

Schroedinger revisited:How the time-dependent wave equation follows from the Hamilton-Jacobi equation

Alex Granik*

Abstract

It is shown how using the classical Hamilton-Jacobi equation one can arrive at the time-dependent wave equation. Although the former equation was originally used by E.Schroedinger to get the wave equation, we propose a different approach. In the first place, we do not use the principle of least action and, in addition, we arrive at the time-dependent equation, while Schroedinger (in his first seminal paper) used the least action principle and obtained the stationary wave equation. The proposed approach works for any classical Hamilton-Jacobi equation. In addition, by introducing information loss into the Hamilton-Jacobi equation we derive in an elementary fashion the wave equations (ranging from the Shroedinger to Klein-Gordon, to Dirac equations). We also apply this technique to a relativistic particle in the gravitational field and obtain the respective wave equation. All this supports 't Hooft's proposal about a possibility of arriving at quantum description from a classical continuum in the presence of information loss.

1 Introduction

In a first of his historical papers [1] E.Schroedinger looking for a solution to the quantization problem of energy values for the hydrogen atom applied the variational principle to the *stationary* classical Hamilton-Jacobi equation.

*Department of Physics,UOP,Stockton,CA.95211;agranik@pacific.edu;

He replaced the classical action S with a new function Ψ and obtained the *stationary* Schroedinger equation. In a sense, it was an *ad hoc* approach, since quoting R.Feynman, this equation "is impossible to derive from anything. It came out of the mind of Schroedinger".

Our paper consists of 2 sections. In the first section we revisit the above Schroedinger approach, but take a different path, which does not require the variational principle and results (with the help of elementary calculations) in the *time – dependent* wave equation. By contrast, the latter equation was obtained by Schroedinger "by way of trial" [3], which was used to resolve the bi-harmonic wave equations into two partial differential equations. Matching these 2 equations, he arrived at the wave equation.

The second section deals with the recent proposal of t' Hooft [2] which argues that introduction of information loss (in a form of small perturbations) into certain classical systems may lead an apparent quantization of the orbits which resembles the quantum structure seen in the real world. This proposal was echoed in [8] where it was pointed out that "Whereas the entropy of any deterministic classical system described by a principle of least action is zero, one can assign a "quantum information" to quantum mechanical degree of freedom equal to Hausdorff area of the deviation from a classical path."

Here we show how in a *more general* scheme of things (not restricted to some special cases) that adding a dissipative term to the classical equation of motion will naturally lead to the wave equations, ranging from the Schroedinger to Klein-Gordon, to Dirac equations. We also apply this method to the motion of a particle in the gravitational field and obtain the respective wave equation. All this makes the concept of information loss introduction into a classical system as a very promising one.

Interestingly enough, such an idea, in a sense, represents an inverse of the "hidden variable" approach to quantum mechanics by Bohm [4]. Whereas Bohm considered the quantum potential arising directly from the Schroedinger equation, the information loss idea takes as its starting point the equations of classical mechanics.

2 Elementary derivation of the Schroedinger equation

We begin with the classical Hamilton-Jacobi equation for a single particle of a mass m moving in a constant potential field U

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2m}(\nabla S)^2 + U = 0 \quad (1)$$

Equation (1) is a nonlinear inhomogeneous PDE with constant coefficients. On the other hand, a nonlinear homogeneous differential equation with constant coefficients has oscillatory solutions. Thus if it would be possible to transform the Hamilton-Jacobi equation (by a suitable choice of a new function instead of action S) to the respective nonlinear (in this case quadratic) homogeneous differential equation, it would yield the oscillatory solution. This would unify the particle and wave descriptions implicit in the Hamilton-Jacobi equation.

Let us introduce a new variable, say $\Psi(\vec{x}, t)$:

$$S = S[\Psi(\vec{x}, t)] \quad (2)$$

As a result, the Hamilton-Jacobi equation (1) is reduced to the following equation

$$\frac{1}{\partial S / \partial \Psi} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m}(\nabla \Psi)^2 + U \frac{1}{(\partial S / \partial \Psi)^2} = 0 \quad (3)$$

It is clear that this equation becomes a nonlinear (quadratic) homogeneous differential equation if and only if

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial \Psi} = \frac{B}{\Psi} \longrightarrow S = B \ln(A\Psi) \quad (4)$$

where A is a constant of integration and B is a constant to be found. Without any loss of generality we can take $A = 1$. On the basis of the dimensional considerations (and to make what follows compatible with the well-known results) we represent constant B as

$$B = a\hbar \quad (5)$$

where \hbar is the Planck constant and constant a will be found in what follows.

