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#### Abstract

W e dem onstrate the onset ofstrong on-site localization in a one-dim ensionalm any-particle system. The localization is obtained by constructing, in an explicit form, a bounded sequence of on-site energies that elim inates resonant hopping betw een both nearest and rem ote sites. This sequence leads to quasi-exponential decay of the single-particle transition am plitude. It also leads to strong localization of stationary many-particle states in a nite-length chain. For an in nite chain, we instead study the tim e during which all many-particle states rem ain strongly localized. W e show that, for any num ber of particles, th is tim e exceeds the reciprocal frequency of nearest-neighb or hopping by a factor $10^{5}$ already for a m oderate bandw idth of on-site energies. The proposed energy sequence is robust w ith respect to $s m$ all errors. The form ulation applies to ferm ions as well as penpetually coupled qubits. T he results show viability of quantum computing with tim eindependent qubit coupling.


PACS num bers: 03.67.Lx,72.15 Rn,75.10 P q,73.23..b

## I. IN TRODUCTION

Disorder-induced localization has been one of the centralproblem $s$ of condensed $m$ atter physics, starting $w$ ith the A nderson paper [1]. It has also attracted much attention in other physical contexts, quantum chaos being an example [2,3]. R ecent interest in quantum com puting has further em phasized its im portance and allowed looking at it from a som ew hat di erent perspective.

In $m$ any proposed physicalim plem entations of a quantum computer (Q C) the qubit-qubit interaction is not tumed o $4,5,6,7,8,9,10]$. $T$ he interaction $m$ ay lead to excitation hopping from one qubit to another. H ow ever, control and m easurem ent should be presum ably perform ed on individual qubits. T herefore it is essential to prevent excitation transfer betw een operations. This $m$ akes localization a prerequisite for quantum com puting w ith perpetually coupled qubits. Several approaches to quantum com puting w ith penpetually coupled qubits have been proposed recently $11,12,13]$

In a multi-excitation system like a system of interacting electrons or a Q C , inter-site (or inter-qubit) transitions are a m any-body e ect, they involve several excitations. In spite of the broad interest in the problem of $m$ any-particle localization, only a lim ited num ber of analytical results has been obtained [14]. N um erical results are also lim ited: classical com puters do not allow studying a large num ber of particles, because the H ibert space is exponentially large. On the other hand, Q C's $w$ ith penpetually coupled qubits provide a unique m eans
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for investigating localization in a controllable setting.
In this paper we study strong on-site m any-particle localization. It im plies that each particle (or excitation) is nearly com pletely con ned to one site. This is a stronger condition than just exponential decay of the w ave function, and it is this condition that must be $m$ et in a Q C.

A well-known argum ent suggests that it is hard to strongly localize a disordered many-particle system where the on-site energies are random and uniform ly distributed w ithin a nite-w idth band [15]. Indeed, consider a state where particles occupy N sites. For short-range hopping, it is directly coupled to / N other N-particle states. $W$ ith probability / $N$ one of them $w$ ill be in resonance w ith the initial state. For large $N$ this leads to state hybridization over time $J^{1}$, where $J$ is the inter-site hopping integral (we set $\sim=1$ ).

In a Q C, the quantity $J$ is determ ined by the qubitqubit interaction and usually characterizes the rate of tw o-qubit operations. At the sam e tim e, the qubit energies are not random and often can be individually controlled. It is this control that $m$ akes Q C's advantageous for studying $m$ any-particle localization, as it becom es possible to construct a \disordered" energy sequence site by site.

Localization can be considered from tw o points ofview. O ne is based on the analysis of stationary states of a m any-particle system. The other is based on studying the system dynam ics. C onsider a state $w$ ith particles occupying a given set of sites, which is called an on-site state (or a quantum register). A s a result of hopping it can hybridize w ith another on-site state w ith nearly the sam e energy. W e w ill study the tim e it takes for resonant hybridization to happen, which we call the localization lifetim e $t_{\text {loc }}$.

In a Q C all states have a nite coherence time due to coupling to the environm ent and extemal noise. For successfulQ C operation, delocalization should not occur during this tim $e$. For $m$ ost of the proposed $m$ odels of a $Q C$, the coherence tim e is . $10^{5} \mathrm{~J}^{1}$. Therefore it is sufcient to have the localization lifetime \& $10^{5} \mathrm{~J}^{1}$. Such lifetim e-based form ulation of the $m$ any-particle localization problem is relevant to condensed-m atter system $s$ as well, because of nite decay and decoherence tim es of quasiparticles for nonzero tem peratures.

H ere we construct a bounded sequence of on-site energies in a 1D chain and show that it leads to a long localization lifetime. W e provide evidence that it also leads to strong localization of $m$ any-particle stationary states in sections of the chain with length up to 12 sites. In a sense, this is an explicit construction of an e ciently localizing on-site disorder.

In a QC, on-site excitation energies are interlevel distances of the qubits. They can often be individually controlled, which $m$ akes it possible to construct an arbitrary energy sequence. H ow ever, since the qubit tuning range is lim ited, so should be the energy bandw idth. A sm aller bandw idth leads also to a higher speed of quantum gate operations, particularly ifthey involve changing qubitenergies [16]. O f course, condensed-m atter system $s$ alw ays have bounded bandw idth as well.

In condensed-m atter physics, localization by \controlled" disorder has been studied in depth and $m$ any interesting results have been obtained in the context of incom $m$ ensurate periodic potentials, see Refs. $17,18,19$ and papers cited therein. In contrast to this work, we are interested in strong on-site localization, and not only for single-, but in the rst place, for $m$ any-particle states. The \potential" that we propose is not quasiperiodic, and our analytical techniques, including the tim e-dependent form ulation for $m$ any-body system $s$, are di erent from the $m$ ethods developed for quasi-periodic potentials.

To strongly localize one particle, the di erence betw een excitation energies on neighboring sites should be much larger than the hopping integral $J$. H ow ever, even for nearest neighbor coupling, the energies of rem ote sites should also di er to prevent transitions betw een them via interm ediate (nonresonant) sites. The further aw ay the sites are, the sm aller their energy di erence can be. $T$ his idea is im plem ented in our energy sequence. A s a consequence, the single-particle transition am plitude displays nearly exponential decay w ith distance. W e show that the decay exponent weakly depends on site and nd rigorous bounds on its value.

Form any-particle localization one has to suppress not only single-particle, but also com bined resonances, which involve sim ultaneous transitions of several interacting excitations. There is no known way to elim inate all such resonances in an in nite system. H ow ever, w ith the increasing lorder" of the transition, i.e., the num ber of involved excitations and/or interm ediate virtual states, the e ective hopping integralm ay quickly fallo, lead-
ing to an increase of the transition tim e. Then to obtain a desired lifetim e of a localized state it is su cient to elim inate resonances up to a certain order. W e explicitly show how to do it up to fth order, for our sequence.

In a real system it $w$ illbe possible to tune the energies only w ith certain precision. We study how errors in the energies a ect localization and show that our sequence is stable $w$ ith respect to sm all errors. W e also dem onstrate that, in term $s$ of strong on-site localization, even for a sm allchain the constructed energy sequence ism uch better than fiully random on-site energies $w$ th the sam $e$ overall.bandw idth.

T he paper is organized as follow s. In Sec. II we discuss the $H$ am iltonian of coupled qubits and introduce a physically m otivated one-param eter sequence of on-site energies. In Sec. III one-particle localization of stationary states is studied and quasi-exponentialdecay of the transtition am plitude is dem onstrated. A rigorous proof of such decay is provided in A ppendix A. T he relevant scaling properties are analyzed in A ppendix B. In Sec. IV the inverse participation ratio is calculated form any-particle states in a section of a 1D chain. This ratio can be $m$ ade very close to one in a broad range of the param eter of the on-site energy sequence, but it also displays sharp resonant peaks as a function of this param eter. T hese peaks are discussed in A ppendix C. T he lifetim e of localized states is considered in Sec. V. A m inor modi cation of the energy sequence allow s one to open a gap in the spectrum of com bined $m$ any-excitation transitions up to 5th order, which is su cient for extrem ely long localization lifetim e. T he role oferrors in the on-site energies is studied, and robustness of the results $w$ ith respect to these errors is dem onstrated in Sec. VI. In Sec. V II a highly sym $m$ etric period-doubling sequence of on-site energies is analyzed along $w$ th a sequence of uncorrelated on-site energies. B oth are by far inferior, in term $s$ of localization, to the sequence discussed in Secs. II-V . Sec. V III contains concluding rem arks.

## II. THE M ODEL

The problem of localization can be form ulated in a sim ilar way for one-dim ensional system $s$ of interacting ferm ions and spins. The formulation also applies to qubits during the time when no gate operations and $m$ easurem ents are perform ed, i.e., there are no tim edependent elds that would $m$ odulate the qubits.

The relation between spin and qubit system $s$ is sim ple: qubits are two-level system $s$, and therefore can be described by $S=1=2$ spins in a $m$ agnetic eld. $T$ hen the excitation energy of a qubit becom es the Zeem an energy of a spin, whereas the qubit-qubit interaction becom es the exchange spin coupling. N ote that the physical interaction itself $m$ ay be of a totally di erent nature, e.g., electric dipolar or quadrupolar.

For $m$ any proposed realizations of $Q C$ 's the qubit excitation energies are large com pared to the interaction.

T hen the qubit-qubit interaction is described by the spincoupling H am iltonian of the form

$$
H_{S}=\frac{1}{2}^{X}{ }_{n ; m}^{0}\left[J_{n m}^{x x}\left(S_{n}^{x} S_{m}^{x}+S_{n}^{y} S_{m}^{y}\right)+J_{n m}^{z Z} S_{n}^{z} S_{m}^{z}\right]:(1)
$$

Here, $n ; m$ enum erate sites in the 1D spin chain, $z$ is the direction of the e ective $m$ agnetic eld, and $J_{n m}$ are the interaction param eters ( $=x ; y ; z$ ). In $m$ apping the qubit interaction on the exchange coupling we kept only those term $s$ which, in the $H$ eisenberg representation, do not oscillate at qubit transition frequencies. T his is why we have set $J_{n m}^{x x}=J_{n m}^{y y}$. In the case of qubits, the term $s$ $S_{n}^{x} S_{m}^{x}+S_{n}^{y} S_{m}^{y} \quad(1=2)\left(S_{n}^{+} S_{m}+S_{n} S_{m}^{+}\right)$lead to excitation transfer betw een the qubits $n$; $m$ provided their energies are close. W e note that the spin interaction of the form (1) conserves the num ber of excitations in the system.

It is convenient to m ap the spin system onto a system of spinless ferm ions via the Jordan W igner transform ation [21]. For nearest neighbor coupling, the $H$ am iltonian of the ferm ion system becom es

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{H}=\underset{\mathrm{X}}{\mathrm{H}_{0}}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}} ;  \tag{2}\\
& H_{0}=X^{X}{ }^{n}{ }_{n} a_{n}^{y} a_{n}+\frac{1}{2} J{ }^{X}{ }_{n} a_{n}^{y} a_{n+1}+a_{n+1}^{y} a_{n} \text {; } \\
& H_{i}=J \quad{ }_{n} a_{n}^{y} a_{n+1}^{y} a_{n+1} a_{n}:
\end{align*}
$$

Here, $a_{n}^{y} ; a_{n}$ are the ferm ion creation and annihilation operators; the presence of a ferm ion on site $n$ corresponds to the $n$th spin being excited. The param eter $J=J_{n n+1}^{x x}$ is the ferm ion hopping integral. The parameter $J=J_{n n+1}^{\mathrm{zz}}$ gives the interaction energy of ferm ions on neighboring sites. If the coupling of the underlying spins is isotropic, we have $=1$. The on-site ferm ion energies ${ }_{n}$ are the Zeem an energies of the spins (excitation energies of the qubits) counted o from the characteristic central energy which is the sam e for all spins inside the chain. For concreteness we set J; > 0 .

Localization, and in particular weak localization, is often described in term s of the decay of the w ave functions of stationary states at large distances. In contrast, here we are interested in strong on-site localization. It is determ ined by the short-rangebehavior of stationary states and corresponds to con nem ent of each particle to one or $m$ aybe a few neighboring sites.

Strong localization can be conveniently characterized by the inverse participation ratio ( $\mathbb{P} R$ ), which show sover how $m$ any sites the wave function spreads. For an $N-$ particle wave function $j_{N}$ i ( enum erates the stationary states) the $\mathbb{P R}$ is given by the expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{N}=\underbrace{}_{n_{1}<:::<n_{N}} h 0 j_{n_{N}} a_{n_{N}} \quad::: a_{n_{1}} j_{N} i^{4} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where joi is the vacuum state. In what follows we will som etimes use the notation $j\left(k_{1} ; k_{2} ;:::\right) i=$ $a_{\mathrm{k}_{1}}^{\mathrm{y}} a_{\mathrm{k}_{2}}^{\mathrm{y}}:::$ : Di for the on-site $w$ ave function (quantum register) in which sites $\mathrm{k}_{1} ; \mathrm{k}_{2} ;:::$ : are occupied and other sites are em pty. The quantity (3) is also som etim es called the
num ber of participating com ponents, it show show many $m$ atrix elem ents $h\left(k_{1} ; k_{2} ;:::\right) j_{n} \quad i$ are substantial, i.e., oforder of theirm axim alvalue $w$ ith respect to $k_{1} ; k_{2} ;::$ :

For fully localized states $I_{N}=1$. Strong on-site localization $m$ eans that $I_{N}$ is close to 1 for all states. In this case both the average $\mathbb{P} R$

$$
h \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{N}}{ }^{1 \mathrm{X}} \quad \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{N}}
$$

and $I_{N \max }=m a x \quad I_{N}$ are close to one. Here, $C_{N}$ is the totalnum ber of $N$-particle states; for an $L$-site chain $C_{N}=L!+N!(L \quad N)!$. Sm allness of $h_{j}$ i 1 is a weaker condition, it is an indication ofstrong localization ofm ost of the states.

