

Yangian and Applications

Cheng-Ming Bai, Mo-Lin Ge

Theoretical Physics Division, Chern Institute of Mathematics, Nankai University

Tianjin 300071, P.R. China

Kang Xue, Hong-Biao Zhang

Department of Physics, Northeast Normal University, Changchun 130024, P.R. China

Abstract

In this paper, the Yangian relations are tremendously simplified for Yangians associated to $SU(2)$, $SU(3)$, $SO(5)$ and $SO(6)$ based on RTT relations that much benefit the realization of Yangian in physics. The physical meaning and some applications of Yangian have been shown.

1 Introduction

Yangian was presented by Drinfeld ([1-3]) twenty years ago. It receives more attention for the following reasons. It is related to the rational solution of Yang-Baxter equation and the RTT relation. It is a simple extension of Lie algebras and the representation theory of $Y(SU(2))$ has been given. Some physical models, say, two component nonlinear Schrödinger equation, Haldane-Shastry model and 1-dimensional Hubbard chain do have Yangian symmetry. Yangian may be viewed as the consequence of a "bi-spin" system. How to understand the physical meaning of Yangian is an interesting topic. In this paper, there is nothing with mathematics. Rather, we try to use the language of quantum mechanics and Lie algebraic knowledge to show the effects of Yangian.

2 Yangian and RTT Relations

Let G be a complex simple Lie algebra. The Yangian algebra $Y(G)$ associated to G was given as follows ([1-3]). For a given set of Lie algebraic generators I of G the new generators J were introduced to satisfy

$$[I; I] = C(I; I) \quad \text{are structural constants;} \quad (2.0.1)$$

$$[I; J] = C(I; J); \quad (2.0.2)$$

and, for $G \in \mathfrak{sl}(2)$:

$$[J; [J; I]] - [I; [J; J]] = a(I; I; I)g; \quad (2.0.3)$$

where

$$a = \frac{1}{4!} C(I; I; I; I); \quad (2.0.4)$$

$$f_{x_1; x_2; x_3} g = \sum_{i \in j \in k} x_i x_j x_k; \quad (\text{symmetric summation}); \quad (2.0.5)$$

or for $G = \mathfrak{sl}(2)$:

$$\begin{aligned} & [[J; J]; [I; J]] + [[J; J]; [I; J]] \\ & = (a(I; I; I; I) + a(I; I; I; I)) f(I; I; J)g; \end{aligned} \quad (2.0.6)$$

When $C(I; I; I; I) = i''(I; I; I; I) = 1; 2; 3$, equation (2.0.3) is identically satisfied from the Jacobian identities. Besides the commutation relations there are co-products as follows.

$$(I) = I \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes I; \quad (2.0.7)$$

$$(J) = J \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes J + \frac{1}{2} C(I; I; I; I); \quad (2.0.8)$$

Further, the Yangian can be derived through RTT relations where R is a rational solution of Yang-Baxter equation (YBE) ([1-12]).

After lengthy calculations, we found the independent relations for $Y(SU(2))$, $Y(SU(3))$, $Y(SO(5))$ and $Y(SO(6))$ by expanding the RTT relations and also checked through equations (2.0.1)–(2.0.3) and (2.0.6) by substituting the structural constants ([13-17]), where RTT relation (Faddeev, Reshetikhin, Takhtajan | RFT [18]) satisfies

$$R(u \otimes v)(T(u) \otimes 1)(1 \otimes T(v)) = (1 \otimes T(v))(T(u) \otimes 1)R(u \otimes v); \quad (2.0.9)$$

2.1 Y (SU (2))

Let P_{12} be the permutation. Setting

$$R_{12}(u) = P R_{12}(u) = u P_{12} + I; \quad (2.1.1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} T(u) &= I + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u^{-n} \begin{pmatrix} T_{11}^{(n)} & T_{12}^{(n)} \\ T_{21}^{(n)} & T_{22}^{(n)} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= I + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u^{-n} \left(\frac{1}{2} (T_0^{(n)} + T_3^{(n)}) \right); \quad \begin{pmatrix} T_+^{(n)} \\ T_-^{(n)} \end{pmatrix}; \end{aligned} \quad (2.1.2)$$

and substituting the $T(u)$ into RTT relation it turns out that only

$$I = T^{(1)}; I_3 = \frac{1}{2} T_3^{(1)}; \quad (2.1.3)$$

$$J = T^{(2)}; J_3 = \frac{1}{2} T_3^{(2)} \quad (2.1.4)$$

are independent ones. The quantum determinant

$$\det T(u) = T_{11}(u)T_{22}(u^{-1}) - T_{12}(u)T_{21}(u^{-1}) = C_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u^{-n} C_n \quad (2.1.5)$$

gives

$$C_0 = 1; C_1 = T_0^{(1)} = \text{tr} T^{(1)}; \quad (2.1.6)$$

$$C_2 = T_0^{(2)} - I^2 + T_0^{(1)} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} T_0^{(1)} \right); \quad ; : \quad (2.1.7)$$

The independent commutation relations of $Y(SU(2))$ are:

$$[I; I] = i \quad I \quad (; ; = 1; 2; 3); \quad (2.1.8)$$

$$[I; J] = i \quad J; \quad (2.1.9)$$

and $(A = A_1 - iA_2)$

$$[J_3; [J_+; J_-]] = (J_- J_+ - I J_+) I_3 \quad (2.1.10)$$

that can be checked to generate all of relations of equations (2.0.1), (2.0.2) and (2.0.6) with the help of Jacobi identities.

The co-product is given through (RFT) as

$$T_{ab} = \sum_c T_{ac} \otimes T_{cb}; \quad (2.1.11)$$

The simplest realization of $Y(SU(2))$ is

$$I = \sum_{i=1}^N I_i \quad (i: \text{lattice indices}); \quad (2.1.12)$$

$$J = \sum_{i=1}^N I_i + \sum_{i < j} W_{ij} I_i - I_j; \quad (2.1.13)$$

where

$$W_{ij} = \begin{cases} < 1 & i < j \\ 0 & i = j \\ 1 & i > j \end{cases} \quad (\text{for any representation of } SU(2)) \quad (2.1.14)$$

or

$$W_{jk} = i \cot \frac{(j-k)}{N} \quad (\text{only for spin } \frac{1}{2}; \text{ Haldane-Shastry model [19-21]}); \quad (2.1.15)$$

and c_i arbitrary constants. Noting that c_i plays an important role for the representation theory of $Y(SU(2))$ given by Chari and Pressley ([22-24]).

The big difference between representations of Lie algebra and Yangian is in that in Yangian there appear free parameters c_i depending on models.

Another example for single particle is finite W -algebra ([25-26]). Denoting by L and B angular momentum and Lorentz boost, respectively, as well as D the dilatation operator, the set of L and J satisfies $Y(SU(2))$ where ([13],[25])

$$I = L \quad (2.1.16)$$

$$J = I - B - i(D - 1)B \quad (2.1.17)$$

and

$$[J, J] = i(2I^2 - c_2^0 - 4)I; \quad c_2^0 \text{ Casimir of } SO(4,2); \quad (2.1.18)$$

There are the following models whose Hamiltonians do commute with $Y(SU(2))$.

Two component nonlinear Schrödinger equation (Murakami and Wadati [27])

$$i_t = -x x + 2c_j \bar{j}^2; \quad (2.1.19)$$

$$I = \int_{\mathbb{Z}} dx + (x) \left(\frac{-}{2} \right) (x); \quad (2.1.20)$$

$$J = \int_{\mathbb{Z}} i dx + (x) \left(\frac{-}{2} \right) (x) - \frac{ic}{2} \int_{\mathbb{Z}} dx dy (y - x) \left(\frac{-}{2} \right) + (x) + (y) (x) (y); \quad (2.1.21)$$

One-dimensional Hubbard model (for $N \geq 1$, [28])

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^N (a_i^\dagger a_{i+1} + a_{i+1}^\dagger a_i + b_i^\dagger b_{i+1} + b_{i+1}^\dagger b_i) + U \sum_{i=1}^N (a_i^\dagger a_i - \frac{1}{2})(a_i^\dagger a_i + \frac{1}{2}); \quad (2.122)$$

$$\begin{aligned} J &= J_1 - iJ_2; \\ J_+ &= \sum_{i,j} a_i^\dagger b_j + U \sum_{i \neq j} a_i^\dagger I_i^+ I_j^3; \\ J_- &= \sum_{i,j} b_i^\dagger a_j + U \sum_{i \neq j} a_i^\dagger I_i^- I_j^3; \\ J_3 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} (a_i^\dagger a_j - b_i^\dagger b_j) + U \sum_{i < j} a_i^\dagger I_i^+ I_j^3; \end{aligned} \quad (2.123)$$

where

$$I_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & i < j; \\ 0 & i = j; \\ -1 & i > j; \end{cases} \quad (2.124)$$

Essler, Korepin and Schoutens found the complete solutions ([29-30]) and excitation spectrum ([31]) of 1-D Hubbard model chain.

Haldane-Shastry model ([19-21]) whose Hamiltonian is given by a family. The first member is

$$H_2 = \sum_{i,j} \left(\frac{Z_i Z_j}{Z_{ij} Z_{ji}} \right) (P_{ij} - 1); \quad (2.125)$$

where and henceforth the $'$ stands for $i \neq j$ in the summation and $P_{ij} = 2(S_i \cdot S_j + \frac{1}{4})$, $Z_k = \exp^{i \frac{k}{N}}$, $Z_{ij} = Z_i - Z_j$. The next reads

$$H_3 = \sum_{i,j,k} \left(\frac{Z_i Z_j Z_k}{Z_{ij} Z_{jk} Z_{ki}} \right) (P_{ijk} - 1); \quad (2.126)$$

and

$$H_4 = \sum_{i,j,k,l} \left(\frac{Z_i Z_j Z_k Z_l}{Z_{ij} Z_{jk} Z_{kl} Z_{li}} \right) (P_{ijkl} - 1) + H_4^0; \quad (2.127)$$

$$H_4^0 = \frac{1}{3} H_2 - 2 \sum_{i,j} \left(\frac{Z_i Z_j}{Z_{ij} Z_{ji}} \right)^2 (P_{ij} - 1); \quad (2.128)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} P_{ijk} &= P_{ij} P_{jk} + P_{jk} P_{ki} + P_{ki} P_{ij}; \\ P_{ijkl} &= P_{ij} P_{jk} P_{kl} + (\text{cyclic for } i,j,k \text{ and } l); \end{aligned} \quad (2.129)$$

The eigenvalues of H_2 and H_3 have been solved in Ref. [21] and numerical calculations were made for H_4 . The H_2 and H_3 were shown to be obtained in terms of quantum determinant ([32]).

