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#### Abstract

D eterm ining the relationship betw een com posite system $s$ and their subsystem $s$ is a fiundam ental problem in quantum physics. In this paper we consider the spectra of a bipartite quantum state and its tw o m arginal states. To each spectrum we can associate a representation of the sym $m$ etric group de ned by a Young diagram whose norm alised row lengths approxim ate the spectrum . W e show that, for allowed spectra, the representation of the com posite system is contained in the tensor product of the representations of the two subsystem s . This gives a new physicalmeaning to representations of the sym $m$ etric group. It also introduces a new $w$ ay of using the $m$ achinery of group theory in quantum inform ational problem $s$, which we illustrate by two sim ple exam ples.


## I. IN TRODUCTION

In 1930, $W$ eyl observed with dry hum our that the \group pest" seem ed to be here to stay ([12], preface to second $G$ erm an edition). The theory of representations of groups, which he did so much to develop, is indeed a m ly established com ponent of $m$ odem physics, appearing wherever the relation of a com posite system to its parts is investigated. The aim of this paper is to derive a novel connection betw een certain representations and the properties of com posite quantum system s.

Suppose a quantum system consists of two parts, A and B, and let ${ }^{A B}$ be a density operator on the composite system AB. The states ${ }^{A}$ and ${ }^{B}$ obtained by tracing out the subsystem s B and A, respectively, are constrained by the fact that they are derived from a com $m$ on state. For instance, subadditivity and the triangle inequality are inform ational inequalities that relate the von Neum ann entropies (the Shannon entropies of the spectra) of ${ }^{A B},{ }^{A}$ and ${ }^{B}$. Even $m$ ore fiundam entally, how ever, one can ask what constraints there are on the spectra of ${ }^{A}$ and ${ }^{B}$ once one knows the spectrum of
${ }^{A B}$. W e prove here a theorem that relates this problem to certain representations of the unitary and sym $m$ etric groups.

A fam iliar exam ple of a com posite system is two particles, one $w$ th spin $j_{1}$ and the other with spin $j_{2}$. The addition of their angular $m$ om enta can be described in term s of representations ofSU (2); the product oftw o representations, one for each subsystem, can be expressed as a sum of representations on the total system. This is the fam iliar C lebsch-G ordan series, whose coe cients have been m uch studied and can be readily calculated. T here is an analogous expansion of the product oftw o representations of the sym $m$ etric group $S_{k}$ on $k$ elem ents. The coe cients appearing in this altemative $C$ lebsch- - ordan series are know $n$ as $K$ ronecker coe cients, and their evaluation is m ore di cult: no sim ple algorithm is known at

## present.

To state our result, we need a little notation. A s we shall see shortly, every irreducible representation of the sym $m$ etric group $S_{k}$ can be labelled by an ordered partition $=(1 ;::: ; q)$ of $k$; $e_{p}$ a set of non-negative integers $i w$ th $i+1 \quad i$ and $i=k$. Let denote ( $\frac{1}{\mathrm{k}} ;::: ; \frac{\mathrm{q}}{\mathrm{k}}$ ) and letg denote the K roneckercoe cient that counts the num ber of tim es (possibly zero) that the representation labelled by appears in the product of those labelled by and . Finally, let spec ( ) denote the spectrum of . T hen ourm ain result is that, given a density operator ${ }^{A B}$ with $\operatorname{spec}\left({ }^{A B}\right)=, \operatorname{spec}\left({ }^{A}\right)=$ and $\operatorname{spec}\left({ }^{B}\right)=$, there is a sequence $j ; j ; j$ with non-zero $g_{j} j_{j}$ such that $j_{j}{ }_{j}$ and $j_{j}$ converge to $\operatorname{spec}\left({ }^{A B}\right)$; spec ( ${ }^{A}$ ) and $\operatorname{spec}\left({ }^{B}\right)$, respectively.

