

Construction of Some Important Classes of Generalized Coherent States: the Nonlinear Coherent States Method

R. Roknizadeh, and M. K. Tavassoly

Department of Physics, University of Isfahan, Isfahan, Iran

Abstract. Considering some important classes of generalized coherent states known in literature, we demonstrated that all of them can be created via conventional fashion, i.e. the "lowering operator eigen-state" and the "displacement operator" techniques using the "nonlinear coherent states" approach. As a result we obtained a "unified method" to construct a large class of coherent states which already have been introduced by different prescriptions.

To be appeared in J. Phys. A :37 (2004)

E-mail: rokni@sci.ui.ac.ir, mk.tavassoly@sci.ui.ac.ir

PACS numbers: 42.50.Dv

1. Introduction

Coherent states (CSs) are venerable objects in physics with many applications in most of the fields of physics and mathematical physics [Klauder(1985) and Ali(2000)] from solid states physics to cosmology and occurred in the core of quantum optics. A long generalization of coherent states recently J-P Gazeau and J-R Klauder proposed new coherent states (GK) for systems with discrete or continuous spectra, which parameterized by two real and continuous parameters $J \geq 0$ and $-1 < \lambda < 1$, denoted by $|j, \lambda\rangle$ [Gazeau (1999)]. They involve the representation of the discrete series $su(1;1)$ Lie algebra, Coulomb-like spectrum, Poschl-Teller and the infinite square well potentials [Antoine(2001)].

More recently J-R Klauder, K-A Penson and J-M Sixdeniers introduced another important classes of generalized CSs through solutions of Stieltjes and Hausdorff moment problem [Klauder(2001)]. The constructed CSs in their paper which we will denote them by $|j, q\rangle_{KPS}$ have these properties: i) normalization ii) continuity in the label and iii) they form an overcomplete set which allows a resolution of unity with a positive weight function. Then they have studied the statistical properties of these states by calculating Mandel parameter and also the geometry of these states by a metric factor, both analytically and numerically. At last, they used some estimations, to find the proper Hamiltonian for some sets of their CSs.

The generalized CSs mentioned above, neither defined as eigestates of an annihilation operator, known as Barut-Girardello (BG) CSs [Barut(1971)], nor resulted from the action of a displacement operator on a reference state, say vacuum state, known frequently as Gilmore-Perelemov (GP) CSs [Gilmore(1972) and Perelemov(1972)]. In other words the algebraic and symmetry considerations of the above two sets of states have not been clear, there. The same situation holds for the generalized CSs proposed by K-A Penson and A-I Solomon we denote them by $|j, q\rangle_{PIS}$ [Penson(1999)]. Due to these, our aim is to establish these subjects, and also to find a simpler way to relate the constructed CSs to an exact expression of the Hamiltonian, out of any estimation. In a sense our present paper may be considered along the completion of the works of Gazeau et al [Gazeau(1999)], Klauder et al [Klauder(2001)] and Penson et al [Penson(1999)].

Our procedure is based on the conjecture that these states may be studied in the so-called nonlinear (NL) coherent states or q -deformed coherent states category [Manko(1997)]. This is the main feature of our work. Nonlinear CSs attracted much attention in recent years, mostly because they exhibit nonclassical properties. As we know, up to now many quantum optical states such as q -deformed CS [Manko(1997)], negative binomial state [Wang(1999) and WangFu(1999)], photon added (and subtracted) CS [Sivakumar(2000), Sivakumar(1999) and Naderi(2004)], the center of mass motion of a trapped ion [Matos(1996)], some nonlinear phenomena such as a hypothetical "frequency blue shift" in high intensity photon beams [Manko(1995)] and recently after proposing f -bounded CS [Recamier(2003)], the binomial state (or displaced excited CS) [Roknizadeh(2004)] have been considered as some sorts of nonlinear CSs.

We attempt now to demonstrate that all sets of KPS coherent states including PS coherent state and the discrete series representations of the group $SU(1;1)$ (BG and GP coherent states) and $su(1;1)$ -Barut-Girardello CSs for Landau-level (LL) [Fakhri(2004)] can be classified in the nonlinear CSs with some special types of nonlinearity function $f(n)$, by which we may obtain the "deformed annihilation

and creation operators", "generalized displacement operator" and the "dynamical Hamiltonian" of the system. Based on these results it will be possible to reproduce all of the above CSs through the conventional fashion, i.e. by annihilation and displacement operator definition. In a general view the formalism presented in this paper provides a unified approach to construct all the employed CSs already introduced in different ways. In another direction as a matter of fact introducing the ladder operators related to the above CSs may be regarded as a first step in the process of generation of these states in some experimental realization schemes in quantum optics, when one intended to perform the interaction Hamiltonian describes formally the interaction between atoms and electromagnetic field.

In addition to these, three interesting and new remarkable points, which seemingly that have not been pointed out so far, emerges from our studies. The first is that we can construct a new family of CSs (named the dual set), other than the KPS and PS coherent states [Ali(2004) and Roy(2000)]. The second is that the Hamiltonian proposed in [Manko(1997)] and others who cited him [see e.g.: Sivakumar(2000)] must be reformed, in view of the action identity requirement imposed on the generalized CSs of Klauder [Klauder(1998)]. We should quote here that recently some authors (for instance see A H Elk Inani and M Daoud [Elk Inani(2003)]) have used normal ordering form (factorization) for their Hamiltonians. But they sometimes made this suggestion for drastic simplification, without any deep physical bases [Spiliotopoulos(2000)]. Indeed they used the supersymmetric quantum mechanics (SUSQM) techniques as a "mathematical tool" to find the ladder operators for their Hamiltonians. Interestingly our formalism for solvable Hamiltonians gives an easier and clearer method to obtain these operators whenever necessary. We will pay more attention to this result in the conclusion. Thirdly, our results give us the opportunity to observe that for some sets of the KPS coherent states, the nonlinearity phenomena will be visible in "low intensities of light", the fact that have been hidden, until we discovered the nonlinearity nature of them explicitly. This would be important experimentally, since as Manko et al experienced, the nonlinear phenomena in q-oscillators can be detected only in high intensity photon beams [Manko(1995)]. Therefore if anyone can generate these particular sets of KPS coherent states by interaction of a field and atoms, it will be more easier to detect this phenomena.

The plan of this paper is as follows: for the sake of completeness we will bring a brief review on the nonlinear CSs, as Manko et al introduced in section 2, following with a review on KPS and GK coherent states in section 3. Then the relation between KPS, PS and BG coherent states of $su(1,1)$ with nonlinear CSs will be obvious in section 4, and so the generators of the deformed oscillator algebra, displacement type operator, and the proper Hamiltonian in each set of the above coherent states will be found in sections 5 and 6. Based on our results we will introduce a vast class of new generalized CSs (dual family of KPS and PS coherent states). Then we discuss about the extension of the procedure to the GK coherent states in section 7, and finally we present our conclusions.

