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#### Abstract

W e investigate the presence of $m$ ultipartite entanglem ent in macroscopic spin chains. W e discuss the H eisenberg and the XY m odel and derive bounds on the intemal energy for system s w ithout m ultipartite entanglem ent. B ased on this we show that in them alequilibrium the above m entioned spin system $s$ contain genuine $m$ ultipartite entanglem ent, even at nite $m$ odest tem peratures.


PACS num bers: $03.65 . \mathrm{w}, 03.67 .-\mathrm{a}, 05.30 . \mathrm{d}$

## I. INTRODUCTION

The study of entanglem ent in condensed $m$ atter system $s$ has become a major line of research in quantum inform ation science. In these studies, di erent aspects of entanglem ent have been investigated. First, by investigating the entanglem ent in the reduced state of two qubits in a spin chain, it has been show $n$ that entangle$m$ ent theory can help to understand the physical pro ties of system sundergoing quantum phase transition:
A slightly di erent approach studies the entanglem ent betw een tw o qubits which can be generated by local operations on th -..m ain ing qubits, the so-called localizable entanglem ent A third eld of study investigates the entanglem ent becw een a block and the rem aining qubits in the ground state. $T$ he entanglem ent can be quanti ed by the entropy of the reduced state and then the question arises, whet the entanglem ent scales like the surface of the block . Furtherm ore, the insights from entanglem ent theory $m$ ade it possible to understand the lim its of known sim ulation techniques (like the density matrix renom alization group) in condensed $m$ atter physics as well as the design of new hniques which are superior to the ones known before F inally, it has been shown thatm acroscopic properties ofsolids can be related to entanglem ent properties ofm icroscopic degrees of freedom, allow ing for a detection of entanglem ent in $m$ ic ob jects by observing $m$ acroscopic observables

In all of the research lines speci ed above, entangle$m$ ent was studied as a bipartite phenom enon; the investigation of multipartite lem ent in spin chains has only a lim ited literature . In entanglem ent theory, how ever, m ultipartite entanglem ent has been intensively studied and it is known that quantum correlations in the $m$ ultipartite setting $h \quad$ ch richer structure than in the bipartite setting $\quad$ The $m$ ain results known are for three or four parcicies, results for large ensem bles of particles are still rare. $N$ evertheless, studying multipartite entanglem ent in large system $s$ can already bene $t$ from the results for $s m$ all system $s$ in tw o respects. $F$ irst, on a m ore local scale, one can pick up sub-ensem bles of three or four particles and investigate the multipartite
entanglem ent of the corresponding reduced state. Second, on a m ore global scale, one can ask what types of $m$ ultipartite entanglem ent are necessary to form a given state of the total system.

In this paper we investigate m ultipartite entanglem ent in $m$ acroscopic spin system s. Thool we use is sim ilar to the one introduced in Ref We derive bounds for the intemal energy $U=h H 1$ which have to hold for states $w$ thout $m$ ultipartite entanglem ent, violation of these bounds im plies the presence of $m$ ultipartite entanglem ent in the system. W e investigate in detail two one-dim ensional spin $m$ odels: the $H$ eisenberg $m$ odel and the isotropic XY m odel. O ur results lead to the insight that for these $m$ odels even at $m$ odest tem peratures the correlations cannot be explained w ithout assum ing the presence of genuinem ultipartite entanglem ent. H ow ever, we would like to stress that in our approach we do not assum e that the spin system is in the ground state or in a them alstate, our theorem s can also be applied to states out of equilibrium .