## II. YOUNG DIAGRAMSAND THE SPECTRUM OFA DENSITY OPERATOR

In this section we give a briefdescription of representations of the sym $m$ etric group $S_{k}$ and the special unitary group in d dim ensions, SU (d), and review a theorem by K eyland $W$ emer [7], which w illplay a key role in proving ourm ain result.

If $C^{d}$ denotes a d-dim ensional com plex vector space, $S_{k}$ operates on ( $\left.C^{d}\right)^{k}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
{f e_{i_{1}}} e_{i_{2}} \quad::: \quad e_{i_{k}} g=e_{i_{(1)}} \quad e_{i}^{1_{(2)}} \quad::: e_{i_{1(k)}}^{1_{(1)}} \text {; } \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $2 S_{k}$, where the $e_{1} ;::: e_{d}$ are elem ents of som e basis of ${ }^{d}$. The group $S U$ (d) acts by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}_{1}} \quad \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}_{2}} \quad::: \quad \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}_{k}}!\mathrm{Ue}_{\mathrm{i}_{1}} \quad \mathrm{Ue}_{\mathrm{i}_{2}} \quad::: \quad \mathrm{U} \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}_{k}} ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for U $2 \mathrm{SU}(\mathrm{d})$.
These actions of $S_{k}$ and $S U$ (d) on ( $\left.C^{d}\right)^{k}$ de ne representations of each group, but both representations are
reducible. Their irreducible com ponents can be constructed as follows. Let us wite ${ }_{p} k$ to $m$ ean that is an ordered partition $w$ th $\quad i=j j=k$. This can be depicted by a Young fram e, which consists of q row $s$, the i-th row having $i$ boxes in it. A Young tableau $T$ is obtained from a frame by lling the boxes $w$ th the num bers 1 to $k$ in som e order, w ith the constraint that the num bers in each row increase on going to the right and the num bers in each colum $n$ increase dow nw ards.

To each tableau $T$, we associate the $Y$ oung sym $m$ etry operator e(T) given by

$$
e(T)=\begin{align*}
& X  \tag{3}\\
& 2 C(T)
\end{aligned} \operatorname{sgn}() \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { 2R(T)}
\end{align*}
$$

where $R(T)$ and $C(T)$ are sets of perm utations of $S_{k}$, $R$ ( $T$ ) being those that are obtained by perm uting the integers w thin each row of $T$, and $C(T)$ those obtained by perm uting integers $w$ thin each colum $n$ of $T$ [12].

Each $e(T)$ satis es $e(T)^{2}=r e(T)$ for som e integer $r$, so $e(T)=r$ is a projection which we denote by $p(T)$. The action ofSU (d) on the im age subspace ofp (T) in ( $C^{d}$ ) ${ }^{k}$ gives an irreducible representation of $S U$ (d). If $T^{0}$ is another tableau of the sam e fram e, the representations of SU (d) are equivalent (under the perm utation that takes $T$ to $T^{0}$ ). Thus the irreducible representations of $S U(d)$ are labelled by Young fram es, or equivalently, by partitions 'k.

N ow pick a vector $v$ in the subspace de ned by $p(T)$, and apply all elem ents $2 S_{k}$ to it. The subspace of ( $\left.{ }^{\text {d }}\right)^{k}$ spanned by $f \mathrm{v}$ : $2 \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{g}$ de nes an irreducible representation of $S_{k}$. D istinct fram es yield distinct representations, so we can also label the irreducible representations of $S_{k}$ by partitions ' $k$.

From the above construction, it can be show $n$ that the subspaces $U$ and $V$ of the irreducible representations of $S_{k}$ and $S U(d)$, respectively, are related in the follow ing elegant $m$ anner:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C^{d \quad k}={ }_{\imath k}^{M} U \quad V: \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his is som etim es called the $W$ eyl-Schur duality of $S_{k}$ and SU (d).

