states to a single state. Note, that true cooling requires coupling to a dissipative environment which accepts the entropy [35, 36]. However, the optim ization of state-to-state transitions should be su cient for B ose-condensed samples since one starts with a coherent sample. Even in the case of therm al samples state-to-state transitions can serve as an important rst step in which one state is selected to be transferred while all others are ionized. We will employ OCT in the K rotov variant restricting the change in pulse energy at each iteration [22, 36, 37]. This guarantees m onotonous and sm ooth convergence tow ard the objective.

W e will work in the fram ework of the linear Schrödinger equation which describes the internuclear dynam ics of two atoms, i.e. in case of a Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC) we neglect the condensate dynam ics. This approach is justi ed by the time scales present in standard optical and/orm agnetic traps. While the internuclear dynam ics and pulse shaping occur on the time scale of fem to seconds to picoseconds, the condensate dynam ics for conventional traps is characterized by microseconds. The condensate will have to adjust to the new internal state, but this is going to happen on a much slower time scale than the one of the pulse [38]. We can hence safely ignore the in uence of the condensate on the dynam ics of the state-to-state transition and assume that the adjustment of the condensate takes place once the pulse is over.

The paper is organized as follows: The model for the sodium dimer as well as the proposed scheme to form stable ultracold molecules are described in Section II. Section III brie y reviews the optimal control algorithm with details given in the Appendix. The results are presented in Section IV. Section V concludes with a discussion of the experimental feasibility of our proposed scheme as well as its implications to vibrational cooling.

II. THE NA $_{\rm 2}$ SY STEM

We have chosen N a_2 because this system has been intensely studied in ultracold experiments, in control experiments, and by traditional spectroscopy, and a large amount of experimental data is available. In particular, highly accurate potential energy curves have been obtained from molecular spectroscopy [39, 40]. Experiments [25] have furtherm ore shown that a route from the last bound levels to v = 0 exists. In this scheme, ground state molecules from a molecular beam with v = 0, J = 0 are excited by a CW laser (= 610 nm) to the A¹ $_{u}^{+}$ excited state ($v^0 = 15$). Those molecules which decay to the v = 29 level of the ground state, are excited by a second CW laser (= 540 nm) to excited state levels with $v^0 = 100$ 140. A third CW laser (= 595 nm) probes the transition between these excited state levels and the last bound levels (v = 61 65) of the ground state.

A. Proposed scheme for the form ation of ultracold, stable m olecules

We envisage the following two-step scheme for the production of ultracold molecules (Fig. 1). First, loosely bound molecules are created by tuning an external magnetic eld to sweep an atom ic sample across a Feshbach resonance [2, 3, 4, 5] or by enhanced three-body recombination in the vicinity of a Feshbach resonance [6]. Another possibility is given by applying a weak o -resonant CW photoassociation laser. The last level is so extrem ely loosely bound that even a very weak eld perturbs it leading to a coupling with the continuum states [41]. Second, a shaped laser pulse is applied to transfer the highly excited molecules to v = 0. This second step is of our concern in the present study. Following the experiment [25], we propose to employ elds which induce transitions between the Na₂ ground state (X¹ $\frac{1}{g}$) and the A¹ $\frac{1}{u}$ excited state.

One could in agine a one-step scheme where the optim alpulse is used to directly transfer a continuum wave function to the ro-vibrational ground state. However, in the case of continuum states the theorem of controllability [23, 24] is not applicable and one is not guaranteed that an optim al solution exists. Furtherm ore, the results may depend on how the continuum is modelled. Since experimentally, step (1) seems to become a standard technique [2, 3, 4, 5], we prefer to restrict the task of the optim alpulse to the transfer of one bound level to another bound level and to attain a mathematically well-de ned model.

B. M odeland m ethods

W e are interested in obtaining an estimate of the feasibility of optimal control experiments with ultracold molecules. W e therefore restrict our approach to a qualitative model with two channels which correspond to the two electronic states of R ef. [25], and we neglect hyper ne interaction, spin-orbit coupling and rotational excitation. These e ects should be included to make quantitative predictions.

Taking hyper ne interaction into account would amount to studying a model with more than two channels. At the binding energies at which cold molecules are created in the current experiments, a single channel description for the ground state is not valid [39], i.e. the wave function contains singlet as well as triplet components. The shaped laser pulse does not alter the coherence of this superposition. A multi-channel treatment would therefore result in a pulse with parts corresponding to the excitation pathway of singlet, and other parts corresponding to the excitation pathway of triplet molecules. However, in this study we want to emphasize the importance of the internal structure of the molecules, i.e. the coordinate-dependence of the atom -atom interaction potentials, for the creation of stable molecules. We therefore restrict the model to two channels, one for the ground and one for the electronically excited state, and we perform calculations for singlet states. This amounts to neglecting the triplet component of the wave function is larger than the singlet component, one would have to repeat the present calculations using the corresponding triplet potentials. The qualitative principle, how ever, remains unaltered.



FIG.1: Scheme for the production of stable ultracold m olecules: V ibrationally highly excited m olecules are created due to the interaction with an external eld (1) and are transferred to the ground state by a shaped laser pulse (2). The wave functions of the last bound and the v = 0 levels are drawn as dashed lines, while the excited state vibrational levels which have good Franck-C ondon overlap with the last level and with the ground level, respectively, are indicated by dotted lines. O by our direct transition exists.

The argument concerning rotational excitation is along the same lines as in the case of hyper ne interaction. A llowing for rotational excitation would amount to treating additional channels with the centrifugal barrier for each J added to the atom -atom interaction. The additional channels increase the size of the search space, but at the same time allow form one pathways, i.e. form one exibility. It is thus not clear a priori whether the search for optim al pulses will be slowed down or sped up. M oreover, if the initial state contains some rotational excitation, one might speculate that this facilitates control since such a wave function would be more bound than one with J = 0.0 f course, such a claim can only be proven by a detailed investigation which is beyond the scope of the present work.

W e solve the radial Schrodinger equation for the vibrationalm otion of the sodium dimer,

$$i \sim \frac{\theta}{\theta t}$$
 ' (R;t) = \hat{H} ' (R;t); (1)

with R the distance between the two nuclei. The vibrational wave function consists of two components, $' = , e^{g}$, corresponding to the two channels. The Ham iltonian describing two electronic states and the nuclear degree of freedom, R, is given by

$$\hat{H} = \begin{array}{ccc} \hat{T} + \hat{\nabla}_{g} & 0 & + & 0 & \wedge "(t) \\ 0 & \hat{T} + \hat{\nabla}_{e} & + & \wedge "(t) & 0 \end{array} ;$$
(2)

where $\hat{T} = \frac{\tilde{c}}{2m} \frac{\theta}{\theta R}$ denotes the kinetic energy operator, ^ the dipole operator and "(t) the electric eld. The ground state potential \hat{V}_g describes the state of X¹ $_g$ symmetry which is correlated to the (3s+3s) asymptote of sodium, and the excited state potential \hat{V}_e describes the state of A¹ $_u$ symmetry correlated to the (3s+3p) asymptote. The

ground state potential has been obtained by an analytical t to high-resolution spectroscopic data [39], while an RKR potential [40] has been matched to an asymptotic expansion [42] for the excited state potential. We assume the dipole operator to be independent of R, $^{-}$ = const. W hile this approximation needs to be improved, it might well serve as a rst step since the two concerned electronic states do not show avoided crossings. The electric eld is taken to be real which means that a xed (but not specified) polarization is assumed. The initial guess eld is given by "(t) = " $_0$ S (t) cos(wt) where S (t) is a Gaussian envelope function or a sequence of Gaussians and ! is the central frequency of the pulse.