Hydrogen atom (with and without monopole, [33])

$$H = \frac{p^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{q^2}{r^2} - \frac{ze^2}{r}; \quad = p \quad zeA \quad (2.1.30)$$

where m is mass, $q = zeg$, $g = ze^2$ and g being monopole charge.

Super Yang-Mills Theory ($N = 4$): $Y(SU(6))$ ([34])

$$H = 2 \sum_j^X \sum_k^X h(j) P_{j+1}^j; \quad h(j) = \sum_{k=1}^{X^j} \frac{1}{k}; \quad h(0) = 1: \quad (2.1.31)$$

where P^j is projector for the weight j of $SU(2)$ and $\sum$ stands for "lattice" index.

2.2 $Y(SU(3))$

For the Yangian associated to $SU(3)$, there are the following independent relations

$$[I_i; I_j] = if \quad I_i; \quad [I_i; J_j] = if \quad J_j \quad (i, j = 1, 2, 3); \quad (2.2.1)$$

Define

$$I^{(1)} = I_1 - iI_2; \quad U^{(1)} = I_6 - iI_7; \quad V^{(1)} = I_4 - iI_5; \quad \frac{p-3}{2} I_8^{(1)} = I_8: \quad (2.2.2)$$

and J represents the corresponding operator for $I^{(2)}; U^{(2)}; V^{(2)}$ and $I_8^{(2)}; I_3^{(2)}$. After lengthy calculation one finds that based on RTT relation there is only one independent relation for $Y(SU(3))$ additional to equation (2.2.1):

$$[I_8^{(2)}; I_3^{(2)}] = \frac{1}{3!} (f I_+^{(1)}; U_+^{(1)}; V_+^{(1)} g - f I_-^{(1)}; U_-^{(1)}; V_-^{(1)} g) \quad (2.2.3)$$

where $f \quad g$ stands for the symmetric summation. The conclusion can be verified through both the Drinfeld formula ($C = if$) and RTT relations with replacing P_{12} in $SU(2)$ by

$$P_{12} = \frac{1}{3} I + \frac{1}{2} X; \quad (2.2.4)$$

where T are the Gell-Mann matrices. Setting

$$T(u) = \sum_{n=0}^X u^{-n} T^{(n)}; \quad (2.2.5)$$

$$T^{(n)} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{3} T_0^{(n)} + T_3^{(n)} + \frac{1}{3} T_8^{(n)} & T_1^{(n)} - iT_2^{(n)} & T_4^{(n)} - iT_5^{(n)} \\ T_1^{(n)} + iT_2^{(n)} & \frac{1}{3} T_0^{(n)} - T_3^{(n)} + \frac{1}{3} T_8^{(n)} & T_6^{(n)} - iT_7^{(n)} \\ T_4^{(n)} + iT_5^{(n)} & T_6^{(n)} + iT_7^{(n)} & \frac{1}{3} T_0^{(n)} - \frac{2}{3} T_8^{(n)} \end{pmatrix}; \quad (2.2.6)$$

and substituting them into RTT relation we find equations (2.2.1)–(2.2.3) are independent relations together with the co-product, for example,

$$\begin{aligned} I^{(2)} &= I^{(2)} + 1 + 1 - I^{(2)} - 2(I_3^{(1)} - I^{(1)} - I^{(1)} - I_3^{(1)}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}(V^{(1)} - U^{(1)} - U^{(1)} - V^{(1)}) \end{aligned} \quad (2.2.7)$$

and others.

The quantum determinant of $T(u)$ which is 3 by 3 matrix for the fundamental representation of $gl(3)$ takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} \det_3 T(u) &= T_{11}(u)T_{22}(u-1)T_{33}(u-2) - T_{23}(u)T_{32}(u-2)g \\ &\quad - T_{12}(u)T_{21}(u-1)T_{33}(u-2) - T_{23}(u-1)T_{31}(u-2)g \\ &\quad + T_{13}(u)T_{21}(u-1)T_{32}(u-2) - T_{22}(u-1)T_{31}(u-2)g \\ &= \sum_p (-1)^p T_{1p_1}(u)T_{2p_2}(u-1)T_{3p_3}(u-2) \end{aligned} \quad (2.2.8)$$

where p stands for all the possible arrangements of $(p_1; p_2; p_3)$. In comparison with the quantum determinant

$$\det_2 T(u) = \sum_{k,l,m=0}^X \frac{(l+m-1)!}{(m-1)!l!} u^{-(m+l+k)} (T_{11}^{(k)} T_{22}^{(m)} - T_{12}^{(k)} T_{21}^{(m)}); \quad (2.2.9)$$

now we have

$$\begin{aligned} \det_3 T(u) &= \sum_{k,l,m,p,q=0}^X \frac{(l+m-1)!2^q(p+q-1)!}{(m-1)!l!q!} u^{-(m+l+k+p+q)} \\ &\quad \cdot (T_{11}^{(k)}(T_{22}^{(m)}T_{33}^{(p)} - T_{23}^{(m)}T_{32}^{(p)}) - T_{12}^{(k)}(T_{21}^{(m)}T_{33}^{(p)} - T_{23}^{(m)}T_{31}^{(p)}) \\ &\quad + T_{13}^{(k)}(T_{21}^{(m)}T_{32}^{(p)} - T_{22}^{(m)}T_{31}^{(p)})g) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^X u^{-n} C_n; \end{aligned} \quad (2.2.10)$$

ie.,

$$C_0 = 1; C_1 = T_0^{(1)}; C_2 = T_0^{(2)} + T_0^{(1)} + 2(T_0^{(1)})^2 - I^2; \quad (2.2.11)$$

$$I^2 = \sum_{i=1}^X I_i^2; \quad (2.2.12)$$

When we constrain $\det T(u) = 1$ it leads to $Y(SU(2))$ and $Y(SU(3))$ that are formed by the set $I; J; g, \quad = 1; 2; 3$ and $= 1; 2; \quad ; 8$ for $SU(2)$ and $SU(3)$, respectively.

An example of realization of $Y(SU(3))$ is the generalization of Haldane-Shastry model ([19-21]) for the fundamental representation of generators of $SU(3)$:

$$I = \sum_i F_i; \quad (2.2.13)$$

$$J = \sum_i F_i + \sum_{i \neq j} W_{ij} F_i F_j; \quad (2.2.14)$$

where W_{ij} satisfies the same relation as in Haldane-Shastry model given in section 2.1 and F_i are the Gell-Mann matrices.

2.3 $Y(SO(5))$ and $Y(SO(6))$

For $SO(N)$ it holds

$$[L_{ij}, L_{kl}] = i C_{ijk;l}^{st} L_{st}; \quad (2.3.1)$$

where

$$C_{ijk;l}^{st} = \delta_{ik} \delta_{js} \delta_{lt} - \delta_{il} \delta_{js} \delta_{kt} - \delta_{jk} \delta_{is} \delta_{lt} + \delta_{jl} \delta_{is} \delta_{kt}; \quad (2.3.2)$$

The rational solutions of YBE for $SO(N)$ were firstly given by Zamolodchikov's ([35]). They are also re-derived by taking the rational limit of the trigonometric R-matrix:

$$R(u) = f(u) [u^2 P + u (A - I - \frac{3}{2} P) + \frac{3}{2} I^2]; \quad (2.3.3)$$

where u stands for spectral parameter and the other free parameter ([36-37]). The elements of $R(u)$ are $(a; b; c; d = 2; 1; 0; 1; 2)$

$$R(u)_{cd}^{ab} = u^2 \delta_{ab} \delta_{cd} + u (a \delta_{bc} \delta_{ad} - ac \delta_{bd} - \frac{3}{2} ad \delta_{bc}) + \frac{3}{2} ac \delta_{bd}^2; \quad (2.3.4)$$

For $SO(5)$, we introduce

$$T^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & E_3 & \frac{3}{2} & U_+ & E_+ & V_+ & 0 & 3 \\ 6 & U & F_3 & \frac{3}{2} & F_+ & 0 & V_+ & 7 \\ 6 & E & F & \frac{3}{2} & F_+ & E_+ & 7 & 7 \\ 4 & V & 0 & F & F_3 & \frac{3}{2} & U_+ & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & V & E & U & E_3 & \frac{3}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad (2.3.5)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} E_3 &= E_{22} - E_{-2; -2}; & F_3 &= E_{11} - E_{-1; -1}; & U_+ &= E_{21} - E_{-1; -2}; \\ V_+ &= E_{-2; -1} - E_{1; -2}; & E_+ &= E_{20} - E_{0; -2}; & F_+ &= E_{10} - E_{0; -1}; \\ U &= E_{12} - E_{-2; -1}; & V &= E_{-12} - E_{-2} & E &= E_{02} - E_{-20}; \\ F &= E_{01} - E_{-10}; \end{aligned} \quad (2.3.6)$$

$$T_{ab}^{(2)} = \frac{3}{2} E_{ab}^{(2)} \quad (a;b = 2; 1; 0; 1; 2); \quad (2.3.7)$$

Substituting $T^{(n)}$ (only $n = 1; 2$ are needed to be considered) into RTT relation, there appears 35 relations for J besides the Jacobi identities. However, a lengthy computation shows that besides

$$\begin{aligned} [I_i; I_j] &= C_{ij} I_k \quad (i, j, k = 1, 2, 3); \\ [I_i; J_j] &= C_{ij} J_k \quad (i, j, k = 1, 2, 3); \end{aligned} \quad (2.3.8)$$

there is only one independent relation

$$[E_3^{(2)}; F_3^{(2)}] = \frac{1}{4!} (fU_{+}; E_{+}; F_{-} g - fU_{+}; E_{-}; F_{+} g - fV_{+}; E_{-}; F_{-} g + fV_{-}; E_{+}; F_{+} g); \quad (2.3.9)$$

where again $f \ g$ stands for the symmetric summation.