A system atic w ay to generate $V$ for a tableau $T$ is to apply $p(T)$ to all vectors $v=e_{i_{1}} \quad e_{i_{2}} \quad::: \quad e_{i_{k}}$, where we identify the $j$-th com ponent of the tensor product $w$ th the $j$-th box in the num bering of the tableau $T$. If we count the num ber of tim es each basis elem ent $e_{i}$ occurs in $v$, this de nes a partitiop ${ }_{q} \mathrm{k}$. We say is $m$ ajorized by , and write $\quad P^{\prime}$, if $\underset{i=1}{q} i \quad{ }_{i=1}^{q} i$ for $q=1 ;::: ; d 1$ and $P_{i=1}^{d}=P_{i=1}^{d} \quad i$. The vector V will project to zero under $p$ ( $T$ ) unless , since otherw ise there $m$ ust be two boxes in the sam e colum n of $T$, w ith num berings $i$ and $j$, for which $e_{i}=e_{j}$. In particular, for any Young diagram $w$ th $m$ ore than $d$ row $s, V=0$.

The dim ensions of $V$ and $U$ are given by [6]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim} V=\frac{Q_{i<j}\left(\sum_{\substack{d \\ m=1}} m!i+j\right)}{}: \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim} U=Q_{i} \frac{k!}{\text { hook (i) }} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the index $i$ in the latter form ula runs over allboxes in the Young diagram of , and hook (i) is the hook-length ofbox i, i.e. the num ber ofboxes vertically below i and to the right of iw ithin the diagram, including box i. U seful bounds for these dim ensions are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim} V \quad(k+1)^{d(d \quad 1)=2} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.Q_{i} \frac{k!}{i+d} \quad i\right)!\quad d i m U \quad Q_{i}^{k!}: \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

A rem arkable connection between Young fram es and density operators $w$ as discovered by $K$ eyland $W$ emer [7] (see also R. A licki, S. Rudnicki and S. Sadow ski [11]). Suppose is a density operatorwhose spectrum $\operatorname{spec}($ ). $K$ eyl and $W$ emer showed that, for large $k$, the quantum state ${ }^{k} w$ illproject $w$ ith high probability into the Young subspaces ' $k$ such that approxim ates spec ( ). Their proof was som ew hat elaborate. A succinct argu$m$ ent $w$ as found by $H$ ayashi and $M$ atsum oto [5], and we give it here, correcting an algebraic slip in their derivation.

Theorem 1. Let be a density operator $w$ ith spectrum $r=\operatorname{spec}(1)$, and let $P$ be the projection onto $U \quad V$. $T$ hen

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr} P \quad k \quad(k+1)^{d(d \quad 1)=2} \exp \quad k D(\ddot{j}) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ ith $D(p \ddot{j} \dot{q})={ }^{P}{ }_{i} p_{i}\left(\log p_{i} \quad \log q_{i}\right)$ the $K$ ullback-Leibler distance of tw o norm alised probability distributions $p$ and q. $N$ ote that $D(p \ddot{j} \dot{q})=0$ if and only if $p=q$.

Proof. In the procedure for generating $V$ described above, we can choose the eigenvectors of as a basis for $C^{d}$. W hen eigenvectors of ${ }^{k}$ are projected onto $\mathrm{U} \quad \mathrm{V}$, the result is non-zero only if , as argued above. The surviving' eigenvalues ${ }_{i} r_{i}{ }^{i}$, where ' $k$, are therefore $s m$ aller than ${ }_{i} r_{i}{ }^{i}$.

U sing the bounds (7) and (8), it follow s that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{tr} P \quad k \quad \operatorname{dim} U \operatorname{dim} V \quad r_{i}{ }^{i}  \tag{10}\\
& \left.(k+1)^{d(d} 1\right)=2 \sum_{i{ }^{i}!}^{i}{ }_{i} r_{i}{ }^{i}  \tag{11}\\
& (k+1)^{d(d \quad 1)=2} \exp \quad k D(\ddot{j}): \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

This com pletes the proof.

