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#### Abstract

W e generalize the standard quantum adiabatic approxim ation to the case of open quantum system $s . W$ e de ne the adiabatic lim it ofan open quantum system as the regim e in which its dynam ical superoperator can be decom posed in term $s$ of independently evolving Jordan blocks. W e then establish validity and invalidity conditions for this approxim ation and discuss their applicability to superoperators changing slow ly in tim e. A s an exam ple, the adiabatic evolution of a tw o-level open system is analyzed.


PACS num bers: $03.65 . \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{z}}$, 03.65.Ta, 03.67.-a, 03.65.V f

## I. INTRODUCTION

 m ost useful general tools in quantum $m$ echanics. The theorem posits, roughly, that if a state is an instantaneous eigenstate of a su ciently slow ly varying $H$ am iltonian $H$ at one tim e, then it will rem ain an eigenstate at later tim es, while its eigenenergy evolves continuously. Its role in the study of slow ly varying quantum m echanical system s spans a vast array of elds and applications, such as energy-level crossings in m olecules [ $\overline{4} 1$ ', ${ }^{1}$
 years, geom etric phases have been_proposed to perform quantum inform ation processing $[9,1]$ baticity assum ed in a num ber of schem es for geom etric quantum com putation (e.g., $[12,1,13$ additional interest in adiabatic processes has arisen in connection w the concept of adiabatic quantum com puting, in which slow ly varying $H$ am iltonians appear as a prom ising $m$ echanism for the design of new quantum algorithm s and even as an altemative to the conventional quantum circuitm odelofquantum com putation $\left[\overline{1}-\overline{6}, \overline{1}_{1}^{1} \overline{7}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$.

Rem arkably, the notion of adiabaticity does not appear to have been extended in a system atic $m$ anner to the arena of open quantum system $s$, i.e., quantum system $s$ coupled to an extemal environm ent [181]. Such system $s$ are of fundam ental interest, as the notion of a closed system is always an idealization and approxim ation. This issue is particularly im portant in the context of quantum inform ation processing, where environm ent-induced decoherence is view ed as a fiundam ental obstacle on the path to the construction of quantum inform ation proces-


The aim of this work is to system atically generalize the concept of adiabatic evolution to the realm of open quantum system s. Form ally, an open quantum system is described as follow s. C onsider a quantum system $S$ coupled to an environm ent, or bath B (w ith respective

[^0]H ilbert spaces $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{S}}$; $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}$ ), evolving unitarily under the total system -bath $H$ am ittonian $H_{S B}$. The exact system dynam ics is given by tracing over the bath degrees of freedom [181]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.(t)=T_{L_{B}} \mathbb{U}(t) S_{B}(0) U^{y}(t)\right] ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(t)$ is the system state, $S_{B}(0)=(0) \quad B(0)$ is the initially uncorrelated system -bath state, and $U(t)=$ $T \exp \left[{\underset{i}{t}}_{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{t}}}^{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{SB}\left(\mathrm{t}^{0}\right) \mathrm{dt}^{0}\right]$ ( T denotes tim e-ordering; we set ~ = 1). Such an evolution is com pletely positive and trace preserving [18, "2d, 211$]$. U nder certain approxim ations, it is possible to convert Eq. ( $\overline{1} 1)$ ) into the convolutionless form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ldots(t)=L(t) \quad(t): \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

An im portant exam ple is

$$
\begin{align*}
-(t)= & i \mathbb{H}(t) ;(t)]+\frac{1}{2}{ }_{i=1}^{X^{N}} \quad[i(t) ; \quad(t) \underset{i}{Y}(t)] \\
& +\left[i(t) \quad(t) ;{ }_{i}^{Y}(t)\right]: \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $H$ ( $(\mathrm{t})$ is the tim e-dependent e ective H am iltonian of the open system and $i(t)$ are tim e-dependent operators describing the system -bath interaction. In the literature, Eq. ( $\overline{3}$ ) w ith tim e-independent operators $i$ is usually referred to as the $M$ arkovian dynam ical sem igroup, or
 simple derivation of E . $(\underline{1})$ from Eq. $(\underline{1})]$. H ow ever, the case w ith tim e-dependent coe cients is also perm issible under certain restrictions [2].]. The L indblad equation requires the assum ption of $\bar{M}$ arkovian bath $w$ th vanishing correlation time. Equation (Z, $\overline{\text { I }}$ ) can be m ore general; for exam ple, it applies to the case ofnon M arkovian convolution lessm aster equations studied in Ref. [1/2]. In this work we w ill consider the class of convolutionless m aster equations $\underline{\underline{2}} \mathbf{1})$. In a slight abuse of nom enclature, we will henceforth refer to the tim e-dependent generator $L$ ( $t$ ) as the $L$ indblad superoperator, and the $i(t)$ as Lindblad operators.

Retuming to the problem of adiabatic evolution, conceptually, the di culty in the transition from closed to
open system $s$ is that the notion of H am iltonian eigenstates is lost, since the Lindblad superoperator \{ the generalization of the H am iltonian \{ cannot in generalbe diagonalized. It is then not a priori clear what should take the place of the adiabatic eigenstates. O ur key insight in resolving this di culty is that this role is played by adiabatic Jordan blocks of the Lindblad superoperator. The Jordan canonical form []$\left._{1}\right]$, w ith its associated left and right eigenvectors, is in this context the natural generalization of the diagonalization of the $H$ am iltonian. Speci cally, we show that, for slow ly varying Lindblad superoperators, the tim e evolution of the density $m$ atrix, w ritten in a suitable basis in the state space of linear operators, occurs separately in sets of Jordan blocks related to each Lindblad eigenvalue. This treatm ent for adiabatic processes in open system $s$ is potentially rather attractive as it can sim plify the description of the dynam icalproblem by breaking dow $n$ the Lindblad superoperator into a set of decoupled blocks. In order to clearly exem plify this behavior, we analyze a sim ple two-level open system for which the exact solution of the $m$ aster equation ( $\overline{2}$ ) can be analytically determ ined.

T he paper is organized as follow s. W e begin, in Sec. ini, w ith a review of the standard adiabatic approxim ation for closed quantum system s . In Sec. "IIf we describe the general dynam ics of open quantum system $s$, review the superoperator form alism, and introduce a strategy to nd suitable bases in the state space of linear operators. Section ' $\mathbb{I} \bar{V} \bar{\prime}$ ' is devoted to deriving our adiabatic approximation, including the conditions for its validity. In Sec. Ni, we provide a concrete exam ple which illustrates the consequences of the adiabatic behavior for system $s$ in the presence of decoherenc. Finally, we present our conclusions in Sec.
II. THEADIABATICAPPROXIMATION IN CLOSED QUANTUM SYSTEMS
A. C ondition on the H am ilton ian

To facilitate com parison $w$ th our later derivation of the adiabatic approxim ation for open system $s$, let us begin by review ing the adiabatic approxim ation in closed quantum system $s$, sub ject to unitary evolution. In this case, the evolution is govemed by the tim e-dependent Schrodinger equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { H (t) } j \text { (t)i=ij-(t)i; } \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H$ ( $t$ ) denotes the $H$ am iltonian and $j(t) i$ is a quantum state in a D-dim ensional H ilbert space. For sim plicity, we assum e that the spectrum of $H(t)$ is entirely discrete and nondegenerate. Thus we can de ne an instantaneous basis of eigenenergies by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(t) \text { jn }(t) i=E_{n}(t) j(t) i ; \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ ith the set of eigenvectors in ( $t$ )i chosen to be orthonorm al. In this sim plest case, where to each energy level
there corresponds a unique eigenstate, adiabaticity is then de ned as the regim e associated to an independent evolution of the instantaneous eigenvectors of $H$ ( $(t)$. This $m$ eans that instantaneous eigenstates at one tim e evolve continuously to the corresponding eigenstates at later tim es, and that their corresponding eigenenergies do not cross. In particular, if the system begins its evolution in a particular eigenstate in (0)i, then it will evolve to the instantaneous eigenstate in ( $t$ )i at a later tim $e t$, w ithout any transition to other energy levels. In order to obtain a general validity condition for adiabatic behavior, let us expand $j(t) i$ in term $s$ of the basis of instantaneous eigenvectors of $H(t)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
j(t) i=X_{n=1}^{X^{D}} a_{n}(t) e^{i_{0}^{R_{t}} d t^{0} E_{n}\left(t^{0}\right)} j n(t) i ; \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith $a_{n}(t)$ being complex functions oftim e. Substitution of Eq. (G) into Eq. ( $\overline{4} \mathbf{4})$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{n}^{X} \quad\left(a_{n} \dot{n} i+a_{n} \dot{\underline{n}} i\right) e^{i_{0}^{R_{t}} d t^{0} E_{n}\left(t^{0}\right)}=0 ; \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where use has been $m$ ade ofE q. $\left(\overline{5}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. M ultiplying Eq. $\left(\overline{7}_{1}\right)$ by hk ( t ) $j$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{a}_{k}=\underbrace{X}_{n} a_{n} h k \underline{n}_{i} e^{R_{t}^{R_{t}} d t^{0} g_{n k}\left(t^{0}\right)} ; \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{n k}(t) \quad E_{n}(t) \quad E_{k}(t): \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