The wave function ' (R;t) is represented on a grid employing the mapped Fourier grid m ethod [43] which allows for accurately representing even the last bound levels of the ground state potential with a comparatively small number of grid points (N = 1024). The mapped Fourier grid m ethod introduces, how ever, unphysical states which can lead to spurious e ects in the dynam ics. For the sodium system, these "ghost" states can be elim inated by using a basis of sine functions (instead of plane waves) [44] and by choosing the density of points su ciently high. The mapped grid is calculated from the envelope potential of both \hat{V}_g and \hat{V}_e , i.e. the same grid is used on both channels.

The time-dependent Schrodinger equation, Eq. (1), is solved form ally by

$$' (R;t) = \hat{U} (t;0) ' (R;0) :$$
(3)

We employ a Chebychev expansion [45, 46] of the time evolution operator, \hat{U} (t), which is numerically exact for a time-independent H am iltonian. In our case of an explicitly time-dependent H am iltonian, Eq. (3) is second order in the time step. Note, that we cannot use a less expensive propagation method such as the split propagator since the grid mapping introduces multiplications between functions in Fourier space and functions in real space, i.e. terms of the kind f (R) $\frac{\theta}{\theta R}$.

The initial state as well as the target state are taken to be eigenstates of the ground state potential. The eigenstates are computed simply by calculating a matrix representation of the Ham iltonian in the sine basis and subsequent diagonalization [44].

III. THE OPT IM AL CONTROL ALGORITHM

We form ulate the optim alcontrol for state-to-state transitions, i.e. we want to nd the eld which drives a specied initial state \mathbf{j}_{i} i to a specied target state \mathbf{j}_{f} i at the naltimet = T. The objective we want to reach can therefore be de ned as the overlap between the initial state propagated from timet = 0 to t = T with eld "(t) and the target state,

$$\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{\dot{h}}'_{i} \mathbf{\dot{\hat{\mu}}}^{\dagger} (\mathbf{T}; \mathbf{0}; \mathbf{"}) \mathbf{\dot{f}}_{f} \mathbf{\dot{i}}^{\dagger} :$$
(4)

 \hat{U}^{\dagger} (T;0;") denotes the evolution operator which completely speci es the system dynamics. In the calculations presented below, J_{i} i will be a highly excited vibrational level of the Na₂ ground state, while J_{f} i will be chosen to be the vibrational ground state of the Na₂ ground state. The length of the optimization time interval, T, is an external parameter of the calculation. If one compares to experiment, it is related to the bandwidth of the pulse and the number of pixels of the pulse shaper. Taking T to be twice the longest vibrational period which occurs in the problem is usually a good guess. In the case of long-range molecules, very diment time scales are involved which leads to a problem of feasibility. We present a work-around in Sec. IV C. A eld is optimal if it almost completely transfers the initial state J_{i} is to the target J_{f} i, i.e. if F attains a value close to one.

The objective F is a functional of the eld "(t). However, it depends explicitly only on the naltime T. To use inform ation from the dynamics at interm ediate times, i.e. within the time interval (0;T), we de nea new functional J,

$$J = F + g(";')dt;$$
(5)

where the integral term denotes additional constraints over the system evolution. The optim al eld will be found by minimization of J. The additional constraints provide the connection between the dynamics and reaching the objective, they therefore de ne how the control is accomplished [22]. This becomes particularly apparent in the K rotov variant of optim al control theory (see [22] for a review) which we will employ in the following. The K rotov m ethod allows us to derive an iterative procedure which maxim izes the original functional F (minimizes F) at the

naltime T while modifying the eld at intermediate times and guaranteeing monotonic convergence. Details can be found in Appendix A.

The choice of g(";') is not arbitrary, it needs to comply with the requirement of monotonic convergence [37] (see also Appendix A). In this study, we use

$$g(";') = g(") = \frac{1}{S(t)} ["(t) = u(t)]^{2};$$
 (6)

where $\mathbf{u}(t)$ is the eld of the previous iteration. S (t) denotes the constraint to smoothly switch the eld on and o with shape function S (t). We take it to be G aussian or S (t) = $\sin^2 (t=T)$. Eq.(6) has the physical interpretation of restricting the change in pulse energy at each iteration. It allows for a smooth convergence tow and the objective since the change in eld vanishes when the optim allel d is approached [37]. The optimization strategy can be controlled by the parameter : A small value results in a small weight of the additional constraint and allows for large modifications of the eld at each iteration. The parameter is not directly related to the number of iterations required to ind a solution, and the best search strategy is usually given by running the optimial control algorithm is with a small and then with a large value of [47]: A small will excite many pathways, then the solution can be re-ned with large.

W ith this choice of g(";') the change in eld, "= " $^{\text{new}}$, at every time t, 0 < t < T, is given by

$$\mathbf{"}(t) = \frac{S(t)}{1} \operatorname{Im} h'_{|\underline{i}]} \hat{\mathcal{U}}^{\dagger} (\underline{T}; 0; \underline{"}^{\mathrm{old}}) \hat{\mathcal{I}}_{\underline{f}} \hat{\mathfrak{i}} h'_{|\underline{f}]} \hat{\mathcal{U}}^{\dagger} (\underline{t}; \underline{T}; \underline{"}^{\mathrm{old}}) \wedge \hat{\mathcal{U}} (\underline{t}; 0; \underline{"}^{\mathrm{new}}) \hat{\mathcal{I}}_{\underline{i}} \hat{\mathfrak{i}}$$
forward backward forward
$$forward$$

$$(7)$$

(see Appendix A for the derivation). The change in eld is determined by the "expectation value" of the dipole operator $^$, i.e. by m atching at time t the initial wave function which has been propagated forward in time from t = 0 to t with the target wave function which has been propagated backward in time from T to t. Note, that this is not an ordinary quantum mechanical expectation value due to the di erent elds, "^{old} of the previous iteration and "^{new} of the current iteration. W e consider two channels/electronic states for the N a₂ system. The wave function therefore

contains two components, $\binom{g}{e}$, and the dipole operator, $\binom{0}{2} \binom{1}{0}$, induces electronic transitions. Note that while

for the initial and target state $'_{e}$ = 0, the propagated states have a non-zero $'_{e}$ -component due to the eld.

Eq. (7) in plies that inform ation from the dynam ics in both the past and the future is used to calculate the new eld. The iterative algorithm is thus de ned: We rst pick a guess eld "^{old}, propagate j_{i} i with \hat{U} (T;0;"^{old}) (forward) and evaluate the objective. We then propagate the target state j_{f} i from time t = T to time t = 0 (backward), storing the propagated wave function for all intermediate times. In a third step we obtain the new eld "^{new} at each time t by evaluating Eq. (7) and we use "^{new} to propagate j_{i} (forward). These steps have to be repeated until the objective has reached the desired value close to one.

Note that since "=" ^{new} ^{pld}, Eq. (7) is in plicit in the new eld "^{new}. A simple, but su cient remedy to avoid solving this in plicit equation is found by employing two di erent grids in the time discretization [37]. The time grid for the wave functions has $N_t + 1$ points and is de ned from t = 0 to t = T, while the grid where the eld is evaluated has N_t points and ranges from t = t=2 to t = T t=2 with $t = T=N_t$ the spacing for both grids. The new eld "^{new} at the rst interleaved grid point t = t=2 is then calculated from the wave function at t = 0, i.e. from $\hat{U}(0;0;$ "^{new}) $\hat{J}_i i = \hat{J}_i i$, while the wave function is propagated from t = 0 to t = t using the eld "^{new} (t=2). This process is repeated for all other time grid points.