Hence Eq.(1) becomes

$$a\hbar\Psi\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial t} + \frac{a^2\hbar^2}{2m}(\nabla\Psi)^2 + U\Psi^2 = 0 \quad (6)$$

If $U = \text{const}$ then (6) admits a wave solution

$$\Psi = \alpha e^{i(\gamma t - \vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{x})} \quad (7)$$

Since the linear momentum is $\vec{p} = \nabla S$ and the energy is $E = -\partial S/\partial t$ we obtain from (7) the values of constants γ and $\vec{\beta}$

$$\gamma = \frac{i}{a\hbar}E, \quad \vec{\beta} = \frac{i}{a\hbar}\vec{p} \quad (8)$$

From (8) follows that to preserve the wave character of the solution (7) it is necessary for a to be an imaginary quantity. To keep the notation accepted in the literature, we set (without any loss of generality)

$$a = \frac{1}{i}$$

yielding according to (4) and (5)

$$S = \frac{\hbar}{i}Ln\Psi \quad (9)$$

Therefore now action S becomes a *complex-valued* quantity.

The change of variable (9) requires a more *rigorous and physically justifiable* argumentation. Therefore we provide additional reasons based on a dual (particle-like and wave-like) nature of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation which, so-to-speak, offers more than meets the eye. To this end we consider the relativistic Hamilton-Jacobi equation for a massless particle (in itself a rather strange, but still valid, concept within the framework of classical mechanics)

$$\frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial t^2} = (\nabla S)^2 \quad (10)$$

where we set $c = 1$.

One can easily see that it has two different solutions. One, let's call it particle-like, is

$$S_p = -Et + \vec{p} \cdot \vec{x} \quad (11)$$

Another one, let's call it wave-like, is

$$S_w = \exp[-i(\omega t - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{x})] \quad (12)$$

On one hand, from (48)

$$\frac{\partial S_p}{\partial t} = -E \quad (13)$$

and from (12)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{1}{i} \text{Ln} S_w \right) = -\omega \quad (14)$$

On the other hand, according to Planck's hypothesis about a discrete character of energy transfer, we replace in (13) (for a single massless particle) energy E by $\hbar\omega$, which yields

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{S_p}{\hbar} \right) = -\omega$$

Comparing this with the right hand-side of (14) we obtain the unique relation between two solutions, S_p and S_w :

$$S_p = \frac{\hbar}{i} \text{Ln} S_w \equiv \frac{\hbar}{i} \text{Ln} \Psi \quad (15)$$

Now comparing

$$\nabla S_p = \vec{p} \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla \left(\frac{1}{i} \text{Ln} S_w \right) = \vec{k}$$

we find from (15) the De Broglie formula

$$\vec{p} = \hbar \vec{k} \quad (16)$$

Thus the dual character (wave-like and particle-like) of a solution to the Hamilton-Jacobi equation inevitably leads to the emergence of the *complex-valued* wave "action" S_w (wave function Ψ) related to the particle action S_p via a naturally arising substitution (15) which Schroedinger originally introduced "by hand" [1].

This holds true for the case $U = U(\vec{x}, t)$, where we have to modify the expression for Ψ (Eq.7) by requiring the quantity α to become a function of \vec{x} and t

$$\alpha = d(\vec{x}, t)$$

and replacing the power in the exponent by some real-valued function $f(\vec{x}, t)$:

$$\Psi = d(\vec{x}, t) e^{if(\vec{x}, t)/\hbar} \quad (17)$$

This means that

$$S = \frac{\hbar}{i} Lnd + f(\vec{x}, t)$$

It is clear that for

$$|\hbar Lnd| \ll |f| \quad (18)$$

the wave part of the complex-valued action (which replaced the classical action) becomes negligible as compared to the "particle" part of the action, and we return to the classical particle description via classical action $S \rightarrow f = S_{cl}$.

With the help of the dimensional analysis, this transition can be interpreted as follows. Since now $|p/\hbar| = k$ (where $k = 2\pi/\lambda$ is the wave number and $p \sim m_c L_c / T_c$), relation (16) allows us to evaluate the quantum of action \hbar in terms of the characteristic mass m_c , length interval L_c , time interval T_c and the wavelength λ entering into a description of the wave:

$$|\frac{2\pi}{\lambda}| = |\frac{p}{\hbar}| \sim \frac{2\pi m_c L_c}{\hbar T_c} \rightarrow \hbar \sim \frac{m_c L_c}{T_c} \lambda \quad (19)$$

Substituting (19) into (18) we find the condition under which the wave-like description is replaced by the particle-like description

$$\lambda \ll L_c \quad (20)$$

where we use $S_c \sim m_c L_c^2 / T_c$. This gives us the condition defining geometrical optics which is more physically justifiable than the usual requirement of setting $\lambda \rightarrow 0$.