A usefulexpression for $h k$ jini, for $k n$, can be found by taking the tim e derivative ofEq. ( $\bar{W}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) and $m$ ultiplying the resulting expression by hk $j$ which reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
h k \underset{\underline{n}}{ } i=\frac{h k j-j \eta^{2} i}{g_{n k}} \quad(\mathrm{n} \in \mathrm{k}): \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, Eq. (gic) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{a}_{k}=\quad a_{k} h k j_{k i} \sum_{n \in k}^{X} a_{n} \frac{h k j-\eta \dot{\eta} i}{g_{n k}} e^{i_{0}^{R_{t}} d t^{0} g_{n k}\left(t^{0}\right)}: \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

A diabatic evolution is ensured if the coe cients $a_{k}(t)$ evolve independently from each other, i.e., if their dynam ical equations do not couple. A s is apparent from Eq. ( $\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1} 1)$, this requirem ent is ful led by im posing the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{0} \frac{h k j+j i n i}{g_{n k}} \quad \min _{t} \dot{g}_{T} \dot{g}_{n k} j ; \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which serves as an estim ate of the validity of the adiabatic approxim ation, where $T$ is the totalevolution tim $e$. $N$ ote that the left-hand side of Eq. (12 $\left.\overline{1}_{1} \overline{1}^{1}\right)$ has dim ensions of frequency and hence $m$ ust be com pared to the relevant physical frequency scale, given by the gap $g_{n k}[\underline{3}, \underline{2}, \overline{2}]$. For
a discussion of the adiabatic regim ew hen there is no gap in the energy spectrum see $R$ efs. $\left[2{ }^{2}=1,1301\right]$. In the case of a degenerate spectrum of $H$ ( $t$ ), Eq. (1G) holds only for eigenstates ki and jni forwhich $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}} \not \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k}}$. Taking into account this modi cation in Eq. (111), it is not di cult to see that the adiabatic approxim ation generalizes to the statem ent that each degenerate eigenspace of $H(t)$, instead of individual eigenvectors, has independent evolution, whose validity conditions given by Eq. (12 $\left.\underline{1}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ are to be considered over eigenvectors $w$ ith distinct energies. Thus, in general one can de ne adiabatic dynam ics of closed quantum system $s$ as follow $s$ :
D e nition II. 1 A closed quantum system is said to undergo adiabatic dynam ics if its H ilbert space can be decom posed into decoupled Schrodinger eigenspaces with distinct, tim e-continuous, and noncrossing instantaneous eigenvalues of $H$ ( $(\mathrm{t}$ ).

It is conceptually usefulto point out that the relationship betw een slow ly varying H am iltonians and adiabatic behavior, which explicitly appears from Eq. (12'), can also be dem onstrated directly from a sim ple $m$ anipulation of the Schrodinger equation: recall that $H$ ( $\mathbf{t}$ ) can be diagonalized by a unitary sim ilarity tranform ation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{d}}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{U}^{1}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{t}) ; \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{d}}(\mathrm{t})$ denotes the diagonalized H am iltonian and $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{t})$ is a unitary transform ation. M ultiplying Eq. ( $\overline{4} \mathbf{4})$ by $U^{1}(t)$ and using Eq. (13), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{d}} j \dot{i}_{\mathrm{d}}=i j-\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{d}} \quad \text { if }{ }^{1} j i_{j} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $j i_{d} \quad U^{1} j i$ is the state of the system in the basis of eigenvectors of $H(t)$. Upon considering that $H$ ( $(t)$ changes slow ly in tim e, i.e., $d H(t)=d t \quad 0$, we $m$ ay also assum $e$ that the unitary transform ation $U(t)$ and its inverse $U{ }^{1}$ ( $t$ ) are slow ly varying operators, yielding

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{d}(t) j(t) i_{d}=i j-(t) i_{d}: \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, since $H_{d}(t)$ is diagonal, the system evolves separately in each energy sector, ensuring the validity of the adiabatic approxim ation. In our derivation of the condition of adiabatic behavior for open system sbelow, wew ill $m$ ake use of this sem i-intuitive picture in order to $m$ otivate the decom position of the dynam ics into LindbladJordan blocks.
B. C ondition on the total evolution tim $e$

The adiabaticity condition can also be given in term $s$ of the total evolution tim e T. W e shall consider for sim plicity a nondegenerate $H(t)$; the generalization to the degenerate case is possible. Let us then rew rite Eq. (1111) as follow s [ $\left.{ }^{3} \overline{1}_{1}^{1}\right]$ :
$e^{i k(t)} \frac{\varrho}{\varrho t}\left[a_{k}(t) e^{i k(t)}\right]=X_{n \in k}^{X} a_{n} \frac{h k-j-j i}{g_{n k}} e^{i_{0}^{R_{t}} d t^{0} g_{n k}\left(t^{0}\right)} ;$
where $k(t)$ denotes the Berry's phase [ $[\overline{1}]$ associated to the state $k i$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
k(t)=i_{0}^{Z} d t^{0} h k\left(t^{0}\right) k\left(t^{0}\right) i: \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ow let us de ne a nom alized tim e s through the variable transform ation

$$
\begin{equation*}
t=s T ; 0 \quad s \quad 1: \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

T hen, by perform ing the change $t$ ! $s$ in Eq. (1- $\overline{-1})$ and integrating, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{k}(s) e^{i_{k}(s)}= \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{n k}(s)=a_{n}(s) h k(s) j \frac{d H(s)}{d s} j_{n}(s) i e^{i_{k}(s)}: \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

H owever, for an adiabatic evolution as de ned above, the coe cients $a_{n}(s)$ evolve w thout any $m$ ixing, which $m$ eans that $a_{n}(s) \quad a_{1}(0) e^{i n(s)}$. Therefore,

$$
F_{n k}(s)=a_{n}(0) h k(s) \frac{d H(s)}{d s} j_{n}(s) \text { ie } e^{i[k(s)} n^{n(s)]}:(21)
$$

In order to arrive at a condition on $T$, it is usefiul to separate out the fast oscillatory part from E q. (191) . Thus, the integrand in Eq. (1d) can be rew ritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{F_{n k}\left(s^{0}\right)}{g_{n k}\left(s^{0}\right)} e^{i T T_{s^{0}}^{R_{s}} d s^{00} g_{n k}\left(s^{00}\right)}= \\
& \frac{i}{T} \frac{d}{d s^{0}} \frac{F_{n k}\left(s^{0}\right)}{g_{n k}^{2}\left(s^{0}\right)} e^{i T T^{R_{s}}{ }_{0}^{0} d s^{00} g_{n k}\left(s^{00}\right)} \\
& e^{i T}{ }^{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{~s}^{0} d s^{00} \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{nk}}\left(\mathrm{~s}^{00}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{ds} s^{0}} \frac{\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{nk}}\left(s^{0}\right)}{g_{\mathrm{nk}}^{2}\left(s^{0}\right)} \quad: \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