$\ensuremath{\text{IV}}$. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the proposed scheme, the ultracold molecules are formed in one of the last bound levels, close to the dissociation limit. We have investigated states from the whole range of the vibrational spectrum (v = 10, v = 40, v = 62) in order to gain a deeper understanding of the physical mechanism behind the control. Recall that the last bound level of the ground state of the sodium dimer has quantum number v = 65.

Optim alpulses transferring all initial states to the target state (v = 0) were found if the guess pulse was chosen in a physically sensible way. This means that the pulse spectrum should coincide with the transition frequencies between vibrational levels in the ground and excited state which have a good Franck-C ondon overlap. The transition frequencies with non-negligible Franck-C ondon overlap range from about 6000 cm⁻¹ to about 13000 cm⁻¹. This corresponds to a transform limited pulse of 5 fs full width half maximum (FW HM). However, not the whole spectral range of 7000 cm⁻¹ needs to be covered. The Franck-C ondon overlaps of the initial and the target state with the vibrational levels of the excited state indicate one or two spectral regions which should be important in the transfer. It was su cient to accordingly choose one or two central frequencies of the guess pulse. C onvergence to reach 99% of the objective could then be achieved with a relatively sm all number of iterations.





FIG. 2: The Franck-C ondon overlaps of the initial state (v = 10;40;62, dotted lines) and of the target state (v = 0, dashed lines) with all excited state vibrational levels (v^0) are shown vs. frequency. A los shown are the spectra of obtained optimal elds (solid black lines) and of the corresponding guess elds (solid grey lines).

A. Sim ple search strategies

In addition to the spectral range covered by the pulse (cf. Fig. 2), the rate of convergence depends on the two control knobs of the optim al control algorithm, the intensity of the pulse or the integrated pulse energy and the length T of the optim ization time interval. If we choose a comparatively high intensity and long time T (T T = 2 =! = $2 h=E_v = E_{v-1}$ jwith E_v the binding energy of level v), we allow the algorithm a lot of freedom. Convergence to 99% of the objective is then reached very fast (N \pm 30). This corresponds to a few hours of CPU time for v = 10 and v = 40 and to a few days for v = 62 on a Linux PC (the increase for v = 62 is caused by the necessity to store the backward propagated wave functions on disc rather than in memory for long time intervals).

The resulting pulses are shown in Figs.2 and 3. A sequence of pulses with 100 fs FW HM was chosen for v = 10 and v = 62, while a single pulse of 355 fs FHW M was taken as guess for v = 40. The spectra of the pulses (solid lines in Fig.2) are compared to the Franck-C ondon overlaps of the initial (dotted lines) and target (dashed lines) state with all vibrational levels of the excited state potential. For the target state v = 0, the Franck-C ondon overlaps with all excited state vibrational levels are characterized by a simple broad peak between 8700 cm⁻¹ and 11000 cm⁻¹. The corresponding vibrational levels of the excited state have quantum numbers $v^0 = 1$ to $v^0 = 20$.

For initial state v = 10, non-zero Franck-C ondon overlaps can be found between 7150 cm⁻¹ and 12500 cm⁻¹ corresponding to levels $v^0 = 0$ to $v^0 = 54$. In this case, the control task is comparatively simple: There is a number of excited state vibrational levels which have good Franck-C ondon overlap with both the initial and the target state, i.e. a direct pathway exists. The simplest solution is therefore given by a pulse which addresses the transition frequencies between these "common" levels ($v^0 = 1$ to $v^0 = 20$) and initial and target state. This solution is rapidly found by the optim al control algorithm : The transition frequencies between these "common" levels ($v^0 = 1$ to $v^0 = 20$) and the



FIG. 3: The optim al elds (black solid lines) corresponding to Fig. 2. Also plotted are the respective guess elds (dashed lightgrey lines) and the shape function S (t) (solid darkgrey lines). The di erences between optim al and guess elds for v = 62 can hardly be seen on the time scale of 16 ps, therefore the inset shows the enlargement of a sm all interval.

target state are already contained in the guess pulse (grey line in Fig. 2), and the transition frequencies between the "common" levels and the initial state around 7800 cm⁻¹ can clearly be seen in the spectrum of the optim alpulse. Since the guess pulse is rather intense, other pathways are excited as well. If an initial guess which is even closer to the direct pathway solution, i.e. a pulse with two central frequencies is employed, optim al solutions can be found with less intensity and larger FHW M, i.e. sm aller spectral bandwidth (not shown). Note, that even in this simple case where the solution basically can be guessed, the know ledge of only the transition frequencies is not enough. Such a guess pulse with two central frequencies than 1% from the initial to the target state while the time-frequency correlations of the optim alpulse allow form one than 99% transfer.

The situation becomes more involved for higher excited initial states. No direct pathways, i.e. no excited state vibrational levels which sin ultaneously have good Franck-C ondon overlap with initial and target state exist. Moreover, non-zero Franck-C ondon overlaps with the excited state vibrational levels are usually found in di erent spectral regions for the initial and the target state. The guess pulses are therefore chosen to contain two central frequencies addressing the relevant transition frequencies. If su cient intensity is allowed, a solution can rapidly be found. The intensity is needed to nd other pathways which are not contained in the guess pulse, and the resulting spectrum is rather broad (cf. Fig. 2, middle panel). Note furtherm ore the di erent time scales in Fig. 3 where the length of the time interval T has been chosen to be at least twice the vibrational period of the initial state. Since the level v = 62 is very close to the dissociation limit, its binding energy is very smalland its vibrational period is very long. The required T increases

up to 240 ps for v = 65. Such long times obviously pose a problem, this will be addressed in Sec. IV C.

B. Restricting the pulse intensity

Since optim al control is based on constructive and destructive interference between di erent quantum pathways, the intensity of the pulse must be su ciently high to excite several such pathways. Furtherm ore the guess pulse must contain su cient intensity in the relevant modes for the algorithm to nd a solution. If the overlap of the initial state propagated with the guess eld and the target state is very small in Eq. (7), the changes in the eld are also very small. The algorithm then needs a very large number of iterations, or it may not converge at all. Therefore there exists a minimum intensity necessary to nd a solution. Note that the minimum intensity we have found theoretically does not necessarily have to be the same in a corresponding experiment. This is due to the di erents ways in which a solution is obtained theoretically and experimentally. The relation between the two still needs to be clari ed which is, how ever, beyond the scope of the present work.

The integrated pulse energy is given by

$$E_{\rm P} = {}_{0} \, cA \qquad {}_{0} E \, (t) \, \hat{f} \, dt \qquad (8)$$

with E (t) the optim al eld, A the area which is covered by the laser (A = r^2 with r = 300 m), c the speed of light and $_0$ the electric constant. The integration can be done num erically over the optim al eld or analytically over the shape function, the latter usually being su cient to obtain an estimate. Table I lists the minimum pulse energy

v	(initial state)	10	40	62
	Epulse	60 J	1.5 m J	3 . 9 m J

TABLE I: M in imum intensities needed to nd a convergent solution transferring the initial state (v = 10;40;62) to the ground state (v = 0)

necessary to nd an optimal solution within N_{it} 100 iterations for v = 10, v = 42, and v = 62. The increasing disculty of the control task with increasing vibrational excitation is rejected in the increase in required pulse energy.

