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#### Abstract

The Fermion Spherical harmonics $\left[Y_{\ell}^{m}(\theta, \phi)\right.$ for half-odd-integer $\ell$ and $m$ - presented in a previous paper] are shown to have the same eigenfunction properties as the well-known Boson Spherical Harmonics $\left[Y_{\ell}^{m}(\theta, \phi)\right.$ for integer $\ell$ and $m$ ]. The Fermion functions are shown to differ from the Boson functions in so far as the ladder operators $M_{+}\left(M_{-}\right)$that ascend (descend) the sequence of harmonics over the values of $m$ for a given value of $\ell$, do not produce the expected result in just one case: when the value of $m$ changes from $\pm \frac{1}{2}$ to $\mp \frac{1}{2}$; i.e. when $m$ changes sign; in all other cases the ladder operators produce the usually expected result including anihilation when a ladder operator attempts to take $m$ outside the range: $-\ell \leq m \leq+\ell$.

The unexpected result in the one case does not invalidate this scalar coordinate representation of spin angular momentum, because the eigenfunction property is essential for a valid quantum mechanical state, whereas ladder operators relating states with different eigenvalues are not essential, and are in fact known only for a few physical systems; that this coordinate representation of spin angular momentum differs from the abstract theory of angular momentum in this respect, is simply an interesting curiosity. This new representation of spin angular momentum is expected to find application in the theoretical description of physical systems and experiments in which the spin-angular momentum (and associated magnetic moment) of a particle is oriented in space, since the orientation is specifiable by the spherical polar angles, $\theta$ and $\phi$.
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## 1 Introduction

Our previous derivation and presentation of the Fermion Spherical Harmonics (i.e. $Y_{\ell}^{m}(\theta, \phi)$ for $\ell$ and $m$ half odd-integers) [1] was motivated by the idea that the half-odd-integer spherical harmonics could be a useful representation of particle spin; in particular for the electron its spin is associated with a magnetic moment having an orientation in space specifiable by the spherical polar angles $\theta$ and $\phi$, and the two Fermion spherical harmonics for $\ell=\frac{1}{2}$ and $m= \pm \frac{1}{2}$ correspond to the experimentally established spin states of the electron. This

[^0]explicit dependence upon the orientation in space of the electron's magnetic moment is a distinct advantage over the commonly used abstract spin eigenfunctions $\alpha$ and $\beta$ [2, §10.1,p.282], because the latter have no dependence upon any coordinates.

The investigation reported here was initiated by the observation that the Fermion Spherical Harmonics [1] are not always inter-related by the well-known ladder operators [2, §5.4,p.115].

Our objectives in this research were threefold:
a) to confirm that these functions of $\theta$ and $\phi$ are eigenfunctions of the angular momentum operators, $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{z}}$ and $\mathbf{M}^{\mathbf{2}}$, with the expected eigenvalues, ${ }^{1}$
b) to determine to what extent the ladder operators operating upon these functions produce the usual, expected results (since they do fail in some cases),
c) to consider if there are reasons (failure of the ladder operators or otherwise) that make these functions unsatisfactory as a representation of spin angular momentum.

### 1.1 Angular Momentum Operators

The following definitions of the cartesian-components of the angular momentum operators (in spherical polar angles, $\theta, \phi$ ) are taken from McQuarrie [3, eq.6-85, page 217]

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{x}}=\frac{\hbar}{i}\left(-\sin \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}-\cot \theta \cos \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}\right)  \tag{1}\\
& \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{y}}=\frac{\hbar}{i}\left(\cos \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}-\cot \theta \sin \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}\right)  \tag{2}\\
& \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{z}}=\frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

From these definitions the form of the operator for the square of the total angular momentum, $\mathbf{M}^{2}$ is [3, eq.6-45, page 207].

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{M}^{2}=\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{x}}^{2}+\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{y}}^{2}+\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{z}}^{2}=-\hbar^{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \theta^{2}}+\cot \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}+\frac{1}{\sin ^{2} \theta} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \phi^{2}}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 1.2 Ladder Operators

The Ladder Operators, $\mathbf{M}_{+}$and $\mathbf{M}_{-}$are defined by ([2, p.116]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{M}_{+}=\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{x}}+i \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{y}} \quad \mathbf{M}_{-}=\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{x}}-i \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{y}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose form in terms of $\theta$ and $\phi$ is [4, p.182]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{M}_{+}=\hbar e^{(i \phi)}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}+i \cot \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}\right) \quad \mathbf{M}_{-}=\hbar e^{(-i \phi)}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}-i \cot \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are the appropriate forms for our purposes.

[^1]
## 2 Spherical Harmonics

It is true in general (for integer and half-odd integer values of $\ell$ and $m$ ) that a spherical harmonic has the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{\ell}^{m}=e^{(i m \phi)}(\sin \theta)^{|m|} P_{\ell}^{|m|}(\cos \theta) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{\ell}^{|m|}(\cos \theta)$ is a polynomial in $\cos \theta$ of order $(\ell-|m|)$ (this order is always an integer even when $\ell$ and $m$ are half-odd-integers). Some of the Legendre functions are shown explicitly in Table 1 of our previous paper [1, p.796]; from this table the first twelve Fermion spherical harmonics have the explicit (unnormalized) forms:

