

A Scheme of Cartan Decomposition for $su(N)$

Zheng-Yao Su^{yz}

Abstract

A scheme to perform the Cartan decomposition for the Lie algebra $su(N)$ of arbitrary finite dimensions is introduced. The scheme is based on two algebraic structures, the conjugate partition and the quotient algebra, that are easily generated by a Cartan subalgebra and generally exist in $su(N)$. In particular, the Lie algebras $su(2^p)$ and every $su(2^{p-1} < N < 2^p)$ share the isomorphic structure of the quotient algebra. This structure enables an efficient algorithm for the recursive and exhaustive construction of Cartan decompositions. Further with the scheme, a unitary transformation in $SU(N)$ can be recursively decomposed into a product of certain designated operators, e.g., local and nonlocal gates. Such a recursive decomposition of a transformation implies an evolution path on the manifold of the group.

1 Introduction

The evolution of a closed quantum system of finite dimensions is governed by a unitary transformation in the Lie group $SU(N)$. Portraying the evolution path on the manifold of the group, normally with very high dimensions, will be of great help to the investigation of the system. Geometrically, the set of generators for the Lie algebra $su(N)$ serves as an intrinsic moving frame on the manifold of the group $SU(N)$ [1]. Besides offering a geometric basis, algebraically $su(N)$ admits the Cartan decomposition that has fundamental importance in the treatment of Lie algebras and Lie groups [2, 3]. The geometric concepts and algebraic skills of the Cartan decomposition have recently been brought into the areas of quantum control and quantum information [5]. Much attention and effort are thus dedicated to a wider scope of applications [4, 6, 7]. Furthermore, a large programme for quantum computation has very recently been proposed that is mainly depicted in terms of the geometric language [8, 9]. A ne effective device, in a geometric or an algebraic framework, for the exploration on the immense manifold of unitary transformations has become desirable.

^National Center for High-Performance Computing, Hsinchu, Taiwan, R.O.C.

^yDepartment of Physics, National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu, Taiwan, R.O.C.

^zEmail: zsu@nchc.gov.tw

In this article, a general and simple scheme of the Cartan decomposition is introduced. This scheme is applicable to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{su}(N)$ of arbitrary dimensions without being restricted to a power of 2. In the scheme, an arbitrary Cartan subalgebra in $\mathfrak{su}(N)$ can create a particular form of partition of the algebra, the conjugate partition, that is composed of a certain number of abelian subalgebras. These abelian subalgebras further form an algebraic structure, the quotient algebra, due to the closure under the operation of the Lie bracket. Importantly, every Lie algebra $\mathfrak{su}(2^{p-1} < N < 2^p)$ shares with $\mathfrak{su}(2^p)$ the isomorphic structure of the quotient algebra. This structure makes straightforward and systematic the exhaustive construction of Cartan decompositions in $\mathfrak{su}(N)$. When the decomposition is recursively applied to the final stage, only an abelian subalgebra remains. It immediately leads to a recursive decomposition of the Lie group $SU(N)$, such that a unitary transformation is factorized into a product of actions respectively contributed only by a generator of $\mathfrak{su}(N)$. A recursive decomposition of a transformation implies a path on the manifold of the Lie group $SU(N)$, which is adjustable by the choice of the abelian subalgebra designated at each level of the decomposition. The scheme may have provided a tool for the journey on the manifold of $SU(N)$.

2 Conjugate Partition

To have a better access to the scheme, the exposition will begin with the examples of decompositions for $\mathfrak{su}(6)$ and $\mathfrak{su}(8)$. Here, $\mathfrak{su}(8)$ is the Lie algebra of the transformation group $SU(8)$ acting on a 3-qubit system, and $\mathfrak{su}(6)$ is the algebra of the group $SU(6)$ acting on a system composed of a 2-state and a 3-state quantum particles. Although the scheme is representation independent, simply for convenience the exposition will be given in the spinor representation. The generators of these algebras are hence written in tensor products of the Pauli and Gell-Mann matrices.

The first step is to take a center subalgebra, denoted as A , which is a maximal abelian subalgebra, a Cartan subalgebra, arbitrarily chosen from the algebra to be decomposed. With this choice of the center subalgebra, more abelian subalgebras will be generated. An abelian subalgebra of 7 elements, listed in the central column of Fig.1, is an example of the center subalgebra for $\mathfrak{su}(8)$, $A = \{I_3 \otimes I_3 \otimes I_3; I_3 \otimes I_3 \otimes I_3; I_3 \otimes I_3 \otimes I_3; I_3 \otimes I_3 \otimes I_3; I_3 \otimes I_3 \otimes I_3; I_3 \otimes I_3 \otimes I_3; I_3 \otimes I_3 \otimes I_3\}$. It is easy to construct an abelian subalgebra for a Lie algebra, actually a maximal one, by collecting all its diagonal generators. The second step is to, outside the center subalgebra, take an arbitrary generator as a seed, for example $I_3 \otimes I_3 \otimes I_1$ at the left hand side of the center subalgebra in Fig.1a. Four other generators are produced, listed at the right hand side, by calculating the commutator of the seed with each element in the center subalgebra, i.e., mapping the seed to another vector space by the adjoint representation ad_A , $[I_3 \otimes I_3 \otimes I_1; I_3 \otimes I_3 \otimes I_3] = 2iI_3 \otimes I_3 \otimes I_2$, $[I_3 \otimes I_3 \otimes I_1; I_3 \otimes I_3 \otimes I_3] = 2iI_3 \otimes I_3 \otimes I_2$, etc. Then, in the reversing step, 3 more generators are added to the LHS column as shown in Fig.1b after taking any one of the 4 generators in the RHS

column and calculating the commutators of this generator with those in the center subalgebra.

The first good property is that the elements in these two new columns respectively form an abelian subalgebra. The 4 generators of such an abelian subalgebra also build a vector space. Let W_1 and \hat{W}_1 denote the vector spaces respectively spanned by the subalgebras in the LHS and RHS columns; each of this pair is considered the conjugate by the other, noting that $[W_1; \hat{W}_1] \subset A$. Following the same procedure, more conjugate pairs of abelian subalgebras $fW_i; \hat{W}_i g$ are produced by taking a seed outside the center subalgebra and the existing conjugate pairs, from $fW_1; \hat{W}_1 g$ to $fW_{i-1}; \hat{W}_{i-1} g$, and calculating the required commutators. The continued exposition will temporarily be based on the current version of the algorithm primitive.

This procedure leads to a partition of the original algebra as given in Fig 2. Stated in the following Lemma, such a conjugate partition always exists for the Lie algebra $su(N)$, which will be proved with the Main Theorem.

Lemma. With an arbitrary maximal abelian subalgebra A taken as the center subalgebra, the Lie algebra $su(N)$ has a conjugate partition consisting of A and a finite number, q , of conjugate pairs of abelian subalgebras $fW_i; \hat{W}_i g; 1 \leq i \leq q$, i.e.,

$$su(N) = A \cup W_1 \cup \hat{W}_1 \cup \dots \cup W_i \cup \hat{W}_i \cup \dots \cup W_q \cup \hat{W}_q \quad (2.1)$$

and no intersection between any two of the subalgebras, where the abelian subalgebras respectively form a vector space and follow the conditions, $8 \leq i \leq q$ and no order for entries in the commutator,

$$[W_i; A] \subset \hat{W}_i; [\hat{W}_i; A] \subset W_i; \text{ and } [W_i; \hat{W}_i] \subset A : \quad (2.2)$$

3 Quotient Algebra

In addition to the partition composed of conjugate pairs of abelian subalgebras, of great interest is an algebraic structure embedded in these subalgebras. An easy check is that, for the partition listed in Fig 2, the commutator of any elements from two different subalgebras must be either $f0g$ or in a third subalgebra. It reveals the existence of an algebraic structure, the quotient algebra, based on which constructing Cartan decompositions becomes straightforward.

