G round state approxim ation for strongly interacting system $s$ in arbitrary dim ension
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#### Abstract

W e introduce a variationalm ethod for the approxim ation of ground states of strongly interacting spin system $s$ in anbitrary geom etries and spatial dim ensions. The approach is based on weighted graph states and superpositions thereof. These states allow for the e cient com putation of all local observables (e.g. energy) and include states w ith diverging correlation length and unbounded m ulti-particle entanglem ent. A s a dem onstration we apply our approach to the Ising model on 1D , 2D and 3D square-lattices. W e also present generalizations to higher spins and continuous-variable system s , which allow s for the investigation of lattice eld theories.


PACS num bers: $03.67 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{n}, 02.70 .-\mathrm{c}, 75.40 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{g}, 75.10 \mathrm{Jm}$

Strongly correlated quantum system sare of central interest in several areas of physics. E xotic $m$ aterials such as high $-T_{c}$ superconductors and quantum $m$ agnets exhibit their rem arkable properties due to strong quantum correlations, and experim ental breakthroughs w ith e.g. atom ic gases in optical lattioes provide a perfect playground forprobing strongly correlated quantum system $s$. $T$ hem ain obstacle in understanding the behavior ofthose quantum system $s$ is the di culty in sim ulating the effective $H$ am iltonians that describe their properties. In $m$ ost cases, the strong correlations in the exponentially large H ilbert space render an exact solution infeasible, and attacking the problem by num ericalm eans requires sophisticated techniques such as quantum M onte C arlo ( $\mathrm{Q} M \mathrm{C}$ ) m ethods or the m atrix renorm alization group ( $\mathrm{D} M \mathrm{RG}$ ) approach

QMC methods su er from the sign problem which $m$ akes them inappropriate for the description of ferm ionic and frustrated quantum system s. DMRG is a variational approach that provides approxim ations to ground states, therm alstates and dynam ics ofm any \{body system s. Recent insight from entanglem ent theory have lead to an im proved understanding ofboth the success and the lim itations of this approach. Indeed, the accuracy of the $m$ ethod is closely linked am ount ofentanglem ern the approxim ated states $\quad$ M atrix product states which provide the structure underlying DMRG, are essentially one\{dim ensional and the entanglem ent entropy of these states is lim ited by the dim ension $D$ of the $m a-$ trices, whin um is directly linked to the com putational cost Hence a successfiul treatm ent of system swith bounded entanglem ent, e.g. one\{dim ensional, non \{critical spin system $s$ w ith short range interactions, is possible, while the $m$ ethod is ine cient for system $s$ w ith an unbounded am ount ofentanglem ent, e.g. critical system $s$ and system $s$ in tw o orm ore dim ensions. Prom ising generalizations that can dealw it er dim ensional system s have been reported recently H ow ever, the
com putationale ort and complexity increases w ith the dim ension of the system. In addition, the am ount block-w ise entanglem ent of the states used in Ref. still scales proportional at m ost to the surface of a block of spins, whereas in general a scaling in proportion to the volum e of the block is possible. Such - scaling can in fact be observed for disor ${ }^{-1}$ d system s or system s w th long \{range interactions

Here we introduce a new variational method using states w ith intrinsic long-range entanglem ent and no bias tow ards a geom etry to overcom e these lim itations. We
rst illustrate our $m$ ethods for spin $-1 / 2$ system $s$, and then generalize them to arbitrary spins and in nite di$m$ ensional system $s$ such as harm onic oscillators. In nite dim ensions, the method is based on a certain class of m ultiparticle\{ entangled spin states, weighted graph states (W G S) and supenpositions thereof. W G S are a $O\left(\mathbb{N}^{2}\right)$ param eter fam ily of $N$ \{spin states $w$ th the follow ing properties: (i) they form an (overoom plete) basis, i.e. any state can be represented as a supenpositions ofW GS; (ii) one can e ciently calculate expectation values of any localized observable, including energy, for any W G S; (iii) they correspond to weighted graphs which are independent of the geom etry and hence adaptable to arbitrary geom etries and spatialdim ensions; (iv) the am ount ofentanglem ent contained in W G S m ay be arbitrarily high, in the sense that the entanglem ent betw een any block of $N_{A}$ particles and the rem aining system $m$ ay be $O\left(\mathbb{N}_{A}\right)$ and the correlation length $m$ ay diverge.

N ote that (iii) and (iv) are key properties in which this approach di ers from DMRG and its generalizations and which suggest a potential for enhanced perform ance at least in certain situations, while (ii) is necessary to e ciently perform variations over this fam ily. In the follow ing we will outline how we use superpositions of a sm all num ber of W GS as variational ansatz states to nd approxim ationsto ground states of strongly interacting spin system $s$ in arbitrary spatial dim ension.