Since part of the intensity is necessary to nd the relevant frequencies, one can speculate that it should be possible to further reduce the intensity by dividing an optim alled which contains already the relevant frequencies by some factor and to restart the optim alcontrol algorithm. This is indeed possible. New optim alsolutions within a reasonable num ber of iterations were found after dividing the optim alled of a previous calculation by a factor between two and ve (reducing the pulse energy by a factor between four and 25). U sing an analytical guess with the corresponding intensity did not lead to a convergent solution. An example is shown for v = 62 in Fig. 4. The spectrum of the weaker eld become se broader, in particular new peaks appear at about 6600 cm⁻¹ and 7800 cm⁻¹. The dynam ics induced by the two optim alleds of Fig. 4 has been analysed by calculating the time-dependent population of vibrational levels, $j_{\rm v=v^0} j_{\rm g=e}(t) i j^2$. The number of levels which attain at some time to a population of more than 10% and 5%, respectively, are given in Table II. The weaker pulse populates a smaller number of vibrational levels. It is interesting

	$E_0 = 0.01 a.u.$	$E_0 = 0.005 a.u.$
	$E_{pulse} = 16 \text{ m J}$	$E_{pulse} = 4 m J$
N ground P op> 0:10	3	6
N ground Pop> 0:05	23	13
N pop> 0:10	7	7
N excited P op> 0:05	24	16

TABLE II: Number of vibrational levels of ground and excited state whose population exceeds 10% (N_{Pop>0:10}) and 5% (N_{Pop>0:5}) at some time t (0 < t < T). Note that the 7 excited state levels with more than 10% population are not the same for 16 m J and for 4 m J.

to note that the levels which get populated are not the same for the weaker and the stronger pulse. Hence, a di erent solution is found after the reduction of intensity. This is con med by the objective F being $F = 7.9 ext{ 10}^{\circ}$ after the division of the optim all eld by a factor of two, but becoming F = 0.97 after 25 m ore iterations of the algorithm. The fact that the eld is not simply scaled but a new solution needs to be found, explains why the intensity of the eld can only be reduced by a factor between two and ve, but not by one or two orders of magnitude. Again, while some



FIG.4: The elds (a-b) and spectra (c) of optimal elds for v = 62. The spectrum of the stronger pulse (a) is plotted in black while the spectrum of weaker eld (b), slightly shifted for visibility, is plotted in grey. The inset shows an enlargement of the high-frequency peak.

inform ation is already contained in the eld, a certain minimum intensity is needed to excite other pathways which constitute the new solution.

C . Restricting the optim ization time T

The optim ization time T is a parameter of the calculations, and as explained in Sec. IV A a good guess is given by taking T to be twice the time corresponding to the smallest frequency which occurs in the problem. Since we are interested in the last bound levels, this time becomes very large (on the order of nanoseconds for Na_2). Such a time scale is too long to e ciently compete with collisions and spontaneous emission.

Simply choosing a smaller value of T does not solve the problem. In this case, the optim alcontrolalgorithm did not nd any solution for m any di erent guess pulses. A comparatively simple remedy to the problem was found by using the result of an optim ization with a large value of T, restricting it in time, and employing this modied eld as initial guess pulse for a second run of the optim ization algorithm. The restriction in time was done by Fourier-transforming the eld, deleting points in frequency space and Fourier-transforming it back to the time dom ain. Keeping only every 10th value of the eld in frequency space reduces the time interval by a factor of 10. The shape function for the second optim ization run was chosen to be S (t) = sin (t=T) where T denotes the reduced time interval.

Such a reduction of the optim ization time has been tested for up to a factor of 20. Rem arkably, it did not matter



FIG. 5: Convergence of the optimal control algorithm after the total time T (corresponding to the vibrational period of the initial state) has been reduced by a factor of 8.

whether the points in frequency space were deleted symmetrically around ! = 0 or not. There is no rigorous upper limit to the factor, by which T can be reduced. However, the more T is reduced, the slower becomes the convergence. There is furthermore no upper limit to the objective which can be reached with reduced T. This is shown in Fig. 5 where the objective is plotted vs. the number of iterations of the control algorithm. A fler reducing T by a factor of 8, a eld which transfers more than 90% of the initial state to v = 0 is found after N_{it} 1000 iterations. Note, that each iteration requires a full time propagation. Nevertheless, the calculations do not become prohibitively expensive due to the shorter propagation time (T=8). The inset of Fig. 5 shows $_{0}^{\mathrm{T}}$ g (") dt vs. the number of iterations. The integral corresponds to the value by which the objective is increased at each iteration, W hile this value decreases algebraically after about 60 iterations, it is still su cient to increase the objective from about 20% after N_{it} = 60 to more than 90% after N_{it} = 1000. The larger number of required iterations is not only due to the restriction in time, but also due to a reduction in integrated pulse energy and hence intensity.

An example of an optimal eld and its spectrum after N_{it} = 1000 are shown in Fig. 6. The eld shows a sequence of very short sub-pulses which is characteristic for optimal elds [47]. The spectrum is rather broad, covering about 9000 cm⁻¹. It should be noted, how ever, that already the spectrum after the rst optim ization run with large T was rather broad. At this point it is very di cult to decide whether this broadness is physically necessary or or whether it is an arte cial by-product of the mathematical algorithm. Unfortunately, it is not possible in a straightforward way to include a restriction in bandwidth as condition in the algorithm without ruining the property of monotonous convergence (see App.B for a more detailed discussion).

M ore insight is gained by analyzing which vibrational levels the optim all eld populates during the course of time. Fig.7 shows the total population of the two channels (solid black lines) with the optim all eld (in grey) which has been scaled for comparison. The sub-pulse structure of the eld corresponds to population cycling between ground and electronically excited state. For the ground state, the population of initial (v = 62) and target (v = 0) vibrational level (dashed lines) are also plotted. Finally, the dashed grey lines in Fig.7 show the sum of populations of all vibrational



FIG.6: The optim al eld and its spectrum. The optim ization time interval has been reduced by a factor of 8.

 $y_{\mu} \dot{\mu}'_{\nu}^{g=e}$ j (t) ij². For the electronically excited state, the solid black and dashed grey lines overlap, i.e. levels, i.e. only bound levels get excited. This is not surprising: The highest vibrational levels which get populated (Cf. Fig. 8) are those which have good Franck-C ondon overlap with the initial state. These levels are still comparatively strongly bound (v⁰ = 101 :::108 out of about 220 bound levels). For the ground state, the solid black and dashed grey lines di er rem arkably, corresponding to a non-negligible population of the continuum. However, this does not need to worry us. The continuum gets populated at intermediate times for very a short period when the Na_2 system is in a coherent superposition with the optim al eld. The corresponding population is therefore not lost but brought back to bound levels at later times and eventually transferred to v = 0. Fig. 8 shows the population of those vibrational levels vs. time which acquire more than 5% of the overall population at some time 0 t T. G round state vibrational levels are displayed in the upper panel, while excited state vibrational levels are shown in the middle and lower panel. The overall number of vibrational levels which get populated is 7 for the ground state (v = 62 and v = 0 have been plotted in Fig. 7 for better visibility) and 16 for the electronically excited state. For the ground state (Fig. 8 (a)-(b)), the two neighbouring levels of the initial state get populated at early times. At intermediate times, the population is spread over m any di erent levels (and the continuum) while tow ard the end of the tim e interval, the population is concentrated in three levels (v = 21;22;23). None of this levels is populated for more than 300 fs. This is the time which needs to be compared to the time scale of vibrational energy pooling. For the excited state (Fig. 8 (c)-(f)), the vibrational levels which get populated can be assigned to three di erent groups: At early times a number of levels $(v^0 = 101 ::: 108)$ with good Franck-C ondon overlap with the initial state get populated. While the population cycles back and forth between ground and excited state, these levels are overall populated for a comparatively long time. A fier about 1 ps, intermediate levels ($v^0 = 18;41;51:::53$) are populated. Toward the end of the time interval the population is concentrated in levels ($v^0 = 7;8;9$) which have a very good Franck-C ondon overlap with the target state, v = 0. A loo none of the excited state vibrational levels is populated for more than 300-400 fs which should be short