Definition. For a conjugate partition given by the center subalgebra A and with q conjugate pairs of abelian subalgebras $fW_i; \hat{W}_i g; 1 \leq i \leq q$, the subalgebras construct a quotient algebra, denoted as a multiplet of partition $fQ(A; q)g$ $fA; W_i; \hat{W}_i; 1 \leq i \leq qg$, if the condition of closure under the operation of the commutator is satisfied: $8 \leq i; j \leq q; 9 \leq k \leq q$, s.t.

$$[W_i; W_j] \subset \hat{W}_k; [W_i; \hat{W}_j] \subset W_k; \text{ and } [\hat{W}_i; \hat{W}_j] \subset \hat{W}_k; \quad (3.1)$$

$$[W_{ij}; A] \quad \hat{W}_{ij}; \quad [\hat{W}_{ij}; A] \quad W_{ij}; \quad \text{and} \quad [W_{ij}; \hat{W}_{ij}] \quad A : \quad (3.2)$$

representation. The vector space of the intrinsic center subalgebra C of $su(4)$ for example is spanned by the 3 diagonal operators, $I_3 = \text{diag}\{1; 1; 1; 1\}$, $I_8 = \text{diag}\{1; 1; -1; -1\}$ and $I_{15} = \text{diag}\{1; 1; 1; 1\}$, or equivalently by another set of 3 independent operators $\text{diag}\{1; 1; 0; 0\}$, $\text{diag}\{1; 0; 1; 0\}$ and $\text{diag}\{1; 0; 0; 1\}$. In general, the intrinsic center subalgebra C of the Lie algebra $su(N)$ is spanned by the $N-1$ diagonal operators: $\text{diag}\{1; 1; 0; \dots; 0\}$, $\text{diag}\{1; 0; 1; 0; \dots; 0\}$, \dots and $\text{diag}\{1; 0; \dots; 0; 1\}$. According to the algorithm primitive, calculating the commutators of a seed generator with C , or mapping the seed by the adjoint representation ad_C , produces the generators in the conjugate vector space. To examine this operation, the so-called

\mathfrak{g} -representation, an extension of the well-known Gell-Mann matrices, is employed, referring to Appendix A for more details. In this representation, a \mathfrak{g} -generator e_{ij} , an \mathfrak{o} -diagonal $N \times N$ matrix, plays the role of λ_1 , whose the only two nonzero entries, the $(i;j)$ -th and $(j;i)$ -th, are both assigned 1. Another \mathfrak{g} -generator \hat{e}_{ij} , the conjugate of e_{ij} and an \mathfrak{o} -diagonal $N \times N$ matrix too, takes the role of λ_2 and has nonzero values only at the $(i;j)$ -th and the $(j;i)$ -th entries, respectively assigned $-i$ and i . According to eqs A.1-A.3, the adjoint representation ad_C leaves a e_{ij} or a \hat{e}_{ij} invariant within the pair. The \mathfrak{g} -generators may well be acting as building blocks to form the conjugate pairs of abelian subalgebras. In terms of these generators, some combinatorial traits of the scheme will be read in the following construction of conjugate partitions and quotient algebras.

Binary Partitioning. A set of the \mathfrak{g} -generators by an appropriate grouping succeeds to serve as a basis for the vector space of an abelian subalgebra. Such a grouping implies a corresponding partition on the subscripts of the \mathfrak{g} -generators. Since two of these generators commute as long as no repetition in the subscripts, a maximal abelian subalgebra in $\mathfrak{su}(N) \subset \mathbb{C}$ is made by taking any one set of $N/2$ elements f_{ij} or \hat{e}_{kl} : the subscripts of the generators are given by a partition of the integers from 1 to N , $i;j;k;l = 1;2;\dots;N$ g. For instance, two sets of 4 generators in $\mathfrak{su}(8)$, $f_{15}; \hat{e}_{26}; \hat{e}_{37}; \hat{e}_{48}$ g and $f_{16}; \hat{e}_{28}; \hat{e}_{37}; \hat{e}_{45}$ g, respectively build an abelian subalgebra. Although both partitions of the subscripts lead to the creation of an abelian subalgebra, only the former kind fits the further use, to support quotient algebras. Generators of conventional types bring the clue. Take one spinor generator $\lambda_3 \in \mathfrak{su}(8)$ for example, which reads as $\lambda_{12} + \lambda_{34} - \lambda_{56} - \lambda_{78}$ in the \mathfrak{g} -representation and is a vector in the space W_1 spanned by the 4 generators $\lambda_{12}; \lambda_{34}; \lambda_{56}$; and λ_{78} . By the algorithm primitive, the 4 independent generators for the conjugate vector space \hat{W}_1 are produced from the commutator $[\lambda_3, I_{-1}]; \mathbb{C}$. Following to eqs A.1 and A.2, the required \pm parities assigned to e_{ij} s are provided by the mapping ad_C when going through all the, $N-1$, independent generators of \mathbb{C} . Conversely, the commutator $[\hat{g}; \mathbb{C}]$, \hat{g} being any one of the 4 conjugate generators in \hat{W}_1 , adds another 3 independent generators to the vector space W_1 . It is critical to note that the subscripts of the \mathfrak{g} -generators so produced in the same conjugate pair share a common binary pattern of partition, here particularly termed as the binary partitioning. For the vector space W_1 , the subscripts of the \mathfrak{g} -generators have the common pattern of bit-wise addition $i^0 + 001 = j^0$, where $i^0 = i-1$ and $j^0 = j-1$ are written in their binary expressions. Since the mapping ad_C leaves a \mathfrak{g} -generator invariant in its conjugate pair, the identical subscript pattern of binary partitioning shall be retained by all the generators belonging to the same pair. In other words, the binary partitioning is an invariant under the adjoint representation ad_C . It is legitimate to encode such a subscript pattern by a binary string and attach the string to the conjugate pair. The vector spaces W_1 and \hat{W}_1 are therefore redenoted as W_{001} and \hat{W}_{001} .

Conjugate Partition. The continued step is to pick a spinor generator as a seed outside this conjugate pair and the center subalgebra, for example $e_{31} = e_{14} + e_{23} - e_{58} - e_{67}$ where the subscripts of the \mathfrak{g} -generators keep another pattern of binary partitioning $i^0 + 011 = j^0$, with $i^0 = i - 1$ and $j^0 = j - 1$ in their binary expressions. Then the conjugate pair W_{011} and \hat{W}_{011} are formed by operations of the commutators required in the algorithm primitive. Accordingly, by this procedure all the vector spaces of abelian subalgebras, associated to the strings from 001 to 111, appear pair by pair, ref. Fig.6. These 7 conjugate pairs of vector spaces along with the center subalgebra C build a conjugate partition in $\mathfrak{su}(8)$. This procedure is generally applicable to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{su}(2^p)$, whose any one spinor generator in the \mathfrak{g} -representation specifies a subscript pattern of binary partitioning. Let a binary string of p digits encode the subscript pattern. Say associated to the string α , an arbitrary spinor generator $g \in \mathfrak{su}(2^p)$ is taken as a seed outside C and the existing conjugate pairs. With the application of eqs A.1 and A.2, a new conjugate pair $fW; \hat{W}$ is formed by the mappings $[g; C]$ and $[\hat{g}; C]$, where \hat{g} is a spinor generator produced from the former commutator. Such a step is recursively applied until no generator is left and hence all the $2^p - 1$ strings of binary partitioning and the $2^p - 1$ associated conjugate pairs have appeared. A conjugate partition therefore completes in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{su}(2^p)$, eq.2.1. The property of the invariance within a conjugate pair, eq.2.2, is derived from eqs A.1-A.3.