A realization of $Y(SO(5))$ is given as follows. Set

$$I_{ab}(x) = \frac{1}{2} + (x) (I^{ab}) \quad (x) \quad (a;b = 2; 1; 0; 1; 2); \quad (2.3.10)$$

$$f^{+}(x); (y)g_{+} = (x - y) : \quad (2.3.11)$$

Then

$$I_{ab} = \sum_x I_{ab}(x); \quad (2.3.12)$$

$$J_{ab} = \sum_{x, y; c \in a, b} (x - y) I_c(x) I_{cb}(y) \quad (2.3.13)$$

satisfies the commuting relations for $Y(SO(5))$. The following Hamiltonian of ladder model not only commutes with I_{ab} , i.e., it possesses $SO(5)$ symmetry, but also commutes with J_{ab} .

$$H = H_1 + \sum_x H_2(x) + \sum_x H_3(x); \quad (2.3.14)$$

$$H_1 = 2t_1 \sum_{\langle x, y \rangle} [c^{+}(x)c(y) + d^{+}(x)d(y) + H.c.]; \quad (2.3.15)$$

$$\begin{aligned} H_2(x) &= U(n_c - \frac{1}{2})(n_c - \frac{1}{2}) + (c^{\dagger} - d) + V(n_c - 1)(n_d - 1) + JS_c - \frac{J}{4} \\ &= \frac{J}{4} \sum_{a < b} I_{ab}^2 + (\frac{1}{8}J + \frac{1}{2}U)(n_c + n_d - 2); \end{aligned} \quad (2.3.16)$$

$$H_3(x) = 2t_3 (c^{+}(x)d(x) + H.c.); \quad (2.3.17)$$

Because locally $SO(6) \simeq SU(4)$ we introduce (15 generators)

$$T_{ab}^{(1)} = I_{ab}; \quad T_{ab}^{(2)} = I_{ab}^{(2)} \quad (a;b = 1; 2; \dots; 6); \quad (2.3.18)$$

and the R(u)-matrix reads

$$R(u) = f(u) [u^2 P + u(A - 2P - I) + 2^2 I]; \quad (2.3.19)$$

The RTT relation gives 4 + 4 + 441 + 315 + 225 more relations. After careful calculations one finds ([15-16]) that there are the following independent relations for J_{ab} themselves:

$$\begin{aligned} [I_{12}^{(2)}; I_{34}^{(2)}] = & \frac{i}{24} (fI_{23}; I_{16}; I_{46}g + fI_{23}; I_{15}; I_{45}g + fI_{14}; I_{25}; I_{35}g \\ & + fI_{14}; I_{26}; I_{36}g - fI_{13}; I_{26}; I_{46}g - fI_{13}; I_{25}; I_{45}g \\ & - fI_{24}; I_{15}; I_{35}g - fI_{24}; I_{16}; I_{36}g); \end{aligned} \quad (2.3.20)$$

$$\begin{aligned} [I_{12}^{(2)}; I_{56}^{(2)}] = & \frac{i}{24} (fI_{15}; I_{23}; I_{36}g + fI_{15}; I_{24}; I_{46}g + fI_{26}; I_{13}; I_{35}g \\ & + fI_{26}; I_{14}; I_{45}g - fI_{25}; I_{13}; I_{36}g - fI_{25}; I_{14}; I_{46}g \\ & - fI_{16}; I_{23}; I_{35}g - fI_{16}; I_{24}; I_{45}g); \end{aligned} \quad (2.3.21)$$

$$\begin{aligned} [I_{34}^{(2)}; I_{56}^{(2)}] = & \frac{i}{24} (fI_{45}^{(1)}; I_{13}^{(1)}; I_{16}^{(1)}g + fI_{45}^{(1)}; I_{23}^{(1)}; I_{26}^{(1)}g + fI_{36}^{(1)}; I_{14}^{(1)}; I_{16}^{(1)}g \\ & + fI_{36}^{(1)}; I_{24}^{(1)}; I_{26}^{(1)}g - fI_{35}^{(1)}; I_{14}^{(1)}; I_{16}^{(1)}g - fI_{35}^{(1)}; I_{24}^{(1)}; I_{26}^{(1)}g \\ & - fI_{46}^{(1)}; I_{13}^{(1)}; I_{16}^{(1)}g - fI_{46}^{(1)}; I_{23}^{(1)}; I_{26}^{(1)}g); \end{aligned} \quad (2.3.22)$$

3 Applications of Yangian

The first example was given by Belavin ([38]) in deriving the spectrum of nonlinear model. Here we only show briefly some interpretations of Yangian through the particular realizations of Yangian.

3.1 Reduction of Y(SU(2))

The simplest realization of Y(SU(2)) is made of two-spin system with S_1 and S_2 (any dimensional representations of SU(2)):

$$J^0 = \frac{1}{+} J = \frac{1}{+} (S_1 - 1 + S_2 - 1 + 2 S_1 - S_2); \quad (3.1.1)$$

that contains the (antisymmetric) tensor interaction between S_1 and S_2 . For example, for Hydrogen atom $S_1 = L$ and $S_2 = K$ (Lung-Lenz vector).

For $S_1 = S_2 = 1=2$, when

$$= -2; \quad (3.1.2)$$

we prove that after the following similar transformation

$$Y = A J^0 A^{-1}; \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & i & 0 & 7 \\ 0 & 0 & i & 0 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad (3.1.3)$$

the Yangian reduces to $SO(4)$: $(\vec{M} = \vec{L} + i \vec{M} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{2} e^i)$

$$\begin{aligned} Y_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & 0 \\ 0 & L_1 \end{pmatrix}; \quad M_1 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad L_1 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \\ Y_2 &= \begin{pmatrix} M_2 & 0 \\ 0 & L_2 \end{pmatrix}; \quad M_2 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 1 \end{pmatrix}; \quad L_2 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \\ Y_3 &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}; \quad M_3 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}; \end{aligned} \quad (3.1.4)$$

and

$$Y^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} + 1 \right) = \frac{3}{4}; \quad (3.1.5)$$

Namely, under $\vec{M} = \vec{L} + i \vec{M}$, the Y reduces to $SO(4)$ by $M_- = M_1 - i M_2, M_+ = M_1 + i M_2, M_3 = \frac{1}{2}$. The scaled M_- and M_3 still satisfy the $SU(2)$ relations:

$$[M_3, M_-] = -M_-; \quad [M_+, M_3] = 2M_3; \quad (3.1.6)$$

and there are the similar relations for L .

It should be emphasized that here the new $\text{spin} \frac{1}{2}$ (M and L) is the consequence of two $\text{spin}(\frac{1}{2})$ interaction. As usual for two 2-dimensional representations of $SU(2)$ (Lie algebra)

$$\underline{2} \otimes \underline{2} = \underline{3} \text{ (spin triplet)} \oplus \underline{1} \text{ (singlet)}; \quad (3.1.7)$$

However, here we meet a different decomposition:

$$\underline{2} \otimes \underline{2} = \underline{2}(M) \oplus \underline{2}(L); \quad (3.1.8)$$

The idea can be generalized to $SU(3)$'s fundamental representation

$$J = u I_1 + v I_2 + \sum_{i < j}^X F_{1i} F_{2j}; \quad (3.1.9)$$

$$[F_i, F_j] = i f_{ij} F_k \quad (i, j, k = 1, 2, 3; \quad f_{12} = 1; f_{23} = i; f_{31} = -i); \quad (3.1.10)$$

Under the condition

$$uv = \frac{1}{2}; \quad v + i = 1; \quad (3.1.11)$$

and the similar transformation

$$Y = A J A^{-1} = (u + v); \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & i & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & i & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}; \quad (3.1.12)$$

the Yangian then reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} Y(I_-) &= \begin{pmatrix} I_- & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_- & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_- \end{pmatrix}; \quad Y(I_+) = \begin{pmatrix} I_+ & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_+ & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_+ \end{pmatrix}; \\ Y(I_8) &= \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} I_8 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_8 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_8 \end{pmatrix}; \quad Y(I_3) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} I_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_3 \end{pmatrix}; \\ Y(U_+) &= \begin{pmatrix} U_+ & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & U_+ & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & U_+ \end{pmatrix}; \quad Y(U_-) = \begin{pmatrix} U_- & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & U_- & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & U_- \end{pmatrix}; \\ Y(V_+) &= \begin{pmatrix} V_+ & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & V_+ & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & V_+ \end{pmatrix}; \quad Y(V_-) = \begin{pmatrix} V_- & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & V_- & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & V_- \end{pmatrix}; \end{aligned} \quad (3.1.13)$$

The usual decomposition through the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients for the representations of Lie algebra $SU(3)$ is $\underline{3} \otimes \underline{3} = \underline{6} \oplus \underline{\bar{3}}$. However, here we have

$$\underline{3} \otimes \underline{3} = \underline{3} \oplus \underline{\bar{3}} \oplus \underline{3}; \quad (3.1.14)$$

and

$$Y^2 = \frac{1}{u+v} J^2 = \frac{1}{3}; \quad (3.1.15)$$

It is easy to check that the rescaling factor does not change the commutation relations for $SU(3)$ formed by I_-, U_-, V_-, I_3 and I_8 . In general, we guess for the fundamental representation of $SU(n)$ we shall meet

$$\underline{n} \otimes \underline{n} = \underline{n} \oplus \underline{\bar{n}} \oplus \dots \oplus \underline{n} \quad (n \text{ times}); \quad (3.1.16)$$

Next we consider Yang-Mills gauge field for reduced $Y(SU(2))$. For a tensor wave function $(x = x_1, x_2, x_3, x_0)$,

$$(x) = k_{ij}(x)k_{ij}(x); \quad (i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4); \quad (3.1.17)$$

An isospin transformation yields

$$\psi^0(x) = U(x) \psi(x); U(x) = 1 - i \epsilon^a J_a; \quad (3.1.18)$$

where

$$J^a = u S_a + \frac{1}{2} (1 + v) S_a + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{abc} S^b S^c; \quad (3.1.19)$$

or

$$[J_a] = u (S^a) + \frac{1}{2} v (S^a) + i \epsilon_{abc} (S^b) (S^c) : \quad (3.1.20)$$

Define

$$D = \partial + g A; \quad (3.1.21)$$

i.e.,

$$[D] = \partial + g A^a [Y_a] \psi(x); A = A^a J_a; \quad (3.1.22)$$

The gauge-covariant derivative should preserve

$$(D) \psi = 0; \quad (3.1.23)$$

i.e.,

$$(-i \epsilon^a (x) + g A^a) [Y_a] \psi(x) - i g^a (x) A^b [J_b; J_a] \psi = 0; \quad (3.1.24)$$

When $uv = \frac{1}{2}$ and by rescaling

$$Y_a = (u + v) J_a; \quad (3.1.25)$$

we have

$$A^a = \epsilon_{abc} A^b (x) A^c (x) + \frac{i}{g} \partial^a \psi(x); \quad (3.1.26)$$

and

$$F = \frac{1}{g} [D, D] = F^a Y_a; \quad (3.1.27)$$

$$F^a = \partial A^a - \partial A^a + i g \epsilon_{abc} A^b A^c; \quad (3.1.28)$$

Here the tensor isospace has been separated to two irrelevant spaces, i.e., $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ where ψ_1 and ψ_2 are 2 × 2 wavefunction.