FIG.7: Population analysis of the dynam ics with the optim al eld for the electronically excited state (a) and the ground state (b). The total population hg=ej (t)i² (solid black line) is compared to the sum of populations of all bound vibrational levels P_{a}^{P} jt' $v_{v}^{q=e}$ j (t)i² (dotted grey line) with the eld plotted in the background (lightgrey, scaled for comparison). For the excited state, the black and the grey line are alm ost identical indicating that the continuum is not populated, while the curves di er for the ground state indicating signi cant population of the continuum at interm ediate times. Panel (b) shows furtherm ore the population of initial (v = 62) and target (v = 0) vibrational level (dashed lines).

enough to compete with vibrational energy pooling.

Note, that which specic vibrational levels are populated di ers for di erent optim alpulæs which are the result of initial guess pulæes with di erent frequencies and intensities and of reduction of T by di erent factors. The overall scheme of population, in particular for the excited state with the three groups at early, interm ediate and long times, is found in all cases. This is not surprising because it simply rejects the fact that a multi-step scheme is needed to transfer a very weakly bound state to the vibrational ground state.

V. CONCLUSIONS

We have shown that within a two-state model of N a_2 optim all elds which transfer highly excited vibrational states to the vibrational ground state to more than 99% can be found. We have performed calculations for moderately, highly and and extrem ely highly excited initial states (v = 10;40;62 out of 66 bound levels). For moderately excited vibrational levels, a direct pathway from the initial to the target state exists, and a single central frequency in the guess eld was su cient to obtain an optim all eld. For higher excited levels, a multistep scheme is required since initial and target state have good Franck-C ondon overlap with di erent vibrational levels of the excited state. This was accounted for by assuming two central frequencies in the guess eld. Experimentally, pulses with two central frequencies can be realized by sending a pulse with a single central frequency through a parametric amplier.



FIG.8: V ibrational level population of the dynam ics with the optim al eld for the electronically excited state (c)-(f) and the ground state (a)-(b)

The two controlknobs of the optim ization algorithm are the pulse intensity and the optim ization time. A comparatively high intensity is needed to excite several quantum pathways whose interference constitutes the solution. The optim ization time is usually chosen to correspond to the longest vibrational period of the system. We have explored ways to restrict both intensity and optim ization time. This was possible by using information from optimal elds of a previous run of the algorithm with large intensity / long optim ization time in the guess eld which was used as input in a second run of the algorithm with smaller intensity and shorter optim ization time. Optim all elds with integrated pulse energy on the order of milliJoule and overall duration on the order of a few picoseconds have thus been obtained.

The optim al control task we have investigated in this paper is much more di cult than those of previous control studies on the sodium dimer, such as populating certain ionization channels [31] or displacing the ground state G aussian wave packet [32]. The fact that solutions could still be found, is due to the modi cation of the optim al control algorithm to calculate the change in eld rather than the new eld itself [37]. This ensures a smooth convergence of the eld tow ard the optim al one and renders the algorithm very powerful.

We have neglected several possible loss channels. Two-photon transitions could populate an autoionizing state. However, since molecular autoionization occurs at small distances, the cross-sections are very small [48]. The eld could moreover transfer angular momentum to the system thus exciting rotations. Both loss channels can be modelled by adding one or a few electronic states to the model. In general, additional channels do not necessarily pose a problem, they may even lead to more participating pathways in proving the control [31]. Intuitively, the eld is able to avoid population of these loss channels if its phase is orthogonal to the transition dipole. It has been shown in local optimization calculations [33, 49], that this condition on the phase of the eld can be used to lock the population in the desired channels. In global optimization, convergence can be facilitated by in posing a penalty on the loss channels [50]. Multiphoton ionization of the sodium atoms is more dangerous than excitation of rotations and molecular autoionization. It is rather likely to occur at the intensities we have found. Our calculations should therefore be repeated by adding an N-level H am iltonian describing the atom is levels to the model. The task of the modil ed control problem is to transfer the vibrationally excited molecules to v = 0 while avoiding population of the ionization loss channels at any time during the optimization interval. The latter has to be form ulated as an additional constraint. The function g(") does then also depend on the state ', g(";'), and the equation for the new eld has to be rederived. W ork in this direction is in progress.

In a recent experim ent [5], ultracold N a_2 m olecules have been produced from an atom ic sodium condensate with the molecules occupying the v = 14 vibrational level of the a^3_{u} state. This vibrational level is very weakly bound (< 1 cm⁻¹), and at such small binding energies the hyper ne interaction mixes singlet and triplet states [39]. Note that in the asymptotic region the wave function of the triplet v = 14 level is identical to that of the singlet v = 64 level. The coupling between hyper ne states im plies that also vibrational levels of the singlet state are populated, hence our results considering the X¹ + should be applicable to the M IT experiment. Second, to obtain quantitative results our model should be improved to include all the states which are coupled by hyper ne interaction. This requires, how ever, several technical improvements and is therefore the subject of future work.

The M II experiment raises another question regarding the feasibility of optimal control experiments with ultracold molecules. The reported lifetime of the molecular cloud is a few ms [5]. Conventional pulse shapers operate at about 1 kHz resulting in one cycle of the learning loop permillisecond. In standard control experiments a few thousand cycles can therefore be performed in a comparatively short time. If the M II experiment were to be combined with a control scheme, only one or two cycles could be performed before the molecules are lost from the trap. It therefore seems necessary to provide more information from theoretical considerations than simply the required spectral bandwidth and intensity of the pulse. A promising approach has been suggested by de Vivie-Riedle and cow orkers [51] who de ned the mask pattern of the optimal pulse by discrete Fourier transforms. Provided the calculated pulse is not too com plex, this mask pattern can be directly fed into the pulse shaper avoiding the many cycles of a learning loop.

In addition to accounting for ionization losses and hyper ne interaction, our two-state model should be improved to consider rotations. This is particularly important because the molecules are not necessarily created with J = 0 in the experiments using Feshbach resonances or three-body recombination. Since the rotational excitation is rather small, it will be su cient to treat it by additional electronic states, for which the centrifugal barrier for each J is added to the atom -atom interaction. O ne may speculate that some rotational excitation may even facilitate the stabilization process since the initial wave function due to the centrifugal barrier is more localized than the one for J = 0.

A nother possibility which leads to a more con ned initial state for the stabilization process is given by forming the molecules by photoassociation. Two-color photoassociation or spontaneous emission after one-color photoassociation can directly populate levels around v = 40. We have shown in our calculations that the optim alcontrol task for such vibrational states which are still highly excited but already well-bound is much easier than for the last bound levels. The required intensities are hence more moderate (C f. an integrated pulse energy of 1.5 m J for v = 40 vs. 4 m J for v = 62).