$$
\begin{align*}
Y_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}}=\sqrt{\sin \theta} e^{\left(\frac{1}{2} i \phi\right)} & Y_{\frac{1}{2}}^{-\frac{1}{2}} & =\sqrt{\sin \theta} e^{-\left(\frac{1}{2} i \phi\right)}  \tag{8}\\
Y_{\frac{3}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}}=(\sin \theta)^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{\left(\frac{3}{2} i \phi\right)} & Y_{\frac{3}{2}}^{-\frac{3}{2}} & =(\sin \theta)^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\left(\frac{3}{2} i \phi\right)}  \tag{9}\\
Y_{\frac{3}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}}=\cos \theta \sqrt{\sin \theta} e^{\left(\frac{1}{2} i \phi\right)} & Y_{\frac{3}{2}}^{-\frac{1}{2}} & =\cos \theta \sqrt{\sin \theta} e^{-\left(\frac{1}{2} i \phi\right)}  \tag{10}\\
Y_{\frac{5}{2}}^{\frac{5}{2}}=(\sin \theta)^{\frac{5}{2}} e^{\left(\frac{5}{2} i \phi\right)} & Y_{\frac{5}{2}}^{-\frac{5}{2}} & =(\sin \theta)^{\frac{5}{2}} e^{-\left(\frac{5}{2} i \phi\right)}  \tag{11}\\
Y_{\frac{5}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}}=\cos \theta(\sin \theta)^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{\left(\frac{3}{2} i \phi\right)} & Y_{\frac{5}{2}}^{-\frac{3}{2}} & =\cos \theta(\sin \theta)^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{-\left(\frac{3}{2} i \phi\right)}  \tag{12}\\
Y_{\frac{5}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}}=\left(1-4 \cos ^{2} \theta\right) \sqrt{\sin \theta} e^{\left(\frac{1}{2} i \phi\right)} & Y_{\frac{5}{2}}^{-\frac{1}{2}} & =\left(1-4 \cos ^{2} \theta\right) \sqrt{\sin \theta} e^{-\left(\frac{1}{2} i \phi\right)} \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

## 3 The Eigenfunction Properties

In this section we explicitly confirm that the Fermion Spherical Harmonics are eigenfunctions of $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{z}}$ and $\mathbf{M}^{2}$ with the expected eigenvalues. This was implicit in the derivation of these functions presented in our previous paper [1].

## $3.1 \quad \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{z}}$ Operator

From the general form of the Spherical Harmonics (7) it follows immediately that $Y_{\ell}^{m}$ is always an eigenfunction of $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{z}}$ (31) with eigenvalue $m \hbar$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{z} Y_{\ell}^{m}=\frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial Y_{\ell}^{m}}{\partial \phi}=\frac{\hbar}{i}(\sin \theta)^{|m|} P_{\ell}^{|m|}(\cos \theta) \frac{\partial e^{(i m \phi)}}{\partial \phi}=m \hbar Y_{\ell}^{m} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence this eigenfunction property is proven for all cases and requires no further consideration; the eigenvalue, $m \hbar$, is the physically expected value for the $z$-component of angular momentum both for integral and half-odd-integral values of $m$.

## $3.2 \quad \mathrm{M}^{2}$ Operator

One conclusion from the general form of the Spherical Harmonics (7) and from the form of the $\mathbf{M}^{\mathbf{2}}$ operator (4) is that operation on $Y_{\ell}^{+|m|}$ produces the same result as on $Y_{\ell}^{-|m|}$; i.e. the
same result for the two harmonics that differ only in the sign of $m$. This occurs because the only differentiation with respect to $\phi$ in $\mathbf{M}^{\mathbf{2}}$ is the second derivative with respect to $\phi$, and in view of the simple exponential dependence of every harmonic on $\phi$, (7), differentiation of this exponential factor twice produces the same result for positive $m$ as for negative $m$; in the latter case the multiplying negative sign introduced by the first differentiation is canceled by the second differentiation. Thus it is only necessary to consider operation of the $\mathbf{M}^{2}$ operator on $Y_{\ell}^{+|m|}$.

### 3.2.1 Some Special Cases

In view of the polynomial dependence of $Y_{\ell}^{m}$ on $\cos \theta$, it isn't possible to infer the general result as we did for $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{z}}$; nevertheless some especially simple cases and the following six examples indicate that $Y_{\ell}^{m}$ is an eigenfunction of $\mathbf{M}^{2}$ with the physically expected eigenvalue for both integral and half-odd-integral values of $\ell$. These results were obtained manually and were checked using the Maple computer-algebra program.

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{M}^{2} Y_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}}=\mathbf{M}^{2} e^{\left(\frac{1}{2} i \phi\right)} \sin ^{\frac{1}{2}} \theta & =\frac{3}{4} \hbar^{2} e^{\left(\frac{1}{2} i \phi\right)}\left(1-\cos ^{2} \theta\right) / \sin ^{\frac{3}{2}} \theta  \tag{15}\\
& =\frac{3}{4} \hbar^{2} e^{\left(\frac{1}{2} i \phi\right)} \sin ^{\frac{1}{2}} \theta=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}+1\right) \hbar^{2} Y_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
\mathbf{M}^{2} Y_{\frac{3}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}}=\mathbf{M}^{2} e^{\left(\frac{3}{2} i \phi\right)} \sin ^{\frac{3}{2}} \theta & =\frac{15}{4} \hbar^{2} e^{\left(\frac{3}{2} i \phi\right)}\left(1-\cos ^{2} \theta\right) / \sin ^{\frac{1}{2}} \theta  \tag{16}\\
& =\frac{15}{4} \hbar^{2} e^{\left(\frac{3}{2} i \phi\right)} \sin ^{\frac{3}{2}} \theta=\frac{3}{2}\left(\frac{3}{2}+1\right) \hbar^{2} Y_{\frac{3}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}} \\
\mathbf{M}^{2} Y_{\frac{3}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}}=\mathbf{M}^{2} \cos \theta \sin ^{\frac{1}{2}} \theta e^{\left(\frac{1}{2} i \phi\right)} & =\frac{15}{4} \hbar^{2} \cos \theta e^{\left(\frac{1}{2} i \phi\right)}\left(1-\cos ^{2} \theta\right) / \sin ^{\frac{3}{2}} \theta  \tag{17}\\
& =\frac{15}{4} \hbar^{2} \cos \theta \sin ^{\frac{1}{2}} \theta e^{\left(\frac{1}{2} i \phi\right)}=\frac{3}{2}\left(\frac{3}{2}+1\right) \hbar^{2} Y_{\frac{3}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& =\frac{35}{4} \hbar^{2} \sin \frac{5}{2} \theta e^{\left(\frac{5}{2} i \phi\right)}=\frac{5}{2}\left(\frac{5}{2}+1\right) \hbar^{2} Y_{\frac{5}{2}}^{\frac{5}{2}}  \tag{18}\\
\mathbf{M}^{2} Y_{\frac{5}{2}}^{\frac{5}{2}}=\mathbf{M}^{2} \sin ^{\frac{5}{2}} \theta e^{\left(\frac{5}{2} i \phi\right)} & =\frac{35}{4} \hbar^{2} \sin \frac{1}{2} \theta e^{\left(\frac{5}{2} I \phi\right)}\left(1-\cos ^{2} \theta\right) \\
\mathbf{M}^{2} Y_{\frac{5}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}}=\mathbf{M}^{2} \cos \theta \sin ^{\frac{3}{2}} \theta e^{\left(\frac{3}{2} i \phi\right)} & =\frac{35}{4} \hbar^{2} \cos \theta e^{\left(\frac{3}{2} I \phi\right)}\left(1-\cos ^{2} \theta\right) / \sin ^{\frac{1}{2}} \theta  \tag{19}\\
& =\frac{35}{4} \hbar^{2} \cos \theta \sin ^{\frac{3}{2}} \theta e^{\left(\frac{3}{2} i \phi\right)}=\frac{5}{2}\left(\frac{5}{2}+1\right) \hbar^{2} Y_{\frac{5}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}} \\
\mathbf{M}^{2} Y_{\frac{5}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}}=\mathbf{M}^{2} e^{\left(\frac{1}{2} i \phi\right.} \sin ^{\frac{1}{2}} \theta\left(1-4 \cos ^{2} \theta\right) & =\frac{35}{4} \hbar^{2} e^{\left(\frac{1}{2} i \phi\right)}\left(1-5 \cos ^{2} \theta+4 \cos ^{4} \theta\right) / \sin ^{\frac{3}{2}} \theta \\
& =\frac{35}{4} \hbar^{2} e^{\left(\frac{1}{2} i \phi\right)}\left(1-4 \cos ^{2} \theta\right)\left(1-\cos ^{2} \theta\right) / \sin ^{\frac{3}{2}} \theta \\
& =\frac{35}{4} \hbar^{2} e^{\left(\frac{1}{2} i \phi\right)} \sin ^{\frac{1}{2} \theta\left(1-4 \cos ^{2} \theta\right)=\frac{5}{2}\left(\frac{5}{2}+1\right) \hbar^{2} Y_{\frac{5}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