Substitution of Eq. (2") into Eq. (19-19) results in

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{k}(s) e^{i k(s)}= \\
& a_{k}(0)+\frac{i}{T}_{T_{n \in k}} \frac{F_{n k}(0)}{g_{n k}^{2}(0)} \frac{F_{n k}(s)}{g_{n k}^{2}(s)} e^{i T}{ }^{R_{s}} d s^{0} g_{n k}\left(s^{0}\right) \\
& Z{ }_{0} d s^{0} e^{i T} T_{s^{0}}^{R_{0}^{0} d s^{00} g_{n k}\left(s^{00}\right)} \frac{d}{d s^{0}} \frac{F_{n k}\left(s^{0}\right)}{g_{n k}^{2}\left(s^{0}\right)}: \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

A condition for the adiabatic regim e can be obtained from Eq. (23) if the integral in the last line vanishes for large $T$. Let us assum e that, as T ! 1 , the energy difference rem ains nonvanishing. W e further assum e that $d f F_{n k}\left(s^{0}\right)=g_{n k}^{2}\left(s^{0}\right) g=d s^{0}$ is integrable on the interval [0; s$]$. $T$ hen it follow $s$ from the $R$ iem ann-Lebesgue lem ma [32] that the integral in the last line of Eq. ([2]-1) vanishes in the lim it T ! 1 (due to the fast oscillation of the integrand) [ [3in]. W hat is left are therefore only the rst two
term s in the sum over $\mathrm{n} \in \mathrm{k}$ ofeq. $\left(\underline{2} \underline{3}_{1}\right)$. Thus, a general estim ate of the tim e rate at which the adiabatic regim e is approached can be expressed by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T \quad \frac{F}{g^{2}} ; \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{F}=\max _{\mathrm{m}} \dot{\mathrm{~A}}_{\mathrm{n}}(0) \mathrm{hk}(\mathrm{~s}) \frac{\mathrm{dH}(\mathrm{~s})}{\mathrm{ds}} \dot{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{ij} ; \\
& \mathrm{g}=\mathrm{m}_{0} \operatorname{in}_{\mathrm{s}} \dot{\mathrm{~g}}_{\mathrm{nk}}(\mathrm{~s}) j ; \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ ith $m$ ax and $m$ in taken over all $k$ and $n$. A simpli cation is obtained if the system starts its evolution in a particular eigenstate of $H$ ( $(\mathrm{t})$. Taking the in itial state as the eigenvector in $(0) i$, $w$ ith $a_{m}(0)=1$, adiabatic evolution occurs if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T} \quad \frac{\mathrm{~F}}{\mathrm{G}^{2}} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& F=\max _{0} j \mathrm{j} k(\mathrm{~s}) \frac{\mathrm{dH}(\mathrm{~s})}{\mathrm{ds}} \mathrm{jn}(\mathrm{~s}) i j ; \\
& \mathrm{G}=\mathrm{m}_{0} \mathrm{in}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{m} k}(\mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{j}: \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (2-1) gives an im portant validity condition for the adiabatic approxim ation, which has been used, e.g., to determ ine the running time required by adiabatic quantum algorithm $\left.s[1], 1_{2}\right]$ ]

## III. THEDYNAMICSOFOPEN QUANTUM SYSTEMS

In this section, we prepare the $m$ athem atical fram $e^{-}$ work required to derive an adiabatic approxim ation for open quantum system s. O ur_starting point is the convolutionless $m$ aster equation $\left.\overline{2}_{\underline{1}}^{\prime}\right)$. It proves convenient to transform to the superoperator form alism, wherein the density $m$ atrix is represented by a $D^{2}$-dim ensional $\backslash c o-$ herence vector"

$$
\begin{equation*}
j \text { ii }=1{ }^{2} \quad D^{2}{ }^{t} \text {; } \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the Lindblad superoperator $L$ becom es a ( $D^{2} D^{2}$ )dim ensionalsuperm atrix [211]. W e use the double bracket notation to indicate that we are not working in the standard H ilbert space ofstate vectors. Such a representation can be generated, e.g., by introducing a basis of Her $m$ itian, trace-orthogonal, and traceless operators [e.g., su (D ) ], whence the $i$ are the expansion coe cients of in this basis $\left[{ }_{2}^{1} 1_{1}^{1}\right], w$ ith $\quad 1$ the coe cient of $I$ (the identity $m$ atrix). In this case, the condition $\mathrm{Tr}^{2} 1$ corresponds to $\mathrm{kj} \mathrm{i} \mathrm{k} \mathrm{k} \quad 1$, $=\mathrm{y}$ to $\mathrm{i}={ }_{\mathrm{i}}$, and positive sem ide niteness of is expressed in term s of inequalities satis ed


A sim ple and well-know n exam ple of this procedure is the representation of the density operator of a two-level system (qubit) on the B loch sphere, via $=\left(I_{2}+\frac{1}{V}!\right)=2$, where ! $=(x ; y ; z)$ is the vector of $P$ auli $m$ atrices, $I_{2}$ is the 2 identity $m$ atrix, and $\frac{1}{v} 2 R^{3}$ is a threedim ensional coherence vector of nom $\quad 1$. M ore generally, coherence vectors live in H ilbert-Schm idt space: a state space of linear operators endowed with an inner product that can be de ned, for general vectors $u$ and $v$, as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (u;v) huujiii } \frac{1}{\mathrm{~N}} \operatorname{Tr} u^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{v} \text {; } \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N$ is a norm alization factor. A djoint elem ents hhvj in the dualstate space are given by row vectors de ned as the transpose conjugate of jvii: hhvj= ( $\left.\mathrm{v}_{1} ; \mathrm{v}_{2} ;::: ; \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{2}\right)$. A density $m$ atrix can then be expressed as a discrete superposition of states over a com plete basis in this vector space, $w$ ith appropriate constraints on the coe cients so that the requirem ents of $H$ em iticity, positive sem ide niteness, and unit trace of are observed. Thus, representing the density operator in general as a coherence vector, we can rew rite Eq. $\left.\overline{L_{1}^{\prime}}\right)$ in a superoperator language as

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(t) j(t) i i=j \_(t) i i ; \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L$ is now a superm atrix. Thism aster equation generates nonunitary evolution, since $L(t)$ is non $H$ erm itian and hence generally nondiagonalizable. H ow ever, it is alw ays possible to obtain an elegant decom position in term s of a block structure, the Jordan canonical form $\left[{ }^{2} \overline{7}_{1}\right]$. This can be achieved by the sim ilarity transform ation

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{J}(t)=S^{1}(t) L(t) S(t) ; \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L_{J}(t)=\operatorname{diag}\left(J_{1} ;:: ; J_{m}\right)$ denotes the Jordan form of $L(t)$, w th $J$ representing a Jordan block related to an eigenvector whose corresponding eigenvalue is,

The number $m$ of Jordan blocks is given by the num ber of linearly independent eigenstates of $L(t)$, w ith each eigenstate associated to a di erent block J . Since L (t) is in general non $H$ erm itian, we generally do not have a basis of eigenstates, whence som e care is required in order to nd a basis for describing the density operator. A system atic procedure for nding a convenient discrete vector basis is to start from the instantaneous right and left eigenstates of $L(t)$, which are de ned by
$L(t) \mathcal{P} \quad(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{ii}=$
(t) $\mathcal{F}$ (t) $\mathrm{i} ;$
hhQ ( t$) j \mathrm{~L}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{h} \mathrm{Q}$ ( t$) \mathrm{j} \quad(\mathrm{t})$;
where, in our notation, possible degeneracies correspond to $=$, with . In other words, we reserve a di erent index for each independent eigenvector since each eigenvector is in a distinct Jordan block. It can im $m$ ediately be show from Eqs. (33') and (3'3) that, for
\& , we have hhQ ( t$) \mathrm{P} \quad(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{i}=0$. The left and right eigenstates can be easily identi ed when the $L$ indblad superoperator is in the Jordan form $L_{J}(t)$. Denoting $f(t) i_{J}=S^{1}(t) f(t)$ ii, i.e., the right eigenstate of $L_{J}(t)$ associated to a Jordan block J , then Eq. (333) im plies that $\mathcal{F}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{in}_{J}$ is tim e-independent and, after nor$m$ alization, is given by
where only the vector com ponents associated to the Jordan block $J$ are shown, w ith all the others vanishing. In order to have a com plete basis we shall de ne new states, which will be chosen so that they preserve the block structure of $L_{J}(t)$. A suitable set of additional vectors is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 00^{1} \quad 00_{0}^{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $n$ is the dim ension of the Jordan block $J$ and again allthe com ponents outside $J$ are zero. This sim ple vector structure allow sfor the derivation of the expression