C orollary 1. If is a density operator $w$ ith spectrum $r=\operatorname{spec}()$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr}_{\mathrm{x}} \quad \mathrm{k} \quad(\mathrm{k}+1)^{\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{~d}+1)=2} \exp \left(\mathrm{k} \underset{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{n}: 2 \mathrm{~S}} \mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{in}} \mathrm{D}(\ddot{j} \mathrm{j})\right) ; \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{x}:{ }^{P} \quad{ }_{k}: 2 \mathrm{~s}$ P for a set of spectra $S$.
This follows from the theorem if we sim ply pick the Young fram ew ith the slow est convergence and multiply it by the total num ber of possible Y oung fram es $w$ ith $k$ boxes in d row s. This num ber is certainly sm aller than $(k+1)^{\mathrm{d}}$.

Let $B(r):=f r^{0}:^{P} \quad j r_{i}^{0} \quad r_{i} j<\quad g$ be the toallaround the spectrum $r$. If we take $S$ to be the com plem ent of B ( $r$ ), it becom es clear that for large $k$, ${ }^{k} w$ ill pro ject into a Young subspace $w$ th close to $r w$ th high probability. M ore precisely
C orollary 2. Given an operator $w_{j}$ th spectrum $r=$ $\operatorname{spec}(1)$, and given $1_{1}>0$, let $P_{X}=\quad v_{k}: 2_{B_{1}(r)} P$. $T$ hen for any $2>0$ there is a $k_{0}>0$ such that for all k $k_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr} P_{x} \quad{ }^{k}>1 \quad 2: \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

## III. THECONTENTEXPANSION

Suppose now we have a bipartite system AB m ade up of system $S A$ and $B$ w ith spaces $C^{m}$ and $C^{n}$, respectively. $S U(m n)$ thus acts on $C^{m n}$, the space of $A B$, and hence on the $k$-fold tensor product ( $\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{m} n}$ ) ${ }^{\mathrm{k}}$ according to equation (2]. Sim ilarly, $S U(m)$ and $S U(n)$ act on A and B, respectively, this gives an action of $S U(m) \quad S U(n)$ on $A B$. If $R$ is an irreducible representation of $S U(m n)$ on the Young subspace of $A B$, its restriction to $S U(m) \quad S U(n)$ is not necessarily irreducible, and can generally be expressed as a sum ofterm s $R \quad R$, where $R$ and $R$ are irreducible representions of SU ( $m$ ) and SU ( $n$ ), respectively. W e call this sum the content expansion of , borrow ing som e term inology from [4, 6].

In the rem ainder of this section we follow [9] closely. $T$ he content expansion can be conveniently described in term softhe characters of the underlying representations. $T$ he conjugacy class of a unitary $m$ atrix in $S U(d)$, for som edim ension $d$, is given by its $d$ eigenvalues $\mathrm{x}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{d}}$. $T$ he character $s$ of the representation $V$ of $S U(d)$ is therefore a function of $\mathrm{x}_{1} ;:: \mathrm{P}^{\mathbf{j}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{d}}$. De ne the hom ogeneous power sum s by $h_{r}=i_{i} i_{2} \quad X_{X_{1}} X_{i_{2}} \quad i_{r} X$ $T$ hen

$$
\begin{equation*}
s(x)=\operatorname{det}\left(h_{i} i+j\right)_{1} i ; j d: \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The polynom ials $(x)$ is called the Schur function or $S$ function of . The $s$ form a basis for the sym $m$ etric polynom ials in d variables of degree $j$ j.

