The Spectra of Quantum States and the Kronecker Coe cients of the Symmetric Group

Matthias Christandl¹, and Graeme Mitchison^{1,2,y}

¹Centre for Quantum Computation, Department of Applied Mathematics and Theoretical Physics,

University of Cambridge, W ilberforce Road, Cambridge, CB3 OW A, United Kingdom

²MRC Laboratory of Molecular Biology, University of Cambridge,

Hills Road, Cambridge, CB2 2QH, United Kingdom

(D ated: January 7, 2022)

Determ ining the relationship between composite systems and their subsystems is a fundamental problem in quantum physics. In this paper we consider the spectra of a bipartite quantum state and its two marginal states. To each spectrum we can associate a representation of the symmetric group de ned by a Young diagram whose normalised row lengths approximate the spectrum. We show that, for allowed spectra, the representation of the composite system is contained in the tensor product of the representations of the two subsystems. This gives a new physical meaning to representations of the symmetric group. It also introduces a new way of using the machinery of group theory in quantum informational problems, which we illustrate by two simple examples.

I. IN TRODUCTION

In 1930, W eyl observed with dry hum our that the \group pest" seem ed to be here to stay ([12], preface to second G em an edition). The theory of representations of groups, which he did so much to develop, is indeed a mm ly established component of modern physics, appearing wherever the relation of a composite system to its parts is investigated. The aim of this paper is to derive a novel connection between certain representations and the properties of composite quantum system s.

Suppose a quantum system consists of two parts, A and B, and let AB be a density operator on the com – posite system AB. The states A and B obtained by tracing out the subsystem s B and A, respectively, are constrained by the fact that they are derived from a com – m on state. For instance, subadditivity and the triangle inequality are informational inequalities that relate the von N eumann entropies (the Shannon entropies of the spectra) of AB , A and B . Even m ore fundam entally, how ever, one can ask what constraints there are on the spectra of A and B once one knows the spectrum of AB . We prove here a theorem that relates this problem to certain representations of the unitary and symmetric groups.

A fam iliar example of a composite system is two particles, one with spin j_1 and the other with spin j_2 . The addition of their angular m on enta can be described in term sof representations of SU (2); the product of two representations, one for each subsystem, can be expressed as a sum of representations on the total system. This is the fam iliar C lebsch-G ordan series, whose coe cients have been much studied and can be readily calculated. There is an analogous expansion of the product of two representations of the symmetric group S_k on k elements. The coe cients appearing in this alternative C lebsch-G ordan series are known as K ronecker coe cients, and their evaluation is m ore di cult: no simple algorithm is known at present.

To state our result, we need a little notation. As we shall see shortly, every irreducible representation of the symmetric group S_k can be labelled by an ordered par-= (1;:::; q) of k; i.e., a set of non-negative tition integers i w ith i+1i and $_{i} = k$. Let denote $\left(\frac{1}{k}; \ldots; \frac{q}{k}\right)$ and let g denote the K ronecker coe cient that counts the num ber of tim es (possibly zero) that the representation labelled by appears in the product of those labelled by and . Finally, let spec() denote the spectrum of . Then our main result is that, given a density operator AB with spec(AB) = , spec(A) = and spec(B) = , there is a sequence $_{j}$; $_{j}$; $_{j}$ with non-zero $g_{j,j,j}$ such that j; j and j converge to spec(^{AB}); spec(^A) and spec(^B), respectively.

II.YOUNG DIAGRAM SAND THE SPECTRUM OF A DENSITY OPERATOR

In this section we give a brief description of representations of the sym m etric group S_k and the special unitary group in d dimensions, SU (d), and review a theorem by K eyland W erner [7], which will play a key role in proving our main result.

If C^d denotes a d-dimensional complex vector space, S_k operates on (C^d) k by

$$fe_{i_1} e_{i_2} ::: e_{i_k} g = e_{i_{1(1)}} e_{i_{1(2)}} ::: e_{i_{1(k)}};$$
(1)

for $2 S_k$, where the e_1 ;::: e_d are elements of some basis of C^d. The group SU (d) acts by

$$e_{i_1} e_{i_2} ::: e_{i_k} ! U e_{i_1} U e_{i_2} ::: U e_{i_k};$$
 (2)

for U 2 SU (d).