In the opposite lim it of extended states we have $h I_{N}$ i $C_{N} \quad$ 1. A sim ple exam ple is the case of one particle in an open chain (a chain w ith free boundaries) w ith $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}}=$ const. The wave functions of the particle are sinusoidal, and for an $L$-site chain $h I_{1} i=2(L+1)=3$. The $m$ ean $\mathbb{P} R$ sharply increases w th the num ber of particles $N$, for $N \quad L=2$.

## A. The on-site energy sequence

Localization requires that the on-site energies ${ }_{n}$ be tuned aw ay from each other. The strategy for choosing the sequence of ${ }_{n}$ while keeping the overall bandw idth of the energy spectrum nite can be as follow s. First, we separate the energies of nearest neighbors by splitting " $n$ into two subbands, $w$ th even and odd $n$, respectively. $T$ he distance $h$ betw een the subbands should signi cantly exceed the hopping integral J . Then each subband is further split into two subbands in order to detune next nearest neighbors. T he splitting betw een these subbands can be less than $h$. This is because next-nearest-neighbor hopping occurs via virtual transitions to a nonresonant nearest-neighbor site, and therefore the e ective hopping integral is $J^{2}=h$. The procedure of band splitting should be continued, and higher-order splitting can be sm aller and sm aller.

W e now introduce a sim ple sequence of $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{n}}$ that im plem ents the structure described above. Except for the energy scaling factor $h$, th is sequence is characterized by one dim ensionless param eter. As we show, it can already be e cient in term s of strong localization. For a sem i-in nite chain w ith $n \quad 1$ we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
"_{\mathrm{n}}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~h} \quad(1)^{\mathrm{n}} \quad \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}=2}^{\mathrm{n}+1}(1)^{\mathrm{pn}=\mathrm{kc}} \mathrm{k} 1 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b C is the integer part).
Sequence (4) does not have any sim ple sym m etry. For exam ple, it is not self-sim ilar: the subband widths do not scale w ith the distance betw een the sites that belong to the sam e subband (cf. Sec. V II). N or is sequence (4) quasi-periodic. H ow ever, the coe cients at any given power ${ }^{q}$ are repeated with period $2(q+1)$. This im portant property is essential for obtaining analytical results, see A ppendix A.

The energy spectrum (4) is illustrated in Fig. (1). The left panel gives the energies of the rst 50 sites. It is seen that the sites $w$ ith close $"_{n}$ are spatially separated, whereas the sites w ith close n are separated energetically. The multisubband structure of the spectrum is clearly seen in the right panel. For sm all , the tw o m ajor subbands have width $h$ and are separated by $h$. The splitting of higher-order subbands is proportional to higher powers of . As increases the subbands start overlapping, and for \& 0:4 separation betw een the subbands disappears.

A s a result of the low sym $m$ etry, di erent subbands in the right panelofF ig. 1 have di erent num bers ofpoints, i.e., " n are not evenly distributed am ong the subbands. $T$ his tums out to be im portant for strong $m$ any-particle localization. The case of a sym m etric sequence is discussed in Sec. V II.


F IG. 1: (color online) $T$ he energies $"_{n}=h$ for $=0: 3$. The left panel show $s{ }_{n}=h$ for the sites $n=1 ; 2 ;::: ; 50$. Sites w ith close on-site energies are spatially separated. T he right panel show $s{ }^{n}=h$ for a much longer array, $n=1 ;:: ; 2000$. The energy spectrum displays a m ultisubband structure, w ith clearly identi able 16 subbands in this case.

A $n$ im portant advantageous feature of sequence (4) for quantum com puting is that it is convenient for perform ing gate operations. For single-qubit gates, a single particular radiation frequency can be used to resonantly excite di erent qubits. It has to be chosen near the average single-qubit transition frequency (which corresponds to $"=0$ ). Then qubits can be selectively excited by tuning them to this frequency. The transition frequency of the qubit depends on whether neighboring qubits are excited. This can be used for im plem enting a CNOT gate. A ltematively, neighboring qubits can be tuned in resonance w ith each other, which will lead to a two-qubit excitation sw ap 16].

## III. $\quad S \mathbb{N} G L E P A R T I C L E O C A L I Z A T I O N$ : STATIONARY STATES

A. The transition am plitude

In a 1D system w ith random on-site energies all singleparticle stationary states are localized, even for w eak disorder, and exponentially decay at large distances. A l-
though sequence (4) is not random, the transition am plitudes also display quasi-exponential decay at large distances provided $J \quad h$, as follows from the results of A ppendix A. In this paper we are prim arily interested in the short-range behavior. It tums out that a particle is con ned much stronger in the case of sequence (4) than in the case of random on-site energies distributed w ithin the sam e energy band, see Sec. V II. T he con ne$m$ ent quidkly strengthens $w$ ith the increasing param eter once exceeds a certain threshold value th.
Spatial decay of single-particle stationary states can be characterized by the am plitude of a particle transition from site $n$ to site $n+m$. To the low est order in $J=h$ it has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{n}(m)=\sum_{k=1}^{Y} J=2\left("_{n} \quad \eta_{n+k}\right) j: \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For sequence (4) in the lim it of sm all the energy di erence $\jmath^{\prime \prime} n+m \quad n$ jcan be approxim ated by its leading term, so it is $h$ for odd $m$ and $h$ for odd $m=2$. In general, the larger is $m$ the higher $m$ ay be the order in of the leading term in $J_{n+m} \quad{ }_{n} j$.
$T$ he asym ptotic behavior of the function $K_{n}(m)$ for sm all and largem can be studied rigorously. T he analysis is based on som e results of num ber theory. It is given in A ppendix $A$. It show $s$ that $K_{n}(m)$ decays $w$ ith the distance $m$ quasi-exponentially,

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{n}(m)=\quad j n j(J=2 h)^{j n j}: \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he exponent is determ ined by $\operatorname{lm} \log K_{n}(m)=m$ for m ! 1 and depends on n . The values of are bound to a narrow region centered at $=1$, with $0: 89 \ll 1: 19$. For estim ates one can use $=1$, i.e.,

$$
K_{n}(m) \quad K^{j n j} ; \quad K=J=2 h:
$$

$T$ he decay length of the transition am plitude is then $1=j \ln K j$.
$T$ he num erical values of for di erent $n$ and $m$ are shown in Fig. 2. They were obtained by keeping the leading term w th respect to in each energy di erence $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{w}$ th $1 \quad \mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{m}$. The data are in excellent agreem ent w ith the asym ptotic theory.

W e have also studied decay in the \opposite" direction, i.e., for negative $m$ in Eq. (5) (how ever, $m+n$ 1). The asym ptotic expression (6) applies in this case, provided $n ;$ in $j$ 1. The num erical data also agree $w$ ith the theory.

Equation (6) gives the tail of the transition am plitude for $J=2 h \quad 1$. It does not im $m$ ediately describe strong single-particle localization, which is determ ined by the short-range behavior of the w ave function. H ow ever, one $m$ ay expect that strong localization should occur when becom esm uch larger than a typical threshold value th $=$ $J=2 h$. In fact, Eq. (6) describes the transition am plitude only when th $\quad$. We note that the inequality
th $<0: 4$, which is necessary to avoid overlapping of the subbands, is satis ed already when the ratio of the energy bandw idth to the hopping integral $h=J$ exceeds the com paratively sm all value $1: 3$.


FIG.2: (color online). The exponent of the -dependence of the transition am plitude $K_{n}(m)$ for the e cient distance $m=200$ (crosses) and $m=1000$ (circles) as a function of site num ber $n$. The dashed lines show the analytical lim its on .

B . The inverse participation ratio

A quantitative indication of strong localization of single-particle stationary states is that $I_{1} \quad 1 \quad 1$ for all states. $N$ um ericalresults on $h I_{1} i$ and $I_{1 m}$ ax as functions of the energy spectrum param eter for tw o values of the scaled bandw idth $h=J$ are show $n$ in $F$ ig.3. T hey w ere obtained by diagonalizing the H am ittonian (2) num erically. The data refer to open chains of three di erent lengths $\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{w}$ ith the rst site being alw ays $\mathrm{n}=1$ in Eqs. (2), (4) . $T$ he sum over $n$ in the term $s / J ; J$ in $E q$. (2) ran from $\mathrm{n}=1$ to $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{L} \quad 1$.

In the ferm ion H am iltonian (2), the energies $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}}$ di er from the Zeem an energies of spins by $J$ inside the chain. On the boundaries this shift is $J=2$. Tom ake the Zeem an energies equal to $"_{n}+$ const, $w$ ith the constant being the sam e for all spins, we added the term
$\frac{1}{2} J\left(S_{1}^{z}+S_{L}^{z}\right)$ to the spin $H$ am ittonian (1). T hen the num erical results for a nite open chain equally apply to both spin and ferm ion system $s$.

In the lim it ! 0, the energies of single-particle stationary states form two bands centered at $h=2$ for even $n$ and $h=2$ for odd $n$. For $h \quad J$ the $w$ idths of these bands are $J^{2}=h$. The system is equivalent to two weakly coupled translationally-sym m etric open chains; the band wave functions are sinusoidal, which gives $h I_{1} i=(L+2)=3$. This agrees $w$ ith the value ofh $I_{1} i$ for ! 0 in Fig . 3 .

For nonzero the on-site level detuning (4) breaks translational sym m etry. As increases, the bands at
$h=2$ are split, and $m$ ore and $m$ ore subbands are resolved in the energy spectrum . Respectively, $\mathrm{hI}_{1}$ i decreases. It sharply drops to 1 in a narrow region, which can be conditionally associated w ith a transition to strong localization. T he center of the transition region gives the threshold value th of the param eter. It appears to be independent of the chain length $L$. T he estim ate th $=J=2 h$ from Eq. (6) is in good agreem ent $w$ ith the num erical data for di erent $h=J$.

W hen th
1, all stationary states are strongly localized. Tails of the $w$ ave functions are sm all and lim -


F IG . 3: (color online). T he m ean single-particle inverse participation ratio $\mathrm{hI}_{1} \mathrm{i} v \mathrm{vs}$. for $\mathrm{h}=\mathrm{J}=20$ (upper panel) and $h=J=10$ (low er panel). $T$ he data refer to three values of the chain length $L$. The vertical dashed lines show the analytical estim ate for the threshold of strong localization. T he insets show them axim al IPR overalleigenstates, $I_{1 m}$ ax $m a x ~ I_{1}$. It sharply decreases w th the increasing. . The peak of $I_{1 m \text { ax }}$ for $L=300$ near $=0: 1$ is due to the boundary. N ear the $m$ inim um over , we have $I_{1 \mathrm{~m} \text { ax }} 1: 01$ for $h=J=10$, and $I_{1 \mathrm{~m} \text { ax }} \quad 1: 003$ for $h=J=20$. This dem onstrates strong single-particle localization.
ited $m$ ostly to nearest neighbors, which leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1} \quad 1 \quad \mathcal{J}=h^{2} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

to low est order in $J=h$; .
For \& 0:4 the $\mathbb{P} R$ increases above itsm inim alvalue. $T$ his happens because the $m$ a jor bands of ${ }_{n}$ centered at $\mathrm{h}=2$ start overlapping.
The minim um of the $\mathbb{P} R$ over is broad for large $h=J . N$ ear the $m$ inim um the num ericaldata in $F$ ig. 3 are in good agreem ent $w$ ith the estim ate (7). T he agreem ent becom es better $w$ ith increasing $h=J$.
$T$ he insets in $F$ ig. 3 show that the $\mathbb{P} R$ as a function of can have narrow resonant peaks. In the presented data they occur for the chain of length $\mathrm{L}=300$. The peaks are seen only in $I_{1 m \text { ax }}$, whereas $\mathrm{h}_{1}$ i rem ains close to 1. This indicates that only a few on-site states are hybridized w ith each other.

The underlying resonance results from a di erent hopping-induced shift of the energy levels at the chain edges com pared to the bulk. T he analysis of the wave functions show s that the peak corresponds to a resonance betw een sites 300 and 296. B ecause of the hopping, the energy of site 300 is shifted by $\quad(J=2)^{2}=h$, whereas for
site 296 this shift is $J^{2}=2 h$. The di erence of ${ }_{n}$ for these sites is $h^{3}$ for 1 . Then the peak should occur at $\quad(J=2 h)^{2=3}$, in good agreem ent $w$ ith the data. The e ective hopping integral betw een the two sites is determ ined by virtual transitions via interm ediate sites, it is $J^{4}=16 \mathrm{~h}^{3}$. Therefore the width of the peak w ith respect to should be $/(J=h)^{2}$, also in agreem ent $w$ ith the data.

In A ppendix B we outline another way of looking at thee ect of the param eter on localization. Speci cally, we study the scaling relations betw een and $J=h$ that follow from the condition that the $\mathbb{P} R$ takes on a given value.