N ow take the character $s(z)=s\left(z_{1} ;::: ; z_{m n}\right)$ of the representation of $S U(m n)$. W hen restricted to $S U(m) \quad S U(n)$, this can be regarded as the function $s(x y)$, where $x_{1} ;::: x_{m}$ are eigenvalues of an elem ent of $S U(m)$, and $y_{1} ;::: y_{n}$ those of an elem ent of $S U(n)$, and $x y$ denotes the set of all products $x_{i} y_{j}$. The products s ( $x$ )s ( $y$ ) of Schur functions over all and with $j j=j j=j$ jare the characters of the irreducible representations ofSU (m) SU (n). H ence we can writes (xy) in this basis, and obtain the content expansion:

$$
s(x y)=\begin{align*}
& X  \tag{16}\\
& ;
\end{align*} \quad s \quad(x) s(y):
$$

The relationship betw een representationsofSU (d) corresponding to eq. (16) can be written

$$
R \quad \#_{S U(m)} \operatorname{SU}(n)=\begin{align*}
& M  \tag{17}\\
& ;
\end{align*} \quad R \quad R \quad ;
$$

where the left hand side denotes the representation $R$ of $S U(m n)$ restricted to the subgroup $S U(m) \quad S U(n)$. $N$ ote that the underlying subspace of $R \quad R$ is not in generalV $V$, but is em bedded in ( $\mathrm{U} V$ ) ( U V ) by som e unitary action.
$T$ he integers $g$ are som etim es called the $K$ ronecker coe cients; this name alludes to another context they occur in, as we now explain.

Let ( ) denote the character of the representation U of $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{k}}$ on the conjugacy class. The conjugacy class of a perm utation in $S_{k}$ is determ ined by the lengths of the cycles in the perm utation, so is a partition of $k$ whose parts $i$ reppresent the lengths of those cycles. Let $p_{r}\left(x_{1} ;::: ; x_{d}\right)=i_{i}^{r}$ be the rth power sum and let $\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{p}_{1} \mathrm{p}_{2} \quad{ }_{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{p} T$ hen the character of the representation ofSU (d) $S_{k}$ given by equations (1) and (2) takes the value $p(x)=p\left(x_{1} ;::: ; x_{d}\right)$ at an elem ent of $S U(d)$ w ith eigenvalues $\mathrm{x}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{d}}$ and a perm utation w ith class
' k . In tem s of characters, therefore, eq (4) becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(x))^{x} \quad(\text { )s }(x): \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(x) p(y)=^{x} \quad \text { ( ) ( )s (x)s }(y) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

but since $p(x) p(y)=p(x y)$, by equation (16) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(x) p(y))^{X} \quad g \quad(\text { )s }(x) s(y): \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

C om paring equations (19) and (20), and noting that the products $s(x) s(y)$ are linearly independent, we have for each

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { ( ) }()={ }^{X} g \quad() \text {; } \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $j j=j j=j j$. This implies that the corresponding representation subspaces satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
U \quad U \quad=^{M} \quad G \quad U \quad: \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ hus the $K$ ronecker coe cients $g$ appear in the $C$ lebsch-G ordan series for the sym $m$ etric group $S_{k}$, i.e. in the series that represents the $K$ ronecker (or tensor) product of tw o irreducible representations of $S_{k}$ as a sum of irreducible representations, w here the latter are w eighted by the num ber of tim es they occur. This is analogous to the $C$ lebsch-G ordan series for $S U$ (d),

$$
\begin{equation*}
s(x) s(x)=^{X} \text { c } \quad s(x) \text {; } \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $j j=j j+j j$. The coe cients can be calculated using the fam ous L ittlew ood $R$ ichardson rule [ [0], whereas nding an e cient com putational rule for the $g$ is a fundam ental open problem (see e.g. [8]).

Equation (21) and orthogonality of characters im plies

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=\frac{1}{k!}_{2 s_{k}}^{X} \quad \text { ( ) ( ) ( ); } \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

which show sthat the $K$ ronecker coe cients are sym $m$ etric under interchange of the indiges.