Since now the modified action S is **complex-valued**, it provides a clue how we should treat the term $(\nabla S)^2$ in (1) under such condition. By requiring this term to be real-valued (which is dictated by the fact that this term in classical mechanics has a meaning of the kinetic energy) we perform the following replacement:

$$(\nabla S)^2 \rightarrow \nabla S \cdot \nabla S^*$$

where S^* is the complex-conjugate of S . As a result, with the help of (9) (where $a = 1/i$) equation (6) for Ψ becomes

$$\frac{\hbar}{i}\Psi^*\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial t} + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla\Psi \cdot \nabla\Psi^* + U\Psi\Psi^* = 0 \quad (21)$$

The complex-conjugation of (21) is respectively

$$-\frac{\hbar}{i}\Psi^*\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial t} + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla\Psi \cdot \nabla\Psi^* + U\Psi\Psi^* = 0 \quad (22)$$

By combining (21) and (22) we obtain a restriction imposed on the absolute value of the function Ψ

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|\Psi|^2 = 0 \quad (23)$$

Now it is easy to obtain the equation for Ψ (or Ψ^*). To this end we integrate (21)(or eq.22) over an arbitrary volume τ :

$$\frac{\hbar}{i} \int \Psi^* \frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial t} d\tau + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \int \nabla\Psi^* \nabla\Psi d\tau + \int \Psi^* \Psi d\tau = 0 \quad (24)$$

Integrating the second term by parts and assuming that at the boundary surface Σ of the volume τ

$$\Psi|_{\Sigma} = 0$$

we obtain from (24)

$$\int \Psi^* \left\{ \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial t} - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2\Psi + U\Psi \right\} d\tau = 0 \quad (25)$$

For that equation to be identically satisfied its integral expression must be equal to 0:

$$\hbar i \frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2\Psi + U\Psi \quad (26)$$

which yields the time-dependent Schroedinger equation.

There is one unpleasant thing about generality of equation (26). It has been obtained under restriction (23) imposed on $|\Psi|^2$, that is the requirement that in (17) the amplitude $d(\vec{x}, t)$ must be a function of the coordinates only. On the other hand, from the time-dependent Schroedinger equation (26) follows a more general continuity equation:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|\Psi|^2 = \frac{\hbar}{2im} \nabla \cdot [|\Psi|^2 \nabla (Ln \frac{\Psi^*}{\Psi})] \quad (27)$$

Thus the wave equation (26) assumes, so-to-speak, its own life and transcends the restriction imposed on function Ψ in the process of arriving at this equation.

The complex-valued character of the modified action S allows one to perform an inverse problem: to arrive at the Hamilton-Jacobi equation by departing from the respective Schroedinger equation without the usual requirement $\hbar \rightarrow 0$. Let us assume that in the classical Hamilton-Jacobi (1) equation the term $(\nabla S)^2$ is to be replaced by $(\nabla S) \cdot (\nabla S)^*$ (as we did in the above calculations). We apply the quantum averaging to the Schroedinger equation (26), assume that at the boundary surface Σ of the region of integration $\Psi_\Sigma = 0$, and use the Schroedinger substitution (5). As a result, we arrive at the exact averaged (with the weight $\Psi\Psi^*$) classical Hamilton-Jacobi equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \int \Psi^* \left[\frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 + U \right] \Psi d\Omega &\equiv -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \int \Psi^* \nabla \Psi \cdot d\vec{\Sigma} + \\ &\int \left[\frac{\hbar}{i} \Psi^* \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla \Psi \cdot \nabla \Psi^* + \Psi^* U \Psi \right] d\Omega \equiv \\ \int \Psi^* \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\hbar}{i} L n \Psi \right) + \frac{1}{2m} \nabla \left(\frac{\hbar}{i} L n \Psi \right) \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{\hbar}{i} L n \Psi \right)^* + U \right] \Psi d\Omega &\equiv \\ \int \Psi^* \left[\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2m} (\nabla S) \cdot (\nabla S)^* + U \right] \Psi d\Omega &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

If we introduce an average quantity according to the following

$$\langle \dots \rangle = \int \Psi^* (\dots) \Psi d\Omega$$

then (28) yields the classical Hamilton-Jacobi equation (in the complex domain) with respect to these averaged quantities

$$\left\langle \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} \right\rangle + \frac{1}{2m} \langle |\nabla S|^2 \rangle + \langle U \rangle = 0 \quad (29)$$

If, in addition,

$$|\Psi^2| \neq f(t)$$

then (29) yields:

$$\frac{\langle \partial S \rangle}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2m} \langle |\nabla S|^2 \rangle + \langle U \rangle = 0 \quad (30)$$

Interestingly enough, we arrive at the averaged Hamilton-Jacobi equation (29) or (30) without resorting to the conventional limit $\hbar \rightarrow 0$. Moreover, it becomes clear that function Ψ acquires an additional meaning of some "averaging" function, this time in the complex region.