## IV. MANY PARTICLE LOCALIZATION: STATIONARY STATES

A . M any-particle hopping

The $m$ any-particle localization problem is $m$ ore com plicated than the single-particle one. W hen the param eter of the inter-particle interaction 0 (i) the energy levels ${ }_{n}$ are shifted depending on the occupation of neighboring states, $"_{n}$ ! $u_{n}$, (ii) there occur combinationalm any-particle resonances ${\mathrm{n}_{1}}+:::+{\mu_{\mathrm{n}_{k}}}_{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{m}}}+$ ::: $+\mu_{\mathrm{m}_{k}}$, and as a result, (iii) there occur interactioninduced $m$ any-particle transitions that $m$ ay be resonant even though single-particle resonances have been suppressed. Such transitions $m$ ay lead to delocalization.

In contrast, the case $=0$ corresponds to the $\mathrm{X} Y$ type coupling betw een the underlying spins. In this case the single-particle results apply to the $m$ any-particle system.

To analyze $m$ any-particle e ects, it is convenient to change from $a_{n}^{y} ; a_{n}$ to new creation and annihilation operators $b_{n}^{y} ; \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}}$ that diagonalize the single-particle part $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ of the H am iltonian (2), $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}}={ }_{k} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{nk}} \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{k}}$. The unitary $m$ atrix $\hat{U}$ is the solution of the equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\mathrm{H}_{0}\right)_{\mathrm{nm}}=\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{nnm}}+\frac{1}{2} J\left(\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{m}+1+{ }_{\mathrm{n}}+1, \mathrm{~m}\right): \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, $"_{n}^{0}$ are the exact single-particle energies,

$$
\begin{equation*}
U^{y_{H}}{ }_{0} U={ }_{n}^{X} \quad{ }_{n}^{0} b_{n}^{y} b_{n}: \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

For th and $J \quad h$, when single-particle states are strongly localized, the energies $"_{n}^{0}$ are close to the on-site energies $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}}$. To leading order in $\mathrm{J}=\mathrm{h}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{n}{n}_{0}^{0} \quad "_{n} \quad \frac{J^{2}}{2 h} \quad(1)^{n}+\frac{1}{2}(1)^{\mathrm{pn}=2 \mathrm{c}} \quad: \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $m$ a jor term in the right-hand side corresponds sim ply to renorm alization of the characteristic bandw idth of on-site energies $h!h+J^{2}=2 h$.

In term $s$ of the operators $b_{n} ; b_{n}^{y}$ the interaction part of the H am iltonian is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{U}=\mathrm{J}^{X} \quad \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{k}_{1} \mathrm{k}_{2} \mathrm{k}_{3} \mathrm{k}_{4}} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{k}_{1}}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{k}_{2}}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{k}_{3}} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{k}_{4}} ; \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sum runs over $\mathrm{k}_{1 ; 2 ; 3 ; 4}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{k}_{1} \mathrm{k}_{2} \mathrm{k}_{3} \mathrm{k}_{4}}=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{pk}_{1}} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{p}+1 \mathrm{k}_{2}} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{p}+1 \mathrm{k}_{3}} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{pk}_{4}}: ~} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Ham iltonian (11) describes the interaction of the exact single-particle excitations.

If the single-particle states are all strongly localized, the o -diagonal matrix elem ents $U_{n k}$ are $s m$ all. They are determ ined by the decay of the wave functions, and therefore fall o exponentially $w$ th increasing $k \quad n j$. From Eq. (6) we have $U_{n k} K^{j k j}$ for $k \quad n j 1$. At the sam e tim e, the diagonalm atrix elem ent is $U_{n n} \quad 1$.

Therefore, for strong single-particle localization the $m$ a jor term $s$ in them atrix $V_{k_{1} k_{2} k_{3} k_{4}}$ are thosew ith $\{=0$, where

These term $s$ lead to an energy shift / J ofthe states depending on the num ber of particles on neighboring sites.

The meaning of the param eter \{ (13) can be understood by noticing that the term $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{k}_{1} \mathrm{k}_{2} \mathrm{k}_{3} \mathrm{k}_{4}}$ in Eq. (11) describe two-particle inter-site transitions $\left(k_{4} ; k_{3}\right)$ \$ $\left(k_{2} ; k_{1}\right)$ of the renorm alized ferm ions. For a given transition, $\{$ is sim ply the num ber of virtual steps that have to be $m$ ade by the original ferm ions. $T$ he steps are counted - from the con guration where two such ferm ions occupy neighboring sites, and each step is a transition by one of the ferm ions to a nearest site. In other words, the original ferm ions go rst from sites $\left(k_{4} ; k_{3}\right)$ to sites ( $p ; p+1$ ) and then to $\left(k_{2} ; k_{1}\right)$ (we assum e for concreteness that $k_{3}>k_{4}$ and $k_{1}>k_{2}$ ); the value ofp is chosen so as to $m$ in im ize the num ber of steps.

To $m$ ake the $m$ eaning of $\{$ even $m$ ore intuitive we give exam ples of som e $\{=4$ transitions. For the initial and nalstates $(n ; n+1)$ and ( $n \quad 2 ; n+3$ ) one of the sequences of steps of the original ferm ions is $(\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{n}+1)$ ! $(\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{n}+$ 2)! ( $n \quad 1 ; n+2$ )! ( $n \quad 1 ; n+3$ )! ( $n \quad 2 ; n+3$ ), whereas for the intialand nalstates $(n ; n+2)$ and ( $n \quad 1 ; n+3$ ) one of the sequences is $(n ; n+2)!(n ; n+1)!(n$ $1 ; n+1)$ ! ( $n \quad 1 ; n+2$ )! ( $n \quad 1 ; n+3$ ) the energy denom inators $m$ ust be obtained directly from Eqs. (8), (12)].

It follow s from the above argum ent that, for large \{ and th, the transition $m$ atrix elem ent

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{k}_{1} \mathrm{k}_{2} \mathrm{k}_{3} \mathrm{k}_{4}} \quad \mathrm{~K}^{i} \text { for } \quad\{\quad 1: \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he transitions of renorm alized ferm ions are not lim ited to nearest neighbors. H ow ever, from Eq. (14), the am plitudes of transitions overm any sites are sm alland rapidly decrease w ith the num ber of involved virtual steps. T he hopping integral for the renorm alized ferm ions is V J .

In higher orders of the perturbation theory, the interaction (11) leads also to $m$ any-particle transitions. T he overall transition am plitude is determ ined by the total num ber of involved virtual single-particle steps.

In order to localize $m$ any-particle excitations, one has to suppress com binationalm any-particle resonances keeping in $m$ ind that, for localization, the e ective hopping integralm ust be sm aller than the energy detuning of the intial and nalon-site states. B ecause of the large num ber of possible resonances, we do not have an analytical proof ofm any-particle localization for our energy sequence (4). Instead we used num erical analysis, as described in the next section, which enabled us to dem onstrate strong localization of stationary states in a chain of a lim ited size.

## B . The m any-particle inverse participation ratio

A smentioned earlier, a good indicator of strong localization, which applies to both single- and $m$ any-particle stationary states, is closeness of the $\mathbb{P} R$ to one. In this section we present num erical data on the $\mathbb{P} R$ obtained by diagonalizing the H am iltonian (2) in the presence of several excitations. The H am iltonian is a sparse $m$ atrix, which is separated into uncoupled blocks w ith di erent num bers of excitations. W e focused on the block in the $m$ iddle of the energy spectrum . For a given chain length L , it has $\mathrm{L}=2$ excitations and therefore the largest num ber of states. $T$ his is the w orst case, in term $s$ of localization. C hains w ith $\mathrm{L}=10 ; 12$, and 14 w ere studied. T he results were sim ilar. $W$ e present the data for $L=12$, in which case the total num ber of states is 924 .
$T$ he $\mathbb{P} R$ as a function of the dim ensionless param eter of the on-site energy sequence (4) is shown in $F$ ig. (4). $T$ he results refer to tw o values of the dim ensionless ratio of the hopping integral to the interband distance $J=h$ and several values of the dim ensionless param eter of the particle interaction.

W e start the analysis w the region ! 0, where the on-site energies (4) altemate betw een $h=2$. For large $h=J$ the single-particle energies form bands of width $J^{2}=2 h$. In the neglect ofm ixing of these bands, them anyparticle wave functions can be found using the Bethe ansatz. For $=0$ the $m$ any-particle energy spectrum consists of bands that are determ ined by the num ber of particles in each of the two single-particle bands. Because the states are of the planew ave type, the $\mathbb{P} R$ is large, w th $\mathrm{hI}_{6} \mathrm{i} \quad 78$ for $h=J=20$ and $\mathrm{L}=12$, see F ig 4 .

For ! 0 the $\mathbb{P} R$ decreases w ith the increasing param eter of the particle interaction . This happens, because for large $\quad J=h$ (but $h=J$ ) the energy bands at $h=2$ split into subbands depending on the num ber of particle pairs, triples, etc. on neighboring sites: for exam ple, the energy of a pair on neighboring sites di ers from the energy of a dissociated pair by J . Since the num ber ofstates in a subband is sm aller than in the whole band, such splitting reduces the average $\mathbb{P} R$.


FIG. 4: (color) The $\mathbb{P R}$ for 6 excitations on the rst 12 sites of the chain (4). T he reduced bandw idth of the energy spectrum is $h=J=20$ (top panel) and $h=J=10$ (low er panel). T he purple, red, green, and blue curves refer to the coupling param eter $=0 ; 0: 3 ; 1$, and 3 , respectively. The peaks of $\mathrm{hI}_{6} \mathrm{i}$ for $=0$ are a single-particle boundary e ect. The insets show the $m$ axim al $I_{6}$ for $\because 0$. Sharp isolated peaks of $I_{6 m a x}$ vS result from the hybridization of resonating onsite $m$ any-particle states.
$T$ he decrease of the average $\mathbb{P} R$ with increasing for
! 0 and $h=J$ is seen in $F$ ig. 4]. $W$ e note that the $m$ ere separation (by h) of the single-particle energies of neighboring states is not su cient for strong localization. Inside each subband, the num ber of resonating states in a long chain is still very large. Localization requires that not only nearest neighbor single-particle energies, but also energies of rem ote sites be tuned aw ay from each other. This should happen for su ciently large values of the param eter in Eq. (4).
$T$ his argum ent is con $m$ ed by the data in F ig. 4. For given, the $\mathbb{P} R$ decreases as a whole with increasing
in the region where the single-particle bands are well separated, . $0: 4$. This is the expected consequence of elim ination of energy resonances.

In the region $0: 2$. . $0: 4$, except for narrow peaks, we have $I_{6 \mathrm{~m} \text { ax }}$ 1:09 for $\mathrm{h}=\mathrm{J}=10$ and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{m} \text { ax }}$ 1:02 for $h=J=20$, for $\quad 1$. $T$ he values of $h I_{6} i$ are even sm aller, 1.04 and 1.01 , respectively. Th is indicates that, in this param eter range, all states are strongly localized. For $=3$ and $\mathrm{h}=\mathrm{J}=20$ we also have $I_{6 \mathrm{~m} \text { ax }} \quad 1: 01$ aw ay from the peaks; how ever, for $h=J=10$ the $\mathbb{P} R$ becom es larger due to the $m$ any-particle resonances, which are discussed below (see also A ppendix C).

A distinctive feature of the $m$ any-particle $\mathbb{P} R$ as function of is the onset ofm ultiple resonant peaks, which can be seen in Fig. 4. They indicate that at least som e
of the stationary states are no longer con ned to a single set of sites, w th the num ber of sites equal to the num ber of particles. The peaks are due to hybridization of resonating on-site states. T he hybridization occurs when the $m$ atrix elem ents of inter-site transitions in Eqs. (11), (12) exceed the energy di erence of the states.

For $0: 2$. . $0: 4$, i.e., in the region of strong localization, and for $h=J=20$ and chosen 3 we found that only two on-site states could becom e strongly hybridized in the section of the chain with 1 n 12 . H ybridization of a larger num ber of states was weaker. A consequence of hybridization of utm ost two states is that $I_{6 \mathrm{~m} \text { ax }} \cdot 2$. For $\mathrm{h}=\mathrm{J}=10$ and $=3$ the interstate coupling (12) is stronger, and as a result three states can be strongly hybridized and a few $m$ ore can be weakly adm ixed, leading to $I_{6 \mathrm{~m} \text { ax }} \quad 3$ at resonant.

Because the interaction is two-particle, the strongest peaks of $I_{m}$ ax com e from resonances betw een on-site energies of tw o particles. They occur when the energy difference

$$
\begin{equation*}
"=j{ }^{\prime} k_{1}+"_{k_{2}} \quad "_{k_{3}} \quad "_{k_{4}} j \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

is close to $M J$ with $M=0 ; 1 ; 2$. Strictly speaking, we should use exact single-particle energies " $_{n}^{0}$ (9) instead of $"_{n}$ in Eq. (15), but the di erence betw een these energies is sm all, see Eq. (10), and it leads to a sm all shift of the positions of the resonances as functions of . An explanation of the positions and $w$ idths of the narrow peaks of $\mathrm{hI}_{6} \mathrm{i}$ and $\mathrm{I}_{6 \mathrm{~m} \text { ax }}$ seen in F ig. 4 is given in A ppendix C .