### 3.2.2 Some General Cases: $\ell=|m|, \ell=|m|+1, \ell=|m|+2$ and $\ell=|m|+3$

In the case $\ell=|m|$ Table 1 of [1] (the column for $i=0$ ) shows that the polynomial $P_{\ell}^{|m|}$ is simply a constant (equal to 1 in Table 1 of [1]) and hence the spherical harmonic has the simple form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{\ell}^{m}=e^{i m \phi}(\sin \theta)^{|m|} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this simple form it follows that $Y_{\ell}^{m}$ is an eigenfunction of $\mathbf{M}^{2}$ for all values of $\ell$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{M}^{2} Y_{\ell}^{m}=\mathbf{M}^{2} e^{(i m \phi)}(\sin \theta)^{|m|}=|m|(|m|+1) \hbar^{2} Y_{\ell}^{m}=\ell(\ell+1) \hbar^{2} Y_{\ell}^{m} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case $\ell=|m|+1$ Table 1 of [1] (the column for $i=1$ ) shows that the polynomial $P_{\ell}^{|m|}$ is simply $\cos \theta$ and hence the spherical harmonic has the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{\ell}^{m}=e^{i m \phi}(\sin \theta)^{|m|} \cos \theta \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this simple form Maple deduced that $Y_{\ell}^{m}$ is an eigenfunction of $\mathbf{M}^{2}$ for all values of $m$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{M}^{2} Y_{\ell}^{m}=\mathbf{M}^{2} e^{(i m \phi)}(\sin \theta)^{|m|} \cos \theta=(|m|+1)(|m|+2) \hbar^{2} Y_{\ell}^{m}=\ell(\ell+1) \hbar^{2} Y_{\ell}^{m} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case $\ell=|m|+2$ Table 1 of [1] (the column for $i=2$ ) shows that the polynomial $P_{\ell}^{|m|}$ is $\left[1-(2 m+3) \cos ^{2} \theta\right]$ and hence the spherical harmonic has the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{\ell}^{m}=e^{i m \phi}(\sin \theta)^{|m|}\left[1-(2 m+3) \cos ^{2} \theta\right] \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this form Maple proved that $Y_{\ell}^{m}$ is an eigenfunction of $\mathbf{M}^{2}$ for all values of $m$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{M}^{2} Y_{\ell}^{m} & =\mathbf{M}^{2} e^{(i m \phi)}(\sin \theta)^{|m|}\left[1-(2 m+3) \cos ^{2} \theta\right]  \tag{26}\\
& =(|m|+2)(|m|+3) \hbar^{2} Y_{\ell}^{m}=\ell(\ell+1) \hbar^{2} Y_{\ell}^{m} \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

In the case $\ell=|m|+3$ Table 1 of [1] (the column for $i=3$ ) shows that the polynomial $P_{\ell}^{|m|}$ is $\cos \theta\left[3-(2 m+5) \cos ^{2} \theta\right]$ and hence the spherical harmonic has the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{\ell}^{m}=e^{i m \phi}(\sin \theta)^{|m|} \cos \theta\left[3-(2 m+5) \cos ^{2} \theta\right] \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this form Maple proved that $Y_{\ell}^{m}$ is an eigenfunction of $\mathbf{M}^{2}$ for all values of $m$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{M}^{2} Y_{\ell}^{m} & =\mathbf{M}^{2} e^{(i m \phi)}(\sin \theta)^{|m|} \cos \theta\left[3-(2 m+5) \cos ^{2} \theta\right]  \tag{29}\\
& =(|m|+3)(|m|+4) \hbar^{2} Y_{\ell}^{m}=\ell(\ell+1) \hbar^{2} Y_{\ell}^{m} \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

### 3.2.3 Summary for $\mathrm{M}^{2}$

The above results prove that $Y_{\ell}^{m}$ is an eigenfunction of $\mathbf{M}^{2}$ when $\ell=|m|, \ell=|m|+1, \ell=|m|+2$ and $\ell=|m|+3$, for all values of $\ell$; i.e. for all the Legendre Functions in Table (1 of [1) except those in the last two columns, for all values of $|m|$ from 0 to $\infty$ including the half-odd-integer values; i.e. for all rows of Table 1 of [1] extended to $|m| \rightarrow \infty$.