3.2 Illustrative examples: NMR of Breit-Rabi Hamiltonian and Yangian

The Breit-Rabi Hamiltonian is given by

$$H = K \cdot S + B \cdot S; \quad (3.2.1)$$

where $S = \frac{1}{2}$ and $B = B(t)$ is magnetic field.

The Hamiltonian can easily be diagonalized for any background angular momentum (or spin) K . The S stands for spin of electron and for simplicity $K = S_1$ ($S_1 = 1=2$) is an average background spin contributed by other source, say, control spin. Denoting by

$$H = H_0 + H_1(t); H_0 = S_1 \cdot S; H_1(t) = B(t) \cdot S; \quad (3.2.2)$$

Let us work in the interaction picture:

$$H_I = B(t) \cdot (e^{iS_1 \cdot S} S_2 e^{-iS_1 \cdot S}) = B(t) \cdot J; \quad (3.2.3)$$

$$J = \alpha_1 S_1 + \alpha_2 S_2 + 2 \cdot (S_1 \cdot S_2); \quad (3.2.4)$$

where $\alpha_1 = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \cos \theta)$, $\alpha_2 = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \cos \theta)$, $\theta = \frac{1}{2}\sin \theta$. Obviously, here we have $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 1$. It is not surprising that the $Y(SU(2))$ reduces to $SO(4)$ here because the transformation is fully Lie-algebraic operation. This is an exercise in quantum mechanics.

For generalization we regard α_1 and α_2 as independent parameters, i.e., drop the relation $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 1$. Looking at

$$J = \alpha_1 S_1 + \alpha_2 S_2 + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)(S_1 + S_2) + (S_1 + S_2) + 2 \cdot S_1 \cdot S_2; \quad (3.2.5)$$

When $\alpha_1 = \frac{1}{2}$, $\alpha_2 = 1 - \cos \theta$ and $\theta = \frac{1}{2}\sin \theta$, it reduces to the form in the interacting picture. Putting

$$S_1 + S_2 = S; 2 \cdot = \frac{h}{2} \text{ (h is not Planck constant)}; \quad (3.2.6)$$

In accordance with the convention we have

$$J = S + \sum_{i=1}^X i S_i + \frac{h}{2} S_1 \cdot S_2 + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)S = S + Y; \quad (3.2.7)$$

Since $J \neq S + J$ still satisfies Yangian relations, it is natural to appear the term S . The interacting Hamiltonian then reads

$$H_I(t) = B(t) \cdot S + B(t) \cdot Y; \quad (3.2.8)$$

When $\omega_1 = 0, h = 0$, it is the usual NMR for spin 1/2. To solve the equation, we use

$$i \frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} a(t) \\ b(t) \end{pmatrix} = H_I(t) \begin{pmatrix} a(t) \\ b(t) \end{pmatrix}; \quad j(t) = \begin{pmatrix} a(t) \\ b(t) \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.2.9)$$

where $f_{3/2}$ is the spin triplet and $f_{1/2}$ singlet. Setting

$$B_1(t) = B_1, \quad B_2(t) = B_1 e^{i\omega_0 t}; \quad \text{and } B_3 = \text{const.} \quad (3.2.10)$$

and rescaling by

$$a(t) = e^{i\omega_0 t} b(t); \quad (3.2.11)$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} i \frac{db(t)}{dt} &= \frac{1}{2} B_1 a_3(t) - \frac{1}{2} B_3 b(t) + \frac{1}{2} B_1 a_0(t); \\ i \frac{da_3(t)}{dt} &= \frac{B_1}{2} b(t) + b(t) + \frac{1}{2} B_3 a_0(t); \\ i \frac{da_0(t)}{dt} &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} B_1 b(t) - b(t) + B_3 a_3(t); \end{aligned} \quad (3.2.12)$$

where $\mathbf{H}_I = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & i\frac{h}{2} \end{pmatrix}$, i.e.,

$$j(t) = \begin{pmatrix} b_1(t) \\ a_3(t) \\ b(t) \\ a_0(t) \end{pmatrix}; \quad H_I = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \quad (3.2.13)$$

$$i \frac{dj(t)}{dt} = H_I j(t); \quad (3.2.14)$$

Noting that H_I is independent of time, we get

$$j(t) = e^{iE t} j(0); \quad (3.2.15)$$

Then

$$\det(H_I - E) = 0 \quad (3.2.16)$$

leads to

$$\begin{aligned} E^4 - [(1 - B_3)^2 + 2B_1^2 + \frac{1}{4} + (B_1^2 + B_3^2)]E^2 + \\ \frac{1}{4} + B_3^2(1 - B_3)^2 - 2B_3B_1^2(1 - B_3) + 2B_1^4 = 0; \end{aligned} \quad (3.2.17)$$

There is a transition between the spin singlet and triplet in the NMR process, i.e., the Yangian transfers the quantum information through the evolution. The simplest case is $B_1 = 0$, then the eigenvalues are

$$E = (B_0 - B_3); E = \frac{B_3}{2} (s - 1)^2 + \frac{\hbar^2}{4}; \quad (3.2.18)$$

It turns out that there is a vibration between $s = 0$ and $s = 1$.

$$\langle s^2 \rangle = 0 \text{ at } t = \frac{\pi}{2\omega} \text{ (total spin} = 0); \quad (3.2.19)$$

$$\langle s^2 \rangle = 2 \text{ at } t = \frac{\pi}{\omega} \text{ (total spin} = 1); \quad (3.2.20)$$

Under adiabatic approximation it can be proved that it appears Berry's phase. Obviously, only spin vector can make the stereo angle. The role of spin singlet here is a witness that shares energy of spin=1 state.

Actually, if

$$B(t) = B_0 \sin e^{i\omega t}; B_3 = B_0 \cos; \quad (3.2.21)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} j_{11}i &= j_{++}i; j_{1-1}i = j_{--}i; j_{10}i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(j_{+0}i + j_{-0}i); \\ j_{00}i &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(j_{+0}i - j_{-0}i); \end{aligned} \quad (3.2.22)$$

then let us consider the eigenvalues of

$$H = S_1 \otimes B_0 S_3 - g B_0 J_3; \quad (3.2.23)$$

under adiabatic approximation which are

$$E = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{B_0}{2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{B_0^2}{4} + g^2 B_0^2} \right); \quad (3.2.24)$$

and

$$f_1^{(+)} = \sqrt{2} \left(\frac{B_0}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{B_0^2}{4} + g^2 B_0^2} \right)^{1/2} \left[\left(\frac{B_0}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{B_0^2}{4} + g^2 B_0^2} \right)^{1/2} - 1 \right]^{1/2}; \quad (3.2.25)$$

$$f_2^{(+)} = \sqrt{2} \left(\frac{B_0}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{B_0^2}{4} + g^2 B_0^2} \right)^{1/2} \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{B_0}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{B_0^2}{4} + g^2 B_0^2} \right)^{1/2} - 1 \right]^{1/2}; \quad (3.2.26)$$

We obtain the eigenstates of H besides $j_{1i}i$ ($i = 1, 2$)

$$j_{-i} = f_1^{(+)} j_{10}i + f_2^{(+)} j_{00}i; \quad (3.2.27)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
j_{11}(t)i &= \cos^2 \frac{1}{2} j_{11}i + \frac{1}{2} \sin e^{i\omega_0 t} j_{10}i + \sin^2 \frac{1}{2} e^{2i\omega_0 t} j_{1-1}i; \\
j_{1-1}(t)i &= \sin^2 \frac{1}{2} e^{2i\omega_0 t} j_{11}i - \frac{1}{2} \sin e^{i\omega_0 t} j_{10}i + \cos^2 \frac{1}{2} j_{1-1}i; \\
j_0(t)i &= \frac{1}{2} f_1^{()} f \sin e^{i\omega_0 t} j_{11}i + \frac{1}{2} \cos j_{10}i + \sin e^{i\omega_0 t} j_{1-1}ig \\
&+ f_2^{()} j_{00}i:
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2.28}$$

We then obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
h_{11}(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} j_{11}(t)i &= i\omega_0 (1 - \cos); \\
h_{1-1}(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} j_{11}(t)i &= i\omega_0 (1 - \cos); \\
h_0(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} j_0(t)i &= 0:
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2.29}$$

The Berry's phase is then

$$\gamma_{1-1} = \gamma_{11} = 2(1 - \cos); \tag{3.2.30}$$

whereas $\gamma_{10} = \gamma_{00} = 0$. The Yangian changes the eigenstates of H , but preserves the Berry's phase.