F inally, our calculations prom pt the question whether spectrally simpler elds than those obtained in this study can be guessed. Our population analysis has shown that at least two cycles through the excited state are required. The rst cycle populates excited state vibrational levels which have good Franck-Condon overlap with the initial state, and the second populates excited state vibrational levels with good Franck-C ondon overlap with the target state. O ne could hence divide these steps, optim izing each separately. First calculations show that the intensities required for one such step are much lower than the ones completing the control task at once. Note that while the intensity is reduced, the overall time (including all steps) is prolonged. A nother possibility is given by nding a solution for each of the steps intuitively without the optim al control algorithm, for example chirping the sequence of pulses. Furtherm ore, stabilization via optim al control could be combined with chipped pulse photoassociation [52, 53]. For the Cesium dimer, it has been shown that a spatially focussed wave packet can be formed on the excited state [53]. To stabilize such a state to the ground vibrational level of the electronic ground state via OCT should also require com paratively little intensity. Our results furtherm ore indicate that STIRAP or any other adiabtic process is not likely to be a successful tool in transferring the highly excited molecules to the vibrational ground state. The multistep scheme in plies that there is no direct adiabatic path, i.e. the Franck-C ondon overlaps of a direct path are extrem ely sm all. C orrespondingly, the intensity required for a direct adiabatic path is expected to be orders of m agnitude larger than that obtained by us for an optimal eld. A diabaticity moreover requires the transfer to be slow. If we quantify slow as being at least one order ofm agnitude slower than the longest vibrational period of the problem , the process has to

occur on the time scale of nanoseconds in the case of Na_2 and even longer times for heavier molecules. These are the time scales where loss processes become important and where condensate dynamics and molecular dynamics become entangled.

In conclusion, we have applied in this study optimal control theory to the stabilization of ultracold molecules. W hile we have started with a comparatively simple model, our present results point toward several directions of improvements which in turn correspond to dierent experimental schemes. We thus hope that the present work stimulates further research uniting the elds of cold molecules and optimal control.

A cknow ledgm ents

W ewould like to thank the C old A tom s and M olecules team of Laboratoire A in e C otton, in particular N. Vanhaecke, O. D ulieu and P. P illet, for fruitful discussions. C P K. is grateful to T. K luner for assistance and to the D eutsche Forschungsgem einschaft for nancial support. This work has been supported by the European U nion in the fram e of the C old M olecule research training network under contract HPRN-CT-2002-00290 and by the Israeli Science Foundation. The Fritz H aber C enter is supported by the M inerva G esellschaft fur die Forschung G m bH M unchen, G erm any.

APPENDIX A: BRIEF REVIEW OF OPTIMAL CONTROL THEORY WITH THE KROTOV METHOD

The K rotov method is one of several methods to derive an iterative algorithm which connects the objective of optimal control, calculated at the naltimet = T, with the knowledge of the state of the system at intermediate times 0 < t < T. A general review was given in Ref. [22], while a review for linear problems in the density matrix form alism was reported in Ref. [37]. In this paper, we need an even simpler version, that is the K rotov method for linear optimization of state-to-state transitions which we sketch in the following.

The equation of motion of the system is the Schrodinger equation,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dt}} \mathbf{j} (\mathbf{t}) \mathbf{i} = -\frac{\mathbf{i}}{2} \mathbf{\hat{H}} (\mathbf{u}) \mathbf{j} (\mathbf{t}) \mathbf{i};$$

whose right-hand-side is abbreviated by

$$f(t; '(t); ")i = -\frac{i}{2}\hat{H}(")f(t)i:$$
(A1)

We om it the ket notation when just indicating the dependence on J'(t)i. The starting point is now the modi ed objective J of Eq. (5), which is a functional of the state of the system and the eld, J[';"]. Due to integral term in Eq. (5), J is a functional of the system 's state at all times, i.e. a functional of the system "trajectory". The problem is now to nd conditions on J which maxim ize the objective F.

To this end, one introduces an auxiliary functional L [';";],

$$L[';";] = G('(T)) \quad (0;'_{i}) \qquad R(t;'(t);") dt \qquad (A2)$$

with

$$G('(T)) = F('(T)) + (T;'(T));$$
(A3)

$$R (t; '(t); ") = g(") + \frac{\theta}{\theta t} + R e \frac{\theta (t; '(t))}{\theta'} f(t; '(t); ")$$
(A4)

and = (t;') an arbitrary continuously di erentiable real function [2, 37]. Recall that $j'_{i}i = j'(t = 0)i$, and $j_{f}i$ is the target state. Note that the derivative of with respect to the state means derivative with respect to both real and in aginary part of j'i, $j_{\theta',R}^{e} + i \frac{\theta}{|\theta'|_{R}}i$. If the state j'(t)i and the eld "(t) obey the Schrödinger equation, R is given by $R = g(") + \frac{d}{dt}$. It can then be shown [22] that L [';";] = J [';"] for any scalar function , i.e. the minimization of J is equivalent to the minimization of L. In the latter one has complete freedom in the choice of . This property is used to construct an iterative algorithm . One rest chooses such that L is maximum with respect

to j' (t) i, i.e. the worst case. A new eld is then derived from the condition of maximizing R which in turn leads to minimization of L.

The ststep (maximization of L) is equivalent to maximizing G while minimizing R. This step is taken at the old state, \mathbf{j} (t) iⁿ. To simplify the equations, the maximum and minimum conditions are relaxed to extrem um conditions. An additional condition in the end has then to ensure that the algorithm indeed improves the objective in each iteration. The extrem um conditions are written as

$$\frac{(R (t; t'(t); "))}{(t')} = 0;$$
 (A5)

$$\frac{\partial G('(T))}{\partial ('(T))} = 0: \qquad (A 6)$$

The partial derivatives are again with respect to both real and in aginary part of j i. Eq. (A 5) leads to

$$0 = \frac{\theta}{\theta'} \qquad g(") + \frac{\theta}{\theta'} \frac{(t;'(t))}{\theta t} + Re = \frac{\theta}{\theta'} \frac{(t;'(t))}{\theta'} f(t;';") + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\theta}{\theta'} \frac{\theta}{f(t;';")} + \frac{\theta}{\theta'} \frac{\theta}{f(t;';")} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\theta}{\theta'} \frac{\theta}{f(t;';")} \frac{\theta}{\theta'} \frac{\theta}{f(t;';")} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\theta}{\theta'} \frac{\theta}{f(t;';")} \frac{\theta}{\theta'} \frac{\theta}{f(t;';")} + \frac{\theta}{\theta'} \frac{\theta}{f(t;';")} \frac{\theta}{\theta'} \frac{\theta}{f(t;';")} + \frac{\theta}{\theta'} \frac{\theta}{f(t;';")} \frac{\theta}{f(t;';")} \frac{\theta}{\theta'} \frac{\theta}{f(t;';")} \frac{\theta}{\theta'} \frac{\theta}{f(t;';")} \frac{\theta}{\theta'} \frac{\theta}{f(t;';")} \frac{\theta}{\theta'} \frac{\theta}{f(t;';")} \frac{\theta}{\theta'} \frac{\theta}{f(t;';")} \frac{\theta}{\theta'} \frac{\theta}{f(t;';")} \frac{\theta}{f(t;';")$$