We didn't prove any more results explicitly, but it is a plausible induction from the proven results that $Y_{\ell}^{m}$ is always an eigenfunction of $\mathbf{M}^{2}$ for all values of $\ell$ and $m$ including the functions for which $\ell$ and $m$ have half-odd-integer values.

## 4 Ladder Operations

Since the ladder operators, $\mathbf{M}_{+}$and $\mathbf{M}_{-}$, normally transform $Y_{\ell}^{m}$ into $Y_{\ell}^{m+1}$ and $Y_{\ell}^{m-1}$ respectively, it is appropriate to work with the operators defined by (6) divided by $\hbar$ in order to avoid multiplying the result of the transformation by a factor of $\hbar$; these renormalized ladder operators are distinguished by primes, $\mathbf{M}_{+}^{\prime}$ and $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}{ }_{-}$:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{M}_{+}^{\prime}=\mathbf{M}_{+} / \hbar=e^{(i \phi)}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}+i \cot \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}\right) \quad \mathbf{M}_{-}^{\prime}=\mathbf{M}_{-} / \hbar=e^{(-i \phi)}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}-i \cot \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}\right) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4.1 $\phi$ Dependence

A general conclusion about operating on a spherical harmonic, $Y_{\ell}^{m}$, with the ladder operators, $\mathbf{M}_{+}^{\prime}$ and $\mathbf{M}_{-}^{\prime}$, is that the $\phi$ dependence of the result is always the normal, expected result for both integer and half-odd integer harmonics. This conclusion is inferred by observing that the differentiation w.r.t. $\phi$ in $\mathbf{M}_{+}^{\prime}$ and $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}{ }_{-}$leaves the $\phi$ dependence of $Y_{\ell}^{m}$ unchanged because the $\phi$ dependence of $Y_{\ell}^{m}$ is the exponential function $\exp \{i m \phi\}$. In addition, $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}{ }_{+}$ and $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}$ _ multiply $Y_{\ell}^{m}$ by $\exp (i \phi)$ and $\exp (-i \phi)$ respectively; this multiplication has the effect of increasing and decreasing the exponent by 1 respectively; i.e.:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{M}_{+}^{\prime} e^{\{i m \phi\}}=-m \cot \theta e^{\{i(m+1) \phi\}} \quad \mathbf{M}_{-}^{\prime} e^{\{i m \phi\}}=+m \cot \theta e^{\{i(m-1) \phi\}} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

## $4.2 \quad \theta$ Dependence

The differentiation w.r.t. $\theta$ in $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}+$ and $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}{ }_{-}$of $\sin ^{|m|} \theta P_{\ell}^{|m|}$ will produce:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d \theta} \sin ^{|m|} \theta P_{\ell}^{|m|}=\sin ^{|m|} \theta\left\{|m| \frac{\cos \theta}{\sin \theta} P_{\ell}^{|m|}+\frac{d P_{\ell}^{|m|}}{d \theta}\right\} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recognition of $P_{\ell}^{|m|}$ as a polynomial in $x=\cos \theta$ (as shown in Table 1 of [1]) suggests that some simplification will result from expressing the differentiation of $P_{\ell}^{|m|}$ w.r.t. $x$ rather than $\theta$, since:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d \theta} P_{\ell}^{|m|}=-\sin \theta \frac{d P_{\ell}^{|m|}}{d x} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the general results are:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{M}_{+}^{\prime} Y_{\ell}^{m} & =\mathbf{M}_{+}^{\prime}\left\{e^{(i m \phi)} \sin ^{|m|} \theta P_{\ell}^{|m|}\right\} \\
& =e^{(i[m+1] \phi)} \sin ^{(|m|+1)} \theta\left\{(|m|-m) \frac{\cos \theta}{\sin ^{2} \theta} P_{\ell}^{|m|}-\frac{d P_{\ell}^{|m|}}{d x}\right\}  \tag{35}\\
& =e^{(i[m+1] \phi)} \sin ^{(|m|-1)} \theta\left\{(|m|-m) \cos \theta P_{\ell}^{|m|}-\sin ^{2} \theta \frac{d P_{\ell}^{|m|}}{d x}\right\} \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{M}_{-}^{\prime} Y_{\ell}^{m} & =\mathbf{M}_{-}^{\prime}\left\{e^{(i m \phi)} \sin ^{|m|} \theta P_{\ell}^{|m|}\right\} \\
& =e^{(i[m-1] \phi)} \sin ^{(|m|+1)} \theta\left\{(|m|+m) \frac{\cos \theta}{\sin ^{2} \theta} P_{\ell}^{|m|}-\frac{d P_{\ell}^{|m|}}{d x}\right\}  \tag{37}\\
& =e^{(i[m-1] \phi)} \sin ^{(|m|-1)} \theta\left\{(|m|+m) \cos \theta P_{\ell}^{|m|}-\sin ^{2} \theta \frac{d P_{\ell}^{|m|}}{d x}\right\} \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

Which of the two alternative, equivalent expressions for $\mathbf{M}_{+}^{\prime} Y_{\ell}^{m}$ (35136) and the two for $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}{ }_{-} Y_{\ell}^{m}$ (3738) produces the simpler result depends upon whether $m$ is positive or negative; the term in (35) and in (36) having a factor of $(|m|-m)$ will be zero when $m$ is positive; likewise the term in (37) and in (38) having a factor of $(|m|+m)$ will be zero when $m$ is negative.