O ur paper is organized as follow s: In Section II we introduce the basic de nitions of $m$ ultipartite entanglem ent we want to apply to spin system s . W e rst recall the notion n-separability and genuine multipartite entanglem ent. Then, we also introduce the notion of k -producibility, which is well suited for the investigation of $m$ ultipartite entanglem ent in $m$ acroscopic system s. In Section III we apply these term s to the antiferrom agnetic H eisenberg chain. W e calculate energy bounds below which either reduced states are genuine m ultipartite entangled or the total state requires m ultipartite entanglem ent for its creation. This shows that already at tem peratures of $k T \quad J$ the e ect of $m$ ultipartite entanglem ent cannot be neglected. In Section IV we dem onstrate that our proofs also w ork for other m odels by calculation sim ilar thresholds for the X Y m odel. $F$ inally, we sum $m$ arize our results and nam e som e open problem s.
II. $\quad \mathrm{NOT}$ IONS OF M U LT PARTITE ENTANGLEMENT

Let us start by clarifying the term swew illuse to classify $m$ ultipartite entanglem ent in spin chains. For a pure state $j$ i of a quantum system sofn parties wemay ask for a given $n \quad N$, whether it is possible to cluster the parties into $n$ groups, such that $j i$ is a product state w ith respect to this partition,

$$
\begin{equation*}
j i=j_{1} i \quad j_{2} i \quad::: \quad j_{n} i: \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If this is the case, we call the state $j$ i $n$-separable. A state which is N -separable is a product state w ith respect to all subsystem $s$, these we call fully separable. If a state is not 2 -separable, we call it genuine N -partite entangled. For a m ixed state described by a density $m$ atrix \% these term $s$ can be extendeqd through convex combination. If we can write $\%={ }_{i} p_{i} j_{i}{ }_{i}{ }^{\text {h }}{ }_{i j} j w$ ith $p_{i} \quad 0 ;{ }_{i} p_{i}=1$ and $n$-separable $j$ ii we call \% $n-$ separable. Physically, this $m$ eans that a product $f$ \% requires only $n$-separable pure states and $m$ ixing

B esides asking forn-separability, wem ay also ask questions like \D o tw o party entangled states su ce to create them ixed state \%?" This leads to the follow ing de nition. W e calla state $j$ i producible by $k$-party entanglem ent (or $k$-producible, for short) if we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
j i=j_{1} i \quad j_{2} i \quad::: \quad j_{m} i \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $j_{i} i$ are states of $m$ axim ally $k$ parties. So, in this de nition $m \quad N=k$ has to hold. This de nition $m$ eans that it su ces to generate speci c $k$-party entanglem ent to arrive at the state j i: C onversely, we call a state contain ing genuine $k$-party entanglem ent if it is not producible by (k 1)-party entanglem ent. This de nition can be extended to $m$ ixed states as before via convex com binations. A gain, a m ixed state which isk-producible requires only the generation of $k$-party entangled states and $m$ ixing for its production. C onsequently, a m ixed state \% contains k -party entanglem ent, $i$ the correlations cannot be explained by assum ing the presence of (k 1)-party entanglem ent only.
O bviously, there are som e relations betw een the notions of $k$-producibility and $n$-separability. For instance, the states containing N -party entanglem ent are just the genuine multipartite entangled states and the 1producible states are the fiully separable states. Further$m$ ore, a state of which som e reduced state ofm parties is genuine $m$-partite entangled, contains $m$-partite ${ }^{\text {monn }}$ nglem ent, while the converse is in general not true

In the therm odynam ic lim it N 1; how ever, the notion of $n$-separability for the total system becom es problem atic. T h is is m ainly due to two reasons. F irst, it does not take into account how m any particles are entangled. A biseparable state can be a product state for tw o partitions of equal size or just one qubit $m$ ight be separated from a large genuine multipartite entangled state; these cases are not distinguished. Second, statem ents about
n -separability require the exact know ledge of N ; | a precondition which is usually not ful lled in realistic situations. In stark contrast, the notion of $k$-producibility is designed to be sensitive to the question \H ow many qubits are entangled?" A lso, deciding whether a state is $k-p r o d u c i b l e ~ d o e s ~ n o t ~ r e q u i r e ~ t h e ~ e x a c t ~ k n o w ~ l e d g e ~ o f ~ N: ~$ Ifone controls only $k \quad N$ subsystem $s$, onem ay stillconclude that the total state contains $k$-party entanglem ent, e.g. if the reduced state is genuine k-party entangled.

