A perturbative treatm ent for the bound states of the Hellm ann potential

Sam eer M . Ikhdair and Ramazan Severy

D epartm ent of Physics, Near East University, Nicosia, North Cyprus, Mersin-10, Turkey

^YD epartm ent of Physics, Middle East Technical University, 06531 Ankara, Turkey.

(M arch 29, 2024)

A bstract

A new approximation formalism is applied to study the bound states of

the Helm ann potential, which represents the superposition of the attractive

Coulom b potential a=r and the Yukawa potential bexp (r)=r of arbitrary

strength b and screening parameter . Although the analytic expressions for

the energy eigenvalues $E_{n;l}$ yield quite accurate results for a wide range of

n; 'in the limit of very weak screening, the results become gradually worse

as the strength b and the screening coe cient increase. This is because

that the expansion parameter is not su ciently small enough to quarantee

the convergence of the expansion series for the energy levels.

Keywords: Hellmann potential, Perturbation theory

PACS No: 03.65-W; 03.65 Ge; 03.65 Sq

sikhdair@ neu .edu .tr

^ysever@ m etu .edu .tr

1

I. IN TRODUCTION

A dam ow ski [1] has presented a study of the system atics of the energy eigenvalues of the twoparticles interacting via the superposition of the Coulomb and Yukawa potential (SCYP):

$$V(r) = a = r + b exp(r) = r;$$
 (1)

where a and b are the strengths of the Coulomb and the Yukawa potentials, respectively, and is the screening parameter. It is assumed that a and are positive whereas b can be positive or negative. The potential in (1) with b positive was rst suggested by Helmann [2,3] many years ago and henceforth this potential will be referred to as the Hellmann potential irrespectively of the sign of b. The Hellmann potential has been used by various authors to represent the electron-core [4,5] or the electron-ion [6,7] interaction. Varshni and Shukla [8] used this model potential for alkali hydride molecules. D as and Chakravarty [9] have proposed that such a potential is suitable for the study of inner-shell ionization problems.

The bound-state energies of the Helm ann potential for various sets of values of b and have been studied elaborately by A dam ow ski in a variational fram ework using ten variational param eters. The energy eigenvalues have been predicted very accurately but the calculations involve extensive computational time and e ort. Moreover, compact analytic expressions far the energy eigenvalues are not obtainable. On the other hand, Dutt et al [10] have also been investigated the bound-state energies as well as the wave functions of this potential using the large-N expansion technique.

In this paper, we study the bound-state properties by applying a new methodology [11] based on the decompose of the radial Schrodinger equation into two pieces having an exactly solvable part and an additional piece leading to either a closed analytical solution or an approximate treatment depending on the nature of the additional perturbed potential. The application [12,13] of this novel treatment to the dierent problems in both, bound and continuum regions, have been proven the success of the formalism. We demonstrate here how such interaction potential can be simply treated within the framework of the present formalism.

One of the prime motivations of the present study is to explore the regions of validity of this approximation formalism for the superposition of potentials such as the one in (1) which manifests dierent structures for various range of values of b and \cdot . Our calculations reveal that the degree of accuracy of the predicted eigenvalues vaires appreciably for dierent range of values of b and and for dierent quantum states. These observations have relevance in the context of applications of this novel treatment to realistic problems of atom ic physics. The other motivation is that potential (1) with a=0 and b=2 can be reduced into the static screened C oulomb potential (SSCP) of the simple form:

$$V(r) = (Z) \exp(r) = r;$$
 (2)

where $a = (137.037)^{-1}$ is the ne-structure constant and Z is the atom ic number, is often used to compute bound-state normalizations and energy levels of neutral atoms [14,15,16,17] which have been studied over the past years. It is known that SSCP yields reasonable results only for the innermost states when Z is large. However, for the outermost and middle atom ic states, it gives rather poor results. Although the bound-state energies for the SSCP with Z = 1 have been studied [18] in the light of the shifted large-N method. Recently, we have also investigated that this novel treatment is useful in predicting bound-state energy levels of light to heavy neutral atoms [17].

The contents of this paper is as follows. In section II we breit youtline the method with all necessary formulae to perform the current calculations. In section III we apply the approach to the Schrodinger equation with Hellmann potential and present the results obtained analytically for the bound-state energy values up to third perturbation energy shift. Section IV contains the numerical results. Finally, in section V we give our conclusions.