3.3 Transition between S-wave and P-wave superconductivity

We set for a pair of electrons:

$$S : \text{ spin singlet; } L = 0; \tag{3.3.1}$$

$$P : \text{ spin triplet; } L = 1; \tag{3.3.2}$$

Due to Balian-Werthamer ([39]), we have

$$\Delta(k) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k^0} V(k; k^0) \frac{\Delta(k^0)}{E(k^0)} \tanh \frac{1}{2} E(k^0); \tag{3.3.3}$$

$$E(k) = (\epsilon^2(k) + \Delta(k)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}; \tag{3.3.4}$$

Therefore, still by Balian-Werthamer ([39]), we know

$$\Delta(k) = \Delta(k) \left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\sum_{Y_{1,0}} \bar{Y}_{1,1}(\hat{k})}{\sum_{Y_{1,0}} Y_{1,1}(\hat{k})} \frac{\sum_{Y_{1,0}} Y_{1,0}(\hat{k})}{\sum_{Y_{1,0}} \bar{Y}_{1,1}(\hat{k})} = \left(\frac{1}{6}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \Delta(k) \left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} Y_{0,0}(\hat{k}); \tag{3.3.5}$$

$${}_{0,0}(\hat{k}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} f Y_{1,-1}(\hat{k}) - Y_{1,0}(\hat{k}) + Y_{1,1}(\hat{k}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{k}_x \\ \hat{k}_y \\ \hat{k}_z \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}; \quad (3.3.6)$$

where 11 , 10 and $1-1$ stand for spin triplet:

$${}_{0,0} \quad J=0, m=0: \quad (3.3.7)$$

The wave function of SC is

$${}_{0,0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ Y_{0,0} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}: \quad (3.3.8)$$

Introducing

$$I = \sum_{i=1}^3 S_i^2; \quad (i=1,2,3); \quad (3.3.9)$$

$$J = \sum_{i=1}^3 S_i^2 - \frac{\hbar \nu}{4} (S_1 S_2 + S_2 S_1); \quad (3.3.10)$$

and noting that $J \rightarrow J + fI$ does not change the Yangian relations, we choose for simplicity

$f = \frac{1}{2} (S_1 + S_2)$. Then we obtain for $G = \hat{k} \cdot (J + fI)$

$$G_{0,0} = \hat{k} \cdot (J + fI)_{0,0} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} (S_2 - S_1 + \frac{\hbar \nu}{2})_{0,0}; \quad (3.3.11)$$

$$G_{0,0} = \hat{k} \cdot (J + fI)_{0,0} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} (S_2 - S_1 - \frac{\hbar \nu}{2})_{0,0}; \quad (3.3.12)$$

The transition directionally depends on the parameters in $Y(SU(2))$. For instance,

$$SC \rightarrow PC: G_{0,0} = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} (S_2 - S_1)_{0,0}; G_{0,0} = 0; \text{ if } S_1 - S_2 = \frac{\hbar \nu}{2}; \quad (3.3.13)$$

and

$$PC \rightarrow SC: G_{0,0} = 0; G_{0,0} = \frac{\hbar \nu}{2\sqrt{3}} (S_2 - S_1)_{0,0}; \text{ if } S_1 - S_2 = \frac{\hbar \nu}{2}; \quad (3.3.14)$$

We call the type of the transition "directional transition" ([40]). The controlled parameters are in the Yangian operation. They represent the interaction coming from other controlled spin.

We have got used to apply electromagnetic field A to make transitions between 1 and $1-1$ states. Now there is Yangian formed by two spins that plays the role changing angular momentum states.

3.4 Y (SU (3))-directional transitions

Setting

$$F = \frac{1}{2} ; [F_i, F_j] = if \quad F_i ; \quad (3.4.1)$$

$$I = \sum_i F_i ; \quad (3.4.2)$$

$$J = \sum_i F_i \quad \text{if} \quad \sum_{i \notin j} W_{ij} F_i F_j ; \quad (W_{ij} = W_{ji}) ; \quad (3.4.3)$$

$$[F_i, F_j] = if \quad F_i ; \quad (3.4.4)$$

where F_i is the fundamental representation of SU (3) and $(i, j, k = 1, 2, \dots, 8)$

$$4_{ijk} = W_{ij}W_{jk} + W_{jk}W_{ki} + W_{ki}W_{ij} = 1 ; \quad (3.4.5)$$

(Here, no summation over repeated indices, $i \neq j \neq k$). The reason that such a condition works only for 3-dimensional representation of SU (3) is similar to Haldane's (long-ranged) realization of Y (SU (2)) ([19]). In SU (2) long-ranged form, the property of Pauli matrices leads to $(\sigma_i)^2 = 0$. Instead, for SU (3) the conditions of J satisfying Y (SU (3)) read

$$\sum_{i \neq j} (1 - W_{ij}^2) (I_j^+ V_i^+ U_i^+ - U_i V_i I_j + I_i^+ V_j^+ U_i^+ - U_i V_j I_i + I_j^+ V_j^+ U_i^+ - U_i V_j I_j) = 0 ; \quad (3.4.6)$$

and

$$\sum_i (I_i^+ V_i^+ U_i^+ - U_i V_i I_i) = 0 ; \quad (3.4.7)$$

that are satisfied for Gell-Mann matrices.

The simplest realization of Y (SU (3)) is then

$$W_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & i > j \\ 0 & i = j \\ 1 & i < j \end{cases} \quad (W_{ij} = W_{ji}) ; \quad (3.4.8)$$

Recalling $(I_8 = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} Y)$

$$\begin{aligned} I^+ &= \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} ; U^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} ; V^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} ; \\ I^3 &= \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} ; Y = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 6 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} ; \end{aligned} \quad (3.4.9)$$

We find

$$\begin{aligned}
J &= fI_3; U; V; I_3; I_8 g; \\
I &= \sum_i I_i - 2h \sum_{i \neq j} W_{ij} (I_i^3 + \frac{1}{2} U_i V_j); \\
U &= \sum_i U_i - h \sum_{i \neq j} W_{ij} [U_i (I_j^3 - \frac{3}{2} Y_j) + I_i V_j]; \\
V &= \sum_i V_i - h \sum_{i \neq j} W_{ij} [V_i (I_j^3 + \frac{3}{2} Y_j) + U_i I_j]; \\
I_3 &= \sum_i I_i^3 + h \sum_{i \neq j} W_{ij} [I_i^+ I_j - \frac{1}{2} (U_i^+ U_j - V_i^+ V_j)]; \\
I_8 &= \sum_i Y_i + h \sum_{i \neq j} W_{ij} (U_i^+ U_j - V_j^+ V_j); \quad (3.4.10)
\end{aligned}$$

where α_i and h (not Planck constant) are arbitrary parameters. Notice again that the simplest choice of W_{ij} is given by equation (3.4.8).

When $i=1,2$, Y ($SU(2)$) makes transition between spin singlet and triplet. Now Y ($SU(3)$) transits $SU(3)$ singlet and Octet. For instance, setting

$$\begin{aligned}
j_{-i} &= j_{ui}; \quad j_{-i}^0 = \frac{1}{2} (j_{ui} - j_{di}); \quad j_{+i} = j_{ui}; \quad j_{+i}^0 = j_{si}; \\
j_{-i}^0 &= \frac{1}{6} (j_{ui} - j_{di} + 2j_{si}); \quad j_{-i}^{00} = \frac{1}{3} (j_{ui} + j_{di} + j_{si}); \quad (3.4.11)
\end{aligned}$$

Special interest is the following. When

$$\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 3h; \quad f = \frac{1}{2} (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2); \quad (3.4.12)$$

by acting the Yangian operators on the Octet of $SU(3)$, we obtain (see Figure 1)

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{I} j^+ &= \frac{1}{6} (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) j^0 > + \frac{1}{2} (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) j^0 > - \frac{1}{3} (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 + 3h) j^{00} >; \\
\bar{U}_+ j^0 &= \frac{1}{6} (\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2) j^0 > + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 j^0 > - \frac{1}{3} (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 + 3h) j^{00} >; \\
\bar{U} j^0 &= \frac{1}{6} (2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) j^0 > + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_2 j^0 > + \frac{1}{3} (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 + 3h) j^{00} >; \\
\bar{V}_+ j^+ &= \frac{1}{6} (2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) j^0 > - \frac{1}{2} \alpha_2 j^0 > + \frac{1}{3} (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 + 3h) j^{00} >; \\
\bar{V} j^+ &= \frac{1}{6} (\alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2) j^0 > + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_1 j^0 > + \frac{1}{3} (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 + 3h) j^{00} >; \\
\bar{I}_3 j^0 &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{3} (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) j^0 > + \frac{1}{6} (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 + 3h) j^{00} >; \\
\bar{I}_8 j^0 &= \frac{1}{3} (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) j^0 > - \frac{1}{3} (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 + 3h) j^{00} >; \quad (3.4.13)
\end{aligned}$$




Figure 1: Representation of SU (3)

ie.,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\bar{I} + fI)j^{00} &= \frac{1}{2} \bar{3}h j^0 >; (\bar{U}_+ + fU_+)j^{00} = \frac{1}{2} \bar{3}h K^0 >; \\
 (\bar{U} + fU)j^{00} &= \frac{1}{2} \bar{3}h \bar{K}^0 >; (\bar{V} + fV)j^{00} = \frac{1}{2} \bar{3}h K >; \\
 (\bar{I}_3 + fI_3)j^{00} &= \frac{1}{6} h j^0 >; (\bar{I}_8 + fI_8)j^{00} = \frac{1}{2} \bar{2}h j^0 >; \quad (3.4.14)
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\bar{I} + fI)j^0 &= \frac{1}{2} \bar{3}h j^0 >; \\
 (\bar{U}_+ + fU_+)K^0 &= \frac{1}{2} \bar{3}h (\bar{3}j^0 > + j^0 >); \\
 (\bar{U} + fU)K^0 &= \frac{1}{2} \bar{3}h (\bar{3}j^0 > + j^0 >); \\
 (\bar{V} + fV)K &= \frac{1}{2} \bar{3}h (\bar{3}j^0 > + j^0 >); \\
 (\bar{I}_3 + fI_3)j^0 &= \frac{1}{2} \bar{3}h j^0 >; (\bar{I}_8 + fI_8)j^0 = \frac{1}{2} \bar{3}h j^0 >; \quad (3.4.15)
 \end{aligned}$$

The Yangian operators play the role to transit the Octet states to the singlet state of SU (3).

