BCS-like Modew ise Entanglement of Fermion Gaussian States

Alonso Botero

Departamento de F sica, Universidad de Los Andes,

Apartado Aereo 4976, Bogota, Colombia

Benni Reznik^y

Department of Physics and Astronomy,

Tel-Aviv University, Tel Aviv 69978, Israel.

(Dated: March 29, 2024)

We show that with respect to any bipartite division of modes, pure ferm ion gaus-

sian states display the same type of structure in its entanglement of modes as that

of the BCS wave function, namely, that of a tensor product of entangled two-mode

squeezed ferm ion states. We show that this structure applies to a wider class of

\isotropic" m ixed ferm ion states, for which we derive necessary and su cient condi-

tions for mode entanglement.

The nature of many-body entanglement in various solid-state models such as spin chains,

superconductivity, and harm onic chains, has been a recent subject of intense investigation

[1-12]. The motivation for this e ort is two-fold: on the one hand, such systems exhibit a

rich entanglem ent structure which could potentially be harmessed for quantum information

processing [7, 8, 9, 10]; on the other hand, this e ort prom is to deepen our understanding

of certain universal features in many-body physics (such as quantum phase transitions (and

their relation to entanglement [2-5,12].

In this Letter we investigate the properties of bipartite ferm ion mode entanglement [1]

for a wide class of models describing correlated ferm ion systems, and show that this en-

tanglem ent displays a simple, universal structure. As it is well known, under certain

transform ations or after suitable approximations, a large class of interacting theories can be

E lectronic address: abotero@uniandes.edu.co

^yE lectronic address: reznik@ post.tau.ac.il

m apped to e ective theories described by quadratic H am iltonians of the generic form

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} X & X & X \\ C_{ij}b_{i}^{y}b_{j} + & (A_{ij}b_{i}^{y}b_{j}^{y} + hx;); \end{pmatrix}$$
 (1)

which are then diagonalized through Bogoliubov-Valatin (i.e., canonical) transform ations[25] to appropriate quasi-particle mode bases. Important classes of such theories include the Hubbard Model and the BCS theory of superconductivity in the 'Mean Field' or Hartree-Fock approximation, as well as certain exactly solvable spin-chain models after a Jordan-Wigner transformation [11, 12]. As we shall shortly clarify, an important feature of the above class of Hamiltonians is that not only the ground states (the quasi-particle vacuum), but also all eigenstates describing a denite set of quasi-particle excitations, belong to the general class of fermion gaussian states. The bipartite entanglement structure of the eigenstates of (1) is then given by the following result, which is applicable to all pure gaussian fermion states, and which constitutes the main result of this Letter:

Given a collection of N -ferm ion systems or \m odes", partitioned into two arbitrary sets, $A = fA_i; ::: ; A_m g$ and $B = fB_1 ::: ; B_n g$, of sizes m and n = N m, respectively, any pure ferm ion gaussian state j i_{AB} of the modes may always be written as

$$j i_{AB} = j^{e_1} i_{e_1 e_1} j^{e_2} i_{e_2 e_2} ::: j^{e_3} i_{e_3 e_3} j i_{e_F} j i_{e_F} j i_{e_F}$$

Here, s $\min(m;n)$, and $A = fA_1 ::: ;A_m g$, $B = fB_1 ;::: ;B_n g$ are new sets of modes obtained from A and B, respectively, through local ferm ion canonical transform ations. The states j_k^e are two-mode ferm ion squeezed states of the form

$$j_{k}^{e} i_{\mathcal{H}_{k}\mathcal{H}_{k}} = \cos_{k} j_{0} i_{\mathcal{H}_{k}\mathcal{H}_{k}} \quad \sin_{k} j_{1} i_{\mathcal{H}_{k}\mathcal{H}_{k}}; \tag{3}$$

entangling the modes \mathcal{R}_k and \mathcal{B}_k for k s, and $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{R}_F}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{R}_F}$ are products of vacuum states for the remaining modes in \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{B} respectively.

Together with a similar decomposition obtained for boson gaussian states in [13,14], the above result shows that the bipartite entanglement structure of pure multimode gaussian states is of 1—1-entangled mode pairs independently of the statistics, the partition of modes, or the nature of the basis in which the partition is performed. The present result shows that in the fermion case, the mode-pairing is similar to that of the BCS wave function $\beta CSi = \frac{1}{k} (\cos k + \sin kb_k^y b_k^y)$ jyaci, except that the vacuum state and the pairwise excitations in (2) are all relative to a quasi-particle spectrum determined by the multimode state ji and the choice of partition.