With $p^{(0)}$ iii $\quad \mathcal{P}$ ii $i_{J}$ and $D_{0}^{(1)}$ ii $_{J} \quad 0$. The set
 related to a right vector basis for the original $L(t)$ by $m$ eans of the transform ation $D^{(j)}(t)$ ii $=S(t) D^{(j)}$ ii $_{J}$ which, applied to Eq. $\left.(3)_{1}\right)$, y ields

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(t) D^{(j)}(t) i i=D^{(j 1)}(t) i i+\quad(t) D^{(j)}(t) i i: \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fquation ( 3 Big) exhibits an im portant feature of the set $D^{(j)}(t) i i$, nam ely, it im plies that Jordan blocks are invariant under the action of the $L$ indblad superoperator. An analogous procedure can be em ployed to de ne the left eigenbasis. Denoting by J hhQ $\quad(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{j}=\mathrm{h} h \mathrm{Q}$ ( t$) \mathrm{j} \mathrm{S}$ ( $(\mathrm{t})$ the left eigenstate of $L_{J}(t)$ associated to a Jordan block $\left.\mathrm{J}, \mathrm{Eq} .\left(\mathrm{B} \mathrm{B}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\right)$ leads to the norm alized left vector

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{J} \text { hhe } j_{J}=0 ;::: ; 0 ; 1 \text { : } \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

The additional left vectors are de ned as

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{JhhE}^{(0)} \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{J}} & =1 ; 0 ; 0 ;::: ; 0 ; \\
& ::  \tag{40}\\
\mathrm{JhhE}^{(\mathrm{n}}{ }^{2)} \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{J}} & =0 ;::: ; 0 ; 1 ; 0 ;
\end{align*}
$$

which im ply the follow ing expression for the left basis vector hhE ${ }^{(i)}(t) j={ }_{J h H E}{ }^{(i)} j S^{1}(t)$ for L (t):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.h h E^{(i)}(t) j L \quad(t)=h h E^{(i+1)}(t) j+h h E^{(i)}(t) j \quad \text { ( } t\right): \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we have used the notation $\mathrm{Jhhe}^{(n}{ }^{1)} j \quad{ }_{\mathrm{J}} \mathrm{hhQ} j$ and $J h h E^{(n)}{ }^{\prime} \quad 0$. A further property follow ing from the de nition of the right and left vector bases introduced here is

$$
h h E^{(i)}(t) \not D^{(j)}(t) \dot{i} i={ }_{J} h h E^{(i)} D^{(j)} \text { iid }_{J}=\quad \mathrm{ij}:
$$

T his orthonorm ality relationship betw een corresponding left and right states $w$ ill be very useful in our derivation below of the conditions for the validity of the adiabatic approxim ation.

## IV. THEADIABATIC APPROXIMATION IN OPEN QUANTUM SYSTEMS

W e are now ready to derive our $m$ ain result: an adiabatic approxim ation for open quantum system s . W e do this by observing that the Jordan decom position of $(t)$ Eq. (3in' ${ }^{\prime}$ ) ] allow s for a nioe generalization of the standard quantum adiabatic approxim ation. W ebegin by de ning the adiabatic dynam ics of an open system as a generalization of the de nition given above for closed quantum system s:

Denition IV. 1 An open quantum system is said to undergo adiabatic dynam ics if its H ilbert-Schm idt space can be decom posed into decoupled Lindblad\{Jordan eigen spaces $w$ ith distinct, tim e-continuous, and noncrossing instantaneous eigenvalues of $L$ ( $t$ ).
$T$ his de nition is a natural extension for open system $s$ of the idea of adiabatic behavior. Indeed, in this case the $m$ aster equation $(\overline{\underline{Z}}$ ) can be decom posed into sectors $w$ ith di erent and separately evolving Lindblad-Jordan eigenvalues, and we show below that the condition for this to occur is appropriate \slow ness" of the Lindblad superoperator. T he splitting into Jordan blocks of the Lindblad superoperator is achieved through the choice of a basis which preserves the Jordan block ostructure asir for examo ple, the sets of right $D^{(j)}(t)$ ii and left hhE ${ }^{(i)}(\mathrm{t}) j$ vectors introduced in Sec. 言I. Such a basis generalizes the notion of Schrodinger eigenvectors.

## A . Intu itive derivation

Let us rst show how the adiabatic Lindblad-Jordan blocks arise from a sim ple argum ent, analogous to the one presented for the closed case $\mathbb{E}$ qs. $\left.\left(13^{\prime \prime}\right)-\left(1 \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right]$. M ultiply ing Eq. ( 3 On, by the sim ilarity transform ation $m$ atrix $S^{1}(t)$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{J} j \text { ii } i_{J}=j i_{J} \quad S^{1} j \text { ii; } \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

where w e have used Eq. ( B1 $_{1}^{1}$ ) and de ned j ii $i_{J} \quad S^{1} j$ ii. N ow suppose that $L(t)$, and consequently $S(t)$ and its inverse $S{ }^{1}(\mathrm{t})$, changes slow ly in tim e so that $S^{1}(\mathrm{t})$ 0. Then, from Eq. ( $\left.4 \bar{U}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$, the adiabatic dynam ics of the system reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{J}(t) j(t) i_{J}=j \_(t) i_{J}: \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (4-4 ${ }^{4}$ ) ensures that, choosing an instantaneous basis for the density operator ( $t$ ) which preserves the Jordan block structure, the evolution of ( $t$ ) occurs separately in adiabatic blocks associated w ith distinct eigenvalues ofL ( $(t)$. O fcourse, the conditions under which the approxim ation $S^{-1}(t) \quad 0$ holds m ust be carefiully claried. $T$ his is the sub ject of the next tw o subsections.

## B . C ondition on the L indblad superoperator

Let us now derive the validity conditions for opensystem adiabatic dynam ics by analyzing the generaltime evolution of a density operator under the $m$ aster equation (3]). To this end, we expand the density $m$ atrix for an anbitrary timet in the instantaneous right eigenbasis $D^{(j)}(t)$ ii as

$$
\begin{equation*}
j(t) i i=\frac{1}{2}^{X^{n}}{ }_{=1}^{n=0} X^{1}(t) p D^{(j)}(t) i i ; \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $m$ is the num ber of Jordan blocks and $n$ is the dim ension of the block $J$. W e em phasize that we are assum ing that there are no eigenvalue crossings in the spectrum of the Lindblad superoperator during the evolution. R equiring then that the density operator E q. (45) evolves under the $m$ aster equation (3d) and $m$ aking use of Eq. (3) , we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& X^{m}{ }^{n} X^{1} r^{(j)} \quad D^{(j 1)} i i+\quad D^{(j)} i i= \\
& =1 \quad j=1 \\
& X^{m} X^{n} X^{1} \quad \underline{r}^{(j)} D^{(j)} i i+r^{(j)} D^{(j)} i i: \\
& =1 \quad j=0 \tag{46}
\end{align*}
$$

 sults in

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{\underline{r}}^{(i)}=r^{(i)}+r^{(i+1)} \quad X^{n} X^{n} X^{1} r^{(j)} h h E^{(i)} p \underbrace{(j)} i \dot{i} ; \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the term $\mathrm{shhE}{ }^{(\mathrm{i})} \mathrm{D}^{(\mathrm{j})}$ ii, for $\xi$, are given by Eq. (512). In accordance w ith our de nition of adiabaticity above, the adiabatic regim e is obtained w hen the sum
in the second line is negligible. Sum $m$ arizing, by_introducing the norm alized time $s$ de ned by Eq. (18.), we thus nd the follow ing from Eqs. (511) and ( $\left(5 \overline{3}_{1}\right)$.