Whereas, if

$$\frac{1}{2} \bar{3}h j^0 = \frac{1}{2} (\bar{3}h j^0 + \bar{3}h j^0); \quad (3.4.16)$$

with the notations

$$(\bar{A}^{(2)} + fA^{(1)})j^{00} = 0; A = I; (\bar{K} = \bar{K}^0; 3; 8); U; V; \quad (3.4.17)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\bar{I} + fI)j^0 &= \frac{r}{2} \bar{3}hj^0 > \frac{p}{2} \bar{3}hj^{00} >; \\
(\bar{U} + fU)K^0 &= \frac{p}{2} \bar{3}h(\bar{3}j^0 > j^0 >) \frac{p}{2} \bar{3}hj^{00} >; \\
(\bar{U} + fU)K^0 &= \frac{p}{2} \bar{3}h(\bar{3}j^0 > j^0 >) + 2 \frac{p}{2} \bar{3}hj^{00} >; \\
(\bar{V} + fV)K^0 &= \frac{p}{2} \bar{3}h(\bar{3}j^0 > + j^0 >) + 2 \frac{p}{2} \bar{3}hj^{00} >; \\
(\bar{I}_3 + fI_3)j^0 &= \frac{p}{2} \bar{3}hj^0 > + \frac{p}{6} \bar{6}hj^{00} >; \\
(\bar{I}_8 + fI_8)j^0 &= hj^0 > \frac{p}{2} \bar{2}hj^{00} > : \quad (3.4.18)
\end{aligned}$$

Obviously, in this case the Yangian operators make the transition from the Octet to a "combined" singlet state of SU (3).

3.5 J^2 as a new quantum number

Because $[I^2; J^2] = 0$; $[I^2; I_z] = 0$; $[J^2; I_z] = 0$, but $[J^2; J_z] \neq 0$, we can take $fI^2; I_z; J^2$ as a conserved set.

First we consider the case $S_1^N S_2^N S_3$, where $S_1 = S_2 = S_3 = \frac{1}{2}$. We shall show that instead of 6-j coefficients and Young diagrams, J^2 can be viewed as a "collective" quantum number that describes the "history" besides S^2 ($S = S_1 + S_2 + S_3$) and S_z .

As representations of Lie algebra SU (2), we have

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}^0 \quad \frac{1}{2}^0\right) \frac{1}{2} = \left(1^M \quad 0\right)^0 \frac{1}{2} = \frac{3}{2}^M \quad \frac{1}{2}^M \quad \frac{1}{2}^0 : \quad (3.5.1)$$

Noting that $\frac{1}{2}^1 i$ and $\frac{1}{2}^0 i$ are degenerate regarding the total spin $\frac{1}{2}$. The usual Lie algebraic base can be easily written as

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2} &= j^{"""}i; \\
\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(j^{""}\#i + j^{""}\#i + j^{""}\#i); \\
\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(j^{""}\#\#i + j^{""}\#\#i + j^{""}\#\#i); \\
\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2} &= j^{###}i; \quad (3.5.2)
\end{aligned}$$

and the two degeneracy states with respect to S^2 and S_z are given by:

$$\frac{0}{2}, \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}(j^{""}\#i + j^{""}\#i - 2j^{""}\#i);$$

$$\begin{aligned}
J^2_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}} &= \frac{1}{6} (j_{11}^2 + j_{21}^2 - 2j_{10}j_{20}); \\
J^2_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}} &= \frac{1}{2} (j_{10}^2 - j_{20}^2); \\
J^2_{\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}} &= \frac{1}{2} (j_{11}^2 - j_{21}^2);
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5.3}$$

To distinguish J^2 from J we introduce J :

$$J = \sum_{i=1}^3 u_i S_i + i\hbar \sum_{i < j} (S_i - S_j); \tag{3.5.4}$$

and calculate J^2 . It turns out that

$$\begin{aligned}
J^2_{\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}} &= \left[\frac{3}{4} (u_1^2 + u_2^2 + u_3^2) + \frac{1}{2} (u_1 u_2 + u_2 u_3 + u_1 u_3) - \hbar^2 \right]_{\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}}; \\
J^2_{\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}} &= \left[\frac{3}{4} (u_1^2 + u_2^2 + u_3^2) + \frac{1}{2} u_1 u_2 - u_2 u_3 - u_1 u_3 - \frac{7}{4} \hbar^2 \right]_{\frac{3}{2}, \frac{1}{2}} \\
&\quad - \frac{3}{2} (u_1 - u_2 + \hbar) (u_3 + \hbar)_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}; \\
J^2_{\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}} &= \frac{3}{2} (u_1 - u_2 - \hbar) (u_3 - \hbar)_{\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}} + \left[\frac{3}{4} (u_1 - u_2)^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{3}{4} u_3^2 - \frac{3}{4} \hbar^2 \right]_{\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}};
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5.5}$$

In order to make the matrix of J^2 be symmetric (then it surely can be diagonalized), one should put

$$u_2 = u_1 + u_3; \tag{3.5.6}$$

The eigenvalues of J^2 are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{3}{2} &= 2u_1^2 + 2u_3^2 + 3u_1 u_3 - \hbar^2; \\
\frac{1}{2} &= u_1^2 + u_3^2 - \frac{5}{4} \hbar^2 - \frac{1}{2} [(2u_1^2 - u_3^2 - \hbar^2)^2 + 3(u_3^2 - \hbar^2)^2]^{\frac{1}{2}};
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5.7}$$

The eigenstates of J^2 are the rotation of $J^2_{\frac{0}{2}, \frac{0}{2}}$ and $J^2_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}_{\frac{3}{2}} A &= \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} & \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \\ -\sin \frac{\theta}{2} & \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}_{\frac{1}{2}} A; \quad J^2_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} J^2_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}};
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5.8}$$

where

$$\sin \frac{\theta}{2} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{4} (u_3^2 - \hbar^2)}; \quad \cos \frac{\theta}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(2u_1^2 - u_3^2 - \hbar^2)^2 + 3(u_3^2 - \hbar^2)^2}; \tag{3.5.9}$$

It is worth noting that the conclusion is independent of the order, say, $(\frac{1}{2}^N \frac{1}{2})^N \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}^N (\frac{1}{2}^N \frac{1}{2})$ and the other way. The difference is only in the value of θ .

The above example can be generalized to $S_1^N S_2^N L$ where $S_1 = S_2 = \frac{1}{2}$ and $L^2 = l(l+1)$. As representations of Lie algebra $SU(2)$, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.5.10)$$

There are no degeneracy for $l=1$, but two l states can be distinguished in terms of J^2

$$\begin{aligned} J^2_{l+1, m} &= \frac{3}{4}(u_1^2 + u_2^2) + l(l+1)u_3^2 + \frac{1}{2}u_1u_2 + l(u_2u_3 + u_1u_3) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4}h^2[l(l+1) + \frac{1}{4}]g_{l+1, m}; \\ J^2_{l-1, m} &= \frac{3}{4}(u_1^2 + u_2^2) + l(l+1)u_3^2 + \frac{1}{2}u_1u_2 - (l+1)u_1u_3 - (l+1)u_2u_3 \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4}h^2[l(l+1) + \frac{1}{4}]g_{l-1, m}; \\ J^2_{l, m} &= \frac{3}{4}(u_1^2 + u_2^2) + l(l+1)u_3^2 + \frac{1}{2}u_1u_2 - u_2u_3 - u_1u_3 \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{8}h^2[l(l+1) + \frac{1}{4}]g_{l, m} - \frac{1}{4}h^2[l(l+1)(u_1 - u_2 + h)(u_3 + h) + \frac{1}{4}]; \\ J^2_{l, m} &= \frac{1}{4}h^2[l(l+1)(u_1 - u_2 - h)(u_3 - h) + \frac{1}{4}] \\ &\quad + [\frac{3}{4}(u_1 - u_2)^2 + l(l+1)u_3^2 - \frac{3}{4}]g_{l, m}; \end{aligned} \quad (3.5.11)$$

Again in order to guarantee the symmetric form of the matrix we put

$$u_2 = u_1 + u_3; \quad (3.5.12)$$

then the eigenvalues and eigenstates of J^2 are given by

$$J^2_{l, m} = \frac{3}{4}(u_1^2 + u_3^2) + l(l+1)u_3^2 + \frac{1}{2}h^2[l(l+1) + \frac{1}{4}]g_{l, m} - \frac{1}{4}h^2; \quad (3.5.13)$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} + \\ - \end{pmatrix}_{l, m} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} & \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \\ \sin \frac{\theta}{2} & \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}_{l, m}; \quad (3.5.14)$$

where

$$J^2 = P = [2u_1^2 - u_3^2 - h^2(2l(l+1) - \frac{1}{2})]^2 + 4l(l+1)(u_3^2 - h^2)^2; \quad (3.5.15)$$

$$\sin \theta = \frac{2\sqrt{l(l+1)}}{h}(u_3^2 - h^2); \quad (3.5.16)$$

As a simple example, we consider the spin structure of rare gas

$$H = aL^2 + bS_1^2; \quad (\frac{b}{a} = \frac{b}{a}); \quad (3.5.17)$$

It describes the interaction of spin S_1 of an electron excited from l -shell and the left hole S_2 .

$$\begin{aligned} H_{l+1, l, m} &= \frac{1}{2} (a + \frac{1}{2}b) \chi_{l+1, l, m} ; \\ H_{l-1, l, m} &= \frac{1}{2} [(l+1)a - \frac{1}{2}b] \chi_{l-1, l, m} ; \\ H_{l, l, m} &= \frac{1}{2} [a^2 - \frac{1}{4}b^2] \chi_{l, l, m} : \end{aligned} \quad (3.5.18)$$