These actions of S_k and SU (d) on (C^d)^k de ne representations of each group, but both representations are

reducible. Their irreducible components can be constructed as follows. Let us write 'k to mean that is an ordered partition with i = j j = k. This can be depicted by a Young frame, which consists of q rows, the i-th row having i boxes in it. A Young tableau T is obtained from a frame by lling the boxes with the numbers 1 to k in some order, with the constraint that

the numbers in each row increase on going to the right and the numbers in each column increase downwards. To each tableau T, we associate the Young symmetry operator e(T) given by

$$e(T) = X X X$$

 $2C(T) 2R(T)$; (3)

where R (T) and C(T) are sets of permutations of S_k , R (T) being those that are obtained by permuting the integers within each row of T, and C(T) those obtained by permuting integers within each column of T [12].

Each e(T) satis es e(T)² = re(T) for some integer r, so e(T)=r is a projection which we denote by p(T). The action of SU (d) on the in age subspace of p(T) in (C^d) ^k gives an irreducible representation of SU (d). If T⁰ is another tableau of the same frame, the representations of SU (d) are equivalent (under the permutation that takes T to T⁰). Thus the irreducible representations of SU (d) are labelled by Young frames, or equivalently, by partitions 'k.

Now pick a vector v in the subspace de ned by p(T), and apply all elements $2~S_k$ to it. The subspace of (C^d) k spanned by f $v:~2~S_kg$ de nes an irreducible representation of S_k . D istinct fram es yield distinct representations, so we can also label the irreducible representations of S_k by partitions `k.

From the above construction, it can be shown that the subspaces U and V of the irreducible representations of S_k and SU (d), respectively, are related in the following elegant m anner:

$$C^{d} \stackrel{k}{=} U V :$$
 (4)

This is sometimes called the W eyl-Schur duality of \boldsymbol{S}_k and SU (d).

A system atic way to generate V for a tableau T is to apply p(T) to all vectors $v = e_{i_1} e_{i_2} ::: e_{i_k}$, where we identify the j-th component of the tensor product with the j-th box in the numbering of the tableau T. If we count the number of times each basis element e_i occurs in v, this de nes a partition, 'k. W, e say is $P_{d}^{if} \stackrel{q}{=1} i$ r_q _{i=1} i for majorized by , and write q = 1; :::; d 1 and $P_{i=1}^{P} d_{i=1} = P_{i=1}^{P} d_{i=1}$. The vector v will , since otherw ise project to zero under p(T) unless there must be two boxes in the same column of T, with num berings i and j, for which $e_i = e_j$. In particular, for any Young diagram with more than d row $s_{r}V = 0$.

The dimensions of V and U are given by $\left[6 \right]$

dim V =
$$\frac{Q_{i < j} (i j i + j)}{Q_{m=1}^{d-1} m!}$$
: (5)

and

$$\dim U = \frac{k!}{\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{hook}(i)};$$
(6)

where the index i in the latter form ula runs over all boxes in the Young diagram of , and hook (i) is the hook-length of box i, i.e. the num ber of boxes vertically below i and to the right of i within the diagram, including box i. U seful bounds for these dimensions are

dim V
$$(k+1)^{d(d-1)=2}$$
 (7)

and

$$\frac{k!}{\sum_{i}(i+d-i)!} \quad \dim U \quad \frac{k!}{\sum_{i}i!}$$
(8)

A remarkable connection between Young frames and density operators was discovered by K eyland W erner [7] (see also R.Alicki, S.Rudnicki and S.Sadowski [11]). Suppose is a density operator whose spectrum spec(). K eyl and W erner showed that, for large k, the quantum state ^k will project with high probability into the Young subspaces 'k such that approximates spec(). Their proof was somewhat elaborate. A succinct argument was found by H ayashi and M atsum oto [5], and we give it here, correcting an algebraic slip in their derivation.

Theorem 1. Let be a density operator with spectrum r = spec(), and let P be the projection onto U V. Then

$$tr P = k (k+1)^{d(d-1)=2} exp kD (jjr)$$
 (9)

with D $(pjjq) = {P \atop i} p_i (log p_i \quad log q_i)$ the Kullback-Leibler distance of two norm alised probability distributions p and q. Note that D (pjq) = 0 if and only if p = q.

Proof. In the procedure for generating V described above, we can choose the eigenvectors of as a basis for C^d. W hen eigenvectors of ^k are projected onto U V, the result is non-zero only if , as argued above. The 'surviving' eigenvalues ${}_{i}r_{i}^{i}$, where 'k, are therefore smaller than ${}_{i}r_{i}^{i}$.

Using the bounds (7) and (8), it follows that

$$tr P^{k} dim U dim V r_{i}^{i}$$
(10)

$$(k + 1)^{d(d-1)=2} \frac{k!}{Q_{i}!} r_{i}^{i}$$
 (11)

 $(k + 1)^{d(d-1)=2} \exp kD(jr)$: (12)

This completes the proof.