Quotient Algebra. Most importantly, the binary partitioning encodes the structure of the quotient algebra. The structure becomes clear as being translated into the language of binary partitioning. For three vector spaces of abelian subalgebras associated to three p -digit strings of binary partitioning $\alpha; \beta$ and γ , the condition eq.3.1 is rewritten as $[W; W] = \hat{W}$, $[W; \hat{W}] = W$, and $[\hat{W}; \hat{W}] = W$. According to eqs A.4-A.6, the commutator of two \mathfrak{g} -generators in $\mathfrak{su}(2^p)$ with the subscripts $(i; j)$ and $(j; k)$, or $(k; j)$, yields a \mathfrak{g} -generator with the subscript $(i; k)$; here $i; j$ and k are three distinct integers. Suppose that the subscript $(i; j)$ is associated to the string α and $(j; k)$ to β , and thus there exist the relations of bit-wise additions, $i^0 + j^0 = k^0$ and $j^0 + k^0 = i^0$, $i^0 = i - 1$; $j^0 = j - 1$ and $k^0 = k - 1$ in their binary expressions. The string attached to the yielded subscript $(i; k)$ is then coerced to be $\alpha + \beta$, for $j^0 + j^0 = 0$. Accordingly, characterizing the closure of the abelian-subalgebra vector spaces under the operation of the commutator, the condition is satisfied whenever the relation of bit-wise addition $\alpha + \beta = \gamma$ holds. To be short, the binary partitioning encodes the condition of closure, eq.3.1, as

$$[W; W] = \hat{W} + ; [W; \hat{W}] = W + ; \text{ and } [\hat{W}; \hat{W}] = W + : \quad (3.3)$$

The condition exhibits a property of binary combinatorics in quotient algebras. An example for $\mathfrak{su}(8)$ is illustrated in Fig.8.

That the center subalgebra C is the zero element within a conjugate pair under the operation of the commutator, eq.3.2 repeats eq.2.2 of the conjugate partition. Therefore, the quotient algebra $fQ(C; 2^p - 1)g$ given by, in fact \par-

tioned by," C is constructed. Any other quotient algebra $fQ(A)g$ given by a maximal abelian subalgebra A is connected to the former by a unitary transformation $U \in SU(N)$, namely the equivalence via a conjugation mapping, $fQ(A)g = U^y fQ(C)gU$ with $UAU^y = C$.

Subscript Multiplication. Apparently there are many more options of conjugate partitions. To fulfill the properties in the Lemma, the binary partitioning is not a unique way to arrange the subscripts. As aforementioned, a conjugate partition is created as long as the pairs of the \mathfrak{g} -generators, e_{ij} and e_{ij}^\wedge , in every conjugate pair of vector spaces have no repetition in their subscripts. However, to further embed the structure of quotient algebras, the consistence in the subscripts, i.e., following the binary partitioning or its equivalence, is necessary. An abelian-subalgebra vector space $V \subset \mathfrak{su}(8)$ for example is spanned by 4 generators, $V = \text{span}\{e_{16}; e_{25}; e_{37}; e_{48}\} \subset W_{100} \cup W_{101}$. This grouping of subscripts is considered inconsistent owing to bearing two different strings of binary partitioning. As a result, the commutator $[V; W_{011}]$ yields 8 generators that a half of them are in the space W_{110} and another half in W_{111} . This inconsistency causes the partition to violate the condition of closure. It is shown in the following that, to accommodate the structure of the quotient algebra given by C , the subscripts of the \mathfrak{g} -generators are obliged to follow the binary partitioning or its permutations.

A worthy reexamination is that how the condition of closure is met under the operation of the commutator for any two abelian subalgebras in the conjugate partition given by an intrinsic center subalgebra. Due to eqs A.4-A.6, the operation can be reduced to as simple as a subscript multiplication of two integer pairs: $(i;j)(j;k) = (i;k)$, where $i;j$ and k are the subscripts, without repetition, of the \mathfrak{g} -generators in the commutator and the integer pair has no order, i.e., $(i;j) = (j;i)$; the multiplication otherwise is either $f0g$, as two generators commuting, or falls in C , as two generators belonging to one conjugate pair. The subscript table of $\mathfrak{su}(4)$ is displayed as,

$$\begin{pmatrix} (1;2) & (3;4) \\ (1;3) & (2;4) \\ (1;4) & (2;3) \end{pmatrix}$$

which represents the 3 conjugate pairs of a conjugate partition. Simply carrying the conjugate pairs of a conjugate partition, the subscript table is orderless in a sense that there is no order for integer pairs in one row and no order either for these rows in the table. Since the multiplication of two integer pairs from any two first rows always falls in the rest row, this table carries a quotient algebra too. An easy check is that any permutation of the 4 integers makes the table unchanged, noting the orderless of the table. It implies that the binary partitioning is invariant w.r.t. subscript permutations as the dimension $N = 4$.

Now proceed to the subscript table of $\mathfrak{su}(8)$,

$$(1;2) \ (3;4) \ (5;6) \ (7;8)$$

(1;3) (2;4) (5;7) (6;8)
 (1;4) (2;3) (5;8) (6;7)
 (1;5) (2;6) (3;7) (4;8).

Only 4 rows of integer pairs are placed in the table, representing the 4 conjugate pairs. For owing to the condition of closure, the other 3 rows of integer pairs have been decided by these 4 rows. This is the so-called pre-decision rule that, for a quotient algebra of $su(2^p)$, the $2^p - p - 1$ conjugate pairs are determined by certain the other p pairs. Prior to the row f(1;5) (2;6) (3;7) (4;8)g being concerned, any permutations respectively exercised in the integer sets f1;2;3;4g and f5;6;7;8g are allowed. For without the consideration of this row, these two sets are disjoint and have no \interaction." While, some inconsistency may be introduced if only a partial permutation is applied to the table with the interaction row. An example is as the table,

(1;2) (3;4) (5;7) (6;8)
 (1;3) (2;4) (5;6) (7;8)
 (1;4) (2;3) (5;8) (6;7)
 (1;5) (2;6) (3;7) (4;8).

where the integers 6 and 7 are permuted in the first 3 rows, the beginning rows, but no corresponding permutation is followed in the 4th row, the interaction row. The order of a row here is referring to the display order in the table and has no meaning to the conjugate partition. This table is literally equivalent to

(1;2) (3;4) (5;6) (7;8)
 (1;3) (2;4) (5;7) (6;8)
 (1;4) (2;3) (5;8) (6;7)
 (1;5) (2;7) (3;6) (4;8).

where the original integer pairs are preserved in the first 3 rows, following the binary partitioning, and instead integers 6 and 7 are permuted in the 4th row. The condition of closure is violated when this interaction row is multiplied by either one of two beginning rows, the 1st and the 2nd rows in the table. This example is not atypical. Any permutation of integers in the beginning rows can be rewritten as a permutation in the interaction row and let the integer pairs in the beginning rows be obedient to the binary partitioning. Then, whether the condition of closure is met is depending on if the integer pairs are consistently arranged in the interaction row.