The eigenstates of H associated to l, m are

$$\begin{pmatrix} \chi_{l, l, m}^+ \\ \chi_{l, l, m} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} & \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \\ -\sin \frac{\theta}{2} & \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \chi_{l, l, m}^+ \\ \chi_{l, l, m} \end{pmatrix} : \quad (3.5.19)$$

where

$$\sin \frac{\theta}{2} = \frac{b}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}}; \quad \cos \frac{\theta}{2} = \frac{a}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}}; \quad \frac{b}{a} = \tan \frac{\theta}{2} : \quad (3.5.20)$$

The eigenvalues are

$$\begin{aligned} E_{l+1} &= \frac{1}{2} (a + \frac{1}{2}b); \quad E_{l-1} = \frac{1}{2} [(l+1)a - \frac{1}{2}b]; \\ E_l &= \frac{1}{4} (a + b)^2 - \frac{1}{4} [l(l+1)a^2 + (\frac{1}{2}b)^2] : \end{aligned} \quad (3.5.21)$$

The rotation should be made in such a way that

$$[H, J^2] = 0 \quad (3.5.22)$$

which is satisfied if the matrix J^2 is symmetric, i.e.,

$$J^2 = \frac{f_2 u_1^2 - 2h^2 [l(l+1) + \frac{1}{4}b]}{(u_3^2 - h^2)} = 2(l+1) : \quad (3.5.23)$$

Therefore, the parameter θ in $Y(SU(2))$ determines the rotation angle θ . It is reasonable to think that the appearance of "rotation" of degenerate states is closely related to the "quantum number" of J^2 . Transition between $\chi_{l, l, m}^+$ and $\chi_{l, l, m}$ ($l=1$) can be made by J_3 . Because there are two independent parameters u_1 and u_3 in J , one can choose a suitable relation between u_3 and $\theta = \frac{b}{a}$ such that

$$J_3 \chi_{l, l, m}^+ = \chi_{l, l, m}^+ ; \quad (3.5.24)$$

i.e., the transition between two degenerate states in Lie-algebra is made through J_3 operator, because of

$$[J^2, J_3] = 0 : \quad (3.5.25)$$

3.6 Higher degeneracy

In the experiment for ^{87}Rb molecular there appears new degeneracy ([41]) at the special B_0 (magnetic field), i.e., the Zeeman effect disappears at B_0 . The model Hamiltonian reads ([42]) (x is scaled magnetic field)

$$H = K^2 S + x(k + \frac{1}{2})S_z; \quad (3.6.1)$$

where K is angular momentum and $K^2 = K(K+1)$. It only occurs for spin $S = 1$. It turns out that when $x = 1$ there appears the curious degeneracy, that is, there is a set of eigenstates corresponding to

$$E = -\frac{1}{2}; \quad (3.6.2)$$

The conserved set is $fK^2; G_z = K_z + S_z g$. For $G = K + S$ we have $G = k-1; k$. The eigenstates are specified in terms of three families: T; B and D. Only D-set possesses the degeneracy.

Here gives, for example, the eigenstates for $x = 1$ ([42]):

$$\begin{aligned} x = +1 & \quad H_{Dm} = \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)_{Dm}; \\ x = -1 & \quad H_{Dm} = \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)_{Dm}; \end{aligned} \quad (3.6.3)$$

and shows that

$$\begin{aligned} D_m = & \left[2(k + \frac{1}{2})(k + m + \frac{1}{2}) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} f \left[\frac{(k-m+1)(k+m+1)}{2} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} e_{-1} \\ & + [(k+m)(k+m+1)]^{\frac{1}{2}} e_0 + \left[\frac{(k-m)(k+m)}{2} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} e_{+1} g; \end{aligned} \quad (3.6.4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} D_m = & \left[2(k + \frac{1}{2})(k - m + \frac{1}{2}) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} f \left[\frac{(k-m)(k+m)}{2} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} e_{-1} \\ & + [(k-m)(k-m+1)]^{\frac{1}{2}} e_0 + \left[\frac{(k-m+1)(k+m+1)}{2} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} e_{+1} g; \end{aligned} \quad (3.6.5)$$

where $e_1 = e_1 - e_{m-1}$, $e_0 = e_0 - e_m$ and $e_{-1} = e_{-1} - e_{m+1}$.

It is natural to ask what is the transition operator between D_m and D_m ? The answer is Yangian operator. In fact, introducing

$$J = aS_+ + bK \quad (S = K_z - S_z K); \quad (3.6.6)$$

we find that by choosing $a = \frac{k+1}{2}; b = 0$, we have

$$J_+^{\dagger} e_{-1}(m)_{Dm+1} \text{ and } J_-^{\dagger} e_{-2}(m)_{Dm-1}; \quad (3.6.7)$$

and by choosing $a = \frac{k}{2}; b = 0$, we have

$$D_m \begin{pmatrix} J \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} (m) = D_{m-1} \quad \text{and} \quad D_m \begin{pmatrix} J \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} (m) = D_{m+1} : \quad (3:6:8)$$

The Yangian makes the transition between the states with B and B , which here is only for $S = 1$. The reason is that for $S = 1$ there are two independent coefficients in the combination of $\psi_1; \psi_2$ and ψ_3 and there are two free parameters in J . Hence the number of equations are equal to those of free parameters (a and b), so we can find a solution. The numerical computation shows that only $S = 1$ gives rise to the new degeneracy ([42]) that prefers the Yangian operation ([43]).

3.7 New degeneracy of extended Breit-Rabi Hamiltonian

As was shown in the Happer's model ($H = K S + x(k + \frac{1}{2})S_3$) there appeared new degeneracy for $S = 1$. It has been pointed out that the above degeneracy with respect to Zeeman effect cannot appear for $\text{spin} = \frac{1}{2}$. Actually, in this case it yields for $S = \frac{1}{2}$ ([42]),

$$E = \frac{1}{4} \epsilon_m S_3; \quad (3:7:1)$$

where

$$\epsilon_m^2 = [(1 + x^2)(k + \frac{1}{2}) + 2xm](k + \frac{1}{2}); \quad (3:7:2)$$

Therefore if the Happer's type of degeneracy can occur, there should be $\epsilon_m = 0$ that means

$$x_0 = \frac{m}{k} \pm 1 \pm \frac{m^2}{k^2} (k = K + \frac{1}{2}); \quad (3:7:3)$$

i.e., the magnetic field should be complex.

However, the situation will be completely different, if a third spin is involved. For simplicity we assume $S_1 = S_2 = S_3 = \frac{1}{2}$ in the Hamiltonian:

$$H = (aS_2 + bS_3) S_1 + x \frac{P}{ab} S_1^2; \quad a=b; \quad (3:7:4)$$

then besides two non-degenerate states, there appears the degenerate family:

$$H_{D; \frac{1}{2}} = \left(\frac{a+b}{4}\right)_{D; \frac{1}{2}}; \quad \text{for } x = 1; \quad (3:7:5)$$

where

$$D_{\pm \frac{1}{2}} = \frac{P}{2} |j''' \# \rangle - \frac{P}{2} |j'' \# \rangle + (1 - \frac{P}{2}) |j \# \rangle; \quad (3:7:6)$$

$$D; \frac{1}{2} = \frac{P_-}{2} j_{\text{##}}^+ > \frac{P_-}{2} j_{\text{##}}^+ + (1 - \frac{P_-}{2}) j_{\text{##}}^+ : \quad (3.7.7)$$

The expecting value of S_1^z are

$$< D; \frac{1}{2} | \mathcal{P}_1^z j_{\text{##}}^+ | D; \frac{1}{2} > \frac{P_-}{2} (x = 1); \quad (3.7.8)$$

$$< D; \frac{1}{2} | \mathcal{P}_1^z j_{\text{##}}^+ | D; \frac{1}{2} > \frac{P_-}{2} (x = -1); \quad (3.7.9)$$

namely, at the special magnetic field ($x = -1$) the observed $< S_1^z >$ still opposite to each other for $x = -1$, but without the usual Zeeman split.

The reason of the appearance of the new degeneracy is obvious. The two spins S_2 and S_3 here play the role of $S = 1$ in comparison with Happer model.

3.8 Super Yang-Mills ($N = 4$)-Lipatov model and $Y(SO(6))$

Beisert et al([44-45]), Dolan-Nappi-Witten (DNW, [34]) and other authors ([46-47]) proposed to take the quantum correction of the dilatation operator D ($D \in SO(4,2)$) is a subalgebra of $PSU(2,2|4)$ as Hamiltonian for super Yang-Mills ($N = 4$):

$$H = \sum_j H_{+1}^j; \quad (3.8.1)$$

$$H_{+1}^j = 2 \sum_j h(j) P_{+1}^j; \quad h(j) = \sum_{k=1}^{X^j} \frac{1}{k}; h(0) = 1; \quad (3.8.2)$$

where P^j is projector for the weight j of $SU(2)$ and $\sum$ stands for "lattice" index. DNW showed that ([34])

$$[H; Y(SO(6))] = 0; \quad (3.8.3)$$

It turns out that the Hamiltonian H is nothing but Lipatov model ([48]) which was related to the Yang-Baxter form by Lipatov ([49]), Faddeev and Korchemsky ([50]).

Based on Tarasov, Takhtajan and Faddeev ([51]) the R-matrix associated with any spin S reads

$$R(u) = \frac{(u-s)(u+2s+1)}{(u-\hat{J})(u+\hat{J}+1)}; \quad (3.8.4)$$

where u is spectrum parameter and s the spin (arbitrary). The trigonometric Yang-Baxterization ([52]) gives

$$R(u) = \sum_{j=0}^X j(x) P_j(q) \quad (x = e^{iu}); \quad (3.8.5)$$

where $P_j(q)$ is the q -deformed product with weight j . Taking the rational limit ([9],[36]) we have

$$j) = \frac{(u)(u+1)}{(u-j)(u+j+1)}; \quad P_j(q) = P_j: \quad (3.8.6)$$

The Hamiltonian for the lattices and $+1$

$$H_{+1} = I_1 - I_2 \quad \text{with} \quad \frac{d}{du} R(u) \Big|_{u=0} [R(0)]^{-1} = I_{+2} \quad (3.8.7)$$

is then

$$H = \sum_j H_{+1} \quad (3.8.8)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} H_{+1} &= f(\hat{J}_{+1}) - (\hat{J}_{+1} + 1) + (1+2s) + (1-2s) \frac{1}{2s} g_{j=0}^j \\ &= \sum_j f(j) - (j+1) + 2(1) \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{x} g_{+1}^j: \end{aligned} \quad (3.8.9)$$

It describes the QCD correction to the parton model shown by Lipatov ([48-49]). The diagonalization of Lipatov model has probably been achieved by de Vega and Lipatov ([53-54]). Noting that the j indicates the block in the reducible block-diagonal form.