> C. B road-band tw o-particle resonances

A specialrole in the problem ofm any-body localization is played by tw o-particle resonanœes that are not selective in , i.e., exist in a broad range of . For these broadband resonances, the total energy di erence betw een the initial and nal on-site states is small, " J even for sm all $\mathrm{J}=\mathrm{h}$. They em erge already in the second order in $J=h$, when only two single-particle steps to neighboring sites are required, $\{=2$. The resonating on-site states are pairs $(n ; n+1)$ and ( $n \quad 1 ; n+2$ ), i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
"_{n}+"_{n+1} \quad n_{n}+"_{n+2}: \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $n$ and $n+2$ are prim e num bers, the energy di erence $"=J_{n}+"_{n+1} \quad n_{n} \quad n_{n+2} j \quad n{ }^{1} h$ is extrem ely sm all for large $n$.

M ore generally, the resonance (16) occurs for all $n=$ 6 k 1 w th integer k . In this case $"=\mathrm{h} / \mathrm{w}$ th 4. Such " is \anom alously sm all" for $\{=2$. The hopping integral $J^{3}=h{ }^{2}$ becom es larger than " even when we are already deep in the single-particle localization region,
th.
As a result of renorm alization $"_{n}!{ }_{n}^{n}$, the energy di erence " = $J_{n}+n_{n+1} \quad n_{n} \quad n_{n+2} j$ is changed. For $n=6 k \quad 1$ to leading-order in $J=h$ the renorm alization of " does not exceed $\quad{ }^{3}\left(J^{2}=h\right)$ or $\quad{ }^{4}\left(J^{2}=h\right)$
for odd and even $k$, respectively (让 $m$ ay also be proportional to higher power of ). The renorm alized " can still.be $m$ uch sm aller than $J^{3}=h^{2}$, and then the broadband resonance persists. M orem any-particle broad -band resonances em erge for larger \{.

For the section of the chain w ith sites 1 n 12 the $\mathbb{P} R$ is not $m u c h$ a ected by the broad-band resonances, because even where $n$ and $n+2$ are prime numbers ( 5 and 7), they are not very large and the energy di erence (16) is not exceedingly sm all.
$T$ he situation changes if we consider other sections of the chain (4) of the sam e length, $n_{0} n \quad n+11 w$ ith di erent $n_{0}$. H ere we found that the resonances increase $h I_{6} i$ up to 1.15 between the peaks, for $h=J=20,=1$, and for all in the interval $0: 2 \ll 0: 4$.

For a nite chain, the resonances can be elim inated order by order in \{ by shifting the energies of the appropriate qubits. Sim ple system atic $m$ odi cations of the energies that work for \{ 5 are discussed in the next section, see E qs. (19), (22). B oth m odi cations bring the $\mathbb{P R}$ back to sm aller values. For exam ple, in all sections of the chain that we studied the $m$ ade $\mathrm{hI}_{6} \mathrm{i}$ and $\mathrm{I}_{6 \mathrm{~m} \text { ax }}$ equal to 1:01 and 1:02, respectively, which are the values we had for the section $1 \mathrm{n} \quad 12$. T his indicates that the localization of stationary states for the modi ed energy sequences is very strong.

## V. LIFETIMEOFSTRONGLY LOCALIZED STATES

The problem of strong localization can be view ed also from a di erent perspective. In the context of quantum com puting, it suggests a m ore appropriate form ulation then the one based on the analysis ofstationary states. It is also relevant for condensed-m atter system $s$ at nonzero tem peratures.

First we note that excitations in quantum com puters and in condensed-m atter system s have a nite coherence tim e $t_{c o h}$. For QC's, this tim e has to be com pared with the duration of a single-or tw o-qubit operation and m easurem ent. The duration of a two-qubit operation is of order of the tim e it takes to resonantly transfer an excitation betw een the quibits, which is $J^{1}$. A single-qubit operation is often faster; how ever, the $m$ easurem ent can som etim es be slow er. In m ost proposed realizations of a Q C the coherence tim e exceeds the gate operation tim e by a factor . $10^{5}$.

W e de ne the localization lifetim $e \mathrm{t}_{\text {loc }}$ as the time it takes for excitations to leave occupied sites. Localization of excitations is only relevant on tim es toh. Then to have strong localization it su ces that $t_{\text {loc }} \& t_{\text {coh }}$. It follow $s$ from the estim ate for $t_{\text {coh }}$ that the latter condition is $m$ et if

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{l o c} \& 10^{5} \mathrm{~J}^{1}: \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The condition (17) $m$ ust be satis ed for allon-site $m$ anyexcitation states. It is this condition that im poses a con-
straint on the form of the energy sequence ${ }_{n}$ in an in nite $m$ any-particle system.
$T$ he time $t_{\text {loc }}$ is determ ined by hopping betw een resonant on-site states. It occurs through virtual transitions via nonresonant sites. For a two-particle resonant transition, the $m$ inim al num ber of the needed virtual steps is given by the param eter \{ (13). Then from Eqs. (11), (14) the hopping integral for a resonant transition $\left(k_{4} ; k_{3}\right)$ \$ $\left(k_{2} ; k_{1}\right)$ is

$$
J V_{k_{1} k_{2} k_{3} k_{4}} \quad J K^{i}
$$

for $\{$ 1. Here, $K$ is de ned by Eq. (6), $K \quad J=2 h$, and $\mathrm{K} \quad 1 \mathrm{in}$ the region $=$ th 1 .
$T$ he localization lifetim $e t_{\text {loc }}$ is determ ined by the reciprocalm axim al hopping integral for resonating $m$ anyparticle states. In the case of an energy sequence of the type (4), up to a fairly high num ber ofvirtualsteps ( 5), of interest are resonances between two-particle on-site states. This applies to system swith an arbitrary num ber of particles; only those transitions $m$ atter in which up to two particles change sites. Indeed, transitions where three particles change sites em erge in the second order in the two-particle H am iltonian (11), (12), and sim ple counting shows that they involve already at least 5 virtual steps.

For resonant two-particle transitions $t_{\text {loc }}$ $m$ in $\left[J K{ }^{i}\right]^{1}$. Therefore it strongly depends on the $m$ in im al value of $\{$ for all pairs of resonating in itial and nal on-site states, $\left\{=\left\{\mathrm{m}\right.\right.$ in . To have $t_{\text {loc }} J$ that exceeds a given value, wem ust have an appropriate $\left\{_{m}\right.$ in . $T$ his $m$ eans that we should elim inate resonances betw een all states connected by $\left\{<\left\{_{m}\right.\right.$ in virtual transitions.

A two-particle transition w th odd $\{=1 ; 3 ; 5 ;::$ : involves a change of the total number of occupied sites w ith even n (and by the sam e token, w ith odd $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{too}$ ). Therefore, for the sequence (4) w ith 1, the energy change in such a transition is " $h$. In this paper we consider the case of w eak to $m$ oderately strong coupling, when . 1. Then " signi cantly exceeds the change of the interaction energy $J, 2 J$ for $h \quad J$. A s a result, resonant tw o-particle transitionsm ay occur only for even \{.

We will m odify the sequence (4) to elim inate resonances $w$ ith $\left\{=2\right.$ and $\left\{=4\right.$. In these cases $\left\{_{m}\right.$ in $=4$ and 6 , respectively, leading to the localization tim e $t_{\text {loc }}$ $J^{1} K{ }^{4}$ and $>J^{1} K{ }^{6}$ 。

## A. Elim inating second order many-particle resonances

The potentially resonant transitions with $\{=2$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
& (\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{n}+1) \$ \quad(\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{n}+1 \quad 2) ;(\mathrm{n} \quad 2 ; \mathrm{n}+1) \\
& (\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{n}+1) \$ \quad(\mathrm{n} \quad 1 ; \mathrm{n}+2): \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

In the transitions listed in the rst line of this equation, one of the particles in the pairm oves by tw o sites in one
or the other direction, whereas for the transition show $n$ on the second line both particles $m$ ove by one site.

The num ber of occupied nearest sites in the transitions (18) can change by one or rem ain unchanged. Therefore the $m$ axim alchange of the interaction energy is $J$. Second-order resonances will be elm inated if the detuning of the on-site energy di erences " for the transitions (18) is

$$
">J:
$$

This $m$ eans that we need a zero-energy gap of an appropriate $w$ idth in ".

W e note that this is a su cient, not the necessary condition. In principle, it w ould su ce to have narrow gaps at " = 0 and $J$. These gaps should just be broader than the tunneling $m$ atrix elem ent and than the energy shifts due to occupation of next nearest neighbors. For a speci c nite-length section of a chain this $m$ ay be $m$ ore practical. H ow ever, here we are interested in an in nite chain, and we want to dem onstrate that even for such a chain all resonances $w$ th $\{<4$ can be elim inated.

To create the zero-energy gap, sequence (4) has to be m odi ed. T he $m$ odi cation has to elim inate, in the rst place, the \anom alous" broad-band resonances for transitions $(\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{n}+1)$ \$ ( $\mathrm{n} \quad 1 ; \mathrm{n}+2)$ with $\mathrm{n}=6 \mathrm{k} \quad 1$ discussed before. This will, of course, also elim inate resonances $w$ here $n$ and $n+2$ are prim e numbers. A simple and su cient $m$ odi cation is a constant shift of " $n$ for each 6th site,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{n}^{m d}="_{n}+(h=2) \quad \text { for } \quad n=6 k ; \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

while ${ }_{n}^{m} d="_{n}$ for $n \not 6 k$.
For the m odi ed sequence 19), the gap in the onsite energies for the 2 nd-order transitions (18) is "
${ }^{2} h$; ${ }^{0} h=2$ to leading order in . A m ore accurate estim ate is m in " $=\mathrm{h} \quad 2 \quad{ }^{3} ;{ }^{0}=2$. W e assume that 2. 0 , in which case no new resonances are created for the transitions (18) as a result of them odi cation (19).

It follows from the above estim ate that, for an in nite chain and an anbitrary num ber of particles, all resonant transitions w ith \{ < 4 w ill be elim inated provided $J=h<2 \quad{ }^{3} ;{ }^{0}=2$, . Then the localization time $t_{\text {loc }} \quad 1$ 㪀 ${ }^{1}$ already for $h=J=30, \quad=0: 3$, 0 0:1 0:2, and . 1 .
The ect of the on-site energy m odi cation (19) on localization of a m any-particle state is seen from Fig.5. $T$ his gure show sthe dynam ics of the system prepared initially in the state $j(416 ; 419 ; 420 ; 422 ; 423 ; 424) i$. In the case of the original sequence (4), this state strongly hybridizes w th the state $j(416 ; 418 ; 421 ; 422 ; 423 ; 424) i$ for all of physical interest, < 0:4. This happens because the di erence of on-site energies "419 + "420 and " $418+$ "421 is " ${ }^{418} \mathrm{~h}$. The hybridization results in oscillations of the am plitude of the state, as seen from Fig. 5. For the m odi ed sequence (19) the resonance is elim inated, and the am plitude rem ains constant over


FIG.5: (color online) $T$ im e evolution of the squared am plitude $\nexists{ }^{2}{ }^{2}$ of the on-site state $j(416 ; 419 ; 420 ; 422 ; 423 ; 424) i$ in a 12-site section of the chain betw een $n=415$ and $n=426$. The oscillating line refers to the original sequence (4) w ith
$=0: 25$. T he nearly constant line refers to the m odi ed sequence (19) w ith $=0: 25 ;{ }^{0}=0: 22$. In both cases $h=J=20$ and $=1$.
a time $>10^{6} \mathrm{~J}^{1}$. This ilhustrates the onset of strong localization. W e note that the localization tim e of this particular state tums out to be longer for the modi ed sequence (19) than the worst-case estim ate given above.

B . E lim inating 4th order resonances
The localization tim e is further dram atically in creased if $\{=4$ resonances are elim inated. $T$ he potentially resonant 4th order transitions are

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
(\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{n}+1) \$ & (\mathrm{n} & 2 ; \mathrm{n}+3) ; \\
(\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{n}+1) \$ & (\mathrm{n}+2 ; \mathrm{n}+3) ; \\
(\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{n}+3) \$ & (\mathrm{n} & 1 ; \mathrm{n}+2) ; \\
(\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{n}+3) \$ & (\mathrm{n} & 2 ; \mathrm{n}+1) ; \tag{20}
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
(\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{n}+1) \$ & (\mathrm{n} & 1 ; \mathrm{n}+4) ; \\
(\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{n}+1) \$ & (\mathrm{n} & 3 ; \mathrm{n}+2) ; \\
(\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{n}+1) \$ & (\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{n}+1 & 4) ;\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{n} & 4 ; \mathrm{n}+1
\end{array}\right): \tag{21}
\end{array}
$$

In the last line of Eq. (21) we list transitions where one of the particles in the pair m oves by 4 sites, whereas in all other transitions both particles $m$ ove aw ay from their sites.

For the m odi ed sequence [19), the $m$ inim al energy change in the transitions (20), (21) is m in " ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~h}$, to leading order in , provided ${ }^{0}{ }^{3}$. The value of ${ }^{0}$ has to be in such a range that the m odi cation (19) does not lead to extra resonanœes betw een the on-site energies for the states (20) and (21). The \dangerous" com binations

to leading order in . W e will choose ; ${ }^{0}$ so that all of them exceed $m$ in $"=h \quad 3$.