### 4.2.1 The Case of Positive $m$

In the cases where $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}{ }_{+}$and $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}$ - operate on a $Y_{\ell}^{m}$ with $m$ positive, $m=|m|$, and hence the expressions (35) and (38) produce simpler results than (36) and (37) respectively:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathbf{M}_{+}^{\prime} Y_{\ell}^{m}=-e^{(i[m+1] \phi)} \sin ^{(|m|+1)} \theta\left\{\frac{d P_{\ell}^{|m|}}{d x}\right\}  \tag{39}\\
\mathbf{M}^{\prime}{ }_{-} Y_{\ell}^{m}=e^{(i[m-1] \phi)} \sin ^{(|m|-1)} \theta\left\{2 m \cos \theta P_{\ell}^{|m|}-\sin ^{2} \theta \frac{d P_{\ell}^{|m|}}{d x}\right\} \tag{40}
\end{gather*}
$$

### 4.2.2 The Case of Negative $m$

In the cases where $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}$ and $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}$ - operate on a $Y_{\ell}^{m}$ with $m$ negative, $m=-|m|$, and hence (36) and (37) produce simpler results than (35) and (38) respectively:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathbf{M}_{+}^{\prime} Y_{\ell}^{m}=e^{(i[m+1] \phi)} \sin ^{(|m|-1)} \theta\left\{2|m| \cos \theta P_{\ell}^{|m|}-\sin ^{2} \theta \frac{d P_{\ell}^{|m|}}{d x}\right\}  \tag{41}\\
\mathbf{M}^{\prime}{ }_{-} Y_{\ell}^{m}=-e^{(i[m-1] \phi)} \sin ^{(|m|+1)} \theta\left\{\frac{d P_{\ell}^{|m|}}{d x}\right\} \tag{42}
\end{gather*}
$$

### 4.2.3 The Case $\ell=|m|$

In this case the polynomial $P_{\ell}^{|m|}$ is simply a constant (the column for $i=0$ in Table 1 of [1), and since the spherical harmonic has the simple form of (21) we can derive the effect of operating with $\mathbf{M}_{+}^{\prime}$ and $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}$ - for all values of $m$; the derivatives $d P_{\ell}^{|m|} / d x$ in (39404142) are zero, and hence from the fact that (39) and (42) both have this zero derivative as a factor it follows that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{M}_{+}^{\prime} Y_{\ell}^{\ell}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \mathbf{M}_{-}^{\prime} Y_{\ell}^{-\ell}=0 \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are the usual results that the ladder operators produce a zero result (anihilation) when $\mathbf{M}_{+}^{\prime}$ operates on $Y_{\ell}^{\ell}$, and when $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}$ _ operates on $Y_{\ell}^{-\ell}$.

The other two results for $\ell=|m|$ are obtained from (40) and (41) respectively:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{M}_{-}^{\prime} Y_{\ell}^{\ell} & =e^{[i(\ell-1) \phi]} \sin ^{(\ell-1)} \theta\{2 \ell \cos \theta\}  \tag{44}\\
& =2 \ell Y_{\ell}^{(\ell-1)} \quad \text { if } \ell \geq 1 \\
\mathbf{M}_{+}^{\prime} Y_{\ell}^{-\ell} & =e^{[-i(\ell-1) \phi]} \sin ^{(\ell-1)} \theta\{2 \ell \cos \theta\}  \tag{45}\\
& =2 \ell Y_{\ell}^{(-\ell+1)} \quad \text { if } \ell \geq 1
\end{align*}
$$

These are the expected results as long as $\ell \geq 1$; i.e. the functions in the column for $i=1$ in Table 1 of [1] excluding the row for $|m|=\frac{1}{2} .{ }^{2}$ The exceptional cases are:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{M}_{-}^{\prime} Y_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}}=e^{\left(-i \frac{\phi}{2}\right)} \sin ^{-\frac{1}{2}} \theta\{2 \ell \cos \theta\}=Y_{\frac{1}{2}}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \times \cot \theta \neq \text { const } \times Y_{\frac{1}{2}}^{-\frac{1}{2}}  \tag{46}\\
& \mathbf{M}_{+}^{\prime} Y_{\frac{1}{2}}^{-\frac{1}{2}}=e^{\left(i \frac{\phi}{2}\right)} \sin ^{-\frac{1}{2}} \theta\{2 \ell \cos \theta\}=Y_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \times \cot \theta \neq \text { const } \times Y_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

These exceptional results for $\ell=|m|=\frac{1}{2}$ have a $\theta$ factor of $\cos \theta / \sqrt{\sin \theta}$, whereas in the expected result this factor would be $\sqrt{\sin \theta}$.

### 4.3 Cases: $\ell=\frac{1}{2}, \ell=\frac{3}{2}$ and $\ell=\frac{5}{2}$

Operation on the 12 half-odd integer functions defined in (8)- (13) with each of $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}+$ and $\mathbf{M}_{-}^{\prime}$ defined in (31) produced the following results; these results were (like the eigenvalue results) obtained manually and then checked using Maple computer algebra. ${ }^{3}$

### 4.3.1 Cases for $\ell=\frac{1}{2}$

The results of applying the ladder operators $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}{ }_{+}$and $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}$ - [i.e. (31)] to the two spherical harmonics for $\ell=\frac{1}{2}$ [i.e. (8)] are explicated by equations (43), (46) and (47) above. ${ }^{4}$

The first two results (43) for $\ell=\frac{1}{2}$ show: that Merzbacher's inference [5, p.241,col.2] that "the ladder does not terminate" is incorrect; he made this inference by operating with the square of $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}$ - on $Y_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathbf{M}_{-}^{\prime}\right)^{2} Y_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \neq 0 \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is true because in the first application of $\mathbf{M}_{-}^{\prime}$ on $Y_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ the result is the abnormal (46), and the second application of $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}$ _ on this abnormal result is indeed not zero. However, Merzbacher is incorrect in inferring from this result (via the abnormal intermediate result

[^2](46)) that "the ladder does not terminate" [5, p.241,col.2]; equations (43) demonstrate that the ladder does indeed terminate as it is expected to do. Fortissimo, this general result demonstrates that the ladder terminates at both ends for all values of $\ell$, whereas Merzbacher was only concerned with the case $\ell=\frac{1}{2}$.