Using that fi = d = dt f i and calculating f = 0' inf j from Eq. (A1), we obtain

Eq. (A 6) together with the de nition of G ($'_{\rm f}$) (Eq. A 3)) gives

$$\frac{\theta}{\theta'}(T) = \frac{\theta F'(T)}{\theta'}(T) : \qquad (A8)$$

Recall that F is the original objective, and $\frac{1}{9}F = 0'$ i can thus be explicitly calculated. Eq. (A 7) can then be interpreted as rst order dimential equation for j (t) i = $\frac{0}{0'}$ (t) with the "initial" condition (at time T) given by Eq. (A 8). The know ledge of Eqs. (A 7) and (A 8) is therefore su cient to determ ine (t;') to rst order in j' (t) i,

⁽¹⁾ (t;') = Re
$$\frac{2}{2}$$
 (t) $\frac{1}{2}$ (t) $\frac{1}{2}$ [h (t) j (t)i+ h' (t)j (t)i]: (A9)

From $^{(1)}$, G and R can be constructed to rst order, G $^{(1)}$ and R $^{(1)}$. Thereby the rst step of the algorithm for a linear optim ization problem is completed. Note that $^{(1)}$ is never explicitly calculated, the equation of motion for j (t)i (Eq. (A 7)) will be solved instead.

To realize the second step, R⁽¹⁾ must be maximized with respect to the eld. This step is supposed to lead to a new eld, \mathbf{m}^{n+1} , which generates a new "trajectory", j (t) i^{n+1} , according to the Schrödinger equation. We again relax the maximum to an extremum condition,

$$\frac{(eR)^{(1)}}{(e^{n})^{n+1};j'(t))^{n+1}} = 0;$$
(A 10)

which gives

$$0 = \frac{\theta}{\theta^{n}} g^{(n)} + \frac{\theta}{\theta^{n}} + Re \frac{\theta}{\theta^{(1)}} f^{(1)}(t; i) = \frac{\theta}{\theta^{(n)}} + \frac{1}{2} (t) \frac{\theta}{\theta^{(n)$$

and therefore

$$\frac{\partial g(")}{\partial (")}_{m+1} = R e \quad (t) \frac{\partial f(t; ';)}{\partial (")}_{m+1; ''; i^{n+1}} :$$
(A11)

W ith our choice of the constraint g("), Eq. (6), and using $\hat{H} = \hat{H}_0 + \hat{}$ (t) in the calculation of $\beta f = 0$ "i, we obtain for the new eld

$$^{n+1}(t) = "^{n}(t) + \frac{S(t)}{2} Im h(t) j^{j}(t) j^{n+1}$$
 : (A 12)

The time evolution of the new state is given \mathbf{j} (t) $\mathbf{i}^{n+1} = \hat{\mathbf{U}}$ (t;0;"ⁿ⁺¹) \mathbf{j}_i i, and j (t)i is obtained by form ally solving Eq. (A7), j (t)i = $\hat{\mathbf{U}}$ (t;T;"ⁿ)j (T)i,

$$\mathbf{u}^{n+1}(t) = \mathbf{u}^{n}(t) + \frac{S(t)}{2} \operatorname{Im}^{h}(T) \mathbf{\hat{y}}^{+}(t;T;\mathbf{u}^{n})^{\hat{v}}(t;0;\mathbf{u}^{n+1}) \mathbf{\hat{j}}_{i} \mathbf{\hat{i}} :$$

U sing the de nition of the objective F, Eq. (4), j (T) i is determined according to Eq. (A8), j (T) $i = cj_{f}$ i with the coe cient

$$c = h'_{f} j'(T) i^{n} = h'_{f} j j'(T;0; \mathbf{v}^{n}) j'_{i} i$$
(A13)

and nally Eq. (7) is obtained.

Since we relaxed the maximum and minimum conditions to extrem um conditions, we need to ensure that each iteration indeed in proves the objective, $J[n^{n}; n^{n}] = J[n^{n+1}; n^{n+1}] = 0$,

$$J[{}^{n}; {}^{n}] \quad J[{}^{n+1}; {}^{n+1}] = L[{}^{n}; {}^{n}; {}^{(1)}] \quad L[{}^{n+1}; {}^{n+1}; {}^{(1)}] \\ Z_{T} \\ = 1 + 2 (t) dt$$

with

$${}_{1} = G^{(1)} [' (T)^{n}] G^{(1)} [' (T)^{n+1}];$$

$${}_{2} (t) = R^{(1)} [t;' (t)^{n+1}; "^{n+1}] R^{(1)} [t;' (t)^{n}; "^{n}];$$

A su cient condition for $J['^n; "^n] \quad J['^{n+1}; "^{n+1}] \quad 0$ is $_1; _2$ (t) 0. Constructing $G^{(1)}$ from Eq. (A 9), and using j (T) i = cj_f i with Eq. (A 13) we see that

$$_{1} = h'_{i} \dot{\mathcal{D}}^{+} (T;0; \mathbf{u}^{n}) \quad \hat{\mathcal{O}}^{+} (T;0; \mathbf{u}^{n+1}) \dot{\mathcal{J}}_{f} \dot{\mathbf{i}}^{2} \quad 0:$$
 (A 14)

Because of the linearity of f(t;';") w.r.t. $j'i, R^{(1)}(t;';") = g(")$ for any j'i, and we nd

$$_{2}$$
 (t) = R⁽¹⁾ [t;'(t)ⁿ⁺¹;"ⁿ⁺¹] R⁽¹⁾ [t;'(t)ⁿ⁺¹;"ⁿ]:

Constructing $R^{(1)}$ from Eq. (A 9) and using again the linearity of the equation of motion, we obtain as condition for monotonous convergence

$$_{2}(t) = g(n^{2}) + g(n^{0}) + n^{1} n^{0} \frac{\partial g}{\partial n^{n}} = 0:$$
 (A15)

Eq. (A11) and Eq. (A15) are the central equations of the algorithm. The constraints which we form ulate in g(") must full Eq. (A15) to ensure convergence of the algorithm. Throughout this work we have used the constraint of restricted change in pulse energy, i.e. g(") as given by Eq. (6), which respects Eq. (A15) for all as one can easily verify.

APPENDIX B:RESTRICTION OF THE SPECTRAL BANDW IDTH

W e tried other functional form s of the constraint g("), in particular

$$g(") = {}_{1}(t) " {}^{p^{2}} {}_{2}(t) " {}^{n^{pf^{2}}}$$
(B1)

19

with $_{1} = _{0i}=S$ (t), where the second term restricts the new eld to a reference eld "^{ref} with a prespeci ed bandwidth (note, that the negative sign of this term in plies maximization, i.e. the new eld should be as close as possible to the reference eld). Condition (A15) is fulled for all $_{01}$ $_{02}$ 0. We found no e ect for $_{02}$ $_{01}$ as not enough weight is put on the second constraint. Still 96% of the objective can be reached for $_{02}$ close to $_{01}$, but the spectral bandwidth is slightly reduced. In particular, spurious high-frequency components in the optim alpuls can be avoided. The overall restriction of the bandwidth, how ever, appears to be insu cient.

In fact, it is not surprising that a condition formulated in time domain as Eq. (B1) cannot achieve the desired control over a frequency domain property. This is a general problem of global (in time) optimal control schemes. A tem pts to restrict the spectral bandwidth of the puls have been made before [51, 54]. How ever, this is possible only at the cost of monotonic convergence. An approach which unities global optimal control with constraints in frequency domain will have to treat time and frequency on the same footing. This shall be the subject of future work.