We extend the above procedures leading to the Schroedinger equation to a non-relativistic Hamilton-Jacobi equation for a particle in an electro-magnetic field:

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2m}(\nabla S - e\vec{A})^2 + e\phi = 0 \quad (31)$$

where e is the particle charge, ϕ is a scalar potential, \vec{A} is the vector potential and we set the speed of light $c = 1$. Introducing the Schroedinger substitution (9) and replacing in (31) the second term by the product of the respective factors expressed in terms of Ψ and its complex-conjugate Ψ^* we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\hbar}{i}\Psi^* \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2m}(\hbar^2 \nabla \Psi \cdot \nabla \Psi^* + \frac{\hbar}{i}e\Psi \vec{A} \cdot \nabla \Psi^* - \frac{\hbar}{i}e\Psi^* \vec{A} \cdot \nabla \Psi + e^2 A^2 \Psi \Psi^*) \\ + e\phi \Psi \Psi^* = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Integrating (32) over a volume τ and assuming that at the boundary surface Σ of this volume $\Psi|_{\Sigma} = 0$ we arrive at the following:

$$\int \Psi^* \left[\frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2m} \left(\frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla - e\vec{A} \right)^2 \Psi + e\phi \Psi \right] d\tau = 0 \quad (33)$$

This equation is identically satisfied, if its integral function is equal to 0. This yields the time-dependent Schroedinger equation for a non-relativistic spinless particle in an electro-magnetic field:

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2m} \left(\frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla - e\vec{A} \right)^2 \Psi + e\phi \Psi \quad (34)$$

We also use this method to obtain the Schroedinger equation for a system of $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$ particles. In fact, the respective Hamilton-Jacobi equation is

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{m_j} \frac{\partial S}{\partial \vec{x}_j} \cdot \frac{\partial S}{\partial \vec{x}_j} + U(\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2, \dots) = 0 \quad (35)$$

If we substitute in (35) expression (9), require that all the terms in the sum must be real-valued, and use to this end the complex-conjugate function Ψ^* we then obtain

$$\frac{\hbar}{i}\Psi^*\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial t} + \frac{\hbar^2}{2}\sum_{j=1}^N\frac{1}{m_j}\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial\vec{x}_j}\cdot\frac{\partial\Psi^*}{\partial\vec{x}_j} + U(\vec{x}_j, \vec{x}_n, \dots)\Psi\Psi^* = 0 \quad (36)$$

Integrating (36) over a hyper-volume $d\tau = \Pi_{i=1}^N d\tau_i$ and assuming that at each respective boundary hyper-surface Σ_i function $\Psi_{\Sigma_i} = 0$ we arrive at the following equation

$$\int \Psi^* \left[\frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial t} - \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{m_j} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\vec{x}_j} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial\vec{x}_j} \right) \Psi + U\Psi \right] d\tau = 0 \quad (37)$$

This results in the Schroedinger equation for N particles

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2}\sum_{j=1}^N\frac{1}{m_i}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\vec{x}_j}\cdot\frac{\partial}{\partial\vec{x}_j}\right)\Psi + U\Psi \quad (38)$$

In a similar fashion we can obtain Klein-Gordon equation for a relativistic particle with spin 0 from the relativistic Hamilton-Jacobi equation for a charged particle in an electro-magnetic field:

$$g^{jk}\left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial x^j} + eA_j\right) \cdot \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial x^k} + eA_k\right) = m^2 \quad (39)$$

where $g^{jk} = (1, -1, -1, -1)$, $j = 0, 1, 2, 3$, $A_0 = \phi$, $A_\alpha (\alpha = 1, 2, 3) = -\vec{A}$, we set $c = 1$ and the summation over the repeated indices is adopted.