## III. THE HEISENBERG MODEL

Let us start the discussion of spin $m$ odels w the anti-ferrom agnetic isotropic $H$ eisenberg $m$ odel. Here we assum e a one-dim ensional chain of N spin 1=2 particles w ith periodic boundary conditions. The H am iltonian of th is $m$ odel is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{H}=J_{i=1}^{X^{N}} x_{x}^{(i)} x_{x}^{(i+1)}+y_{y}^{(i)} y_{i}^{(i+1)}+z_{z}^{(i)}{\underset{z}{(i+1)}}^{(i+1)} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ${ }_{x}^{(i)}$ denotes the $P$ aulim atrix $x$; acting on the $i-$ th qubit and $J>0$ the coupling betw een the spins. For sim plicity, we assum e that $N$ is even. For com pleteness, we al ention also know $n$ results for fully separable states W e have the follow ing theorem s:
Theorem 1. Let \% be an N qubit state of a arstem described by the $H$ eisenberg $H$ am iltonian in Eq. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
h H_{H} i<\quad J N=: C_{R 2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

there exists two neighboring qubits $i_{i} i+1$ in the chain such that the reduced state $\%_{i ; i+1}$ is a tw o-qubit entangled state. Furthem ore, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{hH}_{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{i}<\frac{1+\mathrm{p}_{\overline{5}}}{2} \mathrm{JN} \quad 1: 618 \mathrm{JN}=: \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{R}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then there exist three neighboring qubits i;i+1;i+2 such that the reduced state $\circ_{i ; i+1 ; i+2}$ of these qubits is genuine tripartite entangled.
P roof. It su cesto prove these bounds for pure states. To prove rstEq. wewrite $H_{H}=J=W_{123}+W_{345}+$
 ${ }_{x}^{(j)} X_{x}^{(k)}+{ }_{y}^{(j)}{ }_{y}^{(k)}+{ }_{z}^{(j)}{\underset{z}{(k)}: T \text { he idea is to view the }}^{(0)}$ $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{ijk}}$ as entanglem ent w itnesses, detecting genuine tripartite entanglem ent on the qubits $i ; j ; k$ : Let us denote $x_{i}=h{ }_{x}^{(i)} i_{i} x_{i} x_{j}=h{ }_{x}^{(i)}{ }_{x}^{(j)} i$ etc. and consider a bisepa-
 $y_{j}+z_{i} \quad{ }_{j} z t x_{j} x_{k}+y_{j} y_{k}+z_{j} z_{k} j \quad q \quad \frac{x_{j}^{2}+y_{j}^{2}+z_{j}^{2}}{}+j x_{j} x_{k}+$ $y_{j} y_{k}+z_{j} z_{k} j$ :For the tw o-qubit state $j{ }_{j k} i$ the rst term is the purity of the reduced state and invariant under local unitaries, while the second is $m$ axim al, when the $3 \quad 3$ correlation matrix $=j \mathrm{k}$ for ; $=x ; y ; z$ is diagonal. Eymmosing a general state in this form (see Eq. (14) in Ref leads to ${ }^{+1} \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{ijk}} \mathrm{ij} 1+{ }^{5} \overline{5}$ : Since there are
$N=2$ of the $W_{i j k}$; Eq. implies that one of the reduca three-qubit states cannot be biseparable. F inally, Eq can be proved sim ilarly, using $\quad$ x $\quad y_{i} \quad j y+z_{i} \quad j$ ji $\quad I$ for separable tw o-qubit states

Theorem 2. Let \% be an $N$ quoril state as in $T$ heorem 1. If $\%$ is 1 -producible, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
h H_{H} \text { i } \quad \mathrm{JN}=: \mathrm{C}_{2} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds, while for 2 -producible states

$$
\begin{equation*}
h H_{H} \text { i } \quad \frac{3}{2} J N=: C_{C} 3 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds. Thus, if $\mathrm{hH}_{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{i}<\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{C}} 3$ the state contains genuine tripartite entanglem ent.