A recursive argument is developed for a general assertion. The subscript table of the Lie algebra $su(2^p)$ is prepared by, in addition to one interaction row, gluing two such tables of $su(2^{p-1})$ in a way that each of the $2^{p-1} - 1$ beginning rows is made by collecting integer pairs of the identical binary partitioning from the two tables. The integer pairs in one table are written in integers from 1 to 2^{p-1} , designated as the 1st set of integers, and the pairs in the other table are in integers from $2^{p-1} + 1$ to 2^p , as the 2nd set. The interaction row consists of 2^{p-1} integer pairs where one entry of each pair is contributed by the 1st set of

integers and the other by the 2nd set. Take two integer pairs $(a;b)$ and $(c;d)$ in the interaction row and let a and c belong to the 1st set of integers and b and d to the 2nd set. Suppose that in this row at least the two integer pairs $(a;b)$ and $(c;d)$ are inconsistent in their associated strings of binary partitioning. Two different strings of binary partitioning are thus attached to these two integer pairs such that $a + b \notin c + d$, $a :::: d$ respectively denoting $a - 1 :::: d - 1$ in their binary expressions. There must be one beginning row that has the two integer pairs $(b;d)$ and $(c;a)$, leaving the integer to be decided. The two bit-wise additions equal, $b + d = c + a$, for these two integer pairs are in one beginning row and follow the binary partitioning. Then the multiplication of these two rows of integer pairs produces, among the others, the pairs $(a;d)$ and $(c;b)$. A contradiction occurs, $c = b + c + d \notin a$, that has the condition of closure fail. It implies that, to keep closed the multiplication of integer pairs, the interaction row is obliged to follow the binary partition if the beginning rows have been so. As known earlier, the binary partitioning is naturally respected by $su(4)$, whose subscript table is invariant under any integer permutation. The recursive argument thus starts with the Lie algebra $su(8); p = 3$, and has its conjugate pairs constructed according to the binary partitioning. Immediately it asserts that, for the Lie algebra $su(2^p)$, only the conjugate partition of subscript patterns following the binary partitioning allows the structure of the quotient algebra $fQ(C; 2^p - 1)g$.

Nevertheless, a global permutation, applying the same permutation of integers to every row of the table, is not denied. For in such a case still the binary partitioning is followed and integers are permuted simply as labelling indices. It hence leads to a conclusion that, up to permutations on the subscripts of the α -generators, the conjugate partition admitting the structure of the quotient algebra $fQ(C)g$ is unique, i.e., the sufficient and necessary condition, the one whose arrangement of the α -generators in its conjugate pairs conforms to the binary partitioning. Equivalently, the complete set of conjugate partitions that accommodate the quotient algebra $fQ(C)g$ is obtained by exhaustive permutations of the subscripts of α -generators initiated by the binary partitioning. However, except those coinciding with the binary partitioning, the other options have generators written in superpositions of noncommuting spinor operators. These variations may have advantages in building certain types of Hamiltonians for particular physical motivations, but are of no interest to the purpose of operator decompositions described later. In addition, by an appropriate coordinate transformation, the superpositions can be removed and the binary partitioning is recovered from these variations.

Algebra Isomorphism. As the dimension N is not a power of 2, the Lie algebra $su(N)$ as well has the structures of the conjugate partition and the quotient algebra. The reason is illustrated by an example of a conjugate partition for $su(6)$ as given in Fig.7. Similarly, the partition composed of 7 conjugate pairs of abelian-subalgebra vector spaces and the intrinsic center subalgebra is expressed in the α -representation. The difference between Figs.6 and 7 is that

all the generators with subscripts larger than the dimension $N = 6$, i.e., with 7 or 8 here, are removed from the latter. A reminder is that the center subalgebra C of $su(8)$ is spanned by the set of 7 independent generators $d_{11}; l = 2; \dots; 8$. The $(i; j)$ -th entry of an operator can be referred to as the transition-probability amplitude from the i -th to the j -th dimensions. For $su(6)$, there are no the 7th and the 8th dimensions and no transition to these two dimensions can be made. Therefore any generators with either one of these two subscripts should be set to 0 or simply removed from the partition. As a result, all the rest of 8×8 matrices of generators originally for $su(8)$ have only 0s in the 7th and the 8th rows and columns. Undergoing the removal of the 7th and the 8th rows and columns, the retaining 35 independent generators of 6×6 matrices suffice for the formation of $su(6)$. Conversely, before the 7th and the 8th rows and columns are removed, these generators support an embedding of $su(6)$ in the space of $su(8)$.

Since only those with subscripts greater than the dimension $N = 6$ are removed, still all the \hat{g} -generators with subscripts less than or equal to the dimension remain. Staying in the original vector spaces, W or \hat{W} , of the conjugate pairs or of the center subalgebra C , these retaining generators satisfy the condition of closure and the properties of the conjugate partition all the same. An isomorphic structure of the quotient algebra is therefore guaranteed for $su(6)$ and $su(8)$. The similar procedure of the removing process is applicable to any Lie algebra $su(2^{p-1} < N < 2^p)$. The \hat{g} -generators, $_{ij}, \hat{_{ij}}$ and in the set of 2^{p-1} independent $d_{11}; l = 2; 3; \dots; 2^p$, whose either one subscript is greater than the dimension N and only they are removed from the structure of the quotient algebra $fQ(C; 2^{p-1})g$ given by the intrinsic center subalgebra C of $su(2^p)$. The Lie algebra $su(N)$ is spanned by the remaining $N^2 - 1$ generators, whose from the $(N + 1)$ -th to the 2^p -th rows and columns in their matrices are all 0s and are removed. Still all the generators with subscripts ranging from 1 to N respectively stay in the conjugate pairs and the center subalgebra that are structurally inherited from the quotient algebra $fQ(C; 2^{p-1})g$. The relations among these abelian subalgebras, eqs.3.1-3.3, are accordingly preserved. It implies the following Corollary. \square

For the conjugate partition of the Lie algebra $su(2^{p-1} < N < 2^p)$ obtained by the removing process, there are in total $N(N - 1)$ generators in the conjugate pairs and $N - 1$ independent generators in the center subalgebra. These two numbers decrease compared with those of $su(2^p)$. The number, $2^{p-1} - 1$, of the conjugate pairs and the relations among the pairs and the center subalgebra, however, remain the same. The two Lie algebras share the identical structure of the quotient algebra.

Corollary. The quotient algebra of the Lie algebra $su(N)$, where $2^{p-1} < N < 2^p$ and $p \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, is isomorphic to that of $su(2^p)$.

4 Scheme Implementation

The structure of the quotient algebra makes the construction of Cartan decompositions straightforward. The essential procedure is to build the table of the conjugate partition where the quotient algebra is embedded. With the above verification, the algorithm is concluded as follows.

Algorithm . Quotient Algebra Construction for $su(N); N \geq 2, Z^+$:

The step of preparation:

For a maximal abelian subalgebra $A \subset su(N)$, calculate the unitary transformation that has A diagonalized, i.e., $\exists U \in SU(N); UAU^\dagger = C$, mapping A to the intrinsic center subalgebra C .

The 1st step:

Take a generator g_{11} outside C as a seed and calculate the commutator $[g_{11}; C]$ which produces a finite number, r_1 , of abelian generators $\hat{g}_{11}; \hat{g}_{12}; \dots; \hat{g}_{1r_1}$ and the vector space \hat{W}_1 is spanned by this list of generators; in the reversing step, the commutator $[\hat{g}_{1i}; C]$, \hat{g}_{1i} being any one generator in the list, adds the other $r_1 - 1$ abelian generators, $g_{12}; \dots; g_{1r_1}$ which with the seed form the conjugate space W_1 .

.....

The k -th step:

With a generator g_{k1} outside C and the preceding conjugate pairs W_i and \hat{W}_i , $i = 1; 2; \dots; k-1$, taken as the k -th seed, calculate the commutator $[g_{k1}; C]$ that yields the list of abelian generators $\hat{g}_{k1}; \hat{g}_{k2}; \dots; \hat{g}_{kr_k}$ and the vector space \hat{W}_k is spanned by these generators; in the reversing step, the commutator $[\hat{g}_{ki}; C]$, \hat{g}_{ki} being any one generator in the list, yields another list of $r_k - 1$ abelian generators, $g_{k2}; \dots; g_{kr_k}$ which with the k -th seed form the conjugate space W_k .

.....

End when no generator remains.

Merge into one single pair, following the condition of closure, any conjugate pairs that commute.

The construction of the quotient algebra $fQ(C)g$ completes;

Map $fQ(C)g$ to the quotient algebra $fQ(A)g$ by $fQ(A)g = U^\dagger fQ(C)gU$.