C orollary 1. If is a density operator with spectrum r = spec(),

$$\operatorname{tr} P_X \stackrel{k}{\longrightarrow} (k+1)^{d(d+1)=2} \exp(k \min_{n:2S} D(jp));$$

(13)

where $P_X := {P \choose k: 2S} P$ for a set of spectra S .

This follows from the theorem if we simply pick the Young frame with the slowest convergence and multiply it by the total number of possible Young frames with k boxes in d rows. This number is certainly smaller than $(k + 1)^d$.

Let B (r) = fr^0 : \dot{r}_i^0 $r_i j < g$ be the -ballaround the spectrum r. If we take S to be the complement of B (r), it becomes clear that for large k, ^k will project into a Young subspace with close to r with high probability. More precisely

C orollary 2. Given an operator with spectrum r = spec(), and given $_1 > 0$, let $P_X = \frac{k_{12B_1}(r)P}{k_{12B_1}(r)P}$. Then for any $_2 > 0$ there is a $k_0 > 0$ such that for all $k = k_0$,

$$tr P_X \xrightarrow{k} > 1_2$$
: (14)

III. THE CONTENT EXPANSION

Suppose now we have a bipartite system AB m ade up of system s A and B with spaces C^m and C^n , respectively. SU (m n) thus acts on C^{mn} , the space of AB, and hence on the k-fold tensor product $(C^{mn})^k$ according to equation (2). Similarly, SU (m) and SU (n) act on A and B, respectively, this gives an action of SU (m) SU (n) on AB. If R is an irreducible representation of SU (m) on the Young subspace of AB, its restriction to SU (m) SU (n) is not necessarily irreducible, and can generally be expressed as a sum ofterm s R R, where R and R are irreducible representions of SU (m) and SU (n), respectively. W e call this sum the content expansion of , borrowing some term inology from [4, 6].

In the remainder of this section we follow [9] closely. The content expansion can be conveniently described in term softhe characters of the underlying representations. The conjugacy class of a unitary matrix in SU (d), for somedimension d, is given by its deigenvalues $x_1; :::; x_d$. The characters of the representation V of SU (d) is therefore a function of $x_1; ::: p : x_d$. Dene the hom ogeneous power sums by $h_r = \frac{1}{i_1 - i_2} - \frac{1}{r} \frac{x_{i_1}}{r} \frac{x_{i_2}}{r} - \frac{1}{r} \frac{x_i}{r} \frac{x_i}{r} x_i$ Then

$$s(x) = det(h_{i} + j)_{1} + j_{d}$$
: (15)

The polynom ials (x) is called the Schur function or S-function of . The s form a basis for the symmetric polynom ials in d variables of degree j j.

Now take the characters $(z) = s (z_1; :::; z_{mn})$ of the representation of SU (mn). When restricted to SU (m) SU (n), this can be regarded as the function s (xy), where $x_1; ::: x_m$ are eigenvalues of an element of SU (m), and $y_1; ::: y_n$ those of an element of SU (n), and xy denotes the set of all products $x_i y_j$. The products s (x)s (y) of Schur functions over all and with j j = j j = j jare the characters of the irreducible representations of SU (m). Hence we can write s (xy) in this basis, and obtain the content expansion:

$$s (xy) = \begin{cases} X \\ g \\ z \end{cases} (x) s (y):$$
 (16)

The relationship between representations of SU (d) corresponding to eq. (16) can be written

$$R #_{SU(m) SU(n)} = g R R;$$
 (17)

where the left hand side denotes the representation R of SU (m n) restricted to the subgroup SU (m) SU (n). Note that the underlying subspace of R R is not in general V V, but is embedded in (U V) (U V) by some unitary action.

The integers g are sometimes called the K ronecker coe cients; this name alludes to another context they occur in, as we now explain.

Let () denote the character of the representation U of S_k on the conjugacy class . The conjugacy class of a permutation in S_k is determined by the lengths of the cycles in the permutation, so is a partition of k whose parts $_i$ represent the lengths of those cycles. Let $p_r(x_1; \ldots; x_d) = __i x_i^r$ be the rth power sum and let $p = p_1 p_2 ___q p$ Then the character of the representation of SU (d) S_k given by equations (1) and (2) takes the value $p(x) = p(x_1; \ldots; x_d)$ at an element of SU (d) with eigenvalues $x_1; \ldots; x_d$ and a permutation with class 'k. In terms of characters, therefore, eq.(4) becomes

$$p(x) = X$$
 ()s(x): (18)