Fig. 6 show $s$ how the $m$ odi cation (19) leads to a zeroenergy gap in ". W e plot ${ }_{n}^{m}$ d for all transitions (20), (21) $w$ th one of the particles on site $n$ ( $w$ th $n>2$ ). Therefore we show all potentially resonant transitions with \{ 5 .


F IG . 6: (color on line) T he low-energy part of the tw o-particle energy di erences $"_{n}=h$ (15) for all transitionswith \{ 5 in which one of the involved particles is on the nth site ( $n>2$ ). The data refer to $=0: 25$. The left panel corresp onds to the sequence (4). T he right panel refers to the $m$ odi ed sequence (19) w ith ${ }^{0}=0.22$ and shows the zero-energy gap in ".

The left panel in $F$ ig. 6 show $s$ that, for the initial sequence (4), there is practically no gap in the values of " at low energies. The right panel dem onstrates that the correction (19) leads to a zero-frequency gap. The gap depends on the values of and ${ }^{0}$. For the speci c param eter values in Fig. 6 we have $"=h \quad 0: 01$.

W e have checked num erically that the gap persists for a much longer chain than show $n$ in $F$ ig. 6 , with $n$ from 2 to 10,000 . This is $m$ ore than enough to prove that the results apply to an in nite chain. Indeed, in ${ }_{n}^{m d}$ the term $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{q} \mathrm{w}$ th di erent q are repeated periodically $w$ ith period $2(q+1)$. Therefore a sequence of term $s w$ ith
${ }^{q}$ forq 6 is repeated periodically $w$ ith period equal to tw ice the least com $m$ on $m$ ultiple of allq+ $1 \quad 7$, which is $2\left(\begin{array}{llll}3 & 4 & 5 & 7\end{array}\right)=840$ (cf. A ppendix A). For $=0.25$ we have ${ }^{6} \quad 2 \quad 10^{4}$. The contribution to ${ }^{m} \mathrm{~m}=\mathrm{d}$ of all term s of higher degree in is then . $210^{4}$. This $m$ eans that, to accuracy better than $2 \quad 10^{4}$, the results on the gap for an in nite chain $w$ ill coincide $w$ ith the results for a chain of 840 sites.

It follows from the discussion above that, for 2 J . $0: 01 \mathrm{~h}$, all particles w ill rem ain localized on their sites for the time $t_{\text {loc }} \quad(J)^{1} K^{6}=$ wwe have taken into account here that the hopping integral for transitions w ith $\left\{=6\right.$ is lim ited by $J(J=2 h)^{6} \quad 5$ rather than $J(J=2 h)^{6} \quad{ }^{6}$, as would be expected from the asym $p-$ totic expression (14)]. For $h=J=50$ and $=0.25$ this gives an extrem ely long localization tim e, $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{loc}} \mathrm{J} \& 10^{10}$. H ow ever, this estim ate requires that the coupling be weak, . 0:25 for the used param eter values.

## 1. Extension to stronger coupling

The previous result can be easily extended to larger $w$ ithout increasing $h$. To do this we note that the change of the interaction energy in transitions (20) is actually Im ited to $J$ rather than $2 J$, which is the case for the transitions 21). Therefore the gap for the transitions (21) should be tw ioe as large as for the transitions (20). Two rst transitions (21) have a gap \& ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~h}$ to leading order in , but for the last onem in " $={ }^{3} \mathrm{~h}$. This latter gap $m$ ay be increased by choosing a som ew hat di erent $m$ odi cation of the on-site energy spectrum. In contrast to Eq. (19), we w ill shift the energy of each 3rd site,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{md}}="_{\mathrm{n}} \quad(\mathrm{~h}=4) \quad\left[1+3(1)^{k}\right] \quad \text { for } \quad \mathrm{n}=3 \mathrm{k} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

while $\frac{v_{n}}{d}="_{n}$ for $n \in 3 k$.
Eq. (22) corresponds to shifting " 3 k up by $\mathrm{h}=2$ or dow $n$ by $h$ depending on $w$ hether $k$ is odd or even, respectively. The param eter should be m uch larger than
${ }^{3}$, to open a gap $m$ in $\left({ }^{2} h ; h=2\right)$ in $\stackrel{\mu}{n}_{d}^{d}$ forthetransitions [21]. At the sam e tim e, it should be chosen so as to avoid creating new resonances, sim ilar to the $m$ odi cation (19).

It is straightforw ard to show that them odi cation (22) leads to a zero-energy gap in ". For $=0: 25 ;=0: 1725$ the gap exceeds $0: 01 \mathrm{~h}$ for the transitions (18), (20) w ith energy change . $J$, whereas for the transitions (21) $w$ ith energy change up to 2 J it exceeds $0: 02 \mathrm{~h}$. This indicates that the results on the localization tim e $t_{10 c} J \&$ $10^{10}$ for $h=J=50$ now apply for $0: 5$.

A s in the previous section, the extrem ely large localization tim e characterizes an in nite chain and an arbitrary num ber of interacting particles. W e note that both modi cations of the original energy sequence, Eqs. 19) and (22), are obtained analytically, by nding the leadingorder tem $s$ in the energy di erences for the transitions (18), (20), and (21). The speci c values of the param eters , 0 , and are used just to illustrate the order of m agnitude of the localization time.

## VI. STABILITY W ITH RESPECT TO ERRORS IN ON-SITE ENERGIES

In a real system, it will be im possible to im plem ent sequence of on-site energies (4) precisely. This is because these energies contain high pow ens of the sm allparam eter
, while the precision to which they can be set and/or $m$ easured is lim ited. Therefore it is necessary to study localization in the presence oferrors in " n and to nd how large these errors can be before they cause delocalization.

W e will address this problem by looking at the gap in the energy di erences " in the presence of errors in ${ }_{n}^{m d}$ (19). A s long as this gap rem ains larger than 2 J for all resonant transitions $w$ ith $\{5$, the localization lifetim e $t_{l o c} w i l l$ rem ain large. The analysis can be im $m$ ediately extended to the m odi ed sequence (22) as well.

The e ect of errors on the gap can be m odelled by adding a random term to on-site energies, i.e., replacing $\mathrm{mm}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{w}$ th

$$
\begin{equation*}
{\underset{n}{e r r}}_{\mathrm{err}}=\ddot{n}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{md}}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{Dh} r_{\mathrm{n}}: \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

$H$ ere, $r_{n}$ are random num bers uniform ly distributed in the interval ( $1 ; 1$ ), and $D$ characterizes the error am plitude. It should be com pared with ${ }^{s}$ w th di erent exponents $s \quad 1 . W$ hen $D \quad{ }^{s}$ it $m$ eans that the energies $"_{n}$ are well controlled up to term $s$ s 1 , to leading order in.

From the above argum ents it follows that, for
${ }^{0}$ \& ${ }^{2}$ the gap should rem ain unchanged if D ${ }^{4}$. $T$ his is because, for the $m$ odi ed energies ${ }_{n}^{m} d$, the term $s$
${ }^{4}$ drop out from the energy di erences that we discuss. For D ${ }^{4}$ the gap should be som ew hat reduced. For D $\quad{ }^{3}$ it should becom e signi cantly sm aller than for $D=0$, and it should ultim ately disappear $w$ ith increasing $D$.

N um erical results on the gap " as a function of logD are show n in F ig 7 . The gap is calculated fortw o-particle transitions w ith \{ 5, as in Fig 6. In the low er panel the gap is scaled by its value in the absence of errors,

The data refer to the sam e; ${ }^{0}$ as in $F$ ig. 6. They are in full agreem ent $w$ ith the above estim ate.


FIG.7: (color online) Upper panels: all energy di erences
 (20), (21) that corresp ond to the num ber of interm ediate steps \{ 5. The data refer to $=0.25,{ }^{0}=0.22$, and to a speci c realization of the random numbers $r_{n}$ in Eq. (23). $T$ he boxes from left to right correspond to the values of the noise intensity $D=s$ in Eq. (23) with $s=5 ; 4$, and 3 . Low er panel: the scaled $m$ inim al gap $R$ (24) as a function of the logarithm $s=\ln D=\ln$ averaged over 10 realizations of noise. E rror bars show the standard deviation of R .
$T$ he results of F ig. 7 dem onstrate that the localization persists even for relatively large errors in the on-site energies. At least for the chosen and 0 , errors in ${ }_{n}$ up
to $0: 4 \%$ (when $D={ }^{4}$ ) lead to a change in the width of the energy gap by 50\% .

The observed dependence on the noise strength suggests that, in the presence of noise, sequence (4), (19) can be cut so that the term $s /{ }^{s}$ with $s>s_{\text {cuto }}$ are disregarded. The value of $s_{\text {cuto }}$ depends on the noise, $S_{\text {cuto }}=\ln D=\ln$. A sa result of the cuto, the energies ${ }^{n}$ becom e polynom ials in of power $S_{\text {cuto }}$. From Eq. (4), these polynom ials are periodic in $n$, $w$ ith the period determ ined by $t w$ ioe the least com $m$ on $m u l t i p l e$ of $\left(2 ; 3 ;::: ; s_{\text {cuto }}+1\right)$. For exam ple, for $s_{\text {cuto }}=5$ the period in $n$ is 120 (see also A ppendix A). For such a long period and short-range hopping, excitations will stay on their sites for a long tim e com pared to $J^{1}$.

## VII. ALTERNATIVEENERGY SEQUENCES

$N$ either the original sequence of on-site energies (4) nor its m odi ed versions [19), (22) were optim ized to $m$ axim ize the $\mathbb{P R}$ or the localization lifetim $e$. Therefore it is im portant to com pare them $w$ ith other su ciently simple sequences. This will be done in this section for tw o natural choioes of ${ }^{n}$.

## A. Period doubling cascade

A sim ple $w$ ay to $m$ ove sites $w$ th close energies far aw ay from each other is to $m$ ake the energies form a \period doubling cascade" (PDC). It can be described by a oneparam eter energy sequence; in what follow $s h$ is the energy scale and is the param eter, as in Eq. (4).

In the PDC, the on-site energies are rst split into two subbands, w th nearest neighbors being in di erent subbands, but next nearest neighbors being in the sam e subband. The subbands di er in energy by ${ }^{0} h$, to leading order in this is also the case in Eq. (4)]. Each of the subbands is then further split into two subbands of 4th neighbors. The leading term in the energy di erence of these subbands is ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~h}$. Each subband is then split again into two subbands of 8th neighbors. The leading term in their energy di erence is ${ }^{2} h$. This period-doubling process is then continued inde nitely, for an in nite chain.

The expression that describes the on-site energy sequence for the PDC, ${ }_{n}^{P D C}$, can be conveniently written in term s of the coe cients $j_{k}(n)=0 ; 1$ of the expansions of site num bers $n$ in base tw $o$,

$$
n={ }_{k=0}^{M} X^{(n) 1} j_{k}(n) 2^{k}:
$$

Here, $M(n)=1+b \log _{2} n c$ is the num ber of integer digits of $n$ in base 2 .

We set

The energies ${ }_{n} \mathrm{PDC}_{\mathrm{n}}$ are show n in F ig. 8 . It is instructive to com pare this gure w ith F ig. (1) for the energies (4). The overallband structure is sim ilar, but the energy distribution is $\mathrm{much} m$ ore regular for the PD C. For exam ple, it is seen from the panels for 2000 sites that the $m$ inibands for the PDC sequence have approxim ately equal num bers of states, in contrast to F ig. [1. H ow ever, as we show later, the sym m etry of the PDC is actually bad from the view point of $m$ any-particle localization.


FIG. 8: (color online) $T$ he on-site energies $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{PDC}}=\mathrm{h}$ (25) for $=0: 3$. The left panel shows the energies for the sites $\mathrm{n}=1 ; 2 ;::: ; 50$. States w ith close on-site energies are spatially separated. The right panel show $s{ }_{n}^{P D C}=h$ for a much longer array, $n=1 ;::: ; 2000$. The energy spectrum displays a $m$ ultisubband structure. Because of the sym $m$ etry of sequence [25], the num ber of points in each subband is approxim ately the sam e.

Spatial separation of sites with close energies in the PD C leads to e ective localization of single-particle stationary states. A s in the case of sequence (4), the values ofhI $_{1} \mathrm{i} 1$ are $310^{3}$ for $h=J=20$ near the $m$ in m um of $h I_{1} i$ over, in agreem ent $w i$ th the estim ate (7). The m in im um of $\mathrm{hI}_{1} \mathrm{i}$ is located at $0: 1$.
$T$ he situation is di erent for $m$ any-particle localization. H ere the high sym m etry of the PD C leads to m ultiple $m$ any-particle resonances. For exam ple, two-particle states $(n ; n+1)$ and ( $n \quad 1 ; n+2$ ), which are coupled in second order in $J=h$, have equal energies whenever $n$ is odd. The states $(n ; n+1)$ and $(n \quad 2 ; n+3)$, that are coupled in the fourth order in $J=h$, have the sam $e$ energies when $n=7+4 k w$ th integer $k$. $W$ e note that there w ere no exactly degenerate states for sequence (4), and the portion of tw o-particle states $w$ ith close energies w as much sm aller. Therefore it is m ore com plicated to nd a correction to sequence (25) that would elim inate $m$ any-particle resonances. A s a result, unexpectedly, th is sym $m$ etric sequence is less convenient from the point of view of strong localization.
B. R andom on-site energies

The case opposite to the highly sym $m$ etric sequence (25) is when the on-site energies $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}}$ are com pletely random. It is well-known that such random ness leads to single-particle localization of stationary states in a 1D
chain. H ow ever, it does not lead to strong on-site localization of all states, because there is alw ays a nonzero probability to have neighboring sites with energies that di er by less than $J$ and therefore are hybridized. A s explained in the Introduction, hybridization is even $m$ ore likely to happen in the case of $m$ any-particle states, because it ism ore likely to have neighboring nearly resonant sites.