### 4.3.2 Cases for $\ell=\frac{3}{2}$

Application of $\mathbf{M}_{+}^{\prime}$ and $\mathbf{M}_{-}^{\prime}$ [defined by (31)] to the two spherical harmonics defined by (19) produces four results:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{M}_{+}^{\prime} Y_{\frac{3}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}}=0 \quad \mathbf{M}_{-}^{\prime} Y_{\frac{3}{2}}^{-\frac{3}{2}}=0 \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are instances of the general anihilation results (43), and

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{M}_{-}^{\prime} Y_{\frac{3}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}} & =3 e^{\left(\frac{1}{2} i \phi\right)} \sqrt{\sin \theta} \cos \theta=3 Y_{\frac{3}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}}  \tag{50}\\
\mathbf{M}_{+}^{\prime} Y_{\frac{3}{2}}^{-\frac{3}{2}} & =3 e^{\left(-\frac{1}{2} i \phi\right)} \sqrt{\sin \theta} \cos \theta=3 Y_{\frac{3}{2}}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tag{51}
\end{align*}
$$

which are instances of the generally expected results (44) and (45) for $\ell \geq 1$.
Application of $\mathbf{M}_{+}^{\prime}$ and $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}$ - to the two spherical harmonics defined by (10) produces four results:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathbf{M}_{+}^{\prime} Y_{\frac{3}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}}=-e^{(3 / 2 i \phi)} \sin \theta^{(3 / 2)}=-Y_{\frac{3}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}}  \tag{52}\\
\mathbf{M}_{-}^{\prime} Y_{\frac{3}{2}}^{-\frac{1}{2}}=-e^{(-3 / 2 i \phi)} \sin \theta^{(3 / 2)}=-Y_{\frac{3}{2}}^{-\frac{3}{2}} \tag{53}
\end{gather*}
$$

which are instances of the usually expected results, and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{M}_{-}^{\prime} Y_{\frac{3}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}}=e^{\left(-\frac{1}{2} i \phi\right)} \frac{\left(2 \cos ^{2} \theta-1\right)}{\sqrt{\sin \theta}}=2 \cot (2 \theta) Y_{\frac{3}{2}}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \neq \text { const } \times Y_{\frac{3}{2}}^{-\frac{1}{2}}  \tag{54}\\
& \mathbf{M}_{+}^{\prime} Y_{\frac{3}{2}}^{-\frac{1}{2}}=e^{\left(\frac{1}{2} i \phi\right)} \frac{\left(2 \cos ^{2} \theta-1\right)}{\sqrt{\sin \theta}}=2 \cot (2 \theta) Y_{\frac{3}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \neq \text { const } \times Y_{\frac{3}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{55}
\end{align*}
$$

which are not the usually expected results because the multiplier of the expected function is not a constant; i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{\frac{3}{2}}^{ \pm \frac{1}{2}}=e^{\left( \pm \frac{1}{2} i \phi\right)} \sqrt{\sin \theta} \cos \theta \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

from Table 1 of [1] (the entry for $|m|=\frac{1}{2}, i=1$ ). Results (54) and (55) are distinct from the $\ell=|m|$ results of (44) and (45).

### 4.3.3 Cases for $\ell=\frac{5}{2}$

Application of $\mathbf{M}_{+}^{\prime}$ and $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}$ - to the two spherical harmonics defined by (11) produces four results:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{M}_{+}^{\prime} Y_{\frac{5}{2}}^{\frac{5}{2}}=0 \quad \mathbf{M}_{-}^{\prime} Y_{\frac{5}{2}}^{-\frac{5}{2}}=0 \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are instances of the general anihilation results (43), and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathbf{M}_{-}^{\prime} Y_{\frac{5}{2}}^{\frac{5}{2}}=5 e^{(3 / 2 i \phi)} \sin ^{(3 / 2)} \theta \cos \theta=5 Y_{\frac{5}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}}  \tag{58}\\
\mathbf{M}_{+}^{\prime} Y_{\frac{5}{2}}^{-\frac{5}{2}}=5 e^{-(3 / 2 i \phi)} \sin ^{(3 / 2)} \theta \cos \theta=5 Y_{\frac{5}{2}}^{-\frac{3}{2}} \tag{59}
\end{gather*}
$$

which are instances of the general results (44) and (45) for $\ell \geq 1$.
Application of $\mathbf{M}_{+}^{\prime}$ and $\mathbf{M}_{-}^{\prime}$ to the two spherical harmonics defined by (12) produces four results:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathbf{M}_{+}^{\prime} Y_{\frac{5}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}}=-e^{(5 / 2 i \phi)} \sin \theta^{(5 / 2)}=-Y_{\frac{5}{2}}^{\frac{5}{2}}  \tag{60}\\
\mathbf{M}_{-}^{\prime} Y_{\frac{5}{2}}^{-\frac{3}{2}}=-e^{(-5 / 2 i \phi)} \sin \theta^{(5 / 2)}=-Y_{\frac{5}{2}}^{-\frac{5}{2}} \tag{61}
\end{gather*}
$$

which are instances of the usually expected results, and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathbf{M}_{-}^{\prime} Y_{\frac{5}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}}=e^{\left(\frac{1}{2} i \phi\right)} \sqrt{\sin \theta}\left(4 \cos \theta^{2}-1\right)=-Y_{\frac{5}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}}  \tag{62}\\
\mathbf{M}_{+}^{\prime} Y_{\frac{5}{2}}^{-\frac{3}{2}}=e^{\left(-\frac{1}{2} i \phi\right)} \sqrt{\sin \theta}\left(4 \cos \theta^{2}-1\right)=-Y_{\frac{5}{2}}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tag{63}
\end{gather*}
$$