## IV. MA IN RESULT

W e now show that there is a close correspondence betw een the spectra of density operator ${ }^{A B}$ and its traces ${ }^{A}$ and ${ }^{B}$ and Young fram es , , $w$ ith positive $K$ ronecker coe cients. M ore precisely,

Theorem 2. For every density operator ${ }^{A B}$, there is a sequence ( $j ; j ; j$ ) of partitions, labelled by natural num bers $j$, with $j j_{j}=j j_{j}=j j j$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{j}^{j} \notin 0 \text { for all } j \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
\lim _{j!1} j & =\operatorname{spec}\left({ }^{A B}\right) \\
\lim _{j!1} & j=\operatorname{spec}\left({ }^{A}\right) \\
\lim _{j!1} j & =\operatorname{spec}\left({ }^{B}\right) \tag{28}
\end{array}
$$

Proof. Let $r^{A B}=\operatorname{spec}\left({ }^{A B}\right) ; r^{A}=\operatorname{spec}\left({ }^{A}\right) ; r^{B}=$ $\operatorname{spec}\left({ }^{B}\right)$. Let $P^{A B}$ denote the pro jector onto the Young subspace $U \quad V$ in system $A B$, and let $P^{A}, P^{B}$ be the corresponding pro jectors onto Y oung subspaces in $A$ and
$B$, respectively. By corollary 2, for given $>0$, we can nd a $k_{0}$ such that the follow ing all hold for all $k \quad k_{0}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{tr} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}\left({ }^{\mathrm{A}}\right)^{k} 1 \quad ; \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{A}} \quad \text { (29) } \\
& \stackrel{2 B}{X}\left(r^{A}\right) \\
& \operatorname{tr} P_{Y}\left({ }^{B}\right)^{k} \quad 1 \quad ; \quad P_{Y}=X_{X}^{2 B}\left(r^{B}\right)^{B}  \tag{30}\\
& \operatorname{tr} P_{z}\left({ }^{A B}\right)^{k} \quad 1 \quad ; \quad P_{Z}=P^{A B}:(31)  \tag{31}\\
& \text { 2B ( } \left.\mathrm{r}^{\mathrm{AB}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Equations (29) and 30) can be com bined to yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathbb{P}_{X} P_{Y}\right)\left(^{A B}\right)^{k} \quad 1 \quad 2: \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his follow $S$ from

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr}(P \quad Q)^{A B} \quad \operatorname{tr} P^{A}+\operatorname{tr} Q^{B} \quad 1 ; \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

which holds for all projectors $P$ and $Q$ and density operators ${ }^{A B}$ sincetr $\left[\begin{array}{ll}\mathbb{1} & P)\end{array}(\mathbb{1} Q)^{A B}\right] 0$.

Because ( $\left.{ }^{A B}\right)^{k} \mathrm{~m}$ aps each Young fram $e$ into itself, writing $=\left({ }^{A B}\right)^{k}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
X \quad P \quad P=: \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

De ning $P_{z}:=\mathbb{1} \quad P_{z}$, eqs. (32) and (34) im ply

$$
\operatorname{tr}\left[\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}} & \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Y}} \tag{35}
\end{array}\right)\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Z}} \quad \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Z}}+\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Z}} \quad \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Z}}\right)\right] \quad 1 \quad 2:
$$

$W$ e now insert tr $\left.\left[\begin{array}{llll}P_{X} & P_{Y}\end{array}\right) P_{Z} \quad P_{Z}\right] \quad$ (from eq. B1) ) and obtain

$$
\operatorname{tr}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\left(\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{X}}\right. & \left.\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Y}}\right) \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Z}} & \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{Z}} \tag{36}
\end{array}\right] \quad 1 \quad 3:
$$

Clearly, there must be at least one triple 2 $B\left(r^{A}\right) ; 2 B\left(r^{B}\right)$ and $2 B\left(r^{A B}\right)$ with $\operatorname{tr}\left[\left(P^{A}\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.P^{B}\right) P^{A B} \quad P^{A B}\right] 0$. Thus $\left(P^{A} \quad P^{B}\right) P^{A B} 0$ and by eq. (17) this implies $g \notin 0$.