We use the Schroedinger substitution (9) and replace the right-hand side of (39) by the product of the expressions containing Ψ and its complex conjugate (to be consistent with the real-valuedness of the left-hand side):

$$g^{jk}\left(\frac{\hbar}{i}\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial x^j} + eA_j\Psi\right)\left(-\frac{\hbar}{i}\frac{\partial\Psi^*}{\partial x^k} + eA_k\Psi^*\right) - m\Psi^*m\Psi = 0 \quad (40)$$

Now we integrate (40) over a 4-volume $d\Omega = dx^0 dx^1 dx^2 dx^3$ and assume that function $\Psi|_{\Sigma_j} = 0$ at the boundary hyper-surface Σ_j of the four-volume. As

a result, (after integrating the first term by parts) we obtain

$$\int \Psi^* \{g^{jk} [-\hbar^2 \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial x^j \partial x^k} + e \frac{\hbar}{i} (A_k \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x^j} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} A_j \Psi) + e^2 A_j A_k \Psi] - m^2 \Psi\} d\Omega = 0 \quad (41)$$

This equation is identically satisfied if

$$g^{jk} (\frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} + e A_j) (\frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} + e A_k) \Psi - m^2 \Psi = 0 \quad (42)$$

yielding the Klein-Gordon equation for a zero spin particle.

Finally, departing from the relativistic Hamilton-Jacobi equation (39) which we write as follows:

$$(\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + e\phi)^2 = (\nabla S - e\vec{A})^2 + m^2 \quad (43)$$

we arrive at the Dirac equation. Using the Schroedinger substitution (5) in (43) and the fact that the both sides of the resulting equation containing the complex-valued function Ψ must be real-valued, we get

$$(i\hbar \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} - e\phi \Psi)^* (i\hbar \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} - e\phi \Psi) = (\frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla \Psi - e\vec{A} \Psi)^* (\frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla \Psi - e\vec{A} \Psi) + m^2 \Psi \Psi^* \quad (44)$$

The right-hand side of (44) can be represented as a product of two factors, if we replace the complex-valued function Ψ with a four-vector function $\hat{\Psi}$ (a bispinor), use Dirac's matrices $\alpha_j (j = 1, 2, 3)$ and β

$$\alpha_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_x \\ \sigma_x & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad \alpha_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_y \\ \sigma_y & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad \alpha_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_z \\ \sigma_z & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad \beta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and instead of complex-conjugation use Hermite conjugation. As a result, we arrive at the following equation:

$$(i\hbar \frac{\partial \hat{\Psi}}{\partial t} - e\phi \hat{\Psi})^\dagger (i\hbar \frac{\partial \hat{\Psi}}{\partial t} - e\phi \hat{\Psi}) = \{\vec{\alpha} \cdot (\frac{\hbar}{i} \vec{\nabla} - e\vec{A}) \hat{\Psi} + m\beta \hat{\Psi}\}^\dagger \{\vec{\alpha} \cdot (\frac{\hbar}{i} \vec{\nabla} - e\vec{A}) \hat{\Psi} + m\beta \hat{\Psi}\} \quad (45)$$

From (45) immediately follows the Dirac equation:

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \hat{\Psi}}{\partial t} = [\alpha \cdot (\frac{\hbar}{i} \vec{\nabla} - e\vec{A}) + e\phi + m\beta] \hat{\Psi} \quad (46)$$

3 Dissipative Perturbations in Hamilton-Jacobi Equation lead to the Schroedinger Equation

As was indicated in the Introduction, 't Hooft [2] proposed to permit at the classical level the information loss (via some dissipative mechanism). This would make possible to get bounded hamiltonian in quantum formalism and to obtain an apparent quantization of the orbits which looks very similar to the quantum structure seen in the real world. As a specific illustration of the proposal, the work [5] demonstrated that for a particular case of two classical damped oscillators the dissipative term is responsible for a zero point energy "in the quantum spectrum of the 1-D linear harmonic oscillator".

Importantly, 't Hooft wrote that "making information dissipate is not easy in continuum theories. It may well be that discrete degrees of freedom must be added." Here we show how in a *more general* scheme of things (not restricted to some special cases) adding a dissipative term to the classical equation of motion will naturally lead to the wave equations, ranging from the Shroedinger to Klein-Gordon equations.