As in the boson case investigated in [13], it can be shown that the above theorem and the decomposition (2), follows for an arbitrary pure Gaussian Ferm i state from the properties of the Schm idt decomposition, and from the comparison of the reduced density matrices in their normal form, at regions A and B. In this letter we shall, however, follow a more general fram ework, which enables us to generalize this theorem to a certain class of \isotropic" mixed gaussian states, characterized by a symmetry property of the covariance matrices. The remainder of the Letter is thus structured as follows: we ret review ferm ion gaussian states, canonical transformations, and dene the fermion covariance matrix; next, we dene isotropic gaussian states and prove a general decomposition for isotropic states in the language of covariance matrices; nally we also derive a necessary and su cient conditions for the entanglement of isotropic mixed states and close with some remarks on the applicability of our results.

Let a system of N ferm ion modes be described by a set of creation and annihilation operators $b_i^y; b_i$ satisfying anticommutation relations $fb_i^y; b_j^y g = fb_i; b_j g = 0$, $fb_i; b_j^y g = i_j$. We de nea ferm ion gaussian state for such a system as any state—that, with a certain choice of mode basis $\mathfrak{B}_i = u_i{}^j b_i + v_i{}^j b_j^y$ preserving the ferm ion anticommutation algebra, acquires the form [15]

$$= \bigcup_{k=1}^{\mathbb{N}} e_k ; \quad e_k = \frac{1}{2} \quad 1 \quad {}_{\underline{i}} \mathcal{B}_{\underline{i}}^{\underline{y}}; \mathcal{B}_{\underline{i}}] ; \qquad (4)$$

with $j_ij = 1$ and $j_ij = 1$ for pure states (note that can also be written in the form $= Z^{-1} \exp(-\frac{E_i^*E_i}{E_i})$). Ferm ion gaussian states share with their boson counterparts the property that correlation functions for the creation/annihilation operators are completely determined by the two-point functions according to Wick's theorem [16]. Moreover, since this property is extensible to correlation function pertaining to a reduced subset of the modes, it follows that any partial (reduced) density matrix obtained from remains gaussian [17].

The gaussian nature of a state is preserved under any unitary transform ation that induces a canonical linear transform ation of the ferm ion variables, in other words, that preserves the anticom mutation relations. To determ ine the group of canonical transform ations, it becomes convenient to replace the N creation and annihilation operators by 2N herm itian \ferm ion quadrature" combinations

$$a_{2i 1} = b_i + b_i^y;$$
 $a_{2i} = i(b_i \ b_i^y);$ (5)

The anticommutation relations can then be seen to be a consequence of an \mathbb{R}^{2N} Cli ord (or Dirac) algebra [21]

$$f g = 2$$
 (6)

satis ed by the . Inspection of (6) then shows that any linear transform ation of the form e = 0; where 0 2 0 (2N) (the full orthogonal group in 2N dimensions), preserves the C li ord algebra (6) and hence the anticom mutation algebra of the ferm ion elds.

$$a^{y}$$
 $a = [2a a]$; (7)

in R^{2n} , this inversion is equivalent to an SO (2N) rotation times a rejection along a. Since any orthogonal transform ation can be generated from a product of a certain number of such rejections along different axes[23], it follows that any orthogonal transformation of the 's can be induced by a suitably chosen unitary operator of the form $U = a_1 a_2 a_3 ::: a_p$ with $a_1 a_2 a_3 ::: a_p a_3 a_4 ::: a_4 a_5 a_5 a_6$.

The group of operations thus de ned is the P in (2N) group, the double cover of O (2N) [21, 22, 23]. In turn, the subgroup of P in (2N) generated by an even number of re ections is the covering group of SO (2N), Spin (2N), associated with the linear ferm ion canonical transform ations (also known as the Bogoliubov-Valatin [25] or squeeze transform ations [26]). More generally, P in (2N) includes in proper orthogonal transform ations (det (O) = 1) generated by an odd number of re ections, and which may in fact be forbidden due to ferm ion number superselection rules in a fundamental ferm ion theory. This fact, however, does not a ect the generality of the results presented here. Since an improper transform ation is a SO (2N) rotation times some canonical re ection, an improper transform ation is equivalent to a proper transform ation but with the role of the creation and annihilation operators exchanged for at most one mode.