Theorem IV . 2 A su cient condition for open quantum system adiabatic dynam ics as given in $D$ e nition $\overline{\underline{N}} \overline{\mathrm{~V}}=1 \mathrm{in}$ is:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { 1; } \tag{54}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ ith and for anbitrary indioes $i$ and $j$ associated to the Jordan blocks and , respectively.

The condition ( $5 \mathbf{5} \overline{4}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) ensures the absence of $m$ ixing of coe_cients $r{ }^{(i)}$ related to distinct eigenvalues in Eq. (53-3), which in tum guarantees that sets of Jordan blocks belonging to di erent eigenvahes of L ( $t$ ) have independent evolution. T hus the accuracy of the adiabatic approxim ation can be estim ated by the com putation of the tim e derivative of the $L$ indblad superoperator acting on right and left vectors. Equation (54) can be sim pli ed by considering the term $w$ ith $m$ axim um absolute value, which results in:

C orollary IV . 3 A su cient condition for open quantum system adiabatic dynam ics is

$$
N_{i j}^{n} \max _{0} \max _{\mathrm{s}} \frac{\operatorname{hhE}^{(i+\mathrm{p}}{ }^{1)} \frac{\mathrm{dL}}{\mathrm{ds}} \mathrm{p}^{\left(j S_{\mathrm{p}}\right)} \text { ii }}{!^{\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{p}}}} \quad 1 ; \text { (55) }
$$

where the $m a x$ is taken for any $\in$, and over all possible values of i2 f0;:::;n 1g,j2f0;::; n 1g, and p, with

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{n} \\
1+1+j \\
1+j
\end{array} \quad 1=\frac{(n \quad i+1+j)!}{(1+j)!(n \quad i)!} \tag{56}
\end{align*}
$$

O bserve that the factor $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{ij}}{ }^{\mathrm{n}}$ de ned in Eq. ( $\left.\overline{5} \overline{6}{ }^{-}\right)$is just the num ber of term $s$ of the sum $s$ in Eq. (54i). W e have included a superscriptn , even though there is no explicit dependence on n , since j $2 \mathrm{f0}$;:::; $\mathrm{n} \quad 1 \mathrm{~g}$.

Furtherm ore, an adiabatic condition for a slow ly varying Lindblad super-operator can directly be obtained from Eq. ( $\overline{5}_{1}^{-1}$ '), yielding the follow ing.

C orollary IV . 4 A sim ple su cient condition for open quantum system adiabatic dynam ics is L- 0 .
$N$ ote that this condition is in a sense too strong, since it need not be the case that $\mathrm{L}-$ is sm all in general (i.e., for all its $m$ atrix elem ents). Indeed, in Sec. 'Vi' we show via an exam ple that adiabaticity $m$ ay occur due to the exact vanishing of relevant $m$ atrix elem ents of $I-$. The general
condition for this to occur is the presence of a dynam ical sym $m$ etry $\left[3_{1} 7_{1}\right]$.

Let us end this subsection by $m$ entioning that we can also write Eq. (54) in tem $s$ of the tim e variable $t$ instead of the norm alized tim es. In this case, the natural generalization of Eq. (54 ) is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \min _{0} \operatorname{in}_{T} j!\quad j: \tag{57}
\end{align*}
$$

N ote that, as in the analogous condition (12 $\left.\underline{Z}_{1}^{-}\right)$in the closed case, the left-hand side has dim ensions of frequency, and hence $m$ ust be com pared to the natural frequency scale ! . H ow ever, unlike the closed system s case, where Eq. (12) can im m ediately be derived from the tim e condition (24.), we cannot prove here that! . is indeed the relevant physical scale. Therefore, Eq. (52) should be regarded as a heuristic criterion.

## C. C ondition on the total evolution tim e

A s m entioned in Sec. ini, for closed system s the rate at which the adiabatic regim e is approached can be esti$m$ ated in term $s$ of the total tim e of evolution, as show $n$
 of this estim ate for adiabaticity in open system $s$.

## 1. O ne-dim ensional Jordan blocks

Let us begin by considering the particular case where $L(t)$ has only one-dim ensional Jordan blocks and each eigenvalue corresponds to a single independent eigenvector, i.e., $=$. ) $=$. Bearing these assum ptions in $m$ ind, Eq. (53in) can be rew ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{r}=r \quad r h \operatorname{ph} i i^{\Lambda} \quad r \text { hhE } p \text { ii; } \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the upper indices $i ; j$ have been rem oved since we are considering only one-dim ensionalblocks. M oreover, for this special case, we have from Eq. ( $5 \mathrm{II}_{1}^{1}$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { hhe } p-i i=\frac{\operatorname{hhE} j \mathrm{~L}-\mathrm{D} \text { ii }}{!}: \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to elim inate the term $r$ from Eq. (58í), we rede ne the variable $r$ ( $t$ ) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(t)=p \quad(t) e^{R_{t}} \quad\left(t^{0}\right) d t^{0} ; \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, applied to Eq. (5]g), yields

$$
p=p \text { hhe plii } \quad \mathrm{p} \text { hhe p-iie ; }
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
(t)=\int_{0}^{Z} d t^{0}!\quad\left(t^{0}\right): \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

 tem $s$, but the fact that is in general com plex-valued leads to som e im portant di erences, discussed below. W e next introduce the scaled $\mathrm{tim} \mathrm{e}=\mathrm{s}=\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{T}$ and integrate the resulting expression. U sing Eq. ( 5

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Z s } \\
& p(s)=p(0) \quad d s^{0} p \quad\left(s^{0}\right) \quad\left(s^{0}\right) \\
& \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{~s} \mathrm{ds}^{0} \frac{\mathrm{~V}}{!} \quad \begin{array}{c}
0 \\
\left(\mathrm{~s}^{0}\right) \\
\left(s^{0}\right)
\end{array} e^{\mathrm{T}} \quad\left(\mathrm{~s}^{0}\right) ; \tag{63}
\end{align*}
$$

where
(s) is de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (s) }=\mathrm{hh} E \quad(\mathrm{~s}) \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d}} \mathrm{~d} \mathrm{D} \quad \text { (s)ii } \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $V \quad$ (s) by
V
$(\mathrm{s})=\mathrm{p}$
(s) hhE
(s) $\frac{\mathrm{dL}(\mathrm{s})}{\mathrm{ds}} \upharpoonleft$
(s) ii:

The integrand in the last line of Eq. $\left.\left.\bar{\beta}^{-6} \overline{3}\right)_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ can be rearranged in a sim ilar way to Eq. (22) for the closed case, yielding

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{1}{T} \frac{d}{d s} \frac{V}{!^{2}} e^{T}  \tag{66}\\
& \text { (s) } e^{T} \\
& \text { (s) } \frac{d}{d s} \frac{V}{!^{2}} \\
& \text { \# } \tag{s}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, from Eq. ( $\left.\overline{6} \overline{3} \overline{3}_{1}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& p(s)=p(0) \quad d s^{0} p \quad\left(s^{0}\right) \quad\left(s^{0}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{T}^{\mathrm{X}} \quad \frac{\mathrm{~V} \quad(0)}{!^{2} \quad(0)} \quad \frac{\mathrm{V}}{!^{2} \quad(\mathrm{~s})} \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{T}} \\
& +{ }_{0}^{Z} \mathrm{ds}^{0} e^{\mathrm{T}} \quad\left(s^{0}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{ds} s^{0}} \frac{\mathrm{~V} \quad\left(\mathrm{~s}^{0}\right)}{!^{2}}\left(\mathrm{~s}^{0}\right) \quad: \tag{67}
\end{align*}
$$

T hus a condition for adiabaticity in term $s$ of the total time of evolution can be given by com paring $T$ to the term $s$ involving indices *. This can be form alized as follow $s$.