Properties of W GS.W GS are de ned as states of N spin-1=2 (or qubits), that result from applying phase gates $U_{a b}\left({ }^{\prime} a b\right)=\operatorname{diag}\left(1 ; 1 ; 1 ; e^{i^{\prime} a b}\right)$ onto each pair of qubits a;b 2 f1;2;:::;N g of $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}$ tensor product of $\mathrm{x}^{-}$ eigenstates $j+i=(j 0 i+j l i)=\overline{2}$, followed by a singlequbit Itering operation $D_{a}=\operatorname{diag}\left(1 ; e^{d_{a}}\right), d_{a} 2 C$ and $a$ generalunitary operation $U_{a}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
j \quad ; \mathrm{d} ; \mathrm{U} i)_{a=1}^{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{a}}{ }_{\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{a}+1}^{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{ab}}\left({ }^{\prime} \mathrm{ab}\right) \mathrm{j}^{+}+i^{\mathrm{N}}: \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The phases ' ${ }_{a b}$ can be associated with a weighted graph w ith a real symmetric adjacency matrix $\mathrm{ab}=' \mathrm{ab}$. For convenience, we de ne a deform ation vector $\mathrm{d}=$ $\left(\mathrm{d}_{1} ; \mathrm{d}_{2} ;::: ; \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)$ and $\mathrm{U} \quad{ }_{a} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{a}} . \mathrm{T}$ he deform ationsm ake W G S as used in thin slightly $m$ ore general than the W GS used in Refs where $d_{a}=0$. O ne can conveniently rew rite $j$;d;ulas

$$
\begin{equation*}
j \quad ; d ; U i^{X} U^{X} \quad e^{i s^{T}} \quad s=2+d^{T} s j i ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

s
where the sum runs over all computational basis states, which are labelled $w$ ith the binary vector $s=$ $\left(\mathrm{s}_{1} ; \mathrm{s}_{2} ;::: ; \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$. O ur class of variation states com prises superpositions of W G S of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& X^{n} \\
& \text { ji/ }{ }^{x} \quad \text { ij ;d }{ }^{(i) ; U} \text { i; }  \tag{3}\\
& i=1
\end{align*}
$$

i.e. the supenposed states di er only in their deform ation vectord ${ }^{(i)}$, while the adjacency $m$ atrix and the unitary $U$ are xed. Such a state is speci ed by $N(\mathbb{N} \quad 1)=2+$ $3 \mathrm{~N}+2(\mathbb{N}+1) \mathrm{m}=0\left(\mathbb{N}^{2}\right)$ realparam eters.

W e now proceed to verify the properties set out in the introduction. For property (i), observe that for any xed
and $U$, all possible combinations of $D a_{a} 2 \mathrm{f}^{(a)} ; \mathbb{1}^{(a)} g$ lead to an orthonorm albasis (note that ${ }_{\mathrm{z}}^{(\mathrm{a})}$; $\mathbb{1}^{(\mathrm{a})}$ com$m$ ute $w$ ith $U_{a i n}$ ) $H$ ence any state $j i$ can be written in the form Eq. for su ciently large m $2{ }^{\mathrm{N}}$, which show s the exhaustiveness of the description.
$T$ he relevance ofem ploying deform ations lies in the observation that only $j$ i of the form ofEq. perm it the e cient evaluation of the expectation values of localized observables A, i.e. satisfy property (ii). For sim plicity we restrict our attention to observables of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{a}<\mathrm{b}}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{ab}}+\mathrm{X}_{a}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{a}} \text {; } \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{a b}$ has support on the two spins a;b. The $m$ ethod can be easily adopted to any observable that is a sum of tem $s$ w th bounded support. To com pute $\operatorname{tr}(A j$ ih $j)=\quad a<b \operatorname{tr}\left(A_{a b} a b\right)+\quad{ }_{a} \operatorname{tr}\left(\begin{array}{ll}A_{a} & a\end{array}\right)$ it is su cient to determ ine the reduced density operators $a b$ and