Thus

$$p(x)p(y) = X$$
 () () $s(x)s(y);$ (19)

but since p(x)p(y) = p(xy), by equation (16) we have

$$p(x)p(y) = X$$
 () $s(x)s(y)$: (20)

C om paring equations (19) and (20), and noting that the products s (x)s (y) are linearly independent, we have for each

() () =
$$g$$
 (); (21)

with j j= j j= j j. This implies that the corresponding representation subspaces satisfy

$$U \quad U = g \quad U : \qquad (22)$$

Thus the K ronecker coe cients g appear in the C lebsch-G ordan series for the sym m etric group S_k , i.e. in the series that represents the K ronecker (or tensor) product of two irreducible representations of S_k as a sum of irreducible representations, where the latter are weighted by the num ber of time s they occur. This is analogous to the C lebsch-G ordan series for SU (d),

$$s(x)s(x) = C s(x);$$
 (23)

where j j = j j + j j. The coe cients c can be calculated using the fam ous Littlew ood-R ichardson rule [9], whereas noting an e cient computational rule for the g is a fundam ental open problem (see e.g. [8]).

Equation (21) and orthogonality of characters in plies

$$g = \frac{1}{k!} X () () (); (24)$$

which shows that the K ronecker coe cients are symmetric under interchange of the indices.

IV.MAIN RESULT

W e now show that there is a close correspondence between the spectra of a density operator ${}^{A\,B}$ and its traces A and B and Young frames , , with positive K ronecker coe cients. M ore precisely,

Theorem 2. For every density operator AB , there is a sequence (j; j; j) of partitions, labelled by natural numbers j, with j j = j j = j j such that

and

$$\lim_{j \neq 1} j = \operatorname{spec}(A^{B})$$
(26)

$$\lim_{j \ge 1} j = \operatorname{spec}(^{A})$$
(27)

$$\lim_{j \neq 1} j = \operatorname{spec}(B)$$
 (28)

Proof. Let $r^{AB} = spec(A^B); r^A = spec(A^B); r^B = spec(B^B)$. Let P^{AB} denote the projector onto the Young subspace U V in system AB, and let P^A , P^B be the corresponding projectors onto Young subspaces in A and

B, respectively. By corollary 2, for given > 0, we can nd a k_0 such that the following allhold for all $k = k_0$,

$$tr P_{X} (^{A})^{k} 1 ; P_{X} \coloneqq P^{A} (29)$$

$$tr P_{Y} (^{B})^{k} 1 ; P_{Y} \coloneqq P^{B} (30)$$

$$tr P_{Z} (^{AB})^{k} 1 ; P_{Z} \coloneqq P^{AB} : (31)$$

$$2B (r^{AB})^{AB} (r^{AB})^{AB} (r^{AB})$$

Equations (29) and (30) can be combined to yield

$$tr(P_X P_Y)(^{AB})^k 1 2 :$$
 (32)

This follows from

tr (
$$P Q$$
) ^{AB} tr P^{A} + tr Q^{B} 1; (33)

which holds for all projectors P and Q and density operators AB since tr [(1 P) (1 Q) AB] 0.

Because $(AB)^{k}$ maps each Young frame into itself, writing = $(AB)^{k}$, we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
X \\
P \\
 & P \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 & & \\
 &$$

Dening $P_Z \approx 1$ P_Z , eqs. (32) and (34) in ply

$$tr[(P_X P_Y)(P_Z P_Z + P_Z P_Z)] 1 2$$
: (35)

We now insert tr $[(P_X P_Y)P_Z P_Z]$ (from eq. (β_1)) and obtain

$$tr[(P_X P_Y)P_Z P_Z] 1 3 :$$
 (36)

Clearly, there must be at least one triple 2 B (r^{A}); 2 B (r^{B}) and 2 B (r^{AB}) with tr [(P^{A} P^B)P^{AB} P^{AB}] \in 0. Thus (P^{A} P^B)P^{AB} \in 0 and by eq. (17) this implies g \in 0.

Next we consider some consequences of the above theorem . The von Neum ann entropy S (), of the operator , is de ned by S () = tr ($\log($)) = H (r), where r is the spectrum of .

P roposition 1. Von Neum ann entropy is subadditive; i.e. for all AB , S (AB) S (A) + S (B).

P roof. The C lebsch-G ordan expansion for the sym m etric group, eq. (22), in plies

Theorem 2 tells us that, for every operator AB , there is a sequence of non-vanishing $g_{j,j,j}$ with j, j, j converging to the spectra of AB , A and B . Since the K ronecker coe cients are always non-negative integers, if $g_{j,j,j} \notin 0$, we have

$$\dim U_j$$
 $\dim U_j \dim U_j$: (38)

This holds for all j, and in the lim it of large j Stirling's approximation and inequality (8) in ply that log (dim U_j) tends to kS (AB), where k = j_j j and similarly for system sA and B. Thus we obtain subadditivity.