2. Nonlinear coherent states

Nonlinear CSs were first introduced explicitly in [Matos(1996) and Manko(1997)] but before them it is implicitly defined by Shanta et al [Shanta(1994)] in a compact form. This notion attracted much attention in physical literature in recent decade, especially because of their nonclassical properties in quantum optics. Manko et al's approach

is based on the two following postulates.

The first is that the standard annihilation and creation operators deformed with an intensity dependent function $f(\hat{n})$ (which is an operator valued function), according to the relations:

$$A = af(\hat{n}) = f(\hat{n} + 1)a \quad (1)$$

$$A^\dagger = f^\dagger(\hat{n})a^\dagger = a^\dagger f^\dagger(\hat{n} + 1) \quad (2)$$

with commutators between A and A^\dagger as

$$[A, A^\dagger] = (\hat{n} + 1)f(\hat{n} + 1)f^\dagger(\hat{n} + 1) - \hat{n}f^\dagger(\hat{n})f(\hat{n}) \quad (3)$$

where a , a^\dagger and $\hat{n} = a^\dagger a$ are bosonic annihilation, creation and number operators, respectively. Ordinarily the phase of f is irrelevant and one may choose f to be real and nonnegative, i.e. $f^\dagger(\hat{n}) = f(\hat{n})$. But to keep general consideration, we take into account the phase dependence of $f(\hat{n})$ in general formalism given in this section.

The second postulate is that the Hamiltonian of the deformed oscillator in analog to the harmonic oscillator is found to be

$$\hat{H}_M = \frac{1}{2} (AA^\dagger + A^\dagger A) \quad (4)$$

which by Eqs. (1) and (2) can be rewritten as

$$\hat{H}_M = \frac{1}{2} (\hat{n} + 1)f(\hat{n} + 1)f^\dagger(\hat{n} + 1) + \hat{n}f^\dagger(\hat{n})f(\hat{n}) : \quad (5)$$

where by index M we want to denote the Hamiltonian as introduced by Man'ko et al. The single mode nonlinear CSs obtained as eigen-state of the annihilation operator is as follows:

$$|z\rangle_{NL} = N_f (|z\rangle)^{1=2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n z^n |n\rangle \quad (6)$$

where the coefficients C_n are given by

$$C_n = \frac{1}{[nf^\dagger(n)f(n)]!} \quad C_0 = 1 \quad [f(n)]! = f(n)f(n-1) \quad f(0) \quad (7)$$

and the normalization constant is determined as

$$N_f (|z\rangle) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n |z|^n : \quad (8)$$

In order to have states belonging to the Fock space, it is required that $0 < N_f (|z\rangle) < 1$, which implies that $|z| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} [f(n)]^2$. No further restrictions are then put on $f(n)$. Now with the help of Eqs. (6) and (7) the function $f(n)$ corresponding to any nonlinear CS is found to be

$$f(n) = \frac{C_{n-1}}{nC_n} \quad (9)$$

which plays the key role in our present work. To recognize the nonlinearity of any CS we can use this simple and useful relation; by this we mean that if C_n 's for any CS are known, then $f(n)$ can be found from Eq. (9); when $f(n) = 1$ or at most be only a constant phase, we recover the original oscillator algebra, otherwise it is nonlinear.

3. KPS and GK generalized coherent states

A long generalization of CSSs [Klauder et al. [Klauder(2001)]] introduced the states

$$|j\rangle_{KPS} = N (|j\rangle)^{1=2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{(n)} |n\rangle \quad (10)$$

where (n) satisfies $(0) = 1$ and the normalization constant is determined as

$$N (|j\rangle) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|j|^{2n}}{(n)} : \quad (11)$$

Comparing Eqs. (6) with (10) we obtain: $C(n) = [(n)]^{1=2}$ which describes the relation between KPS and nonlinear CSSs. Indeed when $C_n = 1 = \frac{1}{n!}$ we obtain CCSs, otherwise (for instance in general when $C_n = 1 = \frac{1}{(n)}$) as in (10), we are led to nonlinear CSSs, this is what we want to show. We will demonstrate the equivalence between all sets of KPS coherent states and the nonlinear CSSs in section 6 in detail. These states possess three conditions (i)-(iii) stated in the introduction, by appropriately selected functions (n) . The third condition, which is the most difficult and at the same time the strongest requirements of any sets of CSSs, were proved appreciatively by them, through Stieltjes and Hausdorff power-moment problem. Explicitly for each set of CSSs $|j\rangle_{KPS}$, they found the positive weight function $W (|j\rangle)$ such that

$$\int_C d^2z |j\rangle_{KPS} W (|j\rangle)_{KPS} |z\rangle = \hat{I} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |n\rangle \langle n| \quad (12)$$

where $d^2z = |z| |z| dz$. Strictly speaking, evaluating the integral over $|z\rangle$ in the LHS of Eq. (12), setting $|z\rangle = x$ and simplify it, we arrive finally at:

$$\int_0^R x^n W (x) dx = (n) \quad n = 0; 1; 2; \dots \quad 0 < R \leq 1 \quad (13)$$

where the positive weight functions $W (x) = \frac{W (x)}{N (x)}$ must be determined. Extending the values of $n \in \mathbb{N}$ in (13) to $s \in \mathbb{C}$, it can be rewritten as:

$$\int_0^1 x^{s-1} W (x) dx = (s-1) \quad (14)$$

for $R = 1$, which is known as Stieltjes moment problem and

$$\int_0^1 x^{s-1} H (R-x) W (x) dx = (s-1) \quad (15)$$

for $R < 1$, which is known as Hausdorff moment problem, with $H (R-x)$ as the Heaviside function. The positive weight functions $W (x)$ can be obtained, through Mellin and inverse Mellin transform techniques, when (n) is choosed. This automatically guarantees the condition of resolution of the identity for the KPS states according to (12), (for a brief and nice discussion see: Klauder(2001) and references therein, e.g.: Marichev(1983) and Prudnikov(1998)).