A sim ple random sequence of on-site energies has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
"_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{r}}=\mathrm{W} r_{\mathrm{n}}^{0} ; \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r_{n}^{0} w$ th di erent $n$ are independent random num bers uniform ly distributed in the interval $(0 ; 1)$, and $W$ is the bandw idth. T he results on the inverse participation ratio for sequence (26) are shown in Fig. 9 .


F IG . 9: (color online) The norm alized distributions of the $\mathbb{P R} P$ (I) for the random energy sequence (26). The upper and low er panels refer to the $m$ any-and single-particle $\mathbb{P} R$ 's: 6 excitations $w$ ith $=1$ in the chain of length $L=12$ and one excitation in the chain of $L=300$, with 2000 and 80 realizations, respectively. The left and right panels refer to the overall bandw idth of the on-site energy spectrum $W=J=13$ and 26. The narrow colum ns at $I=1$ showed with dotted lines refer to sequence (4), w th $h=J=10$ and 20 for the left and right panels, respectively. The values of in Eq. (4) were chosen so as to have the sam e bandw idths of on-site energies as the corresponding random sequences: in the low er panels
(w h) $\mathrm{w} \quad \mathrm{W} \quad 0: 231$ (as in an in nite chain), whereas in the upper panels $=0: 274$ to allow for a com paratively sm all num ber of sites. The insets show $\log P$ vs. I.

Localization of stationary states for the random sequence (26) can be characterized by the probability distribution of the $\mathbb{P} R P(I)$. This distribution was obtained by num erically diagonalizing the H am iltonian (2) for di erent realizations of the on-site energies (26).

A s seen from F ig. 9 , both single- and $m$ any-particle $\mathbb{P} R$ distributions display peaks near $I=1$ for random on-site energies. This indicates that, for the w ide energy
bandw idths used in the calculations, $m$ ost of the stationary states of the system are strongly localized. H ow ever, the distributions are broad and slow ly decay on the tails. This $m$ eans that $m$ any on-site states are strongly hybridized, that is the stationary w ave fiunctions spread over several sites. This is a consequence ofm ultiple resonances. The insets in F ig. 9 show that, at least for not too large I, the tails ofP (I) are non-exponential.

The typical distribution $w$ idth decreases $w$ ith the increasing bandw idth $W$ of on-site energies. A s expected, the distributions ofm any-particle $\mathbb{P} R$ 's (the upper panels in Fig.9) arem uch broader and their peaks near I = 1 are $m$ uch $s m$ aller than for the single-particle $\mathbb{P} R$ 's (the low er panels).
$T$ he $\mathbb{P} R$ distributions for the random sequence (26) di er dram atically from the distributions for the regular sequence (4). The latter are narrow and concentrate in a sm all region of I close to $I=1$, for the chosen band$w$ idths, both for the single-and $m$ any-particle states, cf . Figs. 3, 4. This is another indication of strong singleand $m$ any-particle localization for sequence (4).

## VIII. CONCLUSIONS

In th is paper we have explored tw o aspects of the problem ofstrong $m$ any-particle localization. O ne is localization of stationary states. For one-particle states, it has been studied analytically. W e found that the w ave functions decay quasi-exponentially and obtained the bounds on the decay length. The num erical results on strong localization are in agreem ent w ith the theory.

For $m$ any-particle stationary states, the localization has been analyzed num erically. Such analysis is unavoidably lim ited to sm all chains. For a 12 -site chain we have found that, for the on-site energy sequence (19) with the ratio of the single-site energy bandw idth to the hopping integral $28\left\lceil h=J=20 ;=0: 25 ;{ }^{0}=0: 22\right.$ in Eq. [19) ], the inverse participation ratio di ens from its value for the case of fully localized states by $<2 \%$. This deviation is due to a sm allnonresonant adm ixture of the w ave functions of neighboring sites.

A di erent approach is based on studying the lifetim e of on-site states. It is su cient to have a localization lifetim $e t_{l o c}$ that exceeds the coherence tim e of the excitations. $W$ e have show $n$ that such $t_{l o c}$ can be achieved in a chain ofan arbitrary length and w ith an arbitrary num ber of excitations. For the explicit construction of on-site energies (19), resonant transitions that lead to delocalization require at least 4 virtual nonresonant steps, and for com paratively weak particle-particle coupling even 6 virtualtransitions. Even in the 4 -step case this leads to the ratio of the delocalization rate to the inter-site hopping integral $10^{5}$ already for the decay length of the am plitude of virtualtransitions $0: 35$, or for $K \quad 0: 06$.

The results on localization can be tested with arti cial condensed-m atter structures, as it was done in the studies of the e ects of quasiperiodicity [20] (see also

Refs. 18, 19). A discussion of experim ental im plem entations is beyond the scope of this paper. G ood exam ples are proposed $m$ odels of a quantum com puter; we note, how ever, that studying localization does not require operations on qubits, and therefore does not require a fully operationalquantum computer.

In term s of quantum com puting, an advantageous feature of sequence (4) and its m odi cation (19) is that one radiation frequency can be used to resonantly excite different qubits. This can be achieved by selectively tuning them to this frequency $w$ thout bringing neighboring qubits in resonance w ith each other, or by sweeping the frequency of the targeted qubit through the radiation frequency and having a Landau-Zener-type interstate transition. A two-qubit gate can be conveniently done by selectively tuning neighboring qubits in resonance with each other and having a Landau-Zener type excitation sw ap [16].

In $m$ any cases localization is also a prerequisite for a pro jective $m$ easurem ent. This happens when the $m$ easured quantity is the probability for each qubit to be in the excited state. O ften a $m$ easurem ent is $m$ uch slow er than the tim e $J^{1}$ of resonant hopping to a nearest site; then $t_{\text {loc }}$ should exceed them easurem ent tim e. In our approach, localization does not require refocusing [4] ], which is not alw ays easy to im plem ent and which is som etim es incom patible w ith slow $m$ easurem ent.

O ur energy sequence does not lead to delocalization of stationary states due to indirect resonant $n \$ n+2$ transitions. Such transitions underm ine the approach to quantum computing with \always-on qubit interaction" proposed in Ref. 12, where next nearest neighbors are tuned in resonance. In term s of the localization lifetim e, the w idth of the energy distribution (19) is orders ofm agnitude sm aller than the width that would give the sam e $t_{\text {loc }}$ in the approach [12]. There the localization lifetim $e$ would be $h=J^{2}$, where $h$ is the energy di erence betw een nearest neighbors. This di erence $m$ ust exceed $J$ by a factor $10^{\circ}$ in order to have the sam e localization lifetim e as in our approach. C om pared to $R$ ef. [11], a potential advantage of our approach is that the interaction does not have to be ever tumed $\circ$, and no multi-qubit encoding is necessary for operating a Q C.
$T$ he presented schem e can be extended to system $s w$ ith long-range coupling. This is another distinction from the approaches [11, 12] that substantially rely on nearest neighbor coupling. For several proposed QC's the interqubit coupling is dipolar for a few near neighbors and becom es quadrupolar or falls down even faster for rem ote neighbors [6, [6, 9]. Long-range interaction $m$ akes transitions over several sitesm ore probable. W e leave detailed analysis for a separate paper. H ere we note that, for sequence (22) and for single-particle transitions, not only hopping over 2 or 4 sites is not resonant and does not lead to delocalization, but even hopping over 6, 8, or 10 sites is nonresonant as well. For all these transitions, the energy di erence is at least ${ }^{2} h$ or $h=4$ [for sites separated by an odd num ber of positions, the
energy di erence is alw ays large, h].
O ur results provide proof of principle of strong on-site localization of all states, independent of the system size and the num ber of particles. $W$ e have not addressed the question of optim ization of the energy sequence, so that $m$ axim allocalization lifetim e could be obtained for a $m$ inim al bandw idth of on-site energies. For a nite-length chain the optim ization problem can be approached using Eq. (4) as an initialapproxim ation and adjusting energies of several speci c sites.

In conclusion, we have proposed a sequence of on-site energies (4) and its m odi cations (19), (22) that result in on-site localization of allsingle-and $m$ any-particle states of interacting spins or ferm ions in an in nite chain. The sequence (4) has low sym $m$ etry, which allow s elim inating resonances betw een the states to a high order in the hopping integral. In tum, this leads to a long lifetim e of localized $m$ any-particle states. W hen second-order resonances are elim inated, the lifetim e exceeds the reciprocal hopping integral by 5 orders of $m$ agnitude provided the bandw idth of on-site energies is larger than the intersite hopping integralby a factor of 40 . W e show that it can be further signi cantly increased by elim inating fourth-order resonances. The proposed energy sequence is stable $w$ ith respect to errors. T he results apply to scalable quantum com puters w th perpetually coupled qubits. They show that, by tuning qubit energies, excitations can be prevented from delocalizing betw een gate operations.
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## APPENDIXA:EXPONENTIALDECAYOFTHE TRANSITION AMPLITUDE

In this A ppendix we give a rigorous proof of the quasiexponential decay of the amplitude $K_{n}(m)$ (5) of the transition from site $n$ to site $n+m$ and establish bounds on the decay length. W e show that, for sequence (4), in the lim it of sm all and form ! 1

$$
\begin{equation*}
I^{m} \quad K_{n}(m)(2 h=J)^{m} \quad v^{m}: \tag{A1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We nd that ${ }_{\text {L }} 0: 89$ and ${ }_{\mathrm{U}}$ 1:19.
In order to sim plify notations we introduce dim ensionless energies $"_{n}()=2 "_{n}=h$. From Eq. (4)

$$
\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{n}}()=(1)^{\mathrm{n}}{\underset{\mathrm{k}=2}{\mathrm{X}+1}(1)^{\mathrm{pn}=\mathrm{kc}} \mathrm{k} 1}
$$

$W$ e also set $J=2 h=1 . T$ hen $K_{n}(m)=1=\not Q_{n}(m) j$ where

$$
Q_{n}(m)=Y_{s=1}^{Y^{n}}\left["_{n+s}() \quad n_{n}()\right]:
$$

From Eq. A2), $Q_{n}(m)$ is a polynom ial in .
For a polynom ialP ( ) we de ne by low degP ( ) the multiplicity of the root $=0$, i.e., the low est pow er of in the polynom ial. The exponent that characterizes the decay of $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{m})$ (6) is given by $=\operatorname{low} \operatorname{deg} \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{n}}(m)=m$ form! 1 .

The data of the num erical experim ents presented in Fig. 2 show that $0: 894<$ low deg $Q_{n}(m)=m<1: 12$ independent of $n$ for large $m$.

To obtain an analytical estim ate we rew rite Eq. A 3 as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{low} \operatorname{deg} Q_{n}(m)=X_{s=1}^{X^{m}} \operatorname{low} \operatorname{deg}\left["_{n+s}() \quad \quad_{n}()\right]: \tag{A4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Each term low deg $\left[{ }_{n+s}() \quad \quad_{n}()\right]$ is an integer betw een 0 and $n+1$.

In order to nd bounds for low deg $Q_{n}(m)$ we will estim ate how $m$ any term $s$ low deg ["n+s () $\left.\quad "_{n}()\right]$ exceed a given i, 0 i $n+1$. For each i we have a subset $S_{n m}$ (i) of the values $s$ that satisfy this condition,

$$
\left.S_{n m}(i)=\text { fsjl } \quad s \quad m ; \operatorname{low} \operatorname{deg}\left[\begin{array}{l}
n+s \tag{A5}
\end{array}\right) \quad n_{n}()\right]>\text { ig: }
$$

The num ber of elem ents in $S_{n m}$ (i) is denoted by $h_{n m}$ (i). $T$ his is the num ber ofpolynom ials $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{s}}() \quad \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{n}}()$ whose expansion in startsw ith ${ }^{k}$ w ith $k>i$. In what follow s for brevity we drop the subscripts $n ; m$ and use $S$ (i) and $h$ (i) for $S_{n m}$ (i) and $h_{n m}$ (i).

It follow s from the de nition that

$$
h(0) \quad h(1) \quad h(2) \quad h(n):
$$

## By construction

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\operatorname{low} \operatorname{deg} Q_{n}(m)=^{X^{n}} \quad i \llbracket h(i \quad 1) \quad h(i)\right] \\
& i=1 \quad X \quad n \\
& +(n+1) h(n)=\sum_{i=0}^{n} h(i)(A 6)
\end{aligned}
$$

From Eq. A6) we see that the upper and low erbounds on low deg $Q_{n}(m)$ are given by the sum s of the upper and low er bounds of $h$ (i).