Proposition IV . 5 C onsider an open quantum system whose Lindblad superoperator $L(s)$ has the following properties: (a) The Jordan decom position of (s) is given by one-dim ensionalblocks. (b) E ach eigenvalue ofL (s) is associated to a unique Jordan block. Then the adiabatic dynam ics in the interval 0 s 1 occurs if and only if
the follow ing tim e conditions, obtained for each Jordan block of L (s), are satis ed:

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\mathrm{Z}_{0}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{ds} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{T}} \quad\left(\mathrm{~s}^{0}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{ds} s^{0}!^{2}} \frac{\mathrm{~V}}{\left(\mathrm{~s}^{0}\right)}{ }^{0} \text { ! } \text {; } \tag{68}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation ( $\left.\overline{6}_{6}^{6} \overline{1}\right)$ sim pli es in a num ber of situations.
A diabaticity is guaranteed whenever $V$ vanishes for all ( An exam ple of this case $w i l l$ be provided in Sec.

A diabaticity is sim ilarly guaranteed whenever V (s), which can depend on $T$ through $p$, vanishes for all ; such that $\left.\operatorname{Re}()^{\prime}\right) \quad 0$ and does not grow faster, as a function of $T$, than $\exp (\mathrm{T} j \operatorname{Re} \quad$ ) forall ; such thatRe( ) $<0$.

W hen $\operatorname{Re}()=\underline{0}$ and $\operatorname{Im}(\quad) \& 0$ the integral in inequality (68) vanishes in the in nite tim e lim it due to the R iem ann-Lebesgue lem m a [3z], as in the closed case discussed before. In this case, again, adiabaticity is guaranteed provided p (s) [and hence V ( s )] does not diverge as a function of $T$ in the $\lim$ it I ! .

W hen $\operatorname{Re}(\quad)>0$, the adiabatic regim e can still be reached for large $T$ provided that $p$ ( $s$ ) contains a decaying exponentialwhich com pensates for the grow ing exponentialdue to $\operatorname{Re}(\quad)$.

Even if there is an overall grow ing exponential in inequality ( $\left(6 \bar{B}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$, adiabaticity could take place over a nite tim e interval [0;T] and, afterwards, disappear. In this case, which would be an exclusive feature of open system s , the crossover tim e T would be determ ined by an inequally of the type T $\quad a+b \exp (c T)$, with $c>0$. The coe cients $a ; b$ and $c$ are functions of the system -bath interaction. W hether the latter inequality can be solved clearly depends on the values of $a ; b ; c$, so that a conclusion about adiabaticity in this case is $m$ odeldependent.

## 2. G eneral Jordan blocks

W e show now that the hypotheses (a) and (b) can be relaxed, providing a generalization of P roposition IIV . 5 for the case ofm ultidim ensionalJordan blocks and Lind ${ }^{\text {- }}$ blad eigenvalues associated to $m$ ore than one independent eigenvector. Let us rede ne our general coe cient $r^{(i)}(t) a s$

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{(i)}(t)=p^{(i)}(t) e^{R_{t}} \quad\left(t^{0}\right) d t^{0} ; \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, applied to Eq. (5-3), y ields

$$
\begin{align*}
& p^{(i)}=p^{(i+1)} \\
& X \quad X^{n} X^{1} p^{(j)} h h E^{(i)} p^{(j)} \text { iie } \\
& j=\quad{ }^{j=0} \\
& X \quad{ }^{n} X^{1} p^{(j)} h h E^{(i)} p^{(j)} \text { iie : }  \tag{70}\\
& j \quad \neq \quad j=0
\end{align*}
$$

The above equation can be rew ritten in term $s$ of the scaled time $s=t=T$. The integration of the resulting expression then reads

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Z s } \\
& p^{(i)}(s)=p^{(i)}(0)+T \quad d s^{0} p^{(i+1)}\left(s^{0}\right) \\
& \text { X } \quad \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{ds} \mathrm{p}^{(j)}\left(s^{0}\right)^{(i j)}\left(s^{0}\right) e^{T} \quad\left(s^{0}\right) \\
& j=j 0 \\
& \text { X } \left.\quad X^{Z} \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{ds}^{0} \frac{(1)^{S_{p}} V^{(i j p)}\left(s^{0}\right)}{!^{p+S_{p}}\left(s^{0}\right)} e^{T} \quad \text { (s) }^{0}\right) \text {; } \tag{71}
\end{align*}
$$

where use has been $m$ ade of Eq. $\left(\overline{5} \overline{1}_{1}^{1}\right)$, w ith the sum over $j$ and $p$ in the last line denoting

$$
\begin{align*}
& X \quad{ }^{n} X^{1\left(r_{X}\right.} \quad{ }^{\text {i) }}{ }^{0} Y^{Y^{p}} \quad \begin{array}{l}
\left(j X^{S q} \quad 1\right)^{1} \\
A
\end{array}  \tag{72}\\
& j p \quad j=0 \quad p=1 \quad q=1 \quad k_{q}=0
\end{align*}
$$

The function ${ }^{(i j)}(\mathrm{s})$ is de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{(i j)}(\mathrm{s})=h \mathrm{HE}^{(\mathrm{i})}(\mathrm{s}) \frac{\mathrm{j}}{\mathrm{ds}} \mathrm{D}^{(\mathrm{j})}(\mathrm{s}) \text { ii; } \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $V^{(i j p)}$ (s) by

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{(i j p)}(s)=p^{(j)}(s) h h E^{(i+p ~ 1)}(s) j \frac{d L(s)}{d s} D^{\left(j s_{p}\right)}(s) i i: \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

The term $T{ }_{0}^{R_{s}} \mathrm{ds}^{0} p^{(i+1)}\left(s^{0}\right)$ in the rst line of Eq. (1711), which w as absent in the case of one-dim ensional Jordan blocks analyzed above, has no e ect on adiabaticity, since it does not cause any $m$ ixing of Jordan blocks. T herefore, the analysis can proceed very sim ilarly to the case ofonedim ensionalblocks. R ew riting the integralin the last line
 im posing the absence of $m$ ixing of the eigenvalues $;$
, i.e., the negligibility of the last line of Eq. (711), we nd the follow ing general theorem ensuring the adiabatic behavior of an open system.

Theorem IV . 6 C onsider an open quantum system govemed by a Lindblad superoperator $L(s)$. Then the adiabatic dynam ics in the interval 0 s occurs if and only if the following time conditions, obtained for each ooe cient $p^{(i)}(\mathrm{s})$, are satis ed:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{V^{(i j p)}(0)}{!^{p+S_{p}+1}(0)} \frac{V^{(i j p)}(s) e^{T}}{!^{p+S_{p}+1}(s)} \\
& +\mathrm{Z}_{0}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{ds} \mathrm{~s}^{0} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{T}} \quad\left(\mathrm{~s}^{0}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{ds}} \frac{\mathrm{~V}^{(i j p)}\left(\mathrm{s}^{0}\right)}{!^{p+s_{p}+1}\left(s^{0}\right)} \quad \text { : } \tag{75}
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem adiabaticity in open quantum system $s$. The com $m$ ents $m$ ade about sim plifying circum stances, in the case ofonedim ensionalblocks above, hold here as well. M oreover, a sim pler su cient condition can be derived from Eq. (75) by considering the term $w$ ith $m$ axim um absolute value in the sum. T his procedure leads to the follow ing corollary:

C orollary IV . 7 A su cient tim e condition for the adiabatic regim e of an open quantum system governed by a Lindblad superoperator $L(t)$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{T} \quad \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{ij}}^{\mathrm{n}} \quad \max _{0}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~s} \frac{\mathrm{~V}^{\text {(ijp) }}(0)}{!^{\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{p}}+1}(0)} \quad \frac{\mathrm{V}^{(\mathrm{ijp})}(\mathrm{s}) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{T}} \quad(\mathrm{~s})}{!^{\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{p}}+1}(\mathrm{~s})} \\
& +\int_{0}^{Z} d s^{0} e^{T} \quad\left(s^{0}\right) \frac{d}{d s^{0}} \frac{V^{(i j p)}\left(s^{0}\right)}{!^{p+s_{p}+1}\left(s^{0}\right)} \text {; } \tag{76}
\end{align*}
$$

where $m$ ax is taken over all possible values of the indices
G , i, j, and p, with

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{(n+n \quad i+1)!}{(n \quad i+1)!n!} n \quad 1 \text {; } \tag{77}
\end{align*}
$$

```
where denotes the num ber of Jordan blocks such that
    &
D . Physical interp retation of the adiabaticity condition
```

$T$ here are various equivalent w ays in which to intenpret the adiabatic theorem for closed quantum system s [id particularly useful interpretation follows from Eq. (2G): the evolution tim e m ust be much longer than the ratio of the norm of the tim e derivative of the H am iltonian to the square of the spectral gap. In other words, either the Ham iltonian changes slow ly, or the spectral gap is large, or both. It is tem pting to interpret our results in a sim ilar fashion, which we now do.
 tim e derivative of the $L$ indblad superoperator. $H$ ow ever, the appearance of $\exp [T \mathrm{Re}$ (s)] in Eq. (7-1) has no
analog in the closed-system s case, because the eigenvalues of the $H$ am iltonian are real, while in the open-system $s$ case the eigenvalues of the $L$ indblad superoperator $m$ ay have im aginary parts. $T$ his im plies that adiabaticity is a phenom enon which is not guaranteed to happen in open system $s$ even for very slow ly varying interactions. Indeed, from $T$ heorem S such system evolutions include the decoupling of Jordan blocks only over a nite time interval (disappearing afterw ards), or even the case of com plete absence ofdecoupling for any tim e $T$, which im plies no adiabatic evolution whatsoever.