Proof. The bound Eq. and has already been derived in Let us rst consider a tw o-producible pure state, w nere neighboring spins are allow ed to be entangled. I.e., we have a state of the type $j i=j_{12} i j{ }_{34} i::: j_{N}{ }_{1 ; N} i$; where the state $j_{12} i$ is a state of the qubits 1 and 2 , etc. Let us de ne for the state $j$ itwo vectors $w$ th 6 N realcom ponents each, via

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.*_{1}=(\mathbb{1}] ;[\mathbb{1} 2] ;[2] ;[\mathbb{1}] ;[5] ;[5: 6] ;[6] ;::: ;[\mathbb{1}]\right) ; \\
& \left.\left.v_{2}=(\mathbb{N}] ;[\mathbb{1}] ;[3] ;[3: 4] ;[4] ;[\mathbb{1}] ;[7] ;:: ; \mathbb{N} \quad 1 \mathbb{N}\right]\right) ;
\end{aligned}
$$

where the symbols [:::] stand always for three entries, nam ely [i] $=x_{i} ; y_{i} ; z_{i}$; and $[i: j]=x_{i} x_{j} ; y_{i} y_{j} ; z_{i} z_{j}$ and [1] $=1 ; 1 ; 1$ : Since the $v_{j}$ have 6 N entries, in $v_{1}$ (and sim ilarly for $\psi_{2}$ ) som e coe cients ( $l i k e x_{1}$ ) may appear tw ioe, nam ely i N $24 \mathbb{Z}$ : It is straightforw ard to see that for the given state $2 \mathrm{hH} i=\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{F}_{1}} \quad z^{\text {vholds. }} \mathrm{N}$ ow, we need $=x_{i}^{2}+y_{i}^{2}+z_{i}^{2}+\left(x_{i} x_{i+1}\right)^{2}+\left(y_{i} y_{i+1}\right)^{2}+\left(z_{i} z_{i+1}\right)^{2}+$ $x_{i+1}^{2}+y_{i+1}^{2}+z_{i+1}^{2} \quad m a x=3$; which holds for any twoqubit state $\%$; due to $(1+\quad)=4 \quad \operatorname{Tr}\left(\frac{O_{0}^{2}}{\circ}\right) \quad 1$ : Based on that, we have $k v_{1} k^{2} \quad(\mathbb{N}=2)(m a x+3)=3 N: T$ he sam $e$ bound holds for $\mathrm{kv}_{2} \mathrm{k}^{2}$ : So, due to the C auchy-Schw arz inequality we have $\not \mathrm{hH}_{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{ij} \quad 1=2 \mathrm{Jkwk} \quad \mathrm{k}_{\mathbf{c}} \mathrm{k} \quad 3=2 \mathrm{JN}$ which proves the claim.

Now we have to consider arbitrary two-producible states. A general two-producible pure state is always a tensor product of tw o-qubit states $j$ iji of the qubits $i$ and $j$ and single qubit states $j \mathrm{k} i$. If for one of the tw o qubit states $j i_{j} i$ the qubits $i$ and $j$ are not neighboring, we can replace it by tw o one-qubit reduced states $\%_{i} \quad \%_{j}$; since in this case, the H am iltonian is only sensitive to the reduced states. Furthem ore, if tw o neighboring single qubit states $j_{i} i \quad j_{j} i$; appear, we replace them by $j$ iji; since $j{ }_{i j} i$ is allow ed to be separable.