The step of merging commuting conjugate pairs is necessary. For, in some representations, one conjugate pair may be created by parts in the preceding steps. The simplest example is in constructing quotient algebras for $su(4)$ in the $\bar{12}$ -representation. Due to eqs A.1 and A.2, only a single generator, its conjugate, is produced by the mapping $[g; C]$, where g is a $_{12}$ or a $^{\hat{12}}$ and C is the intrinsic center subalgebra of $su(4)$. The two options to merge, for instance, the two commuting conjugate pairs $f_{12}; ^{\hat{12}}g$ and $f_{34}; ^{\hat{34}}g$ are $f_{12}; ^{\hat{34}}; ^{\hat{12}}; ^{\hat{34}}g$ and $f_{12}; ^{\hat{34}}; ^{\hat{12}}; ^{\hat{34}}g$. Consequently, in addition to the original as in Figs.9 and 10, the 2nd version of the quotient algebra is made as given in Fig.11. This is simply the freedom within conjugate pairs, 2 options of distributing each pair of generators $_{ij}$ and $^{\hat{ij}}$ to their conjugate pair of vector spaces, and is loyal to the rule of binary partitioning. The generators in the 2nd version are in a superposition of 2 noncommuting spinor generators, such as the part $(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 12 & 34 \end{smallmatrix})$ for the 2nd qubit in $_{12}^{\hat{34}} = \frac{1}{2}(I - \sigma_3) (\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 \end{smallmatrix})$. This form is useful for the later purpose of operator decompositions, although the freedom may be useful in some physical settings.

In practice, there is no order for the generation of conjugate pairs. It is essential and helpful during the construction to check the commutation relations among the produced pairs by the condition of closure, eq.3.1. At certain stages,

for instance, the seed generators needed are easily tracked by applying this condition to the existing pairs.

For the Lie algebra $su(2^p)$, the quotient algebra $fQ(A)g$ can be directly constructed with the algorithm by taking a maximal abelian subalgebra A as the center subalgebra, not necessarily the intrinsic one C , and the number of abelian generators r_k in the vector space W_k or \hat{W}_k always equals to 2^{p-1} . Considering the quotient algebra given by the intrinsic center subalgebra is particularly for the Lie algebra whose dimension is not a power of 2. It is worth a few lines for an equivalence of quotient-algebra construction making use of the removing process. This version may have the potential to be more efficient when the dimension is large. Since sharing the isomorphic structure, the quotient algebra $fQ(A^0)g$ given by a maximal abelian subalgebra $A^0 \subset su(N^0)$ should be derivable from $fQ(C)g$, where $N=2 < N^0 < N=2^p$ and C is the intrinsic center subalgebra of $su(N)$. Although requiring two additional steps, the procedure is as simple as follows. The first step is to construct $fQ(C^0)g$ from $fQ(C)g$, where C^0 is the intrinsic maximal abelian subalgebra of $su(N^0)$. That is to cast $fQ(C)g$ into the γ -representation, remove all the generators e_{ij} whose $i > N^0$ or $j > N^0$, and then delete all the (N^0+1) -th through the N -th rows and columns of the remaining γ -generators. The 2nd step is to map the quotient algebra $fQ(C^0)g$ by a unitary transformation $U \in SU(N^0)$ to the coordinate of A^0 , i.e., $fQ(A^0)g = U^\alpha fQ(C^0)g U^{\alpha^\dagger}$ with $U^\alpha A^0 U^{\alpha^\dagger} = C^0$, and finally rewrite the quotient algebra $fQ(A^0)g$ in the required representation. There is an alternative for the transformation. Owing to the algebraic isomorphism, $fQ(A^0)g$ and $fQ(A)g$ practically share the same transformation $U \in SU(N)$, where $U A^0 U^\dagger = C^0$ and $U A U^\dagger = C$. Here A is a unique maximal abelian subalgebra in $su(N)$ such that $A^0 \subset A$, which can be built from A^0 in terms of the γ -representation. Note that at this point still the quotient algebra $fQ(A^0)g$ and the intrinsic center subalgebra C^0 are written in $N \times N$ matrices of the γ -generators. The normal form of the quotient algebra $fQ(A^0)g$ is attained once the mapping $fQ(A^0)g = U^\dagger fQ(C^0)g U$, followed by the deletion of all the (N^0+1) -th through the N -th rows and columns, and the return to the required representation are completed.

Cartan Decomposition. Therefore, for any maximal abelian subalgebra $A \subset su(2^{p-1} < N=2^p)$ it is feasible to construct a quotient algebra $fQ(A; 2^{p-1})g$ that has 2^{p-1} conjugate pairs of abelian-subalgebra vector spaces. This algebraic structure makes straightforward and systematic the construction of the Cartan decomposition. The Cartan decomposition of the Lie algebra $su(N)$ is referred to as the decomposition $su(N) = t \oplus p$, where the subalgebra t and the subset p satisfy the conditions, $[t; t] \subset t$, $[t; p] \subset p$, $[p; p] \subset t$, and $\text{Tr} f p g = 0$. The decomposition is not unique. For a quotient algebra with 2^{p-1} conjugate pairs, there are in total 2^p choices of Cartan decompositions.

To decide a choice of Cartan decomposition is equivalent to a decision of the subalgebra t . Take a quotient algebra of either $su(8)$ or $su(6)$ for example, which has 7 conjugate pairs of vector spaces as shown in Figs 2-5. The subalgebra t must be formed by taking either one vector space of every conjugate pair.

However, due to the condition of closure, when the first 2 vector spaces are chosen from the first 2 conjugate pairs, the 3rd one from the 3rd conjugate pair is decided. Renote that the order of the conjugate pairs has no relevance. Any 2 conjugate pairs can be specified as the first 2 pairs, by which the 3rd pair is determined due to the condition of closure. The 4th conjugate pair is arbitrarily specified from the remaining pairs, which determines the following 5th through 7th pairs. The vector spaces chosen from the 5th through the 7th conjugate pairs are decided once the choice from the 4th conjugate pair is taken. For instance, if the set $\{W_1; W_2; \hat{W}_3; \hat{W}_4\}g$ is collected, the following set of choice is only $\{W_5; W_6; \hat{W}_7\}g$. The subalgebra t is formed by gathering these two sets, $t = \{W_1; W_2; \hat{W}_3; \hat{W}_4; W_5; W_6; \hat{W}_7\}g$. The subset p is obtained by taking the union of the center algebra A and the remaining vector space in every conjugate pair; among the other 7 ones, A is a maximal abelian subalgebra in p . Inheriting the properties of spinor generators, the subalgebra t and the subset p fulfill the condition of orthogonality, $\text{Tr}(t p) = 0$. There are in total 2^{p-1} choices of t for this given quotient algebra. In general for a quotient algebra of 2^{p-1} conjugate pairs, the subalgebra t is decided by the selections of vector spaces, a W or a \hat{W} , in the 2^r -th specified conjugate pairs, $r = 0; 1; 2; \dots; p-1$, and thus has in total 2^p choices. The pre-decision rule of this form is a result of the condition of closure, eq 3.1. Although there are 2^p choices of decomposition for a quotient algebra of $\text{su}(2^{p-1} < N = 2^p)$, the Cartan decompositions rendered by different designations of center subalgebras may have redundancy. Further studies in this regard are continued in [10].