T he quantity!, by Eq. (4) the spectralgap in the open-system case. There are two notew orthy di erences com pared to the closed-system case. $F$ irst, the ! can be complex. This im plies that the di erences in decay rates, and not just in energies, play a role in detem ining the relevant gap for open system s . Second, for m ultidim ensional Jordan blocks, the term $s$ ! depend on distinct powers for distinct pairs ; . T hus certain! (those with the higher exponents) w ill play a m ore dom inant role than others.
$T$ he conditions for adiabaticity are best illustrated further via exam ples, one of which we provide next.
V. EXAMPLE:THEADIABATICEVOLUTION OFAN OPEN QUANTUM TW O-LEVEL SYSTEM

In order to illustrate the consequences of open quantum system adiabatic dynam ics, let us consider a concrete exam ple that is analytically solvable. Suppose a quantum two-level system, w ith intemal H am ittonian $H=(!=2) \quad z$, and described by the $m$ aster equation (द) $)$, is sub jected to tw o sources of decoherence: spontaneous em ission $1_{1}(t)=(t)$ and bit ips $2(t)=(t) \times r$ where $=x \quad i_{y}$ is the lowering operator. $W$ riting the density operator in the basis $f I_{2} ; ~ x ; ~ y ; ~ z g, i . e ., ~ a s ~$ $=\left(I_{2}+\frac{1}{v}!\right)=2$, Eq. (3- $\left.\underline{U}^{-}\right)$results in

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \quad 0 \quad 1 \quad 0 \quad 0^{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $v_{x}(t), v_{y}(t)$, and $v_{z}(t)$ are real functions providing the coordinates of the quantum state $j(t) i i$ on the $B$ loch sphere. T he Lindblad superoperator is then given by

$L(t)=$| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B | 0 | $2^{2}$ | $!$ | 0 | C |
| 0 | $!$ | $2^{2}$ | $2^{2}$ | 0 | A : |
| $4^{2}$ | 0 | 0 | $4^{2}$ | $2^{2}$ |  |

In order to exhibit an exam ple that has a nontrivialJordan block structure, we now assum e ${ }^{2}=$ ! (which can in practice be obtained by $m$ easuring the relaxation rate and correspondingly adjusting the system frequency !).
$W$ e then have three di erent eigenvalues for $L(t)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1=0 ; \\
& 2=2^{2} \quad{ }^{2} \text { (tw ofold degenerate) } \\
& 3=4^{2} \quad 2^{2} \text {; }
\end{aligned}
$$

which are associated with the follow ing three independent (unnorm alized) right eigenvectors:

with $f(;)=1 \quad\left(=2^{2}\right)$. Sim ilarly, for the left eigenvectors, we nd

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{hhE}_{1}^{(0)} j=1=\mathrm{f}(;) ; 0 ; 0 ; 0 ; \\
& \operatorname{hhE}_{2}^{(1)} j=0 ;{ }^{2} ;{ }^{2} ; 0 ; \\
& \operatorname{hhE}_{3}^{(0)} j=\quad 1=f(;) ; 0 ; 0 ; 1: \tag{81}
\end{align*}
$$

The Jordan form of $L(t)$ can then be written as

$$
L_{J}(t)=\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1  \tag{82}\\
\mathrm{~B} & 0 & 2^{2} & 2 & 1 & 0
\end{array} \begin{gathered}
C \\
0
\end{gathered}
$$

(observe the tw o-dim ensionalm iddle Jordan block), w ith the transform ation $m$ atrix leading to the Jordan form being

$$
S(t)=\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & f(;) & 0 & 0 & 0^{1}  \tag{83}\\
\mathrm{~B} & 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 \mathrm{C} \\
& 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
A
\end{array}:
$$

$N$ ote that, in our exam ple, each eigenvalue of $L(t)$ is associated to a unique Jordan block, since we do not have $m$ ore than one independent eigenvector for each . We then expect that the adiabatic regim e w ill be characterized by an evolution which can be decom posed by single Jordan blocks. In order to show that this is indeed the case, let us construct a right and left basis preserving the block structure. To this end, we need to introduce a right and a left vector for the Jordan block related to the eigenvalue 2. As in Eqs. (3G) and (4d), we de ne the additional states as

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{0} 0^{1} \tag{84}
\end{align*}
$$

We then obtain, after applying the transform ations $D_{2}^{(1)}(t)$ ii $=S(t) D_{2}^{(1)}$ ii $\mathrm{J}_{J}$ and $h \mathrm{E}_{2}^{(0)}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{j}=$
${ }_{J h h E}^{2}{ }^{(0)}{ }^{j} S^{1}(\mathrm{t})$, the right and left vectors

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 00^{1} \\
& D_{2}^{(1)} i \text { ii }=\stackrel{B}{@} \quad{ }^{2} \stackrel{C}{\mathrm{~A}} ; \operatorname{htE}_{2}^{(0)} j=0 ; 0 ; 1 ; 0 \text { : } \\
& 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Expanding the coherence vector in the basis $\mathrm{D}^{(j)}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{ii}$,


$$
\begin{align*}
& f(;) r^{(0)}+f(;) r_{1}^{(0)}=0 ; \\
& \underline{r}_{2}^{(0)} \quad 2-\frac{-}{3} r_{2}^{(1)}+\frac{r_{z}^{(1)}}{2}=2^{2}+{ }^{2} r_{2}^{(0)} 2 \frac{2}{2} r_{2}^{(1)} ; \\
& \underline{r}_{2}^{(0)}=r_{2}^{(1)} \quad 2^{2}+{ }^{2} r_{2}^{(0)} ; \\
& \underline{r}_{1}^{(0)}+\underline{r}_{3}^{(0)}=4^{2} 2^{2} r_{3}^{(0)} ; \tag{86}
\end{align*}
$$