An important consequence of the O (2N) equivalence of ferm ion gaussian states is that all multiparticle eigenstates obtained from a given vacuum are gaussian. Indeed, if jvaci

is the ground state of a certain Ham iltonian, annihilated by the b_i operators in a given quasi-particle basis, then for each mode it follows that b_i^y jvaci = $_{2i}$ jvaci; it therefore follows that multiparticle states are obtained from some U 2 P in (2N) acting on jvaci. Since the vacuum state is gaussian, the resulting state will also be gaussian.

We next de ne for any gaussian quantum state the ferm ion Covariance Matrix (FCM) as

$$M = \frac{1}{2i} h[;]i :$$
 (8)

Being an antisymmetric 2N 2N matrix, the FCM can always be brought to the block diagonal form

by means of a O (2N) transform ation, and with the $_{\rm i}$ 0. In terms of the creation/annihilation operators obtained from the transformed gamma's e = 0, the gaussian state then takes the form (4) with W as its FCM . By setting all the $_{\rm i}$ positive or zero, we obtain a unique characterization of a ferm ion gaussian state (up to relabelling of the modes) analogous to the so-called W illiam son normal form of the boson case [13, 18]. We shall therefore term the canonical form W as the ferm ion W illiam son form, and the f $_{\rm i}$ g ($_{\rm i}$ 0) in W as the W iliam son eigenvalues.

Now, the W illiam son spectrum can be obtained from the doubly degenerate spectrum of the matrix M^2 . This provides a simple characterization of pure gaussian states in terms of their FCM; since such states are unitarily equivalent to pure states of the form (4), with i=1; 8i, the pure FCM is satisfy the condition $M^2=1$. Pure gaussian states can then be viewed as the extremal states in a more general class of isotropic gaussian states, for which the square of their FCM is remains invariant under any 0 (2N) transform ation:

$$M^2 = {}^2_0 1; \qquad {}_0 1:$$
 (10)

It is then possible to show that if M is the FCM of an isotropic gaussian state, and if the modes are separated into two sectors A and B (= $_{\rm A}$ $_{\rm B}$), then there exist local orthogonal transform ations $e_{\rm A}$ = $0_{\rm A}$ and $e_{\rm B}$ = $0_{\rm B}$ such that the upon re-ordering the modes the FCM takes the form

$$\stackrel{\ }{M} = \stackrel{\ }{M}_{R_1R_1} \qquad \stackrel{\ }{M}_{R_2R_2} \qquad \qquad \cdots \qquad \stackrel{\ }{M}_{R_SR_S} \qquad \stackrel{\ }{M}_{R_F} \qquad \stackrel{\ }{M}_{R_F} \qquad \qquad ;$$
(11)

where M is isotropic for the entangled pair sector $\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{r}_{i}\mathbf{r}_{i}} = \mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{r}_{i}}$ and of the form

and $M_{\mathfrak{F}_F}$ and $M_{\mathfrak{F}_F}$ are isotropic FCM 's with W illiam son eigenvalue $_0$ for the remaining m odes in the A and B sectors respectively. From the correspondence between FCMs and gaussian mixed states, it then follows that in the new mode basis, the isotropic ferm ion gaussian state $_{AB}^{(0)}$ takes the form

$$e_{\mathcal{P}_{1}\mathcal{P}_{1}} = e_{\mathcal{P}_{2}\mathcal{P}_{2}} = e_{\mathcal{P}_{2}\mathcal{P}_{2}} = e_{\mathcal{P}_{3}\mathcal{P}_{5}} = e_{\mathcal{P}_{F}}^{(0)} = e_{\mathcal{P}_{F}}^{(0)}$$
 (13)

where $e_{\mathbb{A}_{i}\mathbb{B}_{i}}$ are two-mode ferm ion squeezed states with FCM of the form (12), and $e_{\mathbb{A}_{F}}^{(0)}$ and $e_{\mathbb{A}_{F}}^{(0)}$ are local isotropic states for the remaining modes in \mathbb{A}_{F} and \mathbb{B}_{F} . We note that for an FCM of the form (12), the corresponding two-modes squeezed state may be written as