Adopting certain physical criteria rather than imposing selected mathematical requirements, Klauder and Gazeau by reparametrizing the generalized CSSs $|j\rangle$ in terms of a two independent parameters J and θ , introduced the generalized CSSs $|j\rangle$; i,

known ordinarily as Gazeau-Klauder (GK) coherent states in the physical literature [Klauder(1998) and Gazeau(1999)]. These are explicitly defined by the expansion

$$|J; i = N(J)^{-1/2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{J^{n/2} e^{-ie_n}}{(n)!} |n\rangle \quad (16)$$

where the normalization constant is given by

$$N(J) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{J^n}{(n)!} \quad (17)$$

and (n) is a positive weight factor with $(0) = 1$ by convention and the domains of J and e_n are such that $J > 0$ and $0 < e_n < 1$. These states required to satisfy the following properties: i) continuity of labeling: if $(J; i) \rightarrow (J^0; i^0)$ then, $\langle J; i | J^0; i^0 \rangle \rightarrow 1$, ii) resolution of the identity: $\hat{I} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} |J; i\rangle \langle J; i|$ as usual and two extra properties: iii) temporal stability: $\exp(-i\hat{H}t) |J; i\rangle = |J; i + t\rangle$ and iv) the action identity: $H = hJ; \hat{H} |J; i\rangle = (i + 1)J |J; i\rangle$, where H and \hat{H} are classical and quantum mechanical Hamiltonians of the system, respectively. It must be understood that the fourth condition forced the generalized CSs to have the essential property: "the most classical quantum states", but now in the sense of energy of the dynamical system, in the same way that the canonical coherent state (CCS) is a quantum state which its position and momentum expectation values obey the classical orbits of harmonic oscillator in phase space.

In Eq. (16) the kets $|n\rangle$ are the eigen-vectors of the Hamiltonian \hat{H} , with the eigen-energies E_n

$$\hat{H} |n\rangle = E_n |n\rangle \quad \sim |e_n\rangle \quad e_n \sim 1 \quad 1 \quad n = 0; 1; 2; \dots \quad (18)$$

The action identity uniquely specified (n) in terms of the eigen-values of the Hamiltonian \hat{H} with a discrete spectrum $0 = e_0 < e_1 < e_2 < \dots$

$$(n) = \sum_{k=0}^n e_k \quad [e_n]! \quad (19)$$

As an example, for the shifted Hamiltonian of harmonic oscillator we have the CCS denoted by $|J; i_{CCS}\rangle$:

$$|J; i_{CCS}\rangle = e^{-J/2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{J^{n/2} e^{-in}}{(n)!} |n\rangle \quad (20)$$

Eq. (19) obviously states that (n) is directly related to the spectrum of the dynamical system. So every Hamiltonian uniquely determines the associated CS, although the inverse is not true. This is because of the existence of the isospectral Hamiltonians in the context of quantum mechanics [Fernández(1994) and Roknizadeh(2004)].

4. The relation between some classes of generalized coherent states and the nonlinear coherent states

To start with we demonstrate the relation between the KPS and NL coherent states. As we have mentioned already by comparing Eqs. (6) and (10), the coefficients C_n can be determined. Then inserting C_n and C_{n-1} into (9) yields:

$$f_{KPS}(\hat{n}) = \frac{f(\hat{n})}{\hat{n}(\hat{n}-1)} \quad (21)$$

which provides simply a bridge between KPS and NL coherent states. As a special case when $f(n) = n!$, i.e. the canonical CS, we obtain $f(n) = 1$. We will demonstrate the equivalence between all sets of KPS coherent states and the nonlinear CSs in section 6. From Eq. (19) e_n can easily be found as a function of $f(n)$:

$$e_n = \frac{f(n)}{(n-1)!} : \quad (22)$$

Remembering that e_n s are the eigen-values of the Hamiltonian, it will be obvious that neither every $f(n)$ of KPS coherent states nor every $f(n)$ of nonlinear CSs are physically acceptable, when the dynamics of the system (Hamiltonian) is specified. Using Eqs. (21) and (22) we get

$$f_{KPS}(n) = \frac{e_n}{n} \quad e_n = n (f_{KPS}(n))^2 : \quad (23)$$

Now by using (21) we are able to find $f(\hat{n})$ for all sets of KPS coherent states and then the deformed annihilation and creation operators $A = af(\hat{n})$ and $A^\dagger = f^\dagger(\hat{n})a^\dagger$ may easily be obtained. Returning to the above descriptions, the operators A and A^\dagger satisfy the following relations:

$$A \hat{n} i = \frac{e_n}{n} \hat{n} i \quad (24)$$

$$A^\dagger \hat{n} i = \frac{e_{n+1}}{n+1} \hat{n} i + 1 i \quad (25)$$

$$[A, A^\dagger] \hat{n} i = (e_{n+1} - e_n) \hat{n} i \quad [A, \hat{n}] = A \quad [A^\dagger, \hat{n}] = A^\dagger : \quad (26)$$

Also note that $A^\dagger A \hat{n} i = e_n \hat{n} i$, not equal to $n \hat{n} i$ in general. With these results in mind it would be obvious that Eq. (23) is not consistent with the relations (4) and (5) for the Hamiltonian. A closer look at Eq. (23) which is a consequence of imposing the action identity on the Hamiltonian of the system leads us to obtain a new form of the Hamiltonian for the nonlinear CSs as

$$\hat{H} = \hat{n} f^2(\hat{n}) = A^\dagger A : \quad (27)$$

After all this form of the Hamiltonian may be considered as "normal-ordered" of the Man'ko et al Hamiltonian H_M , introduced in Eq. (4),

$$\hat{H} = : \hat{H}_M : = \frac{1}{2} : A^\dagger A + A A^\dagger : : \quad (28)$$

Therefore the associated Hamiltonian for the KPS coherent state can be written as

$$\hat{H}_{KPS} = \hat{n} (f_{KPS}(\hat{n}))^2 = \frac{f(\hat{n})}{(\hat{n}-1)!} : \quad (29)$$

Comparing Eqs. (4) and (5) with the Eqs. (23), (27) and (28) implies that if we require that the KPS and nonlinear CSs to possess the action identity property, the associated Hamiltonian when expressed in terms of ladder operators must be reformulated in normal-ordered form.

In summary our considerations enable one to obtain f -deformed annihilation and creation operators as well as the Hamiltonian for all sets of \hat{n}_{KPS} discussed in [Klauder(2001)], after demonstrating that for each of them there exist a special nonlinearity function $f(\hat{n})$. Before closing this section, we bring two illustrative examples to show the ability of our method.