5 Recursive Decomposition

Forming the subalgebra t is only for the 1st level of decomposition of $\text{su}(N)$ and let it be redenoted as $t_{[1]}$. By the same token, an arbitrary maximal abelian subalgebra in $t_{[1]}$ can be designated as the center subalgebra of the 2nd level, denoted as $A_{[2]}$, which has $t_{[1]}$ partitioned into $2^{p-1} - 1$ conjugate pairs. Here the subalgebra $A_{[2]}$ is either an abelian subalgebra in the conjugate pairs of the 1st level or simply a new one created by another group of abelian generators. The quotient algebra of the 2nd level, $\text{fQ}(A_{[2]}; 2^{p-1} - 1)g$, is thus constructed. With 2^{p-1} choices in total, the subalgebra $t_{[2]}$ for the 2nd-level decomposition is formed in this quotient algebra by applying the condition of closure. Similarly, the quotient algebra $\text{fQ}(A_{[k]}; 2^{p-k+1} - 1)g$ is constructed at the k -th level of decomposition, where forming the subalgebra $t_{[k]}$ has 2^{p-k+1} choices. As this process is recursively continued for p times to the final level and the quotient algebra $\text{fQ}(A_{[p]}; 1)g$ is derived, the Lie algebra $\text{su}(2^{p-1} < N = 2^p)$ is fully decomposed. Directing such a recursive decomposition, a decomposition sequence seq_{dec} is defined to be the sequence of designated center subalgebras in the order of the recursive level and at last the subalgebra of the final level, $\text{seq}_{\text{dec}} = \{A_{[k]}; k = 1; 2; \dots; p; p+1; A\}$ and $A_{[p+1]} = t_{[p]}g$. Although the decomposition is carried out level by level, the size, the number of generators, of the center subalgebra at each level remains the same. For the center subalgebra

at the k -th level of decomposition $A_{[k]}$, $1 < k \leq p$, is an abelian subalgebra W_i or \hat{W}_j of the original quotient algebra $\mathfrak{f}\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{A}); 2^{p-1}g$ or a new one of a similar size. It is easy to verify in terms of the \mathfrak{g} -representation that there always exist an enough number of generators in A commuting with $A_{[k]}$ such that the subalgebra $A_{[k]}$ recovers its $N-1$ abelian generators, ref. Appendix B and [10].

Operator Factorization. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{su}(N)$ is recursively decomposable based on the structure of the quotient algebra residing at each level. According to the KAK theorem [2, 3], if an algebra \mathfrak{g} admits a Cartan decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{t} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$, its corresponding group action e^{ig} has a particular form of decomposition. That is, $g \in \mathfrak{g}$, $g = s_1 + s_2 + t$ and $a \in A$, such that $e^{ig} = e^{is_1} e^{ia} e^{is_2}$, where A is a maximal abelian subalgebra in \mathfrak{p} . The computation of this decomposition is operationally equivalent to the well-known SVD (Singular Value Decomposition) in matrix analysis. The essential step is to map the group action to the coordinate that has the subalgebra A diagonalized. Namely, $g \in \mathfrak{su}(N)$, s.t. $U e^{ig} U^\dagger = (U e^{is_1} U^\dagger) (U e^{ia} U^\dagger) (U e^{is_2} U^\dagger) = O_1 D O_2^\dagger$, where D is diagonal and O_1 and $O_2 \in \text{SO}(N)$. The matrix O_1 is obtained by calculating the diagonalization matrix for $M^\dagger = O_1 D^2 O_1^\dagger$, and O_2 obtained by calculating that for $M^\dagger M = O_2^\dagger D^2 O_2$. The entries, the eigenvalues, of D are $e^{i\lambda_j}$ with λ_j being the eigenvalues of a . Such a computation of the group action decomposition, or the matrix factorization, is achievable at each level, where Cartan decompositions exist owing to the corresponding quotient algebra. It implies that the matrix is finally factorized into a product of actions contributed by the subalgebras designated in the decomposition sequence.

According to the factorization guided by the KAK theorem, the contributed actions are ordered in the form of a binary bifurcation tree. Let the order of an action in a factorization of a unitary transformation $e^{ig} \in \text{SU}(2^{p-1} < N \leq 2^p)$ be written in a $(p+1)$ -digit binary string. The contribution of this order can be told by the first digit position in the string, traced from the $(p+1)$ -th digit, where the digit 1 appears. If the r -th, the $(p+1)$ -th, digit is 1, the action of this order is an $e^{it_{[p],r}}$; $r = 1; 2; \dots; 2^p$, contributed by the subalgebra of the r -th level, $t_{[p],r} \in \mathfrak{t}_{[p]}$. The action is an $e^{ia_{[k],j}}$; $j = 1; 2; \dots; 2^{k-1}$ and $1 \leq k \leq p$, contributed by the center subalgebra designated at the k -th level, $a_{[k],j} \in \mathfrak{a}_{[k]}$, if 1 starts at the k -th digit.

Following a decomposition sequence, the recursive decomposition of a unitary transformation in $\text{SU}(N)$ implies a path on the manifold of the group. A subalgebra designated in the sequence may be regarded as a post or a node during the course of the transformation. Interestingly, these posts are visited in a hierarchically recursive order, patterned like a bifurcation tree, rather than in a serial order. It exhibits the nature of recursive decompositions of Lie groups. Similar to the isomorphism of the quotient algebra, the Lie groups $\text{SU}(2^{p-1} < N \leq 2^p)$ and $\text{SU}(2^p)$ share the common spectrum of recursive decompositions, or the same chart of decomposition sequences on the manifold, ref. Appendix B. The paths on the manifold are adjustable by changing designations of subalgebras in the decomposition sequence or even the group representation

up to physical considerations.

Since every subalgebra in a decomposition sequence is abelian, a unitary transformation in $SU(N)$ is fully decomposed into a product of actions $e^{i\mathbf{g}}$, where \mathbf{g} 's are generators in the chosen representation and i 's are parameters acquired during the operation of SVD. The dimension N has the prime factorization, $N = 2^{r_0} P_1^{r_1} \cdots P_f^{r_f}$ and $P_j; j = 1; \dots; f$, being primes greater than 2. The generators of $su(N)$ can be set as tensor products of the spinor generators and the generators of $su(P_j)$; here the generators of the Lie algebra $su(P_j)$ are expressed in the \mathbf{r} -representation, ref. Appendix A. Each contributed action $e^{i\mathbf{g}}$ plays the role of a quantum gate, whose algebra \mathbf{g} is written as a tensor product of generators of $su(2)$ and $su(P_j)$ or identity operators of appropriate dimensions. For the interest of quantum information, a quantum gate $e^{i\mathbf{g}}$ is considered local if it has only one single non-identity operator, i.e., a generator of $su(2)$ or $su(P_j)$, in the tensor-product form of \mathbf{g} , and considered nonlocal if otherwise. As an immediate result of the decomposition scheme applied to a unitary transformation, a theorem of primitive decompositions is concluded as follows.

Theorem of Gate Decomposition: Every unitary transformation can be fully decomposed into a product of local and nonlocal gates.

The local-and-nonlocal decomposition of a transformation is only one application of the scheme. More refined results and other applications will be seen in [10]. This scheme of the Cartan Decomposition enables the construction of feasible paths on the manifold of the Lie group $SU(N)$ with components in a physically required representation. The scheme should be of help to the exploration in a quantum system.

References

- [1] M. Spivak, A comprehensive introduction to differential geometry, vols.I-V, Publish or Perish, Inc., Boston (1975).
- [2] S. Helgason, Differential geometry, Lie groups, and symmetric space, Academic Press, New York (1978).
- [3] A.W. Knap, Lie groups beyond an introduction, Birkhauser, Boston (1996).
- [4] N. Khandekar, R. Brockett, and S.J. Gleser, Time optimal control in spin systems, Phys.Rev. 63, 032308 (2001).
- [5] N. Khandekar and J.S. Gleser, Cartan decomposition of $SU(2^n)$, constructive controllability of spin systems and universal quantum computing, Chem. Phys. 267, 11 (2001).