In what follows we w ill use the standard notations: lim inf (lim sup) m eans the lower (upper) lim it of a sequence, LCM $f i_{1} ;::: ; i_{r} g$ is the least com $m$ on $m u l t i p l e$ of integers $i_{1} ;::: ; i_{r}$, and GCD fin $;::: ; i_{r} g$ is the greatest common divisor of $i_{1} ;::: ; i_{x}$. W e w ill also denote by $["()]_{k}$ the coe cient at ${ }^{k}$ in the polynom ial" ( ), i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}}()=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}=0}^{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}}}\left[\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}}()\right]_{k}^{k}: \tag{A7}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 1. Low er bound

In this section we obtain the lower bound of low $\operatorname{deg} Q_{n}(m)$. The $m$ ain statem ent is the following lemma.

Lem m a A. 1 The lower bound has the form

$$
\lim _{m!} \operatorname{in}_{1} f \frac{\operatorname{low} \operatorname{deg} Q_{n}(m)}{m} \quad 0: 89:
$$

Proof. Consider rst the constant term $\left["_{n}()\right]_{0}$ in Eq. A 7). By de nition, $\left["_{n}()\right]_{0}=\left["_{n+2}()\right]_{0}$, and

$$
\text { low deg }\left("_{n+s}() \quad n_{n}()\right)=\begin{array}{ll}
( & \text { for odd } s ; \\
& 1
\end{array}
$$

Hence, we immediately obtain a simple lower bound low deg $Q_{n}(m) \quad l o m=2 c$ for large $m$ (in what follow $s$ we always im ply m ! 1 ).

W e com pute $h(0) ; h(1)$, etc, using that the coe cients $\left[\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}}()\right]_{\mathrm{i}}$ are periodic in n w ith period $2(\mathrm{i}+1)$. Indeed, from Eq. A 2),

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left["_{n}()\right]_{i}=} & (1)^{\mathrm{pn}=(i+1) \mathrm{c}} \\
& =(1)^{p(\mathrm{n}+2(i+1)]=(i+1) c}=\left[{ }_{\mathrm{n}+2(\mathrm{i}+1)}()\right]_{\mathrm{i}}:
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the sets of coe cients $f\left["_{n}()\right]_{0} ;\left["_{n}()\right]_{1} ;::: ;\left["_{n}()\right]_{i g}$ are also periodic in $n$, but $w$ th the period $T_{i}=2 L C M f 2 ; 3 ;::: ; i+1 g$. $T$ his is illustrated by the table


In order to estim ate $h(i)$ we need tw o technical state$m$ ents.

Lem maA. 2 Let $a_{0} ; k ; T$ be any integers such that $2 k$ does not divide T. Consider any $2 \mathrm{k}=\mathrm{GCDfT} ; 2 \mathrm{~kg}$ consecutive elem ents of an arithm etic progression $a_{j}=$ $\mathrm{a}_{0}+j \quad \mathrm{~T}$, and set ${ }_{j} \mathrm{~b}=\mathrm{ba}_{j}=\mathrm{kc} \mathrm{m}$ od 2.
$T$ hen, at least $\mathrm{bk}=\mathrm{GCDfT} ; 2 \mathrm{kgc}$ integers $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{j}}$ are equal to 0 , and at least the sam e num ber of $b_{j}$ are equal to 1 .

Proof. Since 2 k does not divide T , sequence $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{m}$ od 2 k is cyclic in the interval $[0 ; 2 \mathrm{k} 1]$. This sequence contains exactly $2 \mathrm{k}=\mathrm{GCD} \mathrm{fT} ; 2 \mathrm{~kg}$ distinct elem ents. On average, half of them (at least bp=GCD fT ; 2kgc) are less than $p$, and another half (the sam e num ber) are larger or equal than $p$. This $m$ eans that there are at least $\mathrm{bk}=\mathrm{GCDfT} ; 2 \mathrm{kgc}$ integers $\mathrm{b}_{j}$ that are equal to 0 and at least the sam e num ber of $b_{j}$ that are equal to $1 . Q$ E D.

The next statem ent is a corollary of lemma A 2 and we skip the proof.

C orollary A. 3 Let $2 k$ does not divide T. C onsider $p$ consecutive elem ents of the arithm etic progression $a_{j}=$ $a_{0}+j T$ and set $\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{b}=\mathrm{ba}_{\mathrm{j}}=\mathrm{kc} \bmod 2$.

Then at least bk=GCDfT;2kgclop GCDfT;2kg=2kc integers $b_{j}$ are equal to 0 , and at least the same num ber of $b_{j}$ are equal to 1 .

We are now in a position to nish the proof of Lemma A.1. We notice rst that, for $n=a_{j}$ in the expression (A2), the coe cient $b_{j}$ for given $k$ determ ines the sign of the term ${ }^{k 1}$ in $"_{n}()$, that is $(1)^{b_{j}}=$ $\left[\|_{n}()\right]_{k}$. The num ber $h$ (i) gives the probability that, for all $k \quad i$, the polynom ial $n_{n+s}()$ has the sam eb $b_{j}$ as " $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}}$ ) 。

W e will now estim ate $h$ (i) $w$ ith $i=1 ;::: ; 4$ and start w th $\mathrm{h}(1)$. W e note that $\mathrm{s} 2 \mathrm{~S}(1)$ if and only if $\mathrm{s} 2 \mathrm{~S}(0)$ and $\left[{ }^{n}+s\right]_{1}=\left["_{n}\right]_{1}$. The second condition $m$ eans that $\mathrm{bs}=2 \mathrm{c} \mathrm{mod} 2=0$. By construction (A5), form! 1 the set $S(0)$ is form ed by all numbers $s$ of the sam $e$ parity as $n$. This $m$ eans that $S(0)$ is an arithm etic progression $w$ th period $T_{0}=2$. W e take p consecutive elem ents $s_{1} ;::: s_{p}$ of it and use C orollary $A .3 \mathrm{w}$ ith $\mathrm{k}=2$, because we are interested in the coe cients $\left[{ }_{n}+s_{i} h_{1}=(1)^{p\left(n+s_{i}\right)=2 c}\right.$ in A 2). By C orollary A . 3, since $T_{0}$ is not divisible by 2 k , for at least bp $=2 \mathrm{c}$ subscripts $S_{i}$ the coe cients $\left[{ }^{n} n+s_{i}()\right]_{1}=1$, and $\left[{ }_{n} n+s_{i}()\right]_{1}=1$ for at least the sam e am ount of subscripts $s_{i}$, i.e., approxim ately half of the coe cients $\left[" n+s_{i}()\right]_{1}$ coincide $w$ ith $\left[{ }^{n}()\right]_{1} . H$ ence, $h(1) \quad h(0)=2 \mathrm{asm}$ ! 1 . Substituting $h(0)=m=2$ we obtain $h(1)=m=4$.

Sim ilar argum ents can be applied to estim ate $h(2)$. This requires nding a portion of the set $S(1)$ which form $S S(2)$. The set $S(1)$ is a nonem pty disjoint union of arithm etic progressions w th period $\mathrm{T}_{1}=4$. We will apply C orollary A.3 with $k=3$ to each of these progressions and use $p=h(1)$. This gives $h(2)$ $b 3=2 \mathrm{cb}(1=3)(m=4) c=1 \mathrm{~m}=12 \mathrm{c}$, or $\mathrm{h}(2)=\mathrm{m} \quad 1=12$ for $m$ ! 1 .

In the same way we obtain $h(3)=m \quad 1=24$ and $h(4)=m \quad 1=60$ as m ! 1 . Therefore

$$
\operatorname{low} \operatorname{deg} Q_{n}(m)=m \quad \frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{12}+\frac{1}{24}+\frac{1}{60} \quad 0: 89 ;
$$

which nishes the proof of the low er bound.

## 2. U pper bound

W e start w ith the proof of the follow ing rough esti$m$ ate:

Lem man. 4 An upper bound has the form

$$
\lim _{n!1} \sup _{1} \frac{\operatorname{low} \operatorname{deg} Q_{n}(m)}{m} \quad \frac{22}{15}<1: 47:
$$

Proof. Taking in the rhs of Eq. A 6 the sum from 0 to 1 we obtain

$$
\operatorname{low} \operatorname{deg} Q_{n}(m) \quad X_{i=0}^{X^{1}} h(i):
$$

To nd an upper bound on $h$ (i) wew illuse the follow ing consequence of Lem m a A 2:

C orollary A .5 Let $2 k$ does not divide T. C onsider p consecutive elem ents of the arithm etic progression $a_{j}=$ $a_{0}+j \quad T$ and set $j \mathrm{~b}=\mathrm{ba}_{\mathrm{j}}=\mathrm{kc} \operatorname{m}$ od 2.

Then at most

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{2 k}{\text { GCD fT ; 2kg }} \frac{k}{\text { GCD fT; } 2 \mathrm{~kg}} \\
\frac{\mathrm{p} \quad \mathrm{GCDfT} ; 2 \mathrm{~kg}}{2 \mathrm{k}}+1
\end{gathered}
$$

integers $b_{j}$ are equal to 0 , and at $m$ ost the sam e num ber of $b_{j}$ are equal to 1 .

U sing the sam e argum ents as before, by corollary A . 5 we obtain for $m$ ! 1 the follow ing upper bounds for h (i)

| $\mathrm{h}(0)$ | $\mathrm{m}=2 ;$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{h}(1)$ | $\mathrm{m}=4 ;$ |
| $\mathrm{h}(2)$ | $\mathrm{m}=6 ;$ |
| $\mathrm{h}(3)$ | $\mathrm{m}=12 ;$ |
| $\mathrm{h}(4)$ | $\mathrm{m}=20$; |

Recall that $h(4) \quad h(5) \quad h(6) \quad h(7): S i m$ ilarly, for
q 2 we have

$$
h\left(2^{q}\right) \quad h\left(2^{q}+1\right) \quad::: h\left(2^{q+1} \quad 1\right):
$$

Therefore we can replace the term $s$
$h\left(2^{q}+1\right) ;::: ; h\left(2^{q+1} \quad 1\right)$ in the rhs of Eq. A 8) by $h\left(2^{q}\right)$, which leads to the follow ing upper bound for low $\operatorname{deg} Q_{n}(m)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { low deg } Q_{n}(m) \quad h(0)+h(1)+h(2)+h(3)+\underbrace{X^{I}}_{q=2} 2^{q}\left(2^{q}\right) \text { : } \tag{A10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his reduces the calculation to nding upper bounds on $h\left(2^{q}\right)$.

We will now obtain a recurrence relation for $h\left(2^{q}\right)$. $F$ irst we notioe that, for $K+1$ being a prim e num ber, we have from C orollary A. 5

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(K+1) \quad \frac{K+2}{2(K+1)} h(K): \tag{A11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Forallprim es $K+1 \quad 7$ we have $(K+2)=2(K+1) \quad 4=7$. Therefore $h(K+1) \quad \frac{4}{7} h(K)$ for $K \quad 6 . W$ e also note that, for allpositive integralq,

$$
h\left(2^{q}\right) \quad \frac{1}{2} h\left(2^{q} \quad 1\right):
$$

N ow we recall the distribution law for prim es in the intervals. The follow ing statem ent is called Bertrand's postulate (or T chebychev' theorem) (see Ref.22):

Theorem A. 6 There is at least one prim e between $M$ and 2 M for any positive integer M . If $M>3$, there is alw ays at least one prim e betw $\models n$ and $2 M 2$.

In particular, there is at least one prim e betw een $2^{q}$ and $2^{q+1} \quad 1$ for any positive integer $q \quad 2 . W$ ith this statem ent, taking into account the previous estim ates, we obtain

$$
h\left(2^{q+2}\right) \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{4}{7} \quad \frac{\mathrm{~m}}{20}
$$

for all positive integralq, or

$$
\begin{equation*}
h\left(2^{\mathrm{q}+2}\right) \quad \frac{2}{7} \quad \frac{\mathrm{~m}}{20}: \tag{A12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting inequalities A 9), A 12 into A 10, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{low} \operatorname{deg} Q_{n}(m) \quad & \frac{m}{2}+\frac{m}{4}+\frac{m}{6}+\frac{m}{12}+\frac{m}{20} 2^{2}+2^{3} \frac{2}{7}+2^{4} \frac{2}{7}^{2}+:::+2^{k} \frac{2^{1}}{7} \quad+:: ~
\end{aligned}
$$

This gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { low deg } Q_{n}(m) \quad \frac{22}{15} m<1: 47 m \tag{A13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last inequality is an explicit asym ptotic upper bound. Q E D.

W e now provide a sharper upper bound. Wewill use the sam e $m$ ethod, but instead of $T$ chebyshev' theorem we w ill apply E rdos theorem.

Lem m a A. 7 (Sharper bound)

$$
\lim _{m!1} \sup _{1} \frac{\operatorname{low} \operatorname{deg} Q_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{~m})}{m} \quad 1: 19
$$

Proof. Follow ing the same pattem as in Lem m a A. 4 above we extend the explicit list of inequalities (A9).

Asm! 1,

| $\mathrm{h}(5)=\mathrm{m}$ | $1=20 ;$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| $\mathrm{h}(6)=\mathrm{m}$ | $1=35 ;$ |
| $\mathrm{h}(7)=\mathrm{m}$ | $1=70 ;$ |
| $\mathrm{h}(8)=\mathrm{m}$ | $1=126 ;$ |
| $\mathrm{h}(9)=\mathrm{m}$ | $1=126 ;$ |
| $\mathrm{h}(10)=\mathrm{m}$ | $1=231 ;$ |
| $\mathrm{h}(11)=\mathrm{m}$ | $1=231 ;$ |
| $\mathrm{h}(12)=\mathrm{m}$ | $1=429 ;$ |
| $\mathrm{h}(13)=\mathrm{m}$ | $1=429 ;$ |
| $\mathrm{h}(14)=\mathrm{m}$ | $1=429 ;$ |
| $\mathrm{h}(15)=\mathrm{m}$ | $1=858 ;$ |
| $\mathrm{h}(16)=\mathrm{m}$ | $3=4862:$ |

To obtain a shanper upper bound we recall the follow ing result by E rdos [23].