Fof a single W GS $(m=1)$ we obtain $12=\left(U_{1}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{U}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{s} ; \mathrm{t}} \dot{\operatorname{j}} \mathrm{iht} \boldsymbol{j}\right)\left(\mathrm{U}_{1} \quad \mathrm{U}_{2}\right)^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{w}$ th

$$
r_{S ; t}=e^{i{ }_{c=3}^{N}} 1+e^{d_{c}+d_{c}} i^{P}{ }_{e=1}^{2}\left(s_{e} t_{e}\right) \text { ec }
$$

and $=P_{2}^{2 ; b=1}$ ab $\left(s_{a} s_{b} \quad t_{a} t_{b}\right)+P_{a=1}^{2}\left(d_{a} S_{a}+d_{a} t_{a}\right)$. $T$ his generalizes the cmula forw $G$ S w ithout deform ation obtained in Ref Eq. dem onstrates that for any W G S, the reduced density operator of two (and one) spins can be calculated w th a num ber of operations that is linear in the system size $N$, as opposed to an exponential cost for a general state.

A straight-forw ard generalization of E q allow s one to calculate tw o qqubit reduced density matmoes for supenpositions of the form of Eq. in time $O\left(m^{2} N\right)$. $T$ herefore the expectation value of an observable A of the form of Eq . w ith K term s requires $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{KN}\right)$ steps. $T$ his im plies that even for H am iltonians where all spins interact pairw ise (and random ly), i.e. $K=N(\mathbb{N} \quad 1)=2$, the expectation value of the energy for our ansatz states can be obtained in $O\left(m^{2} \mathrm{~N}^{3}\right)$ steps. For short \{ range interaction H am iltonians, this reduces to $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{~N}^{2}\right)$. The total num ber of param eters (and $m$ em ory cost) scales as O $\left(N^{2}+m N\right)$, which can be further reduced by em ploying sym $m$ etries.

Regarding (iii) and (iv), one can easily adopt a W G S to any given geom etry by a proper choioe/restriction of the adjacenc trix. A state corresponding to a linear cluster state for instance, will have only $a ; a+1 \not 0$, while a;a+1 U would correspond to longer-ranged correlations. D i erent choioes of ' ab lead to very di erent (entanglem ent) properties: For' ${ }_{a b}=\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{j}$, where $x_{a}$ denotes the spatial coordinates of spin $a$, one obtains diverging correlation length for two-point correlations, while block \{ w ise entanglem ent can either be bow- led or grow unboundedly, depending on the choice of Sim ilarly, $m$ ore com plicated geom etries on lattioes in higher spatial dim ensions can be chosen.

Variational method. A ny state of the form Eq. w ith $\mathrm{m}=$ poly $(\mathbb{N})$ perm its the e cient calculation of expectation values of any two\{body H am iltonian H. A good approxim ation to the ground state is then obtained by num erical optim ization of the param eters characterizing the state. Starting from random param eters, one descends to the nearest $m$ inir using a general local $m$ inim izer (we used L-BFGS . A nother approach that we found to work well is to keep allparam eters xed except for either those corresponding to (i) one localunitary $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{a}}$, (ii) one phase gate $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{ab}}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{ab}}$ ) or (iii) the defor$m$ ation vector $d_{a}^{(j)}$ for one site $a$. In each case, the energy as a function of this subset of param eters tums out to be a quotient of quadratic form $s$, which can be optim ized using the generalized-eigenvalue ( R ayleigh) m ethod. A sim ilar result holds for the superposition coe cients $j$.

O ne then optim izes with respect to these subsets of param eters in tums until convergence is achieved. Ifone increases m stepw ise, one | som ew hat surprisingly $\mid$ does not get stuck in localm inim a.

A signi cant reduction of the num ber of param eters and the com putationalcosts $m$ ay be achieved by exploiting sym $m$ etries, or by adapting to re ect the geom etrical situation. For instance, for system $s w$ th short range interactions and nite correlation length, one $m$ ight restrict the range of the weighted graph, i.e. $\quad a b=0$ if $\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{a}} \quad \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{b}} j \quad r_{0}$. This reduces the num ber of param eters describing the W GS from $O\left(\mathbb{N}^{2}\right)$ to $O(\mathbb{N})$. For translationally invariant H am iltonians, a better schem $e$ is to let ab depend only on $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{a}} \quad \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{b}} j$. This reduces the num ber of param eters to $O(\mathbb{N})$ as well, and it seem $s$ to hardly a ect the accuracy of the ground state approxim ation. H ence, it allows one to reach high num bers of spins $N$ and thus to study also 2D and 3D system sof signi cant size. Trading accuracy for high speed one $m$ ay even use a fiully translation-invariant ansatz, where also $D_{a}$ and $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{a}}$ are constant and independent of $a$. In the latter case, for H am iltoniansw th only nearest-neighbor interactions, the expectation value of the energy can be obtained by calculating only a single reduced density operator, and the com putational cost to treat 2D [and 3D ] system s of size $N=L^{2} \mathbb{N}=L^{3}$ ] tums out to be of $O(L)$ rather than $O(\mathbb{N})$.