N ext we consider som e consequenœs of the above theorem. The von $N$ eum ann entropy $S()$, of the operator , is de ned by $S()=\operatorname{tr}(\log ())=H(r)$, where $r$ is the spectrum of .

Proposition 1. Von $N$ eum ann entropy is subadditive; i.e. for all ${ }^{A B}, S\left({ }^{A B}\right) S\left({ }^{A}\right)+S\left({ }^{B}\right)$.

Proof. The C lebsch-G ordan expansion for the sym $m$ etric group, eq. (22), im plies

$$
\begin{equation*}
g \quad \operatorname{dim} U \quad \text { dim } U \text { dim } U: \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2 tells us that, for every operator ${ }^{\text {AB }}$, there is a sequence of non-vanishing $g_{j}{ }_{j}{ }_{j}$ with $j^{\prime} j^{j}{ }^{j}$ converging to the spectra of ${ }^{A B},^{A}$ and ${ }^{B}$. Since the K ronecker coe cients are alw ays non-negative integers, if $g_{j} j_{j} 0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim} U_{j} \quad \operatorname{dim} U_{j} \operatorname{dim} U_{j}: \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his holds for all $j$, and in the lim it of large $j$ Stirling's approxim ation and inequality (8) im ply that $\log \left(d i m U_{j}\right)$ tends to $k S\left({ }^{A B}\right)$, where $k=j j j$ and sim ilarly for system $s A$ and $B$. Thus we obtain subadditivity.

Proposition 2. The triangle inequality [1], S ( ${ }^{\text {A B }}$ ) $j$ ( ${ }^{A}$ ) $S\left({ }^{B}\right) j h o l d s$ for all ${ }^{A B}$.

Proof. The sym m etry of the coe cients im plied by eq. (24) tells us that in addition to eq. (38) we also have the two equations obtained by cyclically perm uting ; ; ; e.g. $\operatorname{dim} U_{j} \quad \operatorname{dim} U_{j} \operatorname{dim} U_{j}$ : The triangle inequality then follow sby applying the reasoning in the proofof the preceding proposition.
$N$ ote that our proof of the triangle inequality is very di erent in spirit from the conventional one that applies subadditivity to the puri cation of the state.
$F$ inally, we show that the non-vanishing ofa $K$ ronecker coe cient im plies a relationship betw een entropies.

Proposition 3. Let ; ; $k$. If $g \mathrm{P} 0$, then H ( ) H ( ) + H ( ), where H ( ) = i i $\log (i)$ is the Shannon entropy of .

P roof. $K$ irillov has announced ( $[8]$, Theorem 2.11) that $g € 0$ implies $g_{N}{ }_{N}{ }_{N} \notin 0$, for any integer $N$, where $N$ means the partition w ith lengths $N$ i. Since $\log \left(\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)$ tends to $\mathrm{NkH}(\mathrm{r}$ ) for large N , inequality (38) im plies the result we seek.

## V. CONCLUSIONS

The ideas we introduce here build on concepts that were much in vogue in the particle physics of the 1960's. The $S U(m) \quad S U(n)$ content of a representation of SU ( $m \mathrm{n}$ ) expresses the types of sym m etry possible in nuclei and elem entary particles, e.g. in the multiplet theory of $W$ igner and in the eight-fold way of $\mathrm{Ne}^{\prime}$ em an and G ell-M ann [2, 3, 10, 13]. The connection that we derive betw een these concepts and the spectra of quantum states is novel and leads to surprisingly sim ple proofs of subadditivity of the von $N$ eum ann entropy and the triangle inequality. There are $m$ any $w$ ays to generalise these ideas, and exploration of them is likely to be fruitful.
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## NOTE

A fter this work was com pleted (quant-ph/0409016) K lyachko announced some very interesting results (quant-ph/0409113). T hese included a theorem closely related to our theorem 2, and also a converse that states that, if $g_{m} ; m ; m \in 0$ for som e positive integer $m$, then there is a density operator w ith the triple of spectra ; ; .
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