- [1] E.A.Donley, N.R.Claussen, S.T.Thompson, and C.E.W iem an, Nature 417, 529 (2002)
- [2] C.A.Regal, C.Ticknor, J.L.Bohn, and D.S.Jin, Nature 424, 47 (2003)
- [3] J. Herbig, T. Kraemer, M. Mark, T. Weber, C. Chin, H.-C. Nagerl, and R. Grimm, Science 301, 1510 (2003)
- [4] S.Durr, T.Volz, A.Marte, and G.Rempe, Phys.Rev.Lett. 92, 020406 (2004)
- [5] K.Xu, T.Mukaiyama, J.R.Abo-Shaeer, J.K.Chin, D.Miller, and W.Ketterle, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 210402 (2003)
- [6] S.Jochim, M.Bartenstein, A.Altmeyer, G.Hendl, S.Riedl, C.Chin, J.Hecker Denschlag, and R.Grimm, Science (2003) [7] M.Greiner, C.A.Regal, and D.S.Jin, Nature 426, 537 (2003)
- [8] M.W. Zwierlein, C.A. Stan, C.H. Schunck, S.M. F. Raupach, S. Gupta, Z. Hadzibabic, and W. Ketterle, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 250401 (2003)
- [9] N.Vanhaecke, W. de Souza Melo, B.L.Tolra, D.Comparat, and P.Pillet, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 063001 (2002)
- [10] C.Chin, A.J.Kerman, V.Vuletic, and S.Zhu, Phys.Rev.Lett. 90, 033201 (2003)
- [11] F.Masnou-Seeuws and P.Pillet, Adv. in At., Mol. and Opt. Phys. 47, 53 (2001)
- [12] E.Tim merm ans, P.Tom masini, M.Hussein, and A.Kerm an, Phys.Rep. 315, 199 (1999)
- [13] G.Meijer, Chem PhysChem 3, 495 (2002)
- [14] N.Balakrishnan, N.C.Forrey, and A.Dalgamo, Phys.Rev.Lett. 80, 3224 (1998)
- [15] P.Soldan, M.T.Cvitas, J.M.Hutson, P.Honvault, and J.M.Launay, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 153201 (2002)
- [16] C.E.Treanor, J.W. Rich, and R.G.Rehm, J.Chem. Phys. 48, 1798 (1967)
- [17] S.A.Rice and M. Zhao, Optimal Control of Molecular Dynamics, Wiley, New York, 2000
- [18] T.Brixner and G.Gerber, Chem PhysChem 4, 418 (2003)
- [19] M. Mackie, R. Kowalski, and J. Javanainen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 3803 (2000)
- [20] P.D.D rum m ond, K.V.K heruntsyan, D.J.H einzen, and R.H.W ynar, Phys. Rev. A 65, 063619 (2002)
- [21] T.Homung, S.Gordienko, R.de Vivie-Riedle, and B.J.Verhaar, Phys. Rev. A 66, 043607 (2002)
- [22] S.E.Sklarz and D.J.Tannor, Phys.Rev.A 66, 053619 (2002)
- [23] V.Ramakrishna, M.V.Salapaka, M.Dahleh, H.Rabitz, and A.Peirce, Phys.Rev.A 51, 960 (1995)
- [24] G.Huang, T.Tam, and J.Clark, J.M ath. Phys. 24, 2608 (1983)
- [25] M. Elbs, H. Knockel, T. Laue, C. Samuelis, and E. Tiem ann, Phys. Rev. A 59, 3665 (1999)
- [26] T.Baumert, B.Buhler, R.Thalweiser, and G.Gerber, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64, 733 (1990)
- [27] A. Shnitm an, I. Sofer, I. I. Golub, A. Yogev, M. Shapiro, Z. Chen, and P. Brum er, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 2886 (1996)
- [28] C. McKenzie, J. Hecker Denschlag, H. Haner, A. Browaeys, L. E. E. de Araujo, F. K. Fatemi, K. M. Jones, J. E. Sim sarian, D. Cho, A. Simoni, E. Tiesinga, P. S. Julienne, K. Helmerson, P. D. Lett, S. L. Rolston, and W. D. Phillips, Phys. Rev.
- Lett. 88, 120403 (2002)
- [29] A. Vardi, D. Abrashkevich, E. Frishm an, and M. Shapiro, J. Chem. Phys. 107, 6166 (1997)
- [30] M.Machholm and A.Suzor-Weiner, J.Chem. Phys. 105, 971 (1996)
- [31] J. Paci, M. Shapiro, and P. Brum er, J. Chem. Phys. 109, 8993 (1998)
- [32] Z.Shen and V.Engel, Chem. Phys. Lett. 358, 344 (2002)
- [33] A.Bartana, R.Koslo, and D.J.Tannor, J.Chem. Phys. 99, 196 (1993)
- [34] J.P.Palao and R.Koslo, Phys.Rev.Lett. 89, 188301 (2002)
- [35] A.Bartana, R.Kosb, and D.J.Tannor, J.Chem. Phys. 106, 1435 (1997)
- [36] A.Bartana, R.Koslo, and D.J.Tannor, Chem. Phys. 267, 195 (2001)
- [37] J.P.Palao and R.Koslo, Phys.Rev.A 68,062308 (2003)
- [38] P.Naidon and F.Masnou-Seeuws, Phys.Rev.A 68, 033612 (2003)
- [39] C.Samuelis, E.Tiesinga, T.Laue, M.Elbs, H.Kockel, and E.Tiemann, Phys. Rev. A 63, 012710 (2000)
- [40] E. Tiem ann, H. Kockel, and H. Richling, Z. Phys. D. 37, 323 (1996)
- [41] P. Pellegrini and O. Dulieu, In preparation
- [42] M.Marinescu and A.Dalgamo, Phys. Rev. A 52, 311 (1995)
- [43] V.Kokoouline, O.Dulieu, R.Koslo, and F.Masnou-Seeuws, J.Chem. Phys. 110, 9865 (1999)
- [44] K.W illner, O.D ulieu, and F.M asnou-Seeuws, J.Chem. Phys. 120, 548 (2003)

- [45] R.Koslo, Annu.Rev.Phys.Chem.45,145 (1994)
- [46] R.Koslo, Quantum Molecular Dynamics on Grids, in Dynamics of Molecules and Chemical Reactions, edited by R.W yatt and J.Zhang, pages 185{230, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1996
- [47] T.Homung, M.Motzkus, and R.de Vivie-Riedle, Phys. Rev. A 65, 021403 (2002)
- [48] B.Huynh, O.Dulieu, and F.Masnou-Seeuws, Phys.Rev.A 57, 958 (1998)
- [49] V.S.Malinovsky, C.Meier, and D.J.Tannor, Chem. Phys. Lett. 221, 67 (1997)
- [50] S.E.Sklarz and D.J.Tannor, Private Communication
- [51] T.Homung, M.Motzkus, and R.de Vivie-Riedle, J.Chem. Phys. 115, 3105 (2001)
- [52] J.Vala, O.Dulieu, F.Masnou-Seeuws, P.Pillet, and R.Koslo, Phys. Rev. A 63, 013412 (2001)
- [53] E.Luc-Koenig, R.Kosb, F.Masnou-Sæuws, and M.Vatasescu, Photoassociation of cold atom swith chirped laser pulses: time-dependent calculations and analysis of the adiabatic transfer within a two-state model. In preparation
- [54] P.G ross, D.Neuhauser, and H.Rabitz, J.Chem. Phys. 96, 2834 (1992)