D em onstration. The Ising model. Ourm ethod allow s us to determ ine, w ith only $m$ oderate com putationalcost, an upper bound on the ground state energy of a strongly interacting system of arbitrary geom etry. Together w ith the A nderson low er bound, one can hence obtain a quite narrow interval for the ground state em and observe qualitative features of the ground state To illustrate ourm ethod, we have applied it to the lsing m odel in 1D, 2D and 3D w ith periodic boundary conditions, described by the H am iltonian

where ha;bi denotes nearest neighbors. For a spin chain w th $\mathrm{N}=20$, and a 2 D lattice of size $4 \quad 4 \mathrm{we}$ com pared our num erical ground state approxim ation $w$ th exact results ( $F$ io . W e have also perform ed calculations for larger 2D system s up to 14 14. W e note that the accumcy can be further im proved by increasing $m$ (see Fig. . In fact our num erical results suggest an exponential m provem ent w ith m. W e have also tested the fully translation invariant ansatz $w$ ith distance dependent phases, constant $d_{a}$ and altemating $U_{a}$ for 1D , 2D and 3D system s of size $\mathrm{N}=30, \mathrm{~N}=900$ and $\mathrm{N}=27000$ respectively (see Fig . There, for lack of a refemœe value for the exact ground state, we com pare with the Anderson bound obtained by calculating the exact ground state energy $E_{A}$ for system size $N=15 ; 3^{2} ; 2^{3}$ respectively. In the 2D and especially the 3D case it is not
expected that the A nderson bound is particularly tight and $m$ ay lead to a signi cantly underestim ation of the precisions achieved by our approach. The states approxin ated $w$ ith this sim ple ansatz also show qualitatively essential features of the exact ground state. A s an exam $p l e$, the $m$ axim al two-point correlation function $Q_{m a x}^{a ; a+1}$ (w here the tw o point correlation functions are de ned as $Q^{a ; b}=h^{(a)}{ }^{(b)} i \quad h^{(a)}{ }_{i h}{ }^{(b)}$ i) is plotted against the $m$ agnetic eld $B$ in $F$ io . Strong indication for the occurrence of a phase transition can be observed: the correlations signi cantly increase around $B \quad 1: 1 ; 3: 12 ; 5: 22$ in 1D, 2D, 3D respectively. This is in good agreem ent w ith estim ates em ploying sophisticated pow er series expansions for the in nite system s or P ade approxim ants based on large scale num erical sim ulation ....'ich expect the critical points at $B=1 ; 3: 04 ; 5: 14 \quad$ We also rem ark that the approxim ated states show a scaling of block-w ise entanglem ent proportional to the surface of the block, i.e. $S_{N_{A}} \quad{ }_{B} L^{\text {dim }} 1$, where $B$ is some constant depending on $m$ agnetic eld $B, N_{A}=L^{\text {dim }}$ and dim is the spatial dim ension. W e can estim ate $B$ and nd that it signi cantly increases near the critical point.


FIG. 1: (C olor online.) (a) R elative deviation from exact ground state energy for Ising chain with $N=20$ (blue) and 44 2D lattice (green) w ith periodic boundary conditions as function ofm agnetic eld B (calculated using BFGS m inim ization $w$ ith sym $m$ etrized phases, $m$ 6). (b) 1D Ising chain with $\mathrm{N}=20$. Im provem ent of relative deviation from ground state energy as function of number of supenposed states $m$ for various eld values B (calculated using R ay leigh $m$ in im ization $w$ ithout sym $m$ etrized phases).

Generalizations: O ur approach can be adapted di rectly to spin $-\frac{n}{2}$ system susing the representation Eq $T$ here the sum over binary vectors $s w$ th $S_{i}=0 ; 1$ has to be changed to $n$-ary vectors $s$ with $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{i}}=0 ; 1 ;::: ; \mathrm{n} 1$ and the corresponding $m$ atrioes/vectors ; $d$; $U$ have to be modi ed accordingly. H ow ever, the lim it n ! 1 to in nite dim ensional system $s$ is both problem atic and im practical, as the com putational e ort increases $w$ ith $n$. For continuous variable system swe thus choose a closely related but slightly di erent approach.