Example 4.1 Penson-Solomon generalized CSs:

As an example we can simply deduce the nonlinearity function for the generalized CSs introduced by Penson and Solomon (PS) [Penson (1999)]:

$$\langle j; z | i \rangle_s = N(j; j^2)^{-1/2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n(n-1)/2}}{n!} z^n j^n i \quad (30)$$

where $N(j; j^2)^{-1/2}$ is a normalization function and $\langle q; 0 \rangle = 1; 0 < q < 1$. This definition is based on an entirely analytical prescription, in which the authors proposed the generalized exponential function obtained from the following differential equation:

$$\frac{d\langle q; z \rangle}{dz} = \langle q; qz \rangle - \langle q; z \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n(n-1)/2}}{n!} z^n : \quad (31)$$

So they have not used the ladder (or displacement) operator definition for their states [Penson (1999)]. The nonlinearity function, the annihilation and creation operators evolve in these states can be easily obtained by our formalism as

$$f_{ps}(\hat{n}) = q^{1-\hat{n}} \quad A = a q^{1-\hat{n}} \quad A^\dagger = q^{1-\hat{n}} a^\dagger : \quad (32)$$

Therefore our method enables one to reproduce them through solving the eigen-value equation: $A |j; q; i\rangle_{ps} = a q^{1-\hat{n}} |j; q; i\rangle_{ps} = z |j; q; i\rangle_{ps}$, in addition to introducing a \hat{n} dependent Hamiltonian describing the dynamics of the system:

$$\hat{H}_{ps} = \hat{n} q^{2(1-\hat{n})} : \quad (33)$$

Example 4.2 Barut-Girardello CSs for $su(1;1)$ Lie algebra:

As a second example we refer to the Barut-Girardello (BG) CSs, defined for the discrete series representations of the group $SU(1;1)$ [Barut (1971)]. Our formalism provides an easier tool to relate the $SU(1;1)$ CSs of BG to both the Pöschl-Teller (PT) and infinite square well potentials. The BG states decomposed over the number-state bases as:

$$|j; i\rangle_{bg} = N(j; j^2)^{-1/2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n! (n+2)!} j^n i \quad (34)$$

where $N(j; j^2)^{-1/2}$ is a normalization constant and the label j takes only the values $1; 3=2; 5=2; \dots$. Using (9) for these states we find

$$f_{bg}(\hat{n}) = \frac{1}{\hat{n}+2} \quad \hat{H}_{bg} = \hat{n}(\hat{n}+2-1) \quad n = 0; 1; 2; \dots \quad (35)$$

So we have the eigen-value equation

$$\hat{H}_{bg}(\hat{n}) j^n i = n(n+2-1) j^n i \quad (36)$$

with $e_n = n(n+2-1)$; When $\alpha = 3=2$ and $\beta = \alpha + 1$ (and α and β are two parameter characterize the PT potential: $\alpha = [(\alpha + \beta + 1)/2] > 3=2$) we obtain the infinite square well and PT potentials, respectively [Antoine (2001)]. Therefore we have established that the dynamical group associated with these two potentials is the $SU(1;1)$ group. Also if we take into account the action of $A = a f_{bg}(\hat{n})$; $A^\dagger = f_{bg}(\hat{n}) a^\dagger$ and $[A, A^\dagger]$ on the states $j; n i$ we obtain

$$A |j; n i\rangle = \frac{1}{n(n+2-1)} |j; n-1 i\rangle \quad (37)$$

$$A^\dagger |j; n i\rangle = \frac{1}{(n+2)(n+1)} |j; n+1 i\rangle \quad (38)$$

$$[A, A^\dagger] |j; n i\rangle = (n+1) |j; n i\rangle : \quad (39)$$

We conclude that the generators of $su(1;1)$ algebra $L_-; L_+; L_{12}$ can be expressed in terms of the deformed annihilation and creation operators including their commutators such that

$$\begin{aligned} L_- &= \frac{1}{2} A = \frac{1}{2} a f_{B_G}(\hat{n}) \\ L_+ &= \frac{1}{2} A^\dagger = \frac{1}{2} f_{B_G}(\hat{n}) a^\dagger \\ L_{12} &= \frac{1}{2} [A; A^\dagger] \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

We note that our approach not only recover the results of [Antoine(2001)] in a simpler and clearer manner, but also it gives the explicit form of the operators $L_-; L_+; L_{12}$ as some "intensity dependent" operators in consistence with the Holstein-Primakoff single mode realization of the $su(1;1)$ Lie algebra [Gerry (1983)].

Example 4.3 $su(1;1)$ -Barut-Girardello CSSs for Landau levels:

As an example closer to physics, it is well-known that the Landau levels (LL) is directly related to quantum mechanical study of the motion of a charged and spinless particle on a flat plane in a constant magnetic field [Landau (1977) and Gazeau (2002)]. Recently it is realized two distinct symmetries corresponding to these states, namely $su(2)$ and $su(1;1)$ [Fakhri(2004)]. The author showed that the quantum states of the Landau problem corresponding to the motion of a spinless charged particle on a flat surface in a constant magnetic field $B=2$ along z axis may be obtained as:

$$|j; m\rangle = \frac{e^{im\phi}}{2} \left(\frac{r}{2}\right)^{\frac{2+m}{2}} e^{-r^2/8} L_{n,m}^{(j)}\left(\frac{r^2}{4}\right) \quad (41)$$

where $0 \leq m \leq 2j$, $j > 1/2$; $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $L_{n,m}^{(j)}$ are the associated Laguerre functions. Constructing the Hilbert space spanned by $H = \{|j; m\rangle; n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, there it is shown that the Barut-Girardello CSSs (BG CSSs) type associated to this system can be obtained as the following combination of the orthonormal basis:

$$|j; m\rangle = \frac{j!}{I_{+m}(2j)} \frac{X^j}{n!} \frac{z^{n-m}}{(n-m+1)!(n+m+1)!} |j; m\rangle \quad (42)$$

where $I_{+m}(2j)$ is the modified Bessel function of the first kind [Watson(1995)]. The states in (42) derived with the help of the lowering generator of the $su(1;1)$ Lie algebra, the action of which is defined by the relation:

$$K_- |j; m\rangle = \frac{j!}{(n+m)!(n-m)!} |j-1; m\rangle \quad K_- |j; m\rangle = 0 \quad (43)$$

A deep inspection to the states in (42) in comparison to the states in (34) shows a little difference, in view of the lower limit of summation sign in the former equation. But this situation is similar to the states known as photon-added CSSs [Agarwal(1991)] in the sense that both of them are combinations of Fock space, with a cut-off in the summation from below. This common feature leads us to go on with the same procedure that has been done already to yield the nonlinearity function of the photon-added CSSs (PACSS) in [Wang(1999) and Sivakumar(1999)]. We define the deformed annihilation operator and the nonlinearity function similar to PACSS as

$$A = f(\hat{n})a; \quad f(n) = \frac{C_n}{n+1C_{n+1}} \quad (44)$$

where we have used the states (6) (replacing $|j\rangle$ by $|j, m\rangle$) as the eigen-states of the new annihilation operator defined in (44). Upon these considerations we can calculate the nonlinearity function for the $su(1;1)$ -BGCSs related to LL as follows:

$$f_{LL}(\hat{n}) = \frac{(\hat{n} - m + 1)(\hat{n} + m + 1)}{2(\hat{n} + 1)}: \quad (45)$$

Hence again the ladder operators corresponding to this system may be obtained easily. Besides this, we will observe in the next section that one can also find displacement operators associated with the above three examples, as well as KPS and GK coherent states.