$$\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{i}}\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{i}}} = \frac{1}{4}\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{i}} \quad \mathbf{1} \quad \mathbf{0} \quad \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{i}}}^{\mathbf{y}} \quad \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{i}}} \quad \mathbf{1} \quad \mathbf{0} \quad \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{i}}}^{\mathbf{y}} \quad \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{i}}} \quad \mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathbf{y}}$$

$$(14)$$

with T_i an entangling unitary operator

$$T_{i} = \exp \qquad \begin{array}{c} P_{\mathcal{P}_{i}} & P_$$

Thus, in the pure case $_0=1$, the two-m ode entangled states become projection operators onto two-m ode squeezed states $T_i j 0 i_{_{\mathcal{P}_i \mathcal{P}_i}}$ which expand out to the form (2), whereas the unentangled states become projection operators onto the vacuum states of the remaining modes.

To prove the decomposition (11), rst perform local orthogonal transform ations $\mathbf{q}_{B} = (O_{A} \quad O_{B})_{A} \quad _{B}$ bringing each of the local FCM sM $_{A} = \frac{1}{2i}h[_{A};_{A}^{T}]i$, M $_{B} = \frac{1}{2i}h[_{B};_{B}^{T}]i$ into the canonical William son form (9), with all $_{i}$ 0. The total FCM thus obtained may be written as

$$\sqrt{h} = \frac{1}{2i} h[e; e^{T}] i = 0 \qquad W_{A} \qquad R^{e} \qquad A \qquad ;$$
(16)

with $W_A = \begin{bmatrix} L_m \\ i=1 \end{bmatrix}_{\Re_i} J_2$ and $W_B = \begin{bmatrix} L_n \\ i=1 \end{bmatrix}_{\Re_i} J_2$. Substituting into the denition of the isotropic matrix $M^2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$, the following equation is obtained from (16)

$$W_{A} R + R W_{B} = 0 (17)$$

Consider then a 2-2 sub-block \Re_{ij} ih $\frac{T}{\Re_i - \Re_j}$ i of \Re connecting them odes with eigenvalues and $\frac{T}{\Re_i - \Re_j}$. From equation (17) and using $J_2^2 = -\mathbb{1}_2$, we not that

$$_{R_{ij}}R_{ij} = _{R_{ij}}J_2R_{ij}J_2$$
: (18)

For $_{\mathcal{P}_{i}}$ this equation has no solution other than $\mathcal{R}_{ij} = 0$, m eaning that m odes in A and m odes B with dierent W illiam son eigenvalues decouple.

Thus, let $e_{_{\! H}}$ and $e_{_{\! H}}$ stand for the modes in A and B with the same local William son eigenvalue , and group the modes according to their eigenvalues so that M takes the Jordan form M = L M where each block M is the FCM for the modes in A and B with a common local William son eigenvalue . Concentrating on a given , let g_A and g_B be the degeneracies of in the William son spectra of W A and W B respectively, so that M m ay be written as

$$\mathbf{N}^{\mathbf{f}} = \mathbf{0} \quad \mathbf{J}_{2g_{\mathbf{A}}} \quad \mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{e}} \quad \mathbf{A} \quad \mathbf{J}_{2g} \quad \mathbf{J}_{2};$$

$$\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{e}^{\mathrm{T}}} \quad \mathbf{J}_{2g_{\mathbf{B}}} \quad \mathbf{J}_{2g} \quad \mathbf{J}_{2};$$
(19)

Now de ne = $\begin{pmatrix} p & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ and note that M is also an isotropic FCM with symplectic eigenvalue $_0$. Thus, M $_2$ = $_0^2$ yields

$$\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}} \ \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{T}} = {}^{2}\mathbb{1}_{2g_{A}} ; \quad \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{T}} \ \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}} = {}^{2}\mathbb{1}_{2g_{B}}$$
 (20a)

$$J_{2g_{A}} \Re J_{2g_{B}} = \Re ;$$
 (20b)

where we have used the fact that $J_{2g}^2 = \mathbb{1}_{2g}$. Taking the trace of both equations in (20a) and using Tr_A [K K T] = Tr_B [K T K], we not that 2 (g_A g_B) = 0, proving that for Θ 0, the degeneracies of in the local FCM 's are the same. Thus, let G_A = G_B = G_B and express G_A in terms of an unspecied matrix G_A according to