The description of eld theories on lattices generally leads to in nite-dim ensional subsystem $s$ such as harm onic oscillators. A K lein-G ordon eld on a lattioe for exam ple possesses a H am iltonian quadratic in position and $m$ om entum operators $X$ and $P$ whose ground state


F IG .2: (C olor online.) Ising m odelin 1D (blue) with $N=30$, 2D (green) with $\mathrm{N}=30 \quad 30=900$ and 3D (red) w ith $\mathrm{N}=3030 \quad 30=27000$ spins arranged as chain, square, and cubic lattice, respectively, for fully sym $m$ etric ansatz states $\mathrm{w}^{\text {ith }}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{ab}=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{a}} \quad \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{j}\right), \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{a}}=1$ as function of $m$ agnetic eld $B=\operatorname{dim}$, where dim is dim ension of lattice. (a) R elative deviation of ground state energy ( $E_{M} \mathrm{~F} \quad \mathrm{E}$ ) $=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{F}$ per bond from to $m$ ean eld approxim ation $\mathrm{Em}_{\mathrm{m}}$ (solid), and of A nderson bound ( $\left.E_{M_{F}} \quad E_{A}\right)=E_{M F}$ (dashed). Translational invariance is reduced by using $U_{1} \in U_{2}$ (altemating). (b) maxim al tw $O_{-}$ point correlation $Q_{\max }^{a ; a+1}$ for nearest neighbors.
is $G$ aussian $\quad$ This suggests that technjon from the theory of $G$ aussian state entanglem ent (see for $m$ ore details) provide the $m$ ost natural setting for these problem s. To this end, consider $N$ harm onic oscillators and the vector, $R=\left(\mathrm{R}_{1} ;:: ; \mathrm{R}_{2 \mathrm{~N}}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}=\left(\mathrm{X}_{1} ; \mathrm{P}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{N}} ; \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$ : $T$ he canonical com $m$ utation relations then take the form $\left.\mathbb{R}_{j} ; R_{k}\right]=i_{j k} w$ th the symplectic matrix . All inform ation contained in a quantum state can then be expressed equivalently in term $s$ of the characteristic function ( ) = tr[ $W()]$ where $2 \mathbb{R}^{2 N}$ and $W()=\exp \left(i^{T} R\right)$. Then, expectation values of polynom ials of $X$ and $P$ can be obtained as derivatives of . For $G$ aussian states, i.e. states whose characteristic function is a G aussian ()$=(0) e^{\frac{1}{4}}{ }^{\mathrm{T}}+\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{T}}$, where here is a $2 \mathrm{~N} \quad 2 \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{m}$ atrix and D $2 \mathbb{R}^{2 \mathrm{~N}}$ is a vector, these expectation values can be expressed e ciently as polynom ials in and D. On the level of wave functions a pure $G$ aussian state is given by $F ; G ; a i=$
 sym $m$ etric $m$ atrioes, $a$ is a vector, $C$ is the nom alization and

$$
=\begin{array}{cl}
F+G F{ }^{1} G & G F{ }^{1}  \tag{7}\\
F{ }^{1}{ }_{G} & F^{1}
\end{array} ; D=\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{F}^{1} \mathrm{a} \\
{ }^{1}{ }_{\mathrm{a}}
\end{aligned}:
$$

Now, we may consider coherent supenpositions $j i=$ ${ }_{i}{ }_{i} \mathrm{JG}_{\mathrm{i}} ; \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{i}} ; \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{i}$ to obtain re ned approxim ations of a ground state. These do not possess a $G$ aussian characteristic function but a lengthy yet straightforw ard com putation reveals that the corresponding characteristic function $j$ ih $j()$ is a sum of $G$ aussian functions $w$ th com plex weights. Then it is im $m$ ediately evident that in this description we retain the ability ofe cient evaluation of all expectation values of polynom ials in $X$ and $P$. This allow s one to establish an e cient algorithm for the approxim ation of ground state properties of lattice H am il-
tonians that are polynom ial in X and P .
Sum $m$ ary and O utbok: W e have introduced a new variational m ethod based on deform ed weighted graph states to determ ine approxim ations to ground states of strongly interacting spin system s. The possibility to com pute expectation values oflocalobservablese ciently, together w ith entanglem ent features sim ilar to those found in critical system $s, m$ ake these states prom ising candidates to approxim ate essential features of ground states for system $s w$ th short range interactions in arbitrary geom etries and spatialdim ensions. O ne can also generalize this approach to describe the dynam ics of such system $s$, system Sw ith long range interactions, disordered system $s$, dissipative system $s$, system $s$ at nite tem perature and w ith in nite dim ensional constituents. In fact, generalizations of our $m$ ethod that deal $w$ th these issues are possible and willbe reported elsew here.
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