Using

$$\begin{aligned} (x+1) &= (x) + \frac{1}{x}; \\ (x+n) &= (x) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{x+k}; \\ (1) &= c; \end{aligned} \quad (3.8.10)$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} (j+1) &= (1) + \sum_{k=1}^{x^j} \frac{1}{k} = (1) + h(j) \\ (j) &= (1) + h(j) \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{x}: \end{aligned} \quad (3.8.11)$$

We obtain

$$H_{+1} = (-2) \sum_j h(j) P_{+1}^j: \quad (3.8.12)$$

Separating the finite part from the infinity the H is nothing but the D derived in super Yang-Mills ($N=4$) with the approximation. Of course, the derivation of D based on super Yang-Mills ($N=4$) explores much larger symmetry than Lipatov model. Therefore, D'N'W's result shows that the Lipatov's model possesses $Y(SO(6))$ symmetry.

To obtain $Y(SO(6))$ in terms of RTT relation we start from the rational solution of R-matrix whose general form for $O(N)$ was firstly by Zamolodchikov and Zamolodchikov ([35]) and extended through rational limit of trigonometric Yang-Baxterization ([36]):

$$R = u \left[u \frac{1}{2} (N-2) A P + u A_N + \left[u + \frac{1}{2} (N-2) \right] I \right]; \quad (3.8.13)$$

where u is spectrum parameter and a a free parameter allowed by YBE. Here we adopt the convention of Jimbo:

$$P_{cd}^{ab} = \begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ d & c \end{smallmatrix}; \quad (A_N)_{cd}^{ab} = \begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}; \quad (3.8.14)$$

where

$$a; b; c; d = \left[\left(\frac{N-1}{2} \right); \left(\frac{N-1}{2} \right) + 1; \frac{N}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \right] \quad (3.8.15)$$

and $N = 2n + 1$ for B_n and $N = 2n$ for C_n, D_n .

The R-matrix is given by

$$R = R P = u(u-2)I + u(2u-1)P + 2u A_N; \quad (3.8.16)$$

that coincides with Zamolodchikov's S-matrix (up to an overall factor considering the CDD poles) with $\eta = 1$ and $u = \frac{1}{i}$. Actually, Zamolodchikov's S-matrix is universal, i.e., model independent.

$$\begin{aligned} S(\cdot) = R(u) &= Q(u)u(u-2) \left[I + \frac{3}{2}P + \frac{1}{2}A_N \right] \\ &= Q(u)u(u-2) \left[I + \frac{1}{u}P + \frac{2}{u-2}A_N \right]; \\ Q(u) &= \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{i}{2} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{i}{2} \right)}{\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{i}{2} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{i}{2} \right)} \end{aligned} \quad (3.8.17)$$

where $\eta = \frac{2}{N-2}$, $\eta = i u$. The spectrum parameter u is one-dimensional, but u can be taken to be the cut-off in 4-dimensional quantum field theory, for example

$$u = \ln^2; \quad (3.8.18)$$

where $\ln^2$ is Lorentz invariant, i.e., scalar. This is the reason why asymptotic behavior of quantum field theory model may be related to Yang-Baxter system. The Bethe Ansatz for $S(\cdot)$ with $SO(6)$ was discussed by Minahan and Zarembo ([46]).

For given $R(u)$ one can easily obtain Hamiltonian by

$$H = \left[\frac{\partial R(u)}{\partial u} R(u) \right]_{i=0}; \quad (3.8.19)$$

for $O(N)$.

However, the essential connection between Lipatov model and $SO(6)$ -RTT formulation is still missing.

4 Remarks

Although there has been certain progress of Yangian's application in physics, there are still open questions:

- (1) How can the Yangian representations help to solve physical models, in particular, in strong correlation models?
- (2) Direct evidences of Yangian in the real physics.
- (3) What is the geometric meaning of Yangian?

Acknowledgement

We thank Professors F. Dyson and W. Happer for encouragement and enlighten discussions. This work is in part supported by NSF of China.

References

1. V. D. Drinfeld, Sov. Math. Dokl. 32 (1985) 32.
2. V. D. Drinfeld, Quantum group (PICM, Berkeley, 1986) 269.
3. V. D. Drinfeld, Sov. Math. Dokl. 36 (1985) 212.
4. L. D. Faddeev, Sov. Sci. Rev. C 1 (1980) 107.
5. L. D. Faddeev, Les Houches, Session 39, 1982.
6. L. D. Faddeev, Proc. of Les Houches Summer School, Session LXIV (1998) 149.
7. C. N. Yang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 19 (1967) 1312.
8. R. Baxter, Exactly Solved Methods in Statistical Mechanics, Academic, London, 1982.
9. M. Jimbo (ed), Yang-Baxter Equations in Integrable Systems, World Scientific, Singapore, 1990.
10. P. P. Kulish, I. K. Sklyanin, Lecture Notes in Physics, 151 (1982) 1.

11. V.E. Korepin, N.M. Bogoliubov, A.G. Izergin, Quantum inverse scattering method and correlation function, (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1993).
12. E.K. Sklyanin, Quantum Inverse Scattering Methods, Selected Topics, in M.L. Ge (ed.) Quantum Groups and Quantum Integrable Systems, World Scientific, Singapore, 63-88 (1991).
13. M.L. Ge, K.Xue and Y.M. Cho, Phys. Lett. A 260 (1999) 484.
14. M.L. Ge, K.Xue and Y.M. Cho, Phys. Lett. A 249 (1998) 358.
15. H.B. Zhang, M.L. Ge, K.Xue, J. Phys. A. 33 (2000) L345.
16. H.B. Zhang, M.L. Ge, K.Xue, J. Phys. A. 34 (2001) 919.
17. H.B. Zhang, M.L. Ge, K.Xue, J. Phys. A. 35 (2001) L7.
18. L.D. Faddeev, N.Yu. Reshetikhin, L.A. Takhtajan, Algebraic Analysis, 1 (1988) 178 (in Russian).
19. F.D.M. Haldane, Phys. Rev. Lett. 60 (1988) 635.
20. S. Shastry, Phys. Rev. Lett. 60 (1988) 639.
21. F.D.M. Haldane, Physics of the ideal semion gas: spinions and quantum symmetries of the integrable Haldane-Shastry spin-chain, Proc. 16th Taniguchi Symp. on Condensed Matter (Springer, Berlin, 1994).
22. V. Chari, A. Pressley, L'Enseignement mathématique 36 (1990) 267.
23. V. Chari, A. Pressley, J. Reine Angew. Math. 417 (1991) 87.
24. V. Chari, A. Pressley A guide to quantum groups (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1994).
25. E. Ragoucy, P. Sorba, Comm. Math. Phys. 203 (1999) 551.
26. M. Mintchev, E. Ragoucy, P. Sorba, Ph. Zaugg, J. Phys. A. 32 (1999) 5885.
27. S. Murakami, M. Wadati, J. Phys. Soc. Japan 62 (1993) 4203.
28. D.B. Uglov, V.E. Korepin, Phys. Lett. A 190 (1994) 238.
29. F. Essler, V.E. Korepin, K. Schoutens, Nucl. Phys. B 372 (1992) 559.
30. F. Essler, V.E. Korepin, K. Schoutens, Nucl. Phys. B 384 (1992) 431.
31. F. Essler, V.E. Korepin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72 (1994) 908.
32. Z.F. Wang, M.L. Ge, K. Xue, J. Phys. A. 30 (1997) 5023.

33. C M . Bai, M L . Ge and K . Xue, J. Stat. Phys. 102 (2001) 545.
34. L . Dolan, C R . Nappi, E . Witten, arX iv: hep-th/0401243 (2004).
35. A B . Zamolodchikov, A L B . Zamolodchikov, Ann. Phys. 120 (1979) 253.
36. Y . Cheng, M L . Ge, K . Xue, Comm . Math. Phys. 136 (1991) 195.
37. M L . Ge, K . Xue, Phys. Lett. A . 120 (1991) 266.
38. A . Belavin, Phys. Lett. B 283 (1992) 67.
39. R . Balian, N R . Werthamer, Phys. Rev. 131 (1963) 1553.
40. C M . Bai, M L . Ge and K . Xue, Directional Transitions in spin systems and representations of $Y(sl(2))$, Nankai preprint, APCTP-98-026.
41. C J . Erickson, D . Levron, W . Happer, S . Kadlecok, B . Chann, L W . Anderson, T G . Walker, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85 (2000) 4237.
42. W . Happer, Degeneracies of the Hamiltonian $x(K + 1/2)S_z + K S_x$, preprint, Princeton University, November, 2000.
43. C M . Bai, M L . Ge, K . Xue, Inter. J. Mod. Phys. B 16 (2002) 1876.
44. N . Beisert, arX iv: hep-th/0307015 (2003).
45. N . Beisert, M . Staudacher, arX iv: hep-th/0307042 (2003).
46. J A . Minahan, K . Zarembo, JHEP 0303 (2003) 013.
47. V A . Kazakov, A . Marshakov, J A . Minahan, K . Zarembo, arX iv: hep-th/0402207 (2004).
48. L N . Lipatov, Phys. Lett. B 309 (1993) 394.
49. L N . Lipatov, JETP Lett. 59 (1994) 596.
50. L D . Faddeev, G P . Korchemsky, Phys. Lett. B 342 (1995) 311.
51. V O . Tarasov, L A . Takhtajan, L D . Faddeev, Theor. Math. Phys. 57 (1983) 163.
52. M . Jimbo, Lett. Math. Phys. 10 (1985) 63.
53. H J . de Vega, L N . Lipatov, Phys. Rev. D 66 (2002) 074013.
54. H J . de Vega, L N . Lipatov, Phys. Lett. B 578 (2004) 335.