which are also instances of the usually expected results.
Application of $\mathbf{M}_{+}^{\prime}$ and $\mathbf{M}^{\prime}$ - to the two spherical harmonics defined by (13) produces four results:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathbf{M}_{+}^{\prime} Y_{\frac{5}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}}=8 \sin ^{(3 / 2)} \theta \cos \theta e^{(3 / 2 i \phi)}=8 Y_{\frac{5}{2}}^{\frac{3}{2}}  \tag{64}\\
\mathbf{M}_{-}^{\prime} Y_{\frac{5}{2}}^{-\frac{1}{2}}=8 \sin \theta^{(3 / 2)} \cos \theta e^{(-3 / 2 i \phi)}=8 Y_{\frac{5}{2}}^{-\frac{3}{2}} \tag{65}
\end{gather*}
$$

which are also instances of the usually expected results, and

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{M}_{-}^{\prime} Y_{\frac{5}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} & =-3 e^{\left(-\frac{1}{2} i \phi\right)} \frac{\cos \theta\left(4 \cos ^{2} \theta-3\right)}{\sqrt{\sin \theta}} \\
& =-3 e^{\left(-\frac{1}{2} i \phi\right)} \sqrt{\sin \theta} \frac{\cos (3 \theta)}{\sin \theta}=3 \cot (3 \theta) Y_{\frac{5}{2}}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \neq \mathrm{const} \times Y_{\frac{5}{2}}^{-\frac{1}{2}}  \tag{66}\\
\mathbf{M}_{+}^{\prime} Y_{\frac{5}{2}}^{-\frac{1}{2}} & =-3 e^{\left(\frac{1}{2} i \phi\right)} \frac{\cos \theta\left(4 \cos ^{2} \theta-3\right)}{\sqrt{\sin \theta}} \\
& =-3 e^{\left(\frac{1}{2} i \phi\right)} \sqrt{\sin \theta} \frac{\cos (3 \theta)}{\sin \theta}=3 \cot (3 \theta) Y_{\frac{5}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \neq \text { const } \times Y_{\frac{5}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{67}
\end{align*}
$$

since: ${ }^{5}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{\frac{5}{2}}^{ \pm \frac{1}{2}}=e^{\left( \pm \frac{1}{2} i \phi\right)} \sqrt{\sin \theta}\left(1-4 \cos ^{2} \theta\right) \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

These results, (66) and (67), are not the usually expected results because the multiplier of the expected function is not a constant.

[^3]
### 4.4 Overall Conclusion

The ladder operators produce the usually expected result for all values of $\ell$ except in two cases:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbf{M}_{+}^{\prime} Y_{\ell}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \neq \text { const } \times Y_{\ell}^{\frac{1}{2}}  \tag{69}\\
& \mathbf{M}_{-}^{\prime} Y_{\ell}^{\frac{1}{2}} \neq \mathrm{const} \times Y_{\ell}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tag{70}
\end{align*}
$$

From the computed instances of these unusual results 464754556667) the general nonconstant multiplier appears to be:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{M}_{+}^{\prime} Y_{\ell}^{-\frac{1}{2}} & =\left(\ell+\frac{1}{2}\right) \cot \left[\left(\ell+\frac{1}{2}\right) \theta\right] Y_{\ell}^{\frac{1}{2}}  \tag{71}\\
\mathbf{M}_{-}^{\prime} Y_{\ell}^{\frac{1}{2}} & =\left(\ell+\frac{1}{2}\right) \cot \left[\left(\ell+\frac{1}{2}\right) \theta\right] Y_{\ell}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tag{72}
\end{align*}
$$

for all values of $\ell$, which are, of course, half-odd-integer values.
These failures in the Schrödinger represention are not present in the abstract theory of angular momentum based upon the fundamental commutation relations [2, §5.4,pp.119-120].

The algebraic origin of the failures can be understood by reference to the general results (401) and (41). For the simplest case of $\ell=|m| ; P_{\ell}^{|m|}$ is a constant, which makes $d P_{\ell}^{|m|} / d \theta=0$ in (40) and (41), but $|m|$ multiplies the $\cos \theta$ in these formulae:

- For integer $\ell=m=1$ the $\cos \theta$ is exactly the expected, usual result, $Y_{1}^{0}$, but
- For $\ell=|m|=\frac{1}{2}$ the $\cos \theta$ multiplies the expected result, $Y_{\frac{1}{2}}^{ \pm \frac{1}{2}}$ divided by $\sin \theta$
which is the algebraic reason for the failure.


## 5 Double-Valuedness

A distinctive feature of the Fermion Spherical Harmonics is that they are double-valued functions of $\phi$; this arises because:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp \left[i \frac{n}{2}(\phi+2 \pi)\right]=-\exp \left[i \frac{n}{2} \phi\right] \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $n$ an odd integer. The angle $\phi$ must transit two complete circles for the wavefunction to return to its original value:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp \left[i \frac{n}{2}(\phi+4 \pi)\right]=+\exp \left[i \frac{n}{2} \phi\right] \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

This property of Fermion wavefunctions is well known [6]. The double-valuedness of the wavefunction nevertheless leaves the probability single-valued, because the probability is computed as the product of the $\phi$ factor on the R.H.S. of (74) with its own complex conjugate, and thus the imaginery exponent produces a probability that is independent of the angle $\phi$ for all values of the exponent; i.e. of $n$ in (73) and (74).

## 6 The Validity of the Fermion Harmonics

The existence of Spherical Harmonics having half-odd integer quantum numbers, $\ell$ and $m$, has been known to some scientists for many years [7, 4]; these and other authors have been concerned with finding theoretical reasons for only using the spherical harmonics having integer values of $\ell$ and $m$. A related question is why a given physical system has angular momentum states that all have integer values of $\ell$ and $m$, while other systems have states that all have half-odd-integer values of $\ell$ and $m$; a simple proof that a particle cannot have both integer and half-odd-integer values of $\ell$ and $m$, has been given by Bohm [8, p.389]. However, Merzbacher [5] has shown that the orbital angular momentum of a rigid body may be quantized in half-odd-integer values of $\ell$ and $m$.

Merzbacher [4, p.174] taking the single-valuedness of the wavefunction as axiomatic advanced the argument that the eigenfunction ${ }^{6} \sqrt{\sin \theta} \exp (i \phi / 2)$, can be made single-valued by limiting the range of $\phi$ to 0 to $2 \pi$; he then notes [using (73)] that with this restriction the $\phi$ factor is discontinuous at $\phi=2 \pi$, and is therefore not differentiable at $\phi=2 \pi$, which makes it invalid because valid wavefunctions must be differentiable everywhere.