It is im $m$ ediately apparent from Eq. ( 8 - $\overline{\mathrm{G}})$ that the block related to the eigenvalue 2 is already decoupled from the rest. On the other hand, by virtue of the last equation, the blocks associated to 1 and 3 are coupled, im plying a $m$ ixing in the evolution of the coe cients $r_{1}^{(0)}(t)$ and $r_{3}^{(0)}(t)$. The role of the adiabaticity $w$ ill then be the suppression of this coupling. W e note that in this sim ple exam ple, the coupling betw een $r_{1}^{(0)}(t)$ and $r_{3}^{(0)}(t)$ would in fact also be elim inated by im posing the probability conservation condtion $\operatorname{Tr}=1$. H ow ever, in order to discuss the e ects of the adiabatic regim e, let us perm it a general tim e evolution of all coe cients (i.e., probability \leakage") and analyze the adiabatic constraints. T he validity condition for adiabatic dynam ics, given by Eq. $\left(\overline{5}^{-} \mathbf{7}_{1}\right)$, yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\operatorname{hhE}_{3}^{(0)} \mathrm{j}-\mathrm{D}_{1}^{(0)} \mathrm{i}}{1}=\frac{2^{2}=2^{2}+2^{2}}{3}-j_{1} \quad 3 j: \tag{87}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e rst note that we have here the possibility of an adiabatic evolution even w thout $L_{-(t)} 0$ in general (i.e., for allits m atrix elem ents). Indeed, solving ${ }^{2}==\quad$, Eq. (8-1) im plies that independent evolution in Jordan blocks will occur for ( t ) / ( t ). Since $\mathrm{f}($; ) $=$
$1 \quad\left({ }^{2}=2^{2}\right)$ is then constant in tim $e$, it follow $s$, from Eq. $\left(\overline{8} \overline{\sigma_{1}}\right)$, that $r_{1}^{(0)}(t)$ is constant in tim $e$, which in tum ensures the decoupling of $r_{1}^{(0)}(t)$ and $r_{3}^{(0)}(t)$. In this case, it is a dynam ical sym metry (constancy of the ratio of $m$ agnitudes of the spontaneous em ission and bit- ip processes), rather than the general slow ness of $\mathrm{L}_{-}(\mathrm{t})$, that is responsible for the adiabatic behavior. The sam e conclusion is also obtained from the adiabatic condition ( $\overline{5}_{1}^{2}$ '). Of course, Eq. $\left(87_{1}\right)$ is autom atically satis ed if $\overline{\mathrm{L}}$ ( t ) is slow ly varying in tim $e$, which $m$ eans _( $t$ ) 0 and _(t) 0 . A ssum ing this last case, the follow ing solution is found:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{1}^{(0)}(t)=r_{1}^{(0)}(0) ; \\
& r_{2}^{(0)}(t)=r_{2}^{(1)}(0) t+r_{2}^{(0)}(0) e^{\left(2^{2}{ }^{2}\right) t} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& r_{2}^{(1)}(t)=r_{2}^{(1)}(0) e^{\left(2^{2}{ }^{2}\right) t} ; \\
& r_{3}^{(0)}(t)=r_{3}^{(0)}(0) e^{\left(4^{2} 2^{2}\right) t}: \tag{88}
\end{align*}
$$

It is clear that the evolution is independent in the three distinct Jordan blocks, w ith functions $r^{(i)}$ ( $t$ ) belonging to di erent sectors evolving separately. The only $m$ ixing is betw een $r_{2}^{(0)}(t)$ and $r_{2}^{(1)}(t)$, which are com ponents of the the sam eblock. The decoupling of the coe cients $r_{1}^{(0)}(\mathrm{t})$ and $r_{3}^{(0)}(t)$ in the adiabatic lim it is exhibited in $F$ ig. $\overline{11}$. O bserve that the adiabatic behavior is recovered as the dependence of ( $(t)$ and $(t)$ on $t$ becom es negligible.


FIG. 1: P aram etric evolution of the coe cients $r_{3}^{(0)}(t)$ and $r_{1}^{(0)}(t)$ for $0 \quad t \quad$ 1. The initial conditions are $r_{1}^{(0)}(0)=$ $r_{3}^{(0)}(0)=1: 0$ and the decoherence param eters are taken as linear functions of tim e, i.e., $\quad(t)=0+$ at and $\quad(t)=0+a t$, w ith $0=1: 0$ and $0=0: 5$. The m aster equation is solved num erically for $a>0$. In the adiabatic regim e, corresponding to $a=0$, the evolution of $r_{1}^{(0)}(t)$ and $r_{3}^{(0)}(t)$ is decoupled, w ith $r_{1}^{(0)}(t)=1$ independently of the value of $r_{3}^{(0)}(t)$.

The original coe cients $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{x}}, \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{y}}$, and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{z}}$ in the B loch sphere basis $\mathrm{fI}_{2} ; \mathrm{x} \boldsymbol{;} \mathrm{y} \boldsymbol{i}{ }_{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{g}$ can be written as com binations of the functions $r^{(i)}$. Equation (8q) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{x}(t)=v_{x}(0)+\left(v_{x}(0) \quad v_{y}(0)\right)^{2} t e^{\left(2^{2}{ }^{2}\right) t} ; \\
& v_{y}(t)=v_{y}(0)+\left(v_{x}(0) \quad v_{y}(0)\right)^{2} t e^{\left(2^{2}{ }^{2}\right) t} \\
& v_{z}(t)=v_{z}(0) \frac{1}{f(;)} e^{\left(4^{2} 2^{2}\right) t}+\frac{1}{f(;)} \text { (89) }
\end{aligned}
$$

w ith the initial conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{x}}(0)=r_{2}^{(0)}(0)+{ }^{2} r_{2}^{(1)}(0) \\
& \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{y}}(0)=r_{2}^{(0)}(0) ; \\
& \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{z}}(0)=\frac{1}{\mathrm{f}(;)}+r_{3}^{(0)}(0) ; \tag{90}
\end{align*}
$$

where now $r_{1}^{(0)}(0)=1=f(;)$ has been im posed in order to satisfy the Tr $=1$ nom alization condition. The
$B$ loch sphere is then characterized by an asym ptotic decay of the $B$ loch coordinates $v_{x}$ and $v_{y}, w$ th $v_{z}$ approach ing the constant value $1=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{i}$ ) .

Finally, let us com $m$ ent on the analysis of adiabaticity in term $s$ of the conditions derived in Sec. ' $\overline{\mathrm{V}} \overline{\mathrm{V}} \overline{\mathrm{C}}^{\prime}$, for the total tim e of evolution. Looking at the $m$ atrix elem ents of $L-(t)$, it can be shown that, for $\}$, the only term $V^{(i j p)}$ de ned by Eq. (744) which can be a priori nonvanishing is $V_{13}$. Therefore, we have to consider the energy di erence ! $13=4^{2}+2^{2}$. A ssum ing that the decoherence param eters and are nonvanishing, we have $!_{13}>0$ and hence $13>0$. This signals the breakdow $n$ of adiabaticity, unless $V_{13}=0$. H ow ever, as we saw above, $V_{13} / \operatorname{hhE}_{3}^{(0)} j \Psi-D_{1}^{(0)} i i=2^{2}=2$ _and thus $\mathrm{V}_{13}=0$ indeed implies the adiabaticity condition
( t ) / ( t$)_{\mathrm{I}}$ in agreem ent w th the results obtained from Theorem $\overline{\mathbb{I N}} \overline{2}$. In this (dynam ical sym $m$ etry) case adiabaticity holds exactly, while if (t) is not proportional to
$(t)$, then there can be no adiabatic evolution. T hus, the present exam ple, despite nicely illustrating our concept of adiabaticity in open system s , does not present us w ith the opportunity to derive a nontrivial condition on $T$; such $m$ ore general exam ples willbe discussed in a future publication.

## VI. CONCLUSIONSAND OUTLOOK

The concept of adiabatic dynam ics is one of the pillars of the theory of closed quantum system s. H ere we have introduced its generalization to open quantum system s . W e have show n that under appropriate slow ness conditions the tim e-dependent Lindblad superoperator decom poses into dynam ically decoupled Jordan blocks,
which are preserved under the adiabatic dynam ics: Our key results are sum $m$ arized in $T$ heorem siviv 2 and $\mathbb{I N} . \mathbb{A}^{\prime}$ which state su cient (and necessary in the case of $T$ heorem ' $\mathbb{I V}$. (d) ) conditions for adiabaticity in open quantum system s. In particular, Theorem IN also provides the condition for breakdow $n$ of the adiabatic evolution. This feature has no analog in the $m$ ore restricted case of closed quantum system $s$. It follow s here from the fact that the Jordan eigenvalues of the dynam ical superoperator $\{$ the generalization of the real eigenvalues of a H am iltonian \{ can have an im aginary part, which can lead to unavoidable transitions betw een Jordan blocks. It is w orth $m$ entioning that all of our results have been derived considering system $s$ exhibiting gaps in the Lindblad eigenvalue spectrum. It would be interesting to understand the notion of adiabaticity when no gaps are available, as sim ilarly done for the closed case in Refs. [29', '30'1]. M oreover, tw o particularly intriguing applications of the theory presented here are to the study of geom etric phases in open system $s$ and to quantum adiabatic algorithm $s$, both of which have received considerable recent attention $[16,1], 1$ for future research.
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