- [6] N. Khaneja, S.J. Glaser, and R. Brockett, Sub-Riemannian geometry and time optimal control of three spin systems: Quantum gates and coherence transfer, *Phys. Rev.* 65, 032301 (2002).
- [7] J. Zhang, J. Vala, K.B. Whaley, and S. Sastry, A geometric theory of non-local two-qubit operations, *Phys. Rev. A* 67, 042313 (2003).
- [8] M.A. Nielsen, M.R. Dowling, M. Gu, and A.C. Doherty, Quantum computation as geometry, *Science* 311, 1133 (2006).
- [9] M.A. Nielsen, A geometric approach to quantum circuit lower bounds, *Quant. Inf. Comput.*, in press; quant-ph/0502070.
- [10] Z.-Y. Su and M.-C. Tsai, Decompositions of unitary transformations, to appear.

Appendix

A $\mathfrak{su}(N)$ -Representation

A $\mathfrak{su}(N)$ -generator, T_{ij} or its conjugate T_{ij}^\dagger , of the $\mathfrak{su}(N)$ -representation is an $N \times N$ matrix and serves the role of σ_1 or σ_2 in the i -th and the j -th dimensions. In terms of the Dirac notation, the generators read as $T_{ij} = |i\rangle\langle j| + |j\rangle\langle i|$ and $T_{ij}^\dagger = |i\rangle\langle j| - |j\rangle\langle i|$. In addition, a diagonal $N \times N$ matrix d_{kl} is defined as $d_{kl} = |k\rangle\langle k| - |l\rangle\langle l|$. For the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{su}(N)$, a complete set of generators can be formed by $N(N-1)/2$ conjugate pairs of T_{ij} and T_{ij}^\dagger with any $N-1$ independent diagonal operators d_{kl} , such as d_{1l} , $l = 2, 3, \dots, N$. It is easy to confirm that $\text{Tr}(T_{ij}^\dagger T_{kl}) = \delta_{ij} \delta_{kl}$ and $\text{Tr}(T_{ij}^\dagger C) = 0$, $T_{ij} \notin C$. Here T_{ij} denotes either a T_{ij} or a T_{ij}^\dagger , and C is the intrinsic center subalgebra of $\mathfrak{su}(N)$. The condition of orthogonality $\text{Tr}(T_{ij}^\dagger T_{kl}) = 0$ is therefore guaranteed at each level of the decomposition, for $C \perp \mathfrak{su}(N)$. Although no such an obligation required in the decomposition, the orthogonality can be further established in C by taking a certain set of basis generators, $C = \text{span}\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} d_{i1} \}$, $l = 2, 3, \dots, N$.

In particular as the dimension N is a prime, the $\mathfrak{su}(N)$ -representation is a suitable choice. An example are the Gell-Mann matrices for $\mathfrak{su}(3)$, here denoted by T_j , $1 \leq j \leq 8$,

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 &= T_{12}, & T_2 &= T_{12}^\dagger; \\ T_4 &= T_{13}, & T_5 &= T_{13}^\dagger; \\ T_6 &= T_{23}, & T_7 &= T_{23}^\dagger; \\ T_3 &= d_{12}, & T_8 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(d_{13} + d_{23}); \end{aligned}$$

This representation has advantages as well when the dimension N admits its prime factorization, $N = 2^{r_0} P_1^{r_1} \cdots P_f^{r_f}$ and P_j , $j = 1, \dots, f$, being primes

greater than 2. The table of a conjugate partition for $su(2^{r_0})$ can be quickly built by taking an arbitrary center subalgebra in the spinor representation. A n efficient way to build a similar table for $su(N)$ is then to make the center subalgebra written in tensor products of the spinor generators and the generators of $su(P_j)$ in the γ -representation.

The following commutation relations characterize the γ -generators and are essential to the construction of conjugate partitions and quotient algebras, $1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq N$,

$$[i_j; d_{kl}] = i^{\wedge}_{ij} (i_{ik} + i_{il} + i_{jk} + i_{jl}) \quad (A.1)$$

$$[i_j; d_{kl}] = i^{\wedge}_{ij} (i_{ik} - i_{il} - i_{jk} + i_{jl}) \quad (A.2)$$

$$[i_j; i^{\wedge}_{ij}] = 2id_{ij} \quad (A.3)$$

$$[i_j; i_{kl}] = i^{\wedge}_{ik} i_{jl} + i^{\wedge}_{il} i_{jk} + i^{\wedge}_{jk} i_{il} + i^{\wedge}_{jl} i_{ik} \quad (A.4)$$

$$[i_j; i^{\wedge}_{kl}] = i_{ik} i_{jl} - i_{il} i_{jk} + i_{jk} i_{il} - i_{jl} i_{ik} \quad (A.5)$$

$$[i_j; i^{\wedge}_{kl}] = i^{\wedge}_{ik} i_{jl} - i^{\wedge}_{il} i_{jk} - i^{\wedge}_{jk} i_{il} + i^{\wedge}_{jl} i_{ik} \quad (A.6)$$

For the completion of definition, these generators are either symmetric or antisymmetric with respect to the subscript permutation. Namely, $i_{ij} = i_{ji}$, $i^{\wedge}_{kl} = -i^{\wedge}_{lk}$ and $d_{kl} = d_{lk}$, although only those of the latter subscript greater than the former are in actual use.

B Abelian Subalgebra Extension

As aforementioned, a path on the group manifold is depending on designations of subalgebras in the decomposition sequence. It is useful to search the maximal abelian subalgebras for a Lie algebra. Toward this purpose, an easy method based on quotient algebras is described. This method considers only the "basis generators" and ignores those in superpositions of noncommuting operators. The intrinsic center subalgebra C is always a convenient candidate to start with. As an example for $su(4)$ shown in Fig.9, the center subalgebra $C = f_{\frac{1}{2}} I; I_{\frac{1}{2}}; I_{\frac{1}{2}}; I_{\frac{1}{2}}g$ is laid in the central column of the quotient algebra. In this quotient algebra, each abelian subalgebra of the conjugate pairs can find 1 corresponding generator in C such that the abelian subalgebra recovers to be maximal. For instance the maximal abelian subalgebra $fI_{\frac{1}{2}}; I_{\frac{1}{2}}; I_{\frac{1}{2}}; I_{\frac{1}{2}}g$ is formed by taking those in W_1 with the generator $I_{\frac{1}{2}} \in C$. Immediately, 6 maximal abelian subalgebras of nearest neighbors are extended from C . They are reckoned as the maximal abelian subalgebras of extension in the 1st shell.

Likewise, the maximal abelian subalgebras of the 2nd-shell extension are constructed from the 6 quotient algebras given by the maximal abelian subalgebras obtained in the 1st shell. However, only 8 new subalgebras appear in this shell and no more new member in the following shells. In total there exist 15 choices of center subalgebras for $su(4)$, ignoring those in linear combinations of noncommuting operators. Owing to the structure of quotient algebras, all

the maximal abelian subalgebras for the Lie algebra $su(2^{p-1} < N < 2^p)$ can be found in the first p shells [10]. As the dimension of the Lie algebra is a power of 2, it makes no difference with which maximal abelian subalgebra the extension begins. While to trace those for the Lie algebra $su(2^{p-1} < N < 2^p)$, alike to the above algorithm, the extension should first be performed on the maximal abelian subalgebras of $su(2^p)$ for p shells. The version for $su(N)$ emerges after applying the removing process to these subalgebras in the \mathfrak{r} -representation and then returning the representation required.

Since each of their maximal abelian subalgebras has $2(2^p - 1)$ nearest neighbors and any one of these subalgebras is reachable within p shells of extension regardless of the starting member, similar to the isomorphism of the quotient algebra, the Lie algebras $su(2^p)$ and every $su(2^{p-1} < N < 2^p)$ share the identical hypercubic structure of maximal abelian subalgebras. The navigation on the manifolds of all the Lie groups $SU(2^{p-1} < N < 2^p)$ is hence led by only one chart of decomposition sequences. More details regarding designations of subalgebras in the sequence and resulted paths are given in [10]. A visionary analogy for Cartan subalgebras may be appropriate and helpful.