We begin with the second law of Newton for a single particle written in the following form (e.g.,[6])

$$\frac{\partial \vec{p}}{\partial t} + \left(\frac{\vec{p}}{m} \cdot \nabla \right) \vec{p} = \vec{F}(\vec{p}, \vec{x}, t) \quad (47)$$

where the force \vec{F} is a sum of the conservative part ($-\nabla U$) and a dissipative part. Since the left hand side of (47) looks like the respective fluid-dynamical equation, the information loss argued by 't Hooft is introduced by adding the perturbation term analogous to the viscous friction term in the Navier-Stokes equation

$$\nu^q \nabla^2 \vec{p} \quad (48)$$

Here constant ν^q (to be determined below) can be considered as some sort of "quasi *kinematic viscosity*". Thus

$$\vec{F} = -\nabla U + \nu^q \nabla^2 \vec{p} \quad (49)$$

Inserting (49) in (47) we obtain

$$\frac{\partial \vec{p}}{\partial t} + \left(\frac{\vec{p}}{m} \cdot \nabla\right) \vec{p} = -\nabla U + \nu^q \nabla^2 \vec{p} \quad (50)$$

Applying *curl* to both sides of (50) results in the following:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \nabla \times \vec{p} - \frac{1}{m} \nabla \times [\vec{p} \times (\nabla \times \vec{p})] - \nu^q \nabla \times [\nabla \times (\nabla \times \vec{p})] = 0 \quad (51)$$

Equation (51) is identically satisfied if $\nabla \times \vec{p} = 0$, or equivalently

$$\vec{p} = \nabla S^q \quad (52)$$

where S^q is a new "generalized action".

Inserting (52) in (50) and performing some elementary vector operations we obtain

$$\nabla \left\{ \frac{\partial S^q}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2m} (\nabla S^q)^2 + U - \nu^q \nabla^2 S^q \right\} = 0 \quad (53)$$

This equation is identically satisfied if

$$\frac{\partial S^q}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2m} (\nabla S^q)^2 + U - \nu^q \nabla^2 S^q = 0$$

yielding the Hamilton-Jacobi equation with dissipation, represented by small perturbation term $\nu^q \nabla^2 S^q$.

We use the Schroedinger substitution (9) in (53) (which transforms it into a nonlinear homogenous of order 2 partial differential equation) and get

$$-i\hbar \Psi \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} - (\nabla \Psi)^2 \left(\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} - \frac{\nu^q \hbar}{i} \right) - \nu^q \frac{\hbar}{i} \Psi \nabla^2 \Psi + U \Psi^2 = 0 \quad (54)$$

Since the experiments demonstrate that at the microlevel (at least in a majority of cases) the superposition principle holds, the equation which should follow from (54) must be linear. This determines the "*quasi kinematic viscosity*" ν^q :

$$\nu^q = \frac{i\hbar}{2m}$$

Upon substitution of this expression in (54) we arrive at the Schroedinger equation

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 \Psi + U\Psi \quad (55)$$

Interestingly enough, the introduction of the information loss (in a form of small perturbations)¹ is compatible with *fractalization* of the deterministically defined classical path (one-dimensional curve) which degenerates into a quantum path, whose Hausdorff dimension is 2 [7, 8].

It has also turned out that by introducing the dissipative term (small perturbations) into the Hamilton-Jacobi equation (31) for a charged particle in an electro-magnetic field we arrive at the respective Schroedinger equation. This is done by keeping in mind that now the generalized momentum is $\nabla S - e\vec{A}$ and not simply ∇S :

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2m}(\nabla S - e\vec{A})^2 + e\phi = \nu^q \nabla \cdot (\nabla S - e\vec{A}) \quad (56)$$

Using the Schroedinger substitution (9) in (56) and performing some elementary vector operations we arrive at the following

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi \left\{ -i\hbar \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2m}(e^2 A^2 \Psi - 2\frac{\hbar}{i} e\vec{A} \cdot \nabla \Psi) + e\phi \Psi - \right. \\ \left. \nu^q \left(\frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla^2 \Psi - e\Psi \nabla \cdot \vec{A} \right) \right\} + (\nabla \Psi)^2 \left(\nu^q \frac{\hbar}{i} - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \right) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

By requiring this equation to be linear we get the following value of constant ν^q

$$\nu^q = i \frac{\hbar}{2m}$$

Inserting this back in (57) we arrive at the respective Schroedinger equation:

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2m} \left(\frac{\hbar}{i} \nabla - e\vec{A} \right)^2 \Psi + e\phi \Psi \quad (58)$$

¹Physically this smallness is determined by a comparison on a dimensional basis of the viscous term $\hbar p/mL^2 \sim m\lambda^2/LT^2$ (where L is the characteristic length and λ is the wavelength) and the dynamic term $p^2/mL \sim mL^2/T$. Their ratio λ^2/L^2 is tiny, when we are dealing with classical phenomena. This is compatible with the view of considering a classical path as a geometrical optics limit $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ of the wave propagation.