Noting that $^2 = \mathbb{1}_{2g}$ and f ; $J_{2g}g = 0$, we not from Eqs. (20) that Q satisfies

$$Q Q^{T} = Q^{T}Q = \mathbb{1}_{2g} \quad Q J_{2g}Q^{1} = J_{2g};$$
 (22)

indicating that Q is an orthogonal symplectic transform ation. Hence, \mathbb{R}^2 can be brought to the block diagonal form $\mathbb{R}^{0} = \mathbb{R}^2$ by means of a one-sided orthogonal transform ation $\mathbb{Q}_A = \mathbb{Q}^T$, leaving the upper diagonal block of (19) intact. Grouping the resulting modes in each degenerate space as $e = \frac{g}{i=1} (e_{\mathbb{R}^i_1} - e_{\mathbb{R}^i_1})$, we achieve the pair-wise decomposition for e0, with each pair described by a covariance matrix of the form (12). For e0, the degeneracies on each side are not restricted, and equations (20) imply that e0, e0. Therefore, local modes with symplectic eigenvalue e0 decouple, as may be expected for the pure case e0 = 1.

We brie y comment on the quantication of bipartite entanglement as obtained from the m odew sie decom position. In a fundam ental ferm ion theory, the characterization of entanglem ent is som ew hat delicate, as one needs to take into account the absence of a natural tensor-product decomposition of the ferm ionic Hilbert space, as well as restrictions on the set of possible local operations posed by the anticom mutativity of ferm ion operators [27] and superselection rules due to ferm ion number conservation [28]. Two measures are available: the explicit entanglement content of the state with respect to the second quantized mode Fock space{quanti ed by the \entanglem em t of m odes" E_M [1], and the operationally accesible bipartite entanglem em ent of the state (quanti ed by the \entanglem ent of particles" $E_{\rm M}$ [28]. For the pure case of Eq. (2), $E_{\rm M}$ will simply be the sum of the von Neum ann entropies of the partial density matrices obtained from the Schmidt coe cients of the individual entangled mode pairs in Eq. (3). On the other hand, a characterization in term s of E_P is less direct, as the m easure is superadditive and will depend on the fundam ental ferm ion content of the mode bases in the decomposition. Nevertheless, since E_P and E_{M} coincide asymptotically [28], one may expect that for entangled states involving a large num ber of ferm ions, E_M should give a reasonable indication of the usable entanglement.

If m ixed ferm ion states are analyzed according to the E_{M} criterion, it is possible to asses the separability of isotropic gaussian states via the Peres-H orodecki partial transpose (PT) criterion [19]. Since a two-mode m ixed state (14) is equivalent to a two-qubit m ixed state, PT-negativity of at least one of the states $e_{\mathcal{P}_{S}\mathcal{P}_{S}}$ in Eq. (13) will then be sulcient [20] to ascertain the inseparability of the isotropic state $e_{\mathcal{P}_{S}\mathcal{P}_{S}}$ in Eq. (13) using the fact that the entangling operator $e_{\mathcal{P}_{S}\mathcal{P}_{S}}$ in (15) performs a rotation between $e_{\mathcal{P}_{S}\mathcal{P}_{S}}$ and $e_{\mathcal{P}_{S}\mathcal{P}_{S}}$ while leaving $e_{\mathcal{P}_{S}\mathcal{P}_{S}}$ and $e_{\mathcal{P}_{S}\mathcal{P}_{S}}$ unaltered, the matrix representation of e_{i} can easily be shown to take the block

form:

$$[e_{i}] = \frac{1}{4} \begin{pmatrix} (1 + i)^{2} + i & 2i & A & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 2i & (1 - i)^{2} + i & 0 \end{pmatrix} & A & \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & A$$
(23)

where the set block refers to the $\mathfrak{J}0i_{\mathfrak{F}_{i}\mathfrak{F}_{i}}$ and $\mathfrak{J}1i_{\mathfrak{F}_{i}\mathfrak{F}_{i}}$ vectors and the second to the $\mathfrak{J}0i_{\mathfrak{F}_{i}\mathfrak{F}_{i}}$ and $\mathfrak{J}0i_{\mathfrak{F}_{i}\mathfrak{F}_{i}}$ vectors. In this decomposition, the partial transpose operation amounts to swapping the o-diagonal elements between the two blocks. The negative partial transpose criterion for e_{i} then becomes $_{0}$ $_{i}>\frac{1}{2}(1)$. Note that this condition cannot be satisfied for any $_{i}$ if $_{0}<\frac{p}{2}$ 1 and is satisfied for all non-zero $_{i}$ in the pure case $_{0}=1$ as expected.