5. Introducing the generalized displacement operators

After we recast the KPS, PS and $su(1;1)$ coherent states as nonlinear CSSs and found a nonlinearity function for each set of them, it is now possible to construct all of them through a displacement type operator formalism. We will do this in two distinct ways.

I) B Roy and P Roy gave a proposition and defined two new operators as follows [Roy (2000)]:

$$B = a \frac{1}{f(\hat{n})} \quad B^\vee = \frac{1}{f(\hat{n})} a^\vee: \quad (46)$$

Before we proceed ahead to further clarify the problem, an interesting result may be given here. Choosing a special composition of the operators A in (1) and B^\vee in (46), we may observe that $B^\vee A |j\rangle = n |j\rangle = A^\vee B |j\rangle$. Also due to the following commutation relations:

$$[A, B^\vee] = I; \quad [A, B^\vee A] = A; \quad [B^\vee, B^\vee A] = -B^\vee \quad (47)$$

the generators $fA, B^\vee, B^\vee A, Ig$ constitute the commutation relations of the Lie algebra h_4 . The corresponding Lie group is the well-known Weyl-Heisenberg ($W-H$) group denoted by H_4 . The same situation holds for the set of generators $fB, A^\vee, A^\vee B, Ig$, using A^\vee in (1) and B in (46).

Coming back again to the Roy and Roy formalism, the relations in (46) allow one to define two generalized displacement operators

$$D^0(z) = \exp(zA^\vee - zB) \quad (48)$$

$$D(z) = \exp(zB^\vee - zA): \quad (49)$$

Noting that $D^0(z) = D(-z)^\vee = [D(z)^{-1}]^\vee$, it may be realized that the dual pairs obtained generally from the actions of (48) and (49) on the vacuum state are the orbits of a projective nonunitary representations of the $W-H$ group [Ali(2004)], so we named displacement type or generalized displacement operator. Therefore it is possible to construct two sets of CSSs, the first is the old one introduced in [Klauder(2001)]:

$$D(z)|j\rangle = |j\rangle_{KPS} \quad (50)$$

and the other one which is a new family of CSSs, named "dual states" in [Roy(2000) and Ali(2004)] is:

$$D^0(z)|j\rangle = |j\rangle_{KPS}^{dual} = N (\hat{j}^\vee)^{1/2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n!} \frac{P_{(n)}}{P_{(j)}} |j\rangle \quad (51)$$

where the normalization constant is determined as:

$$N(\alpha) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\alpha|^{2n}}{(n!)^2} : \quad (52)$$

Obviously the states $|\alpha\rangle_{\text{KPS}}^{\text{dual}}$ in (51) are new ones, other than $|\alpha\rangle_{\text{KPS}}$. By the same procedures we have done in the previous sections it may be seen that the new states, $|\alpha\rangle_{\text{KPS}}^{\text{dual}}$ can also be considered as NL coherent state with the nonlinearity function

$$f_{\text{KPS}}^{\text{dual}}(\alpha) = \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{(\alpha)} \quad (53)$$

which is exactly the inverse of $f_{\text{KPS}}(\alpha)$, as one may expect. Also the Hamiltonian for the dual oscillator is found to be

$$\hat{H}_{\text{KPS}}^{\text{dual}} = \alpha f_{\text{KPS}}^{\text{dual}}(\alpha)^2 = \alpha^2 \hat{H}_{\text{KPS}}^{-1} : \quad (54)$$

II) More recently a general mathematical physics formalism for constructing the dual states has been proposed by S T Ali and us [Ali (2004)]. Following the latter formalism one can define the operator

$$\hat{T} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n!}{(n)} \alpha^n |n\rangle \langle n| \quad (55)$$

the action of which on canonical CS, $|\alpha\rangle_{\text{CS}} = \exp(-|\alpha|^2/2) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^n}{n!} |n\rangle$, yields the KPS coherent state:

$$\hat{T}|\alpha\rangle_{\text{CS}} = |\alpha\rangle_{\text{KPS}} : \quad (56)$$

The \hat{T} operator we introduced in Eq. (55) is well-defined and the inverse of it can be easily obtained as

$$\hat{T}^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n!}{(n)} \alpha^n |n\rangle \langle n| \quad (57)$$

by which we may construct the new family of dual states: $\hat{T}^{-1}|\alpha\rangle_{\text{CS}} = |\alpha\rangle_{\text{KPS}}^{\text{dual}}$. It is readily found that these states are just the states we have obtained in (51). Before applying the formalism to the KPS coherent states we give some examples.

It must be mentioned that investigating the resolution of the identity and discussing the nonclassical properties, such as squeezing of the quadratures, sub (super)-Poissonian statistics, amplitude squared squeezing, bunching (or antibunching) and the metric factor of these new states in (51) employing the same $(n)!$'s proposed in Klauder(2001)] remain for future works.

Example 5.1 Generalized displacement operator for PS coherent states:

Upon using the results we derived in example 4.1 the dual of the PS states can be easily obtained using Roy and Roy approach [Roy (2000)]:

$$|\alpha\rangle_{\text{PS}}^{\text{dual}} = N(q; \alpha) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n(n-1)/2}}{n!} \alpha^n |n\rangle \quad (58)$$

where $N(q; \alpha)$ is some normalization constant, which may be determined. For this example the proposition in [Ali(2004)] works well. The \hat{T} -operator in this case reads:

$$\hat{T} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n(n-1)/2}}{n!} \alpha^n |n\rangle \langle n| \quad (59)$$

by which we may obtain:

$$\hat{T}^{-1} |z\rangle_{CS} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n(n-1)/2}}{n!} |z\rangle_{CS} = |z\rangle_{PS}^{dual} \quad (60)$$

which is exactly the dual states we obtained in Eq. (58).

Example 5.2 Generalized displacement operators for BG and GP coherent states of $su(1;1)$ Lie algebra:

As a well-known example we express the dual of BG coherent states in example 4.2. The duality of these states with the so-called Gilmore-Perelomov (GP) CSs have already been demonstrated in [Ali(2004)]. The latter states were defined as:

$$|z\rangle_{GP} = N(|z\rangle) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(n+2-1)!}{n!} z^n |n\rangle \quad (61)$$

where $N(|z\rangle)$ is a normalization constant. The nonlinearity function and the Hamiltonian may be written as: $f_{GP}(\hat{n}) = f_{BG}^{-1}(\hat{n})$ and $\hat{H} = \hat{n}(\hat{n}+2-1)$. Therefore we have in this case:

$$B|j\rangle_{ni} = \frac{n}{n+2-1} |j\rangle_{n-1i} \quad (62)$$

$$B^y|j\rangle_{ni} = \frac{n+1}{n+2} |j\rangle_{n+1i} \quad (63)$$

$$[B, B^y]|j\rangle_{ni} = \frac{2-1}{(n+2)(n+2-1)} |j\rangle_{ni} \quad (64)$$

where $B = af_{GP}(\hat{n})$ and $B^y = f_{GP}(\hat{n})a^y$ are the deformed annihilation and creation operators for the dual system (GP states), respectively. It is immediately observed that $[A, B^y] = I$; $[B, A^y] = I$, where obviously $A = af_{BG}(\hat{n})$ and A^y is its Hermitian conjugate. So it is possible to obtain the displacement type operators for the BG and GP nonlinear CSs discussed in this paper, using relations (48) and (49). As a result the displacement operators obtained by our method are such that:

$$|z\rangle_{BG} = D_{BG}(z)|0\rangle = \exp(zA^y - zB)|0\rangle \quad (65)$$

and

$$|z\rangle_{GP} = D_{GP}(z)|0\rangle = \exp(zB^y - zA)|0\rangle \quad (66)$$

To the best of our knowledge these forms of displacement type operators for discrete series representation of $SU(1;1)$ group, related to BG and GP coherent states have not appeared in literature up to now.

We close this section with implying that to apply the procedure to the $su(1;1)$ -BG coherent states for LL we expressed as an example (Example 4.3), one must re-define the auxiliary operators B and B^y , in place of the ones introduced in (46) as follows:

$$B = \frac{1}{f_{LL}(\hat{n})} a \quad B^y = a^y \frac{1}{f_{LL}(\hat{n})} \quad (67)$$

The other calculations are the same as the general $su(1;1)$ coherent states in this example, so let us leave it over here.

6. Constructing KPS coherent states through annihilation and displacement operators and introducing proper Hamiltonians for them

Now we list, the nonlinearity function $f(\hat{n})$ and the associated Hamiltonian $\hat{H}(\hat{n})$, for all of the KPS coherent states according to our proposed method. The operators A , A^\dagger can easily be obtained using Eqs. (1), (2) and the displacement operators in like manner may be obtained using Eqs. (46), (48) and (49). So we ignore introducing them explicitly. A similar argument can also be made for the dual family of CSs (see (53) and (54) and the discussion before it for $f_{KPS}^{dual}(\hat{n})$ and $\hat{H}_{KPS}^{dual}(\hat{n})$). Therefore introducing $f(\hat{n})$ and $\hat{H}(\hat{n})$, seems to be enough for our purpose. The set of CSs defined on the whole plane are as follows.

$$a) \quad (n) = \frac{(n+p)!}{p!}$$

$$f(\hat{n}) = \frac{\hat{n} + p}{\hat{n}} \quad \hat{H} = \hat{n} + p: \quad (68)$$

One can see from \hat{H} that it is simply a shift in the energy eigen-states of the harmonic oscillator, as expected from (n) , which is only a shift in $n!$. In all of the following cases we use the definitions (7) for $f(n)!$, whenever necessary.

$$b) \quad (n) = \frac{(n+)}{(\quad)}, \text{ where in this case and what follows } \quad \text{ is the gamma function.}$$

The CSs constructed by this (n) are known as the Mittag-Leer (ML) CSs [Sixdeniers(1999)]. As a special case for $\quad = 1$ and \quad arbitrary we obtain:

$$f(\hat{n}) = \frac{\hat{n} + 1}{\hat{n}} \quad \hat{H} = \hat{n} + 1 \quad (69)$$

where we have used the recurrence relation $(z+1) = z(z)$. As a result we observe that the ML coherent states are also nonlinear CSs.

$$c) \quad (n) = \frac{n!}{n+1}$$

$$f(\hat{n}) = \frac{\hat{n}}{\hat{n} + 1} \quad \hat{H} = \frac{\hat{n}^2}{\hat{n} + 1}: \quad (70)$$

The dual of this state occurs for $(n) = (n+1)!$, which is a special case of the item (a) when $p = 1$. So there is no problem with the resolution of the identity for them.

$$d) \quad (n) = \frac{(n+1+)}{(1+)(1+n)}$$

$$f(\hat{n}) = \frac{\hat{n} + 1}{\hat{n} + 1} \quad \hat{H} = \frac{\hat{n}(\hat{n} + 1)}{\hat{n} + 1}: \quad (71)$$

$$e) \quad (n) = (n!)^2$$

$$f(\hat{n}) = \frac{P}{\hat{n}} \quad \hat{H} = \hat{n}^2: \quad (72)$$

This kind of deformation has already been employed by V Buzek [Buzek(1989)] who imposed on the single-mode field operators a and a^\dagger , and showed that in the Jaynes-Cummings model with the intensity dependent coupling (when still the notion of nonlinear CS has not been used up to that time), interacting with the Holstein-Primako $SU(1;1)$ CSs, the revivals of the radiation squeezing are strictly periodical for any value of initial squeezing. Also the dual of these states with $f(\hat{n}) = \frac{1}{\hat{n}}$ has already been discovered and named harmonious states [Sudarshan(1993)].

$$f) \quad (n) = (n!)^3$$

$$f(\hat{n}) = \hat{n} \quad \hat{H} = \hat{n}^3: \quad (73)$$

$$g) \quad (n) = \frac{n! \cdot (n+3=4)}{(4=3)}$$

$$f(\hat{n}) = \frac{\hat{n}}{\hat{n}+1=3} \quad \hat{H} = \hat{n}(\hat{n}+1=3): \quad (74)$$

$$h) \quad (n) = \frac{(n!)^{3=2} \cdot (3=2)}{(n+3=2)}$$

$$f(\hat{n}) = \frac{\hat{n}}{\hat{n}+1=2} \quad \hat{H} = \frac{\hat{n}^3}{\hat{n}+1=2}: \quad (75)$$

All the Hamiltonians we derived above are such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow 1} E_n = 1$. Upon more investigation in the above f -functions, an interesting physical point which may be explored is that the $f(n)$ functions in (68), (69), (70) and (71) will be equal to 1 (canonical CS) in the limit $n \rightarrow 1$, i.e. for high intensities. So to observe the nonlinearity phenomena and its features in these special cases we do not need high intensities of light. On the contrary, if anyone can generate these special states through some physical processes, for instance the cold-atom interaction in a cavity, the nonlinearity effects can be detected in low intensities.