Interestingly enough, the same idea enables us to derive the Klein-Gordon equation for a charged relativistic particle of spin 0 in an electro-magnetic field. To this end we add a small perturbation term

$$\nu^q g^{jk} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} \left(\frac{\partial S}{\partial x^j} + e A_j \right)$$

(where ν^q is to be determined) to the right hand side of (42), use the Schroedinger substitution and get

$$\begin{aligned} g^{jk} \left(\frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x^j} + e \Psi A_j \right) \left(\frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x^k} + e \Psi A_k \right) = m^2 \Psi^2 + \\ \nu^q g^{jk} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial x^j \partial x^k} - \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x^j} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x^k} + e \Psi \frac{\partial A_j}{\partial x^k} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

Linearity requirement imposed on this equation determines the value of constant ν^q :

$$\nu^q = i\hbar$$

Inserting this value back in (59) and performing some elementary calculations we arrive at the Klein-Gordon equation for a charged relativistic particle of spin 0 in an electro-magnetic field:

$$g^{jk} \left(\frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} + e A_j \right) \left(\frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} + e A_k \right) \Psi = m^2 \Psi \quad (60)$$

Since this idea clearly works for particles with zero spin, it is naturally to ask whether it would work for particles with a spin. Here one must be a little bit more ingenious in choosing the appropriate dissipative term to be introduced into the Hamilton-Jacobi equation. If we consider a classical charged particle in the electro-magnetic field it has an additional energy $U = -\vec{\mu} \cdot \vec{H}$ due to an interaction of the magnetic moment $\vec{\mu}$ and the magnetic field \vec{H} .

In terms of the vector potential this energy is

$$U = -\vec{\mu} \cdot (\nabla \times e\vec{A}) \equiv \text{div}(\vec{\mu} \times \vec{A}) \quad (61)$$

Experiments demonstrated that the magnetic moment $\vec{\mu}_e$ of an electron is proportional to its spin \vec{s} :

$$\vec{\mu}_e = \frac{\hbar}{m} \vec{s} \quad (62)$$

It is remarkable that the coefficient of proportionality in (62) has the dimension of kinematic viscosity! Its magnitude is twice the magnitude of the "quasi kinematic viscosity" ν^q . Let us denote the new coefficient by ν^s . If we substitute (62) in (61) we obtain

$$U = -\nu^s \nabla \cdot (\vec{s} \times \vec{A}) \quad (63)$$

This expression has a structure of the dissipative term introduced earlier (see 48) in the Hamilton-Jacobi equation (56). Therefore we rewrite this equation with the additional "dissipative" term (63)

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2m}(\nabla S - e\vec{A})^2 + e\phi = \nabla \cdot [\nu^q(\nabla S - e\vec{A}) - \nu^s(\vec{s} \times \vec{A})] \quad (64)$$

We use Schroedinger substitution (9) in (64), perform some vector operations and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} -i\hbar\Psi\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2m}\left(\frac{\hbar}{i}\nabla\Psi - e\vec{A}\Psi\right)^2 + e\phi\Psi^2 = \\ \Psi^2\frac{\hbar}{i}\nu^q\nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\nabla\Psi}{\Psi}\right) - \Psi^2\nu^s\nabla \cdot (\vec{s} \times \vec{A}) \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

Eliminating nonlinearity (which uniquely defines ν^q as $i\hbar/2m$) and taking into account that function Ψ now depends on the z -component of the spin \vec{s} (that is, it becomes a 2×1 vector-column function) we have to replace vector \vec{s} by the Pauli matrices $\hat{\vec{\sigma}}$. As a result, we arrive at the Pauli equation:

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2m}\left(\frac{\hbar}{i}\nabla - e\vec{A}\right)^2\Psi + e\phi\Psi - \frac{e\hbar}{m}(\hat{\vec{\sigma}} \cdot \vec{H}) \quad (66)$$

Since the method of information loss introduced into the classical Hamilton-Jacobi equations has turned out to be successful, we apply it to the simple case of a particle in the gravitational field. The Hamilton-Jacobi equation in this case is

$$g^{jk}S_{;j}S_{;k} - m^2 = 0 \quad (67)$$

where g^{jk} is the metric tensor, $j, k = 0, 1, 2, 3$, *semicolon* denotes covariant differentiation, and we set $c = 1$.