In conclusion, we have studied ferm ion mode entanglement and showed that under an arbitrary bi-partite division of a set of ferm ion modes, gaussian mode entanglement has a universal structure of a generalized BCS-like wave-function. Interestingly, unlike the gaussian boson case, our result applies not only to the ground state, but also to all denite excitation eigenstates of quadratic fermion Hamiltonians. Our result can be used for computing the bi-partite mode entanglement of a variety of states in a wide class of models, such as certain spin-chain models, and fermion liquids and superconductivity in the meaneld approximation, we believe that the present results can be helpful in connection with information processing applications involving coupled many-particle fermion systems or superconductors. For instance, when two such systems are coupled by a quadratic tunnelling interaction [29], our result in plies that under a proper choice of \local operators", the total wave function factorizes to a product of non-maximal EPR-like states.

A B. acknowledges support from Colciencias (contract 1204-05-13622). B.R. acknowledges the support of ISF grant 62/01-1.

^[1] P.Zanardi, Phys. Rev. A. 65 042201 (2002). P.Zanardi, X.W ang J.Phys. A, 35 7947 (2002).

^[2] K Audenaert, J. Eisert M. B. Plenio and R. F. Werner, Phys. Rev. A 66, 042327 (2002).

^[3] M B. Plenio, J. Hartley, J. Eisert, New J. Phys. 6, 36 (2004).

^[4] V. Vedral, quant-ph/0302040.

^[5] F. Verstraete, M. Popp, J.I. Cirac, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 027901 (2004).

^[6] A.Botero and B.Reznik, quant-ph/0403233.

- [7] D. Loss and D. P. D. Wincenzo, Phys. Rev. A 57, 120126 (1998).
- [8] P.Recher and D.Loss, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 267003 (2003).
- [9] C W J. Beenakker, M . K indermann, Phys Rev Lett. 92, 056801 (2004)
- [10] F. Verstraete and J.I. Cirac, quant-ph/0311130.
- [11] J. Gonzalez, M. A. Martin-Delgado, G. Sierra and A. H. Vozmediano, \Quantum Electron Liquids and High-T_C Superconductivity", (Springer, 1995).
- [12] E.S. Fradkin, \Field theories of condensed matter systems" (Addison-Wesley, 1995).
- [13] A.Botero and B.Reznik, Phys.Rev. A 67, 052311 (2003).
- [14] G.Giedke, J.Eisert, J.I.Cirac, M.B.Plenio Quant. Inf. Comp. 3, 211 (2003)
- [15] M.C.Chung and I.Peschel, Phys.Rev.B 64, 064412 (2001).
- [16] M . Gaudin, Nucl. Phys. 15 89 (1960).
- [17] I. Peschel, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 36, L205 (2003)
- [18] J.W illiam son, Amer.J.M ath.58, 141 (1936); R.Simon, E.C.G. Sudarshan and N.M ukunda, Phys.Rev.A 36 3868 (1987).
- [19] A. Peres, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 1413 (1996).
- [20] M. Horodecki, P. Horodecki and R. Horodecki, Phys. Lett. A 223, 1 (1996).
- [21] P. Lounesto. \Cli ord Algebras and Spinors", (Cambridge, 1997)
- [22] Y. Choquet-Bruhat and C. DeWitt-Morette, \Analysis, Manifolds and Physics", Vol. 2. (North Holland, Amsterdam, 1989)
- [23] I.M. Benn and R.W. Tucker. \An Introduction to Spinors and Geometry", (Adam Hilger, Bristol, 1987)
- [24] F.A.Berezin, \The Method of Second Quantization" (A cadem ic Press, New York, 1966)
- [25] N.N.Bogoliubov, Nuovo cimento 7, 794 (1958); J.G. Valatin, Nuovo cimento 7, 843 (1958).
- [26] K. Svozil, Phys. Rev. Lett. 65, 3341 (1990).
- [27] S.Bravyi, A.Kitaev, quant-ph/0003137.
- [28] H.M.W isem an, J.A. Vaccaro, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 097902 (2003).
- [29] M.H.Cohen, L.M. Falicov and J.C. Fillips, Phys. Rev. Lett. 8, 316 (1962).