Thus it su ces to prove the bound for a state where entanglem ent is only present betw een neighboring qubits and the single qubit states are isolated in the sense that if $j_{k i}$ appears, then $j_{k} 1_{i}$ and $j_{k+1} i$ do not appear, e.g. $j i=j_{12} i j{ }_{3} i j_{45} i j{ }_{67} i j{ }_{8} i$ : Let $M$ be the (even) num ber of isolated qubits. W e can associate to any isolated qubit one neighboring tw o-qubit state (on the left or right) to form a three-party group. There is an am biguity in doing that, and we can choose the three-qubit
groups in such a way that the num ber oftw o-qubit groups betw een the three-qubit groups is alw ays even. Then, the state can be view ed as a sequence $g_{1} ; g_{2} ; g_{3} ; g_{4}::$ of $M$ three-party groups and $(\mathbb{N} \quad 3 M)=2$ tw o party groups, allin all there are ( $\mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{M}$ )=2 groups. W e can double this sequence by setting $g_{(N} \quad M_{)}=2+k=g_{k}$ etc. In the exam ple this grouping can be (12); (345); (678); (12); (345); (678): $N$ ow we de ne the vectors $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ as follow s: $v_{1}$ collects term s from $g_{1} ; g_{3} ; g_{5}:$ :: while $\mathrm{v}_{2}$ consists of term s from $g_{2} ; g_{4} ;:$ : In detail, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v_{1}=\left(\left[g_{1}\right] ;\left[g_{1} \dot{g}_{2}\right] ;[\mathbb{1}] ;\left[g_{2} \dot{g}_{3}\right] ;\left[g_{3}\right] ;\left[g_{3} \dot{g}_{4}\right] ;[\mathbb{1}] ;:::\right) ; \\
& N_{2}=\left([\mathbb{1}] ;\left[g_{1} \dot{g}_{2}\right] ;\left[g_{2}\right] ;\left[g_{2} \dot{g}_{3}\right] ;[\mathbb{1}] ;\left[g_{3} \dot{g}_{4}\right] ;\left[g_{4}\right] ;:::\right) ;
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left[g_{1}\right]$ denotes the three term $s x_{i} x_{j} ; y_{i} y_{j} ; z_{i} z_{j}$ if $g_{1}$ is a two-qubit group and corresponds to the four term $s$ $x_{i} x_{j} ; y_{i} y_{j} ; z_{i} z_{j} ;\left(x_{j} x_{k}+y_{j} y_{k}+z_{j} z_{k}\right)$ when $g_{1}$ is a threequbit group $w$ ith the isolated qubit $k$ : $\left[g_{1} \dot{g}_{2}\right]$ etc. denotes the coupling term s betw een the groupings $g_{1}$ and $g_{2} ;$ in the $H$ am iltonian, e.g. $x_{i} ; y_{i} ; z_{i}$ for $v_{1}$ and $x_{i+1} ; y_{i+1} ; z_{i+1}$ for $v_{2}$ or vice versa. The sym bol [1] denotes a sequence of three or four tim es $\backslash 1$ ", depending on whether in the other vector there is a tw o-or a three-qubit group.

A gain, we have $2 \mathrm{hH} i=J_{\mathcal{V}} \mathcal{Z N}$ and it rem ains to bound $\mathrm{k} v_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{k}^{2}$ : First, note that by construction $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ contain the sam e num ber of two and three-qubit groups, nam ely $(\mathbb{N} \quad 3 M)=2$ two-qubit groups and $M$ three-qubit groups each. Also, both contain $3(\mathbb{N} \quad 3 \mathrm{M})=2+4 \mathrm{M}$ tim es the $\backslash 1 "$. Then, note that for states of the type $j i=j{ }_{i j} i j{ }_{k} i$ the bound $x_{i}^{2}+y_{i}^{2}+z_{i}^{2}+\left(x_{i} x_{j}\right)^{2}+\left(y_{i} y_{j}\right)^{2}+$ $\left(z_{i} z_{j}\right)^{2}+\left(x_{j} \quad{ }^{x}+y_{j} \quad k y+z_{j} \quad k\right)^{2}+x_{k}^{2}+y_{k}^{2}+z_{k}^{2} \quad 5$ is valid. So we have $k v_{i} k^{2} \quad(4+5) M+(3+3)(N \quad 3 M)=2=3 N$; which proves the claim.
Ta ctart the discussion, rst poto that the bounds in Eqs. are shanp. Equation is saturated for the singletcnain $j i=j$ ij $i::: j$ iwhere $j \quad i=(j 01 i$ j10i) $=\overline{2}$ :

In general, any energy bound $\mathrm{hH}_{\mathrm{H}}$ i $\quad \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{X}}$ corresponds to a certain tem perature $T_{X}: B$ elow this tem perature the state has with certainty som- dormo of entanglem ent. C orresponding to the Eqs. there are thus the tem peratures $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{R} 2} ; \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{R} 3} ; \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}} 2$ and $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C} 3}$ below which either reduced states of tw o or three parties are entangled or the totalstate contains tw o-or three party entanglem ent. O bviously, $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{R} 2}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C} 2}>\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C} 3}>\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{R} 3}$ has to hold here.