In addition to the above sets of CSs, Klauder et al introduced a large class of CSs which have been defined on a unit disk. For these states also it is possible to continue in the same way we did for the states on the whole of the complex plane:

$$a^0) \quad (n) = \frac{2}{n+2}$$

$$f(\hat{n}) = \frac{\hat{n}+1}{\hat{n}(\hat{n}+2)} \quad \hat{H} = \frac{\hat{n}+1}{\hat{n}+2}: \quad (76)$$

$$b^0) \quad (n) = \frac{6}{(n+2)(n+3)}$$

$$f(\hat{n}) = \frac{\hat{n}+1}{\hat{n}(\hat{n}+3)} \quad \hat{H} = \frac{\hat{n}+1}{\hat{n}+3}: \quad (77)$$

$$c^0) \quad (n) = \frac{1}{4} \frac{(n!)^2}{(n+3=2)}$$

$$f(\hat{n}) = \frac{\hat{n}}{2\hat{n}+1} \quad \hat{H} = \frac{4\hat{n}^2}{(2\hat{n}+1)^2}: \quad (78)$$

$$d^0) \quad (n) = \frac{3}{8} \frac{n!(n+1)!}{(n+3=2)(n+5=2)}$$

$$f(\hat{n}) = 2 \frac{\hat{n}+1}{(2\hat{n}+1)(2\hat{n}+3)} \quad \hat{H} = \frac{4\hat{n}(\hat{n}+1)}{(2\hat{n}+1)(2\hat{n}+3)}: \quad (79)$$

$$e^0) \quad (n) = \frac{(1+c-a)(1+c-b)(n+1)(n+1-a-b)}{(1+c-a-b)(n+1+c-a)(n+1+c-b)}, \text{ setting } a=b=1=2 \text{ and } c=3=2 \text{ we have}$$

$$f(\hat{n}) = \frac{\hat{n}+1=2}{\hat{n}+1} \quad \hat{H} = \frac{\hat{n}(\hat{n}+1=2)}{(\hat{n}+1)^2}: \quad (80)$$

$$f^0) \quad (n) = \frac{3 \cdot (5=2)(n+1)!}{(n+3)(n+5=2)}$$

$$f(\hat{n}) = \frac{\hat{n}^2+3\hat{n}+2}{\hat{n}(\hat{n}+3)(\hat{n}+3=2)} \quad \hat{H} = \frac{\hat{n}^2+3\hat{n}+2}{(\hat{n}+3)(\hat{n}+3=2)}: \quad (81)$$

The common property of these states is that the limits of $f(n)$ and H as n goes to infinity are 0 and 1, respectively.

One can easily check that using the above f -functions (defined on the whole plane or restricted to the open unit disk) and solving the eigen-value equation $A\psi = z\psi$, or acting the displacement operator $D(z)$, obtained from Eq. (50) on the vacuum state ψ_0 by the well-known procedures, correctly lead to the ψ_{KPS} . The same argument may be followed for the dual family ψ_{KPS}^{dual} .

7. A discussion on constructing GK coherent states by annihilation and displacement operators techniques

J R Klauder asked a question, "what is the physics involved in choosing the annihilation operator eigen-states"? Therefore he and J-P Gazeau re-defined the generalized CSs with the four requirements (i)-(iv) mentioned before in section 3 [Klauder(1998 and 2001) and Gazeau (1999)], nowadays known as GK coherent states (equation (16)). To find out the nonlinearity nature of the GK coherent states one may apply the method we proposed, on these states. As a result we arrive at the following expression for $f_{GK}(\cdot; \hat{n})$:

$$f_{GK}(\cdot; \hat{n}) = e^{i(\hat{e}_n - \hat{e}_{n-1})} \frac{S \frac{(\hat{n})}{(\hat{n}-1)}}{\hat{n}(\hat{n}-1)} \quad (82)$$

where we have choosed the notation $\hat{e}_n = \frac{(\hat{n})}{(\hat{n}-1)}$ for simplicity. We demonstrated in the previous sections that this is the starting point to define the GK coherent states of any physical system as the (deformed) annihilation operator eigen-states and associate with them a displacement (type) operator. In addition to deducing the above results in detail, we applied the procedure on the known examples in the literature such as Coulomb-like spectrum [Gazeau (1999)], the Pöschl-Teller and the infinite square well potentials [Antoine (2001)], formally.

But, although we have introduced an expression for the nonlinearity function for the GK coherent states in equation (82), by which we can formally proceed further and get the explicit form of the requested results, upon a closer look at this relationship one can see that $f_{GK}(\cdot; \hat{n})$, is not a well-defined operator valued function, in view of high careful mathematical considerations. It should be understood, that clearly this result is not due to illegality of the proposed approach. In fact the difficulty in this special case might be expected naturally, because of the relaxing of the holomorphicity [Dziubiński (1998)] requirement in the definition of the GK coherent states [Gazeau (1999)].

Nevertheless one may still use the presented formalism to find some special dual family associated with GK coherent states, the explicit form of which will not introduce here, until we find a well-defined form of them in future. Therefore the difficulties that already mentioned in [Ali (2004)] with the dual family of GK coherent states remain unsolved. We shall pay more attention to this matter in a forthcoming paper.

8. Concluding remarks

In summary we can classify the obtained results as follows.

- I) We connected some important classes of CSs: KPS, PS and GK coherent states and the discrete series representation of $su(1;1)$ Lie algebra using NL coherent states method successfully. Nonlinear CSs encompasses all these states as special cases which are distinguishable from each other via the nonlinearity function $f(n)$. So we have obtained a "unified method" to construct all of these CSs which already have been introduced by different prescriptions. Therefore this work may be considered in parallel to the previous efforts [Shanta(1994) and Ali(2004)] for unification of a large class of generalized CSs. Our re-construction of these states by the standard definitions, i.e. annihilation operator eigen-states and displacement operator techniques, with the preceding results enriches each set of the above classes of CSs in quantum optics, in the context of each other. So we enlarged the classification of nonlinear CSs with states having the main property of the generalized CSs (the resolution of the identity), considerably.
- II) We did not discuss about the dual states in detail, indeed we wanted only to show that our method can active the Roy and Roy approach [Roy(2000)] to produce the dual of any generalized CSs that can be classified in the nonlinear CSs. So introducing the dual family of generalized CSs coherent states has been done briefly, since it was out of the scope of our present work.
- III) The result we obtained in equation (27) is in fact the factorization implied in the literature, while authors deal with solvable potentials and try to find ladder operators (see for instance Daoud (2002) and Elkinani (2003)). According to the latter approach the one-dimensional supersymmetric quantum mechanics (SU SQM) provides an algebraic tool to define ladder operators for some exactly solvable potentials. Therefore it may be understood that our method can be considered as an alternative formalism parallel to the well-known SU SQM techniques to reach this purpose more easily. Precisely speaking, for Hamiltonians having the properties mentioned in (18) i.e. for some special solvable systems, one can factorize the Hamiltonian as we did in (27). This is interesting that these two distinct approaches terminate to a common point. To clarify more we add here that the equations (8)-(11) in [Daoud(2002)], which describe the action of creation, annihilation and their commutators on the related Fock space (where the author did not introduce the explicit form of the related operators), also can be derived with the help of our method, at least formally (see the discussion at section 7). But since we are looking for not only their actions but also the explicit form of the related operators, in terms of standard ladder operators and number operator, we did not bring them in this contents due to the ill-definition of the nonlinearity function (equation 82).
- IV) As a postscript we mention another point. Based on the latter potentiality of such a naive approach we proposed to find the creation and annihilation operators, it may be realized as a comment that our formalism provides a straightforward framework for producing the photon-added [Agarwal(1991)] or more precisely excited CSs corresponding to exactly solvable potentials, such as Pöschl-Teller and Morse potentials [Popov(2003) and Daoud(2002)]. The procedure is really manifest. Once the eigen-values of the Hamiltonian were known, the Gazeau-Klauder CSs can be constructed using Eq. (16). The next step is to find the nonlinearity function through which one can perform ladder operators with the help of our procedure. Finally the iterative action of the creation operator on the