P roposition 2. The triangle inequality [1], $S(^{AB})$ $S(^{A})$ $S(^{B})$ jholds for all AB .

P roof. The sym metry of the coe cients in plied by eq. (24) tells us that in addition to eq. (38) we also have the two equations obtained by cyclically permuting ;;; e.g. dim U_j dim U_j dim U_j: The triangle inequality then follow sby applying the reasoning in the proof of the preceding proposition.

Note that our proof of the triangle inequality is very di erent in spirit from the conventional one that applies subadditivity to the puri cation of the state.

F inally, we show that the non-vanishing of a K ronecker coe cient in plies a relationship between entropies.

Proposition 3. Let ; ; 'k. If $g \in 0$, then H() H()+H(), where H() = $\int_{i}^{i} \log(i)$ is the Shannon entropy of .

Proof. K irillov has announced ([8], Theorem 2.11) that $g \in 0$ implies $g_{N N N} \in 0$, for any integer N, where N means the partition with lengths N $_i$. Since log(dim U_N) tends to N kH () for large N, inequality (38) implies the result we seek.

V.CONCLUSIONS

The ideas we introduce here build on concepts that were much in vogue in the particle physics of the 1960's. The SU (m) SU (n) content of a representation of SU (m n) expresses the types of symmetry possible in nuclei and elementary particles, e.g. in the multiplet theory of W igner and in the eight-fold way of N e'em an and G ell-M ann [2, 3, 10, 13]. The connection that we derive between these concepts and the spectra of quantum states is novel and leads to surprisingly simple proofs of subadditivity of the von N eum ann entropy and the triangle inequality. There are many ways to generalise these ideas, and exploration of them is likely to be fruitful.

ACKNOW LEDGEMENTS

W e thank Professor G raem e Segal for inspiring conversations and D rs. Andreas W inter and A ram H arrow for helpful comments on the manuscript. This work was supported in part by a grant from the Cambridge-M IT Institute, A StarGrantNo.012-104-0040 and the EU under project RESQ (IST-2001-37559). M C acknow ledges the support of a DAAD D oktorandenstipendium and the U K. Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council.

ΝΟΤΕ

A fter this work was completed (quant-ph/0409016) K lyachko announced some very interesting results (quant-ph/0409113). These included a theorem closely related to our theorem 2, and also a converse that states that, if $g_{m-pm} = 6$ 0 for some positive integer m, then there is a density operator with the triple of spectra ; ; .

m atthias christand 10 qubit org

- $^{\rm y}$ G JM itch ison@ dam tp cam ac.uk
- H.Arakiand E.H.Lieb. Entropy inequalities. Comm. M ath. Phys., 18:160{170, 1970.
- [2] M. Gell-M ann. California Institute of Technology Synchrotron Laboratory Report, (CTSL-20), 1961.
- [3] M.Gell-Mann.Symmetries of baryons and mesons.Phys. Rev., 125:1067(1084, 1962.
- [4] C. R. Hagen and A. J. MacFarlane. Reduction of representations of SU_{mn} with respect to the subgroup SU_m SU_n. J. M ath. Phys., 6 (9) 1355 {1365, 1965.
- [5] M. Hayashi and K. Matsumoto. Quantum universal variable-length source coding. Phys. Rev. A, 66 (2):022311, 2002.
- [6] C. Itzykson and M. Nauenberg. Unitary groups: Representations and decompositions. Rev. Mod. Phys., 38(1):95{120, 1966.
- [7] M.Keyland R.F.W emer. Estimating the spectrum of a density operator. Phys. Rev. A, 64 (5):052311, 2001.
- [8] A.N.K irillov.An invitation to the generalized saturation conjecture. 2004. m ath CO /0404353.
- [9] I. G. Macdonald. Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials. Oxford mathematical monographs. Oxford, Clarendon, 1979.
- [10] Y. Ne'em an. Derivation of strong interactions from a gauge invariance. Nuclear Phys., 26:222(229, 1961.
- [11] S.RudnickiR.Alickiand S.Sadowski. Symmetry properties of product states for the system of N n-level atoms. 29(5):1158{1162, 1988.
- [12] H.W eyl. The Theory of Groups and Quantum Mechanics. Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 1950.
- [13] E.W igner. On the consequences of the symmetry of the nuclear H am iltonian on the spectroscopy of nuclei. Phys. Rev, 51:106(119, 1936.