Let us estim ate these tem peratures. For $s m a l l n$ one can solve th H eisenberg $m$ odelby diagonalizing $H_{H}$ nu$m$ erically $\quad$ Then, the threshold tem peratures can directly be com puted. R esults are show $n$ in $F$ ig. 1. A s expectec ${ }^{\text {th }} e$ values for $T_{C 2}=T_{R 2}$ coincide $w$ th the ones ofR ef $\quad$ The given values for $T_{C} 3$ and $T_{R 3}$ show that in the $H$ eisenberg chain often spins at $k T \quad J \mathrm{multipartite}$ entanglem ent plays a role, nam ely at least one reduced state is genuine tripartite entangled and the total state contains tripartite entanglem ent.

In the them odynam ic $\lim$ it $\mathrm{N} \quad 1$ the ground state energy of the H eisenberg ${ }^{-1} \mathrm{ll}$ is known to be $\mathrm{E}_{0}=\mathrm{N}=$
$J(4 \ln 21) \quad 1: 77 \quad$ 1 hus three qubits can be found such that their reduced state is genuine tripartite


F IG . 1: Threshold tem peratures $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{R} 3} ; \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}} 3$ for sm all H eisenberg chains up to ten qubits. See text for details.
-..angled. O ne can infer from num ericalcalculation:
that the threshold tem peratures are determ inea oy
$K_{C 3} 1: 61 \mathrm{~J}$ and $\mathrm{kT}_{\mathrm{R}} 3$ 1:23J in agreem ent w ith the values of the ten-qubit H eisenberg chain.
$F$ inally, note that our results also shed light on the characterization of the ground state itself. For instance, they show that the ground state cannot be a GHZ state since for that state the reduced three-qub it states are separable. $F$ inally note that when a state is translationally invariant, E q guarantees that all reduced three-qubit states are genume tripartite entangled.
IV. THEXY M ODEL

Let us now investigate the isotropic XY m odel. The H am iltonian of this m odel is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{X Y}=J \quad X^{(i)} x^{(i+1)}+y_{y^{(i)}}^{(i+1)}: \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

A gain, we assum e periodic boundary conditions and an even num ber of spins. For this $m$ odelwe have:

Theorem 3. Let \% be an $N$ qubit state whose dynam ics is govemed by the H am ittonian in Eq. If\% is one-producible, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{hH}_{\mathrm{XY}} \mathrm{i} \quad \mathrm{JN} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds. If $\mathrm{hH}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{i}<\mathrm{JN}$ this im plies that there are two neighboring qubits such that their reduced state is entangled. For tw o-producible states

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{hH}_{\mathrm{XY}} \mathrm{i} \quad \frac{9}{8} \mathrm{JN} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds. If $\mathrm{hH}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{i}<\quad$ 9=8JN the state contains thus tripartite entanglem ent and if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{hH}_{\mathrm{XY}} \mathrm{i}<\frac{1+{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2}}{2} \mathrm{JN} \quad 1: 207 \mathrm{JN} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

then there are three neighboring qubits such that their reduced state is genuine tripartite entangled.