GK coherent states readily gives the excited CSs. In this way this procedure can be applied in general to all sets of CSs discussed in the present work to create excited CSs of KPS, PS and $su(1,1)$ types, as well as the GK coherent states in a simple manner.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Dr. M. H. Naderi for useful discussions, also for reminding some references and from the referees for useful comments they suggested to improve the presentation. Finally in acknowledgment of fruitful discussions have been done with Prof. S. Twareque Ali about luminosity of the subject of section 7 we should grateful to him.

References

- [Agarwal(1991)] Agarwal G S and Tara K 1991 Phys. Rev. A . 43 492
- [Ali(2000)] Ali S T, Antoine J-P and Gazeau J-P Coherent States, Wavelets and Their Generalizations, (Springer-Verlag, New York) (2000)
- [Ali(2004)] Ali S T, Roknizadeh R and Tavassoly M K 2004 J. Phys. A : Math and Gen., 37 4407
- [Antoine(2001)] Antoine J-P, Gazeau J-P, Klauder J R Monceau P and Penson K A 2001 J. Math. Phys 42 2349
- [Barut(1971)] Barut A O and Girardello L 1971 Commun. Math. Phys. 21 41
- [Buzek(1989)] Buzek V 1989 Phys. Rev. A . 39 3196
- [Daoud(2002)] Daoud M 2002 Phys. Lett. A . 305 135
- [Elkinani(2003)] Elkinani A H and Daoud M 2001 Int. J. Mod. Phys. B 15 2465, *ibid* 2002 Int. J. Mod. Phys. B 16 3915
- [Fakhri(2004)] Fakhri H 2004 J. Phys. A : Math. Gen. 37 5203
- [Fem'andez(1994)] Fem'andez 1994 J. Phys. A : Math. Gen. 27 3547
- [Gazeau(1999)] Gazeau J-P and Klauder J R 1999 J. Phys. A : Math. Gen. 32 123
- [Gazeau(2002)] Gazeau J P, Hsiao P Y and Jellal A 2002 Phys. Rev. B 65 094427
- [Gerry(1983)] Gerry C C 1983 J. Phys. A : Math. Gen. 16 L1
- [Gilmore(1972)] Gilmore R 1972 Ann. Phys. (NY) 74 391
- [Klauder(2001)] Klauder J R, Penson K A and Sixdeniers J-M 2001 Phys. Rev. A 64 013817
- [Klauder(2001)] Klauder J R 2001 "The Current States of Coherent States", Contribution to the 7th ICSSUR Conf.
- [Klauder(1998)] Klauder J R 1998 "Coherent states for discrete spectrum dynamics", quant-ph/9810044
- [Klauder(1985)] Klauder J R and Skagerstam B S 1985 Coherent States, Applications in Physics and Mathematical Physics (Singapore, World Scientific)
- [Landau(1977)] Landau L. D. and Lifshitz E M 1977 Quantum Mechanics, Non-relativistic Theory (Oxford: Pergamon)
- [Manko(1995)] Manko V I and Tino G M 1995 Phys. Lett. A . 202 24
- [Manko(1997)] Manko V I, Mamo G Sudarshan E C G and Zaccaria F 1997 f-oscillators and non-linear coherent states, Physica Scripta 55 528
- [Marichev(1983)] Marichev O I 1983 Handbook of Integral Transforms of Higher Transcendental Functions, Theory and Algorithmic Tables (Ellis Horwood Ltd, Chichester)
- [Matos(1996)] de Matos Filho R L and Vogel W 1996 Phys. Rev. A 54 4560
- [Naderi(2004)] Naderi M H, Soltanolkotabi M and Roknizadeh R 2004 J. Phys. A : Math. Gen. 37 3225
- [Odzijewicz(1998)] Odziejewicz A 1998 Commun. Math. Phys. 192 183
- [Penson(1999)] Penson K A and Solmon A I 1999 J. Math. Phys. 40 2354
- [Popov(2003)] Popov D 2003 Phys. Lett. A 316 369
- [Perelomov(1972)] Perelomov A M 1972 Commun. Math. Phys. 26 222
- [Prudnikov(1998)] Prudnikov A P, Brychkov Yu A and Marichev O I 1998 Integrals and Series (Gordon and Breach, New York Vol 3)
- [Recami(2003)] Recami E and Jauregui R 2003 J. Opt. B : Quantum Semiclass. Opt. 5 S365
- [Roknizadeh(2004)] Roknizadeh R and Tavassoly M K 2004 J. Phys. A : Math. Gen. 37 5649

- [Roy (2000)] Roy B and Roy P 2000 J. Opt. B: Quantum Semiclass. Opt. 2 65
- [Shanta (1994)] Shanta P, Chaturvedi S, Srinivasan V and Jagannathan R 1994 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 27 6433, and Shanta P, Chaturvedi S, Srinivasan V, Agarwal G S and Mehta C L 1994 Phys. Rev. Lett. 72 1447
- [Sivakumar (2000)] Sivakumar S 2000 J. Opt. B: Quantum Semiclass. Opt. 2 R61
- [Sivakumar (1999)] Sivakumar S 1999 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 32 3441
- [Sixdeniers (1999)] Sixdeniers J-M, Penson K A and Solomon A I 1999 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 32 7543
- [Spiliotopoulos (2000)] Spiliotopoulos A D 2000 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 33 3809
- [Sudarshan (1993)] Sudarshan E C G, 1993 Int. J. Theo. Phys. 32 1069
- [Wang (1999)] Liao J, Wang X, Wu L-A and Pan S-H 2000 J. Opt. B: Quantum Semiclass. Opt. 2 541
- [WangFu (1999)] Wang X-G and Fu H-C 2001 Commun. Theo. Phys. 35 729
- [Watson (1995)] Watson G N 1995 A Treatise on the Theory of Bessel Functions (Cambridge University Press)
- [Witten (1981)] Witten E 1981 Nucl. Phys. B 185 513