Theorem A. 8 (E rdos) There exist at least one prim e of the form $4 k+1$ and at least one prim e of the form $4 k+3$ between $M$ and $2 M$ for all $m>6$.

For all prim es that exceed 16 we have in Eq. A 11) $(K+2)=2(K+1)<7=13$. Therefore, by reproducing the argum ents that led to the inequality (A12), but using now the relation (A 11) tw ice based on the theorem A.8, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
h\left(2^{q+4}\right) \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{7}{13} 2^{2_{q}} h(16): \tag{A15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting inequalities A9, A 14, A 15 into (A10) (where now the term $s$ up to $h(15)$ are taken into account explicitly, and the sum runs from $q=4$ ) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{m!1} \frac{\operatorname{low} \operatorname{deg} Q_{n, m}}{m} \frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{6}+\frac{1}{12}+\frac{2}{20} \\
& +\frac{1}{35}+\frac{1}{70}+\frac{2}{126}+\frac{2}{231}+\frac{3}{429}+\frac{1}{858} \\
& +\frac{3}{4862} 16 \frac{7}{13}^{2}+\frac{7}{13}+:::
\end{aligned}
$$

Evaluating the rhs, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{m!1} \sup _{1} \frac{\operatorname{low} \operatorname{deg} Q_{n}(m)}{m}<1: 19: \tag{A16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Q ED.

APPEND IX B:THE INTERRELATION BETW EEN THE ENERGY SPECTRUM PARAMETERS AND J=h FOR FIXED IPR

In this A ppendix we outline another way of looking at the e ect of the band structure of sequence (4) on localization. It applies to single-particle stationary states and is based on varying $\mathrm{h}=\mathrm{J}$ and nding such energy spectrum param eter that would keep the $\mathbb{P} R$ constant, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h I_{1} i \quad h H_{1}(; J=h) i=\text { const: } \tag{B1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The average $\mathbb{P} R$ is large, $L=3$, when the spread of the on-site energies $h$ is $s m$ allcom pared to the hoppinginduced bandw idth $J^{2}=2 h$ of the bands at $h=2$. W hen $h$ becom es com parable to $J^{2}=h$, a part of the states becom e localized w ith localization length sm aller than the chain size, but still there rem ain states of size $L$. For such states $I_{1} / \mathrm{L}$. Their portion depends on $h=\left(J^{2}=h\right)$. Therefore one $m$ ay expect that, for large $\mathrm{hI}_{1} \mathrm{i}$ and for a given chain length, should vary w ith $J=h$ as $(J=h)^{2}$.

A nother scaling region of ( $\mathrm{J}=\mathrm{h}$ ) as given by Eq. (B 1 ) $m$ ay be expected to em erge for close to the threshold value, th . 1 , but far aw ay from the stronglocalization range of , where $\mathrm{hI}_{1} \mathrm{i} 1 \quad \mathcal{F}=h^{2}$ [cf. Eq.7)].

For close to th, the wave functions have com paratively sm all-am plitude tails that spread over a long distance and are nearly exponential at large distances, as given by Eq. (6). If the decay were purely exponential, i.e., the tail of the w ave function centered on site $n$ were of the form $n+m=K^{j n}{ }_{n}$, we would have $\mathrm{hI}_{1} 114 \mathrm{~K}^{2} \mathrm{j}$ for $\mathrm{K} \quad \mathrm{J}=2 \mathrm{~h}$ 1. From B 1), this condition gives scaling / J=h. The nonexponentialdecay of the $w$ ave functions at $s m$ all to $m$ oderate distances (num erically, for in j 4 8) leads to deviations from this sim ple scaling.

N um erical results on the dependence of on $J=h$ as given by Eq. B1) are shown in $F$ ig. 10. T he data for $\mathrm{hI}_{1} \mathrm{i} \quad \mathrm{L}=3$ show the expected scaling $/(\mathrm{J}=\mathrm{h})^{2}$. On the other hand, in the range $\mathrm{hI}_{1}$ i $\quad 1 \quad 0.1 \mid 1$ the value of scales as $J=h$. This scaling applies only for $>$ th $\boldsymbol{r}$ i.e., for $h=J>1=2$. The value of $h=J$ as given by Eq. B1) increases w ith decreasing $\mathrm{hI}_{1} \mathrm{i}$.
 the $\mathbb{P R} \mathrm{hI}_{1}$ i as a function of displayssm alloscillations. T his leads to m ultivaluedness of the roots of the equation $\mathrm{hI}_{1} \mathrm{i}=$ const. T he roots are num erically very close to each other. $W$ e show ed the $m$ ultivaluedness schem atically by plotting vs. $\mathrm{h}=\mathrm{J}$ in F ig. 10 w ith jagged lines.

In the interm ediate range of $\mathrm{hI}_{1} \mathrm{i}$, the function $(\mathrm{J}=\mathrm{h})$ crosses over from one type of the lim iting behavior to the other. T he num erical data does not seem to suggest that $\mathrm{hI}_{1}$ i has a universal scaling form of a function of $=(J=h)$ for all ; $J=h \quad 1$.


FIG. 10: (color online). The dependence of on $h=J$ as given by the condition $\mathrm{hI}_{1} \mathrm{i}=$ const for di erent $h \mathrm{I}_{1} \mathrm{i}$ in the chain $w$ ith $L=300$. The lines in the lower panel listed from down upward (thin solid, dotted, dashed, long-dashed, and dot-dashed) correspond to $\mathrm{hI}_{1} \mathrm{i}=95 ; 50 ; 10 ; 2$; and 12 , respectively. T he lines in the upper panel listed from down upw ards (long-dashed, dotted, dashed, and dot-dashed) correspond to com paratively sm all $\mathrm{hI}_{1} \mathrm{i}=2 ; 1: 6 ; 1: 4$; and 12 , respectively. The bold lines $=J^{2}=16 h^{2}$ and $=J=h$ display the asym $p-$ totic behavior of for large and $s m$ all $h I_{1} i$; the line $=J=2 h$ corresponds to $=$ th.

APPENDIX C:NARROW RESONANCESOF THEMANY PARTICLE IPRASFUNCTION OF THE PARAMETER

In this A ppendix we discuss the positions and w idths of the narrow peaks of the $\mathbb{P} R$ seen in Fig .4 .

As we increase starting from $=0$, pronounced peaks of $\mathrm{I}_{6} i$ appear for the di erence in the com bination tw o-particle on-site energies $\quad "=\jmath{ }^{\prime \prime} k_{1}+"_{k_{2}} \quad \|_{k_{3}} \quad "_{k_{4}}$ (15) equal to

$$
" \quad \mathrm{sh} \quad J
$$

w th $\mathrm{s}=1 ; 2$. T hey are due to resonant hybridization of pairs on neighboring sites $(n ; n+1) w$ ith dissociated pairs located on sites $(n ; n+3)$ for $s=1$, and ( $n \quad 1 ; n+2$ ) $w$ th even $n$ for $s=2$, for exam ple. Such hybridization corresponds to tw o single-particle steps by one site, i.e. $\{=2$.

A speci c exam ple for the studied chain w ith $=1$, $\mathrm{h}=\mathrm{J}=20$, and $=0: 05$ is the resonance between the on-site states $j(3 ; 4 ; 6 ; 7 ; 8 ; 9) i$, $j(4 ; 5 ; 6 ; 7 ; 8 ; 9) i$, j $(3 ; 4 ; 6 ; 7 ; 8 ; 11) i$ and $j(4 ; 5 ; 6 ; 7 ; 8 ; 11) i$ (we rem ind
that the argum ents of indicate the positions of the excitations; we have six excitations, and the available sites are $1 ; 2 ;::: ; 12)$. A 11 these states can be obtained from each other by moving one excitation by two positions. For exam ple, in the rst pair the excitation goes from site 3, where it has one nearest neighbors, to site 5, where it has tw o neighbors.

Thew idth of the above peaks can be estim ated from the condition that the frequency detuning is $h \quad J j$ is of order of the e ective hopping integral $J V_{k_{1} k_{2} k_{3} k_{4}}$. For $s=1$ [an ( $n ; n+1$ ) \$ ( $n ; n+3$ )-type transition] the hopping integral is $J^{3}=h^{2}$ from Eqs. (11), (12). This gives the width

$$
(\mathrm{J}=\mathrm{h})^{2}:
$$

The positions of the peaks $J=s h$ and their $w$ idths are in agreem ent w ith the data in both upper and low er m ain panels of F ig. 4 .

For larger, narrow resonancesw ith respect to occur when

$$
s^{m} h \quad M J
$$

w ith integer $\mathrm{s} ; \mathrm{m} ; \mathrm{M}$, and $\mathrm{m} \quad 2 ; \mathrm{M}=1 ; 2$. They $m$ ay happen, for exam ple, between pairs $(n ; n+1)$ and ( $\mathrm{n} \quad 1 ; \mathrm{n}+2$ ) with odd n such that $\mathrm{n} \& 3 \mathrm{k} 1$, in which case $m=2$ and $\{=2$. A speci c exam ple for our chain is the resonance between the on-site states j $(1 ; 3 ; 4 ; 6 ; 9 ; 11) i$ and $j(1 ; 2 ; 5 ; 6 ; 9 ; 11) i$ for $=$ $1 ; h=J=20$, and $=0: 246$. H ere the excitations on sites $(3 ; 4)$ m ove to sites $(2 ; 5)$, and ${ }^{2} h \quad J \quad$ (in fact, higherorder term $s$ in are essential for ne-tuning the states into resonance). In other cases resonances $w$ ith $m 2$ require $m$ ore interm ediate virtual steps, w ith \{ 4.

Them 2-resonances are extrem ely narrow for th

1. For exam ple, for $m=2$ their $w$ idths are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text {. }(J=h)^{5=2} \quad 1=2 \text { for }\{=2 \text {; } \\
& \text {. } J^{3}=h^{3} \\
& \text { for }\{=4 \text { : }
\end{aligned}
$$

In these estim ates we used that, from Eqs. (4), (12) $j V_{n} 1 n+2 n n+1 j \cdot J^{2}=h^{2}$ for the $\{=2$ transition ( $n ; n+$ 1) $\$(n \quad 1 ; n+2)$. For the $m=2$ and $\{=4$-transitions, on the other hand, $J_{k_{1} k_{2} k_{3} k_{4}} j$. $J^{4}={ }^{3} h^{4}$ [for exam$p l e$, this estim ate applies to a transition $(n ; n+1)$ \$
$(\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{n}+5)]$. W e note that, from the condition th and the resonance condition $\mathrm{S}^{2} \mathrm{~h}=\mathrm{M} \mathrm{J}$, it follow S that $J=h$, which guarantees the sm allness of the peak widths.

E ach high-order resonance gives rise to a narrow band of resonant values. All of them refer to a resonant transition betw een the sam e sites. H ow ever, the energy di erence of these sites is slightly di erent depending on the occupation of rem ote sites, for exam ple, next nearest neighbors. In this latter case, from Eqs. (11), (12), the corresponding shift of is / $(\mathrm{J}=\mathrm{h})^{5=2} \quad 1=2$.

A speci c exam ple for the studied chain is provided by the resonanœes between two pairs of on-site states, $j(2 ; 3 ; 6 ; 7 ; 8 ; 12) i$ and $j(2 ; 3 ; 6 ; 8 ; 11 ; 12) i$, on the one hand, and $j(5 ; 6 ; 7 ; 8 ; 9 ; 12) i$ and $j(5 ; 6 ; 8 ; 9 ; 11 ; 12) i$, on the other hand. In both cases the resonant transition is ferm ion hopping from site 7 to site 11 . B oth resonances occur for $=1 ; \mathrm{h}=\mathrm{J}=20$, but the rst corresponds to $0: 2778$, whereas the second corresponds to $0: 2782$. The di erence in com es prim arily from the di erent occupation of the next nearest neighbors of sites 7 and 11 .

The m ost pronounced peaks in Fig.4 correspond to com paratively sm all $\{$ 4. H ow ever, there are resonances for higher \{ as well. T he resonating energies have to be extrem ely close to each other for such states to be hybridized. The corresponding peaks are very narrow, and very high precision is needed to nd them num erically (som etim es the hybridization appears to be an artifact of not su ciently precise calculations).

A s m entioned above, in the region 0:2 . . $0: 4$ the positions of the $\mathbb{P} R$ peaks are determ ined not only by the leading-order term $s$ in , but also by higher-order term s . Therefore there are several resonant bands for each $\mathrm{s} ; \mathrm{m}$; M as given by the condition $\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{h} \quad \mathrm{M} \mathrm{J}$. This explains why there are several bands in Fig. 4. $T$ hese bands are well separated for su ciently large $h=J$ and not too large. On the other hand, for $h=J=10$ and $=3$ the bands of resonances are broadened and overlap with each other. W hen is not very small there em erge also narrow resonances where " J and
" $=\mathrm{h}!~ 0 . T$ hey are responsible for som e of the peaks in the insets of F ig. (4).
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