P roof. The proofs for the X Y m odelare sim ilar to the ones for the $H$ eisenberg $m$ odel, so we can $m$ ake it short. Eq. can be para in the sam e $m$ anner as for the Hoicenberg mode- Now, let us rst show the bound for a state or the type $j i=j{ }_{12} i j{ }_{34} i::: j_{\mathrm{N}} \quad{ }_{1 ; \mathrm{N}} \mathrm{i}$ : Agam, we de ne two vectors $\mathrm{v}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}$; w th now 4N entries via $\forall_{1}:=$ ([1]; [1:2]; [2]; [1] ]; 5]; [5:6]; [6]; [1] ;:::; [1]) and $\left.\left.\left.\left.\mathbb{v}_{2}:=(\mathbb{N}] ; \mathbb{1}\right] ;[3] ;[3: 4] ;[4] ; \mathbb{1}\right] ;[7] ;[7: 8] ;:: ; \mathbb{N} 1 \mathbb{N}\right]\right)$; where now $[\mathrm{i}]=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}} ; \mathrm{Y}_{\dot{\mathrm{p}}}$ and $[\mathrm{i}: j]=1 ; 2 ; 1 ; 2$ and $[\mathbb{1}]=$
${ }^{1}$; ${ }^{1}$ with $=\mathrm{P} \overline{4=3}$ and $i ; j=\left(x_{i} x_{j}+y_{i} Y_{j}\right)=2$ : A gain we have $2 \mathrm{hH}_{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{J}_{N_{1}} \quad 2^{N}$ and due to the fact that $x_{i}^{2}+y_{i}^{2}+2^{2}{\underset{i}{i} j}_{2}^{i}+y_{j}^{2}+x_{j}^{2} \quad m a x=3 w e$ have that $k v_{1} k^{2} \quad N=2\left(m a x+2={ }^{2}\right)=9=4 N$; which proves the claim. G eneral tw o-producible states can be treated as shown in the proofof $T$ heorem 2, now for states of the type $j_{i j} i \quad j_{k} i$ the bound $x_{i}^{2}+y_{i}^{2}+2{ }^{2}{\underset{i}{i ; j}}_{2}+{ }^{2}\left(x_{j} \quad{ }_{k}+\right.$ $\left.y_{j} \quad k y\right)^{2}+y_{k}^{2}+x_{k}^{2} \quad x_{i}^{2}+y_{i}^{2}+2{ }^{2} \sum_{i ; j}^{2}+{ }^{2}\left(x_{j}^{2}+y_{j}^{2}\right)+1 \quad 0=2$ $m$ ust be used. F inally, Eq. can be proved as Eq using $\overline{x_{j}^{2}+y_{j}^{2}}+\dot{x}_{j} x_{k}+y_{j} y_{k} j \quad 1+{ }^{p} \overline{2}$ :
$F$ irst note that again the bounds in Eqs. are sharp. In Eq. equality holds for the state $]$ i $=$
 $x \quad x \quad \mathbb{1}]$ ) for allodd $i$ : $T$ his corresponds to a chain of non-m axim ally entangled tw o-qubit states. This alsnm $0-$ tivates the de nition of and $i ; j$ in theproofofEq and $i ; j$ were chosen such that for the state $j$ iwe nave $N_{1}=N_{2}$; thus the C auchy-Schwarz inequality is sharp.

In the m odynam ic lim it the XY model is exactly solvable The ground state energy is $\mathrm{E}_{0}=\mathrm{N}=$ $4 \mathrm{~J}=1: 273 \mathrm{~J}: \mathrm{T}$ hus the ground state contains tripantite entanglem ent and fill lls the ormion of Eq. Furthem ore, the results of Ref. im ply that IIKI < 0:977J the m ixed state contains trpartite entanglem ent and if $\mathrm{kT}<0: 668 \mathrm{~J}$ som e reduced state is genuine tripartite entangled.

## V. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we show ed for two im portant spin models that the intemalenergy can be used as a signature for the presence ofm ultipartite entanglem ent in these models. B ased on this, we com puted threshold tem peratures below which any realistic description of the system cannot neglect the e ects ofm ultipartite entanglem ent. O ur results $m$ ay stim ulate the research on entanglem ent in phase transitions, since they suggests the use ofm ultipartite entanglem ent $m$ easures as a tool ${ }^{-1 h}$ he investigation of phase transitions in these regin es

A natural continuation of the present work is the extension of the $T$ heorem s presented here to other spin system s, e.g. higher dim ensional or frustrated system s. Furthem ore, it is very tem pting to analyze the results of perform ed experim ents whether they can be intenpreted
as giving evidence for multipartite entanglem ent, sim ilark - it has been done for bipartite entanglem ent in
Ref Here, we leave this as an open problem.
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