Cartan stars, a hypergeometric galaxy serenely shining in the heaven algebra, shedding light on the unfailing lanes navigating in the group of a bailing sea

(a)

I	I	1	3	I	I	I	I	2
			I	3	I	3	I	2
			I	I	3	I	3	2
			3	3	I	3	3	2
			3	I	3			
			I	3	3			
			3	3	3			

(b)

I	I	1	3	I	I	I	I	2
3	I	1	I	3	I	3	I	2
I	3	1	I	I	3	I	3	2
3	3	1	3	3	I	3	3	2
			3	I	3			
			I	3	3			
			3	3	3			

Figure 1: (a) With a center subalgebra for $su(8)$ listed in the central column, an abelian subalgebra at the RHS is produced by taking a seed at the LHS. (b) In the reversing step, the conjugate abelian subalgebra at the RHS is made by taking a seed at the RHS.





Figure 2: A conjugate partition and a quotient algebra given by the intrinsic center subalgebra of $su(8)$.






Figure 4: A conjugate partition and a quotient algebra given by a non-diagonal center subalgebra of $su(8)$.




Figure 5: A conjugate partition and a quotient algebra given by a non-diagonal center subalgebra of $\mathfrak{su}(6)$.

				diagfl;1;1;1; 1; 1; 1; 1g	
				diagfl;1; 1; 1;1;1; 1; 1g	
				diagfl; 1;1; 1;1; 1;1; 1g	
				diagfl;1; 1; 1; 1; 1;1;1g	
				diagfl; 1;1; 1; 1;1; 1;1g	
				diagfl; 1; 1;1;1; 1; 1;1g	
				diagfl; 1; 1;1; 1;1;1; 1g	
	W_{001}			\hat{W}_{001}	
12 +	34 +	56 +	78	$\hat{12} + \hat{34} + \hat{56} + \hat{78}$	
12 +	34	56	78	$\hat{12} + \hat{34} \quad \hat{56} \quad \hat{78}$	
12	34 +	56	78	$\hat{12} \quad \hat{34} + \hat{56} \quad \hat{78}$	
12	34	56 +	78	$\hat{12} \quad \hat{34} \quad \hat{56} + \hat{78}$	
	W_{010}			\hat{W}_{010}	
13 +	24 +	57 +	68	$\hat{13} + \hat{24} + \hat{57} + \hat{68}$	
13 +	24	57	68	$\hat{13} + \hat{24} \quad \hat{57} \quad \hat{68}$	
13	24 +	57	68	$\hat{13} \quad \hat{24} + \hat{57} \quad \hat{68}$	
13	24	57 +	68	$\hat{13} \quad \hat{24} \quad \hat{57} + \hat{68}$	
	W_{011}			\hat{W}_{011}	
14 +	23 +	58 +	67	$\hat{14} + \hat{23} + \hat{58} + \hat{67}$	
14 +	23	58 +	67	$\hat{14} \quad \hat{23} + \hat{58} \quad \hat{67}$	
14 +	23	58	67	$\hat{14} + \hat{23} \quad \hat{58} \quad \hat{67}$	
14 +	23 +	58	67	$\hat{14} \quad \hat{23} \quad \hat{58} + \hat{67}$	
	W_{100}			\hat{W}_{100}	
15 +	26 +	37 +	48	$\hat{15} + \hat{26} + \hat{37} + \hat{48}$	
15 +	26	37	48	$\hat{15} + \hat{26} \quad \hat{37} \quad \hat{48}$	
15	26 +	37	48	$\hat{15} \quad \hat{26} + \hat{37} \quad \hat{48}$	
15	26	37 +	48	$\hat{15} \quad \hat{26} \quad \hat{37} + \hat{48}$	
	W_{101}			\hat{W}_{101}	
16 +	25 +	38 +	47	$\hat{16} + \hat{25} + \hat{38} + \hat{47}$	
16 +	25	38 +	47	$\hat{16} \quad \hat{25} + \hat{38} \quad \hat{47}$	
16 +	25	38	47	$\hat{16} + \hat{25} \quad \hat{38} \quad \hat{47}$	
16 +	25 +	38	47	$\hat{16} \quad \hat{25} \quad \hat{38} + \hat{47}$	
	W_{110}			\hat{W}_{110}	
17 +	28 +	35 +	46	$\hat{17} + \hat{28} + \hat{35} + \hat{46}$	
17	28 +	35 +	46	$\hat{17} + \hat{28} \quad \hat{35} \quad \hat{46}$	
17	28 +	35	46	$\hat{17} \quad \hat{28} + \hat{35} \quad \hat{46}$	
17 +	28 +	35	46	$\hat{17} \quad \hat{28} \quad \hat{35} + \hat{46}$	
	W_{111}			\hat{W}_{111}	
18 +	27 +	36 +	45	$\hat{18} + \hat{27} + \hat{36} + \hat{45}$	
18	27 +	36 +	45	$\hat{18} + \hat{27} \quad \hat{36} \quad \hat{45}$	
18 +	27	36 +	45	$\hat{18} \quad \hat{27} + \hat{36} \quad \hat{45}$	
18 +	27 +	36	45	$\hat{18} \quad \hat{27} + \hat{36} + \hat{45}$	

Figure 6: The conjugate partition of Fig 2 in the $\hat{\cdot}$ -representation.

$$\begin{array}{l}
 \text{diagf1; 1;1; 1;1; 1g} \\
 \text{diagf1;1; 1; 1;0;0g} \\
 \text{diagf1;1;1;1; 2; 2g} \\
 \text{diagf1; 1; 1;1;0;0g} \\
 \text{diagf1; 1;1; 1; 2;2g}
 \end{array}$$

$ \begin{array}{l} W_{001} \\ 12 \quad 34 \\ 12 + 34 + 56 \\ 12 + 34 \quad 2 \quad 56 \\ W_{010} \\ 13 + 24 \\ 13 \quad 24 \\ W_{011} \\ 14 + 23 \\ 14 + 23 \\ W_{100} \\ 15 + 26 \\ 15 \quad 26 \\ W_{101} \\ 16 + 25 \\ 16 + 25 \\ W_{110} \\ 35 + 46 \\ 35 \quad 46 \\ W_{111} \\ 36 + 45 \\ 36 + 45 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{l} \hat{W}_{001} \\ \hat{12} \quad \hat{34} \\ \hat{12} + \hat{34} + \hat{56} \\ \hat{12} + \hat{34} \quad 2 \hat{56} \\ \hat{W}_{010} \\ \hat{13} + \hat{24} \\ \hat{13} \quad \hat{24} \\ \hat{W}_{011} \\ \hat{14} + \hat{23} \\ \hat{14} + \hat{23} \\ \hat{W}_{100} \\ \hat{15} + \hat{26} \\ \hat{15} \quad \hat{26} \\ W_{101} \\ \hat{16} + \hat{25} \\ \hat{16} \quad \hat{25} \\ \hat{W}_{110} \\ \hat{35} + \hat{46} \\ \hat{35} \quad \hat{46} \\ \hat{W}_{111} \\ \hat{36} + \hat{45} \\ \hat{36} \quad \hat{45} \end{array} $
--	--

Figure 7: The conjugate partition of Fig.3 in the $\hat{\cdot}$ -representation.




Figure 8: Grouping the generators of $\mathfrak{su}(8)$ into 7 conjugate pairs according to the binary partitioning, the 4 here being a generator, either a a_{ij} or a \hat{a}_{ij} ; the commutator of any two conjugate pairs, associated to the 3-digit strings and , falling in a 3rd pair, associated to the bitwise-addition string + , by the condition of closure.




Figure 9: A conjugate partition and a quotient algebra given by the intrinsic center subalgebra of $su(4)$.




Figure 10: The partition and algebra of Fig.9 in the $-$ representation.

Figure 11: An alternative of the conjugate partition and the quotient algebra for that in Fig.10.