Buchdal [9] questions the validity of Merzbacher's argument as being too restrictive, and he quotes Bohm's argument [8, p.389-390] that it is only physically observable quantities that must be single valued. This accords with our discussion above [after (73) and (74)], that the double valuedness nevertheless leaves the probability singled-valued.

Buchdal quotes Pauli [7] and notes that Pauli's "not entirely simple" argument has been misrepresented. However, it should be noted that dismissing Merzbacher's argument and being sceptical about Pauli's "not entirely simple" argument, are peripheral to Buchdal's main purpose, which is to advance an alternative argument (to the single-valuedness of the wavefunction) to infer that the orbital angular momentum quantum numbers must be integers. Thus Buchdal only infers that the half-integral spherical harmonics are invalid representations of orbital angular momentum.

Likewise, the note published by Gray [10] is only concerned with why half-integral angular momenta are invalid representations of the orbital angular momentum of a particle. His argument is based upon that of Bohm [8]; that a system cannot have both integer and half-integer quantum numbers, and since $\ell=m=0$ is known to be physically valid for orbital angular momentum (the non-rotating state), and since the ladder operations (relating different eigenfunctions having the same $\ell$ but different $m$ ) step through the eigenfunctions in integer steps of $m$, he concludes that all the values (including zero) of $m$ (and therefore of $\ell$ ) must be integers; it is important to realize that Gray proposes this argument as an alternative to Merzbacher's (single-valuedness of the wavefunction) argument for the orbital angular momentum of a particle; Gray is not concerned with spin angular momentum.

Blatt and Weisskopf [11, p.783] quote the unpublished argument of Nordsieck that dismisses single-valuedness as unnecessary because only probability densities and expectation values must be singled-valued; his argument is reinforced by noting that double-valued wave functions are used in the theory of particles with spin.

[^4]
## 7 Summary and Conclusions

Spectroscopic results indicate that orbital angular momentum eigenstates always correspond to integer values of $\ell$, whereas the intrinsic angular momentum (spin) eigenstates of elementary particles (notably the electron), correspond to half-integer values of $\ell$ and $m$.

This difference is rooted in the diference between the orbital rotation of a body (which is classical apart from quantization of the angular momentum in integer multiples of $\hbar$ ), and the intrinsic rotation of an elementary particle whose nature is not really understood. Attempts to construct coherent models of the electron have not yet yielded a physical understanding of the nature of spin [12, 13]. Dahl's paper "The Spinning Electron" [14] promises (in its Abstract and Introduction) to present a physical model as a " 3 -dimensional rotor governed by relativistic quantum mechanics", but the body of the paper leaves the reader still groping for a tangible physical model of what an electron is, and in particular what gives rise to its spin and associated magnetic moment.

Including the Fermion Spherical Harmonics (for $\ell=\frac{1}{2}$ ) as part of a coherent model of the electron implies adopting an interpretation of the angles $\theta$ and $\phi$; the obvious interpretation is that they represent the orientation in space of the particle's intrinsic angular momentum and its magnetic moment vector. This explicit description of the orientation is, of course, limited by the uncertainty principle; i.e. while the $z$-component is defined by the value of $m$ (to be $m \hbar$ ), the total angular momentum is always larger $(\hbar \sqrt{\ell(\ell+1)}$ ), and hence the angular momentum has a component in the $x-y$ plane whose direction in space remains undetermined. The explicit angular description may allow for a more precise theoretical description of physical systems such as the Stern-Gerlach experiment [15, p.141-148].

The failure of the ladder operations in the cases where $m$ changes sign, is not, in itself, a convincing argument that the Fermion Spherical Harmonics are not valid as eigenfunctions of spin (and total) angular momentum. They are (as we have explicitly shown here) eigenfunctions of $M_{z}$ and $M^{2}$ with the expected eigenvalues in all cases, and they are normalizable as shown in our previous paper [1, Table 2]. The eigenfunction property is essential for a valid quantum mechanical state, whereas ladder operators relating states with different eigenvalues are only known for a few physical systems; ${ }^{7}$ that this coordinate representation of spin angular momentum differs from the abstract theory of angular momentum in this respect, is an interesting curiosity worthy of further investigation.

That the double-valued factors of the Fermion Spherical Harmonics ( $\exp \{i n \phi / 2\}$ ) occur in the accepted Dirac and Pauli theories of spin supports their validity; however, in these established theories the wavefunction has 4 and 2 components respectively, which seems to reduce the validity question to whether a scalar wavefunction (with a Fermion Spherical Harmonic factor) can be an acceptable representation of spin angular momentum.

[^5]
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[^0]:    *Author to whom correspondence should be addressed; email: ghunter@yorku.ca

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ The notation $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{z}}, \mathbf{M}^{\mathbf{2}}$, is taken from Levine [2] p.116, $\boldsymbol{\Phi} 1$ ] as denoting any kind of angular momentum, whereas spin angular momentum is usually denoted by $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{z}}, \mathbf{S}^{2}$, orbital angular momentum by $\mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{z}}, \mathbf{L}^{2}$, and total angular momentum by $\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{z}}, \mathbf{J}^{\mathbf{2}}$.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ In the case of the first row of Table 1 of [1] (the case $|m|=0$ ) equations (44) and (45) produce the expected anihilation results because of the factor of $\ell=0$ on their right hand sides.
    ${ }^{3}$ some of the Maple results were simplified manually.
    ${ }^{4}$ since in all four of these cases $\ell=|m|$.

[^3]:    ${ }^{5}$ from Table 1 of [1] (the entry for $|m|=\frac{1}{2}, i=2$ )

[^4]:    ${ }^{6}$ of $\mathbf{M}^{\mathbf{2}}$ and $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{z}}$

[^5]:    ${ }^{7}$ well-known for angular momentum and the one-dimensional harmonic oscillator; also known for the hydrogen atom and for the electronic motion in the $H_{2}^{+}$ion.