Now I add to the right-hand side of (67) the dissipative term in the form used in the above calculations, that is $div(\nu^q \nabla S)$. However, this time, instead of the conventional derivatives, I use the covariant derivatives and replace the constant scalar ν^q by a tensor function ν^{jk} . As a result, equation (67) becomes:

$$g^{jk} S_{;j} S_{;k} - m^2 = (\nu^{jk} S_{;k})_{;j} \quad (68)$$

By using the Schroedinger substitution (9) in (68) and performing some standard calculations we obtain the following

$$\begin{aligned} -\hbar^2 g^{jk} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x^j} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x^k} - m^2 \Psi^2 + \frac{\hbar}{i} \nu^{jk} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x^j} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x^k} - \frac{\hbar}{i} \nu^{jk}_{;j} \Psi \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x^k} \\ - \frac{\hbar}{i} \nu^{jk} \Psi \left(\frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial x^j \partial x^k} + \Gamma_{kj}^n \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x^n} \right) - m^2 \Psi^2 = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

where Γ_{jk}^n is the Ricci tensor. We require this equation to be linear, which uniquely determines the value of the tensor ν^{jk} :

$$\nu^{jk} = i\hbar g^{jk} \quad (70)$$

Since $g^{jk}_{;j} \equiv 0$ equation (69) yields

$$g^{jk} \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial x^j \partial x^k} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^l} (\sqrt{-g} g^{nl}) \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial x^n} + \kappa^2 \Psi = 0 \quad (71)$$

where $\kappa = m/\hbar$.

As a particular example we consider the centrally symmetric gravitational field with the Schwarzschild metric:

$$\begin{aligned} g^{jk} = 0, j \neq k; \quad g^{00} = \frac{1}{1 - r_g/r}; \quad g^{11} = -(1 - \frac{r_g}{r}); \\ g^{22} = -\frac{1}{r^2}; \quad g^{33} = -(1 - \frac{r_g}{r}); \quad g = |g^{jk}| = -\frac{1}{r^4 \sin^2 \theta}; \quad r_g = 2mG \end{aligned} \quad (72)$$

Equation (71) is then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{1 - r_g/r} \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial t^2} - (1 - \frac{r_g}{r}) \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial r^2} - \frac{1}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial \phi^2} - \\ \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial \theta^2} - \frac{2}{r} (1 - \frac{3r_g}{2r}) \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial r} - \frac{1}{r^2} \cot \theta \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \theta} + \kappa^2 \Psi = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (73)$$

4 Conclusion

Physical phenomena can only be described as either particle-like or wave-like phenomena. Consequently, the critical question arises: Does the complex-valued wave function Ψ represent reality, or is it only an intricate device to deal with something we don't have a complete knowledge of?

Bohm [4] proposed to remove such indeterminacy and thus to answer the above question by introducing hidden variables right into the quantum-mechanical equations. This idea was shelved for quite some time until recently, when it was given a new lease on life by 't Hooft [2] who treated the hidden variables differently. He proposed to establish the physical link between classical and quantum world by starting from the continuum equations of classical mechanics and include into them a specially chosen dissipative function.

We explore this idea, and it has demonstrated its effectiveness. Such an approach has allowed us to elucidate the indeterminacy inherent in quantum-mechanical description. It has turned out that the latter is due to the information loss. An appropriate analogy would be the loss of knowledge of an initial velocity of a solid body falling in a viscous medium, if we know only its terminal velocity.

As a result, the wave-like quantum mechanics turns out to follow from the particle-like classical mechanics due to embedding in the latter a dissipation "device" responsible for the loss of information. Indeed, the initial precise information about the classical trajectory of a particle is lost in quantum mechanics due to the "dissipative spread" of the trajectory and its transformation into a fractal path with Hausdorff dimension of 2 in a simple case of a spinless particle [7],[8].

The approach suggested by 't Hooft and realized (in a very general way) in this paper has not only made it possible to elementary derive the basic quantum-mechanical equations, instead of traditionally postulating them, but also seems to be applicable to more complex and intriguing problems, as for example, a relativistic particle in the gravitational field.

Acknowledgments The author would like to thank Prof.V.Granik for the

illuminating discussions.

References

- [1] E.Shrödinger, Ann.d.Physik,**79**, 361(1926)
- [2] Gerard't Hooft, gr-qc 9903084
- [3] E.Shrödinger, Ann.d.Phys,(**81**),109,(1926)
- [4] D.Bohm, Physical Review (**85**), 166 (1952)
- [5] M.Blasone, P.Jizba., and G. Vitiello,hep-th/0007138
- [6] A.Granik, physics/0309059
- [7] L.F.Abbott and M.B.Wise, Am. J.Phys **49**,37(1981)
- [8] A. Granik and G.Chapline, Phys.Lett.A **310**,252(2003)