II.THE METHOD

For the consideration of spherically sym m etric potentials, the corresponding Schrodinger equation, in the bound state domain, for the radial wave function reads

$$\frac{h^{2}}{2m} \frac{\binom{0}{n}(r)}{\binom{n}{n}(r)} = V(r) \quad E_{n};$$
 (3)

with

$$V (r) = V_0(r) + \frac{h^2}{2m} \frac{(+1)^{\#}}{r^2} + V (r);$$
 (4)

where V(r) is a perturbing potential and $_n(r) = _n(r)u_n(r)$ is the full radial wave function, in which $_n(r)$ is the known normalized eigenfunction of the unperturbed Schrodinger equation whereas $u_n(r)$ is a moderating function corresponding to the perturbing potential. Following the prescription of Refs. [11,12,13], we may rewrite (3) in the form:

$$\frac{h^2}{2m} - \frac{\binom{0}{n}(r)}{\binom{n}{n}(r)} + \frac{u_n^0(r)}{u_n(r)} + 2 - \frac{\binom{0}{n}(r)u_n^0(r)}{\binom{n}{n}(r)u_n(r)} = V(r) \quad E_n:$$
 (5)

The logarithm ic derivatives of the unperturbed $_{n}$ (r) and perturbed u_{n} (r) wave functions are given by

$$W_{n}(r) = \frac{h}{2m} \frac{\int_{n}^{0} (r)}{\int_{n}^{n} (r)} \text{ and } W_{n} = \frac{h}{2m} \frac{u_{n}^{0}(r)}{u_{n}(r)};$$
 (6)

which leads to

$$\frac{h^{2}}{2m} \frac{{}_{n}^{0}(r)}{{}_{n}(r)} = W_{n}^{2}(r) \qquad \frac{h}{2m} W_{n}^{0}(r) = V_{0}(r) + \frac{h^{2}}{2m} \frac{\text{'('+1)}}{r^{2}} {}^{\#} \qquad \text{"}_{n};$$
 (7)

where $"_n$ is the eigenvalue for the exactly solvable potential of interest, and

$$\frac{h^{2}}{2m} \frac{u_{n}^{(0)}(r)}{u_{n}(r)} + 2 \frac{\int_{-n}^{0} (r) u_{n}^{(0)}(r)}{n(r) u_{n}(r)} = W_{n}^{2}(r) \frac{h}{2m} W_{n}^{(0)}(r) + 2W_{n}(r) W_{n}(r) = V(r)$$
(8)

in which $\mathbf{u}_n = \mathbf{E}_n^{(1)} + \mathbf{E}_n^{(2)} + \mathbf{E}_n^{(3)} +$ is the correction term to the energy due to V(r) and $\mathbf{E}_n = \mathbf{u}_n + \mathbf{u}_n$: If Eq. (8), which is the most significant piece of the present form alism, can be solved analytically as in (7), then the whole problem, in Eq. (3) is

$$[W_n(r) + W_n(r)]^2 = \frac{h}{2m} [W_n(r) + W_n(r)]^0 = V(r) = E_n;$$
 (9)

which is a well known treatment within the frame of supersymmetric quantum theory (SSQT) [19]. Thus, if the whole spectrum and corresponding eigenfunctions of the unperturbed interaction potential are known, then one can easily calculate the required superpotential W_n (r) for any state of interest leading to direct computation of related corrections to the unperturbed energy and wave function.

For the perturbation technique, we can split the given potential (3) into two parts. The main part corresponds to a shape invariant potential, Eq. (7), for which the superpotential is known analytically and the remaining part is treated as a perturbation, Eq. (8). Therefore, it is obvious that Hellmann potential can be treated using this prescription. In this case, the zeroth-order term corresponds to the Coulomb potential while higher-order terms consitute the perturbation. However, the perturbation term in its present form cannot be solved exactly through Eq. (8). Thus, one should expand the functions related to the perturbation in terms of the perturbation parameter.

$$V(r;) = \int_{i=1}^{x^{1}} V_{i}(r); \quad W_{n}(r;) = \int_{i=1}^{x^{1}} W_{n}^{(i)}(r); \quad E_{n}() = \int_{i=1}^{x^{1}} E_{n}^{(i)}; \quad (10)$$

where i denotes the perturbation order. Substitution of the above expansions into Eq. (8) and equating terms with the same power of on both sides up to 0 (4) gives

$$2W_{n}(r)W_{n}^{(1)}(r) = \frac{h}{2m} \frac{dW_{n}^{(1)}(r)}{dr} = V_{1}(r) = E_{n}^{(1)};$$
 (11)

$$W_{n}^{(1)}(r)W_{n}^{(1)}(r) + 2W_{n}(r)W_{n}^{(2)}(r) = \frac{h}{2m}\frac{dW_{n}^{(2)}(r)}{dr} = V_{2}(r) \quad E_{n}^{(2)};$$
(12)

$$2^{h} W_{n}(r)W_{n}^{(3)}(r) + W_{n}^{(1)}(r)W_{n}^{(2)}(r) \xrightarrow{i} \frac{h}{2m} \frac{dW_{n}^{(3)}(r)}{dr} = V_{3}(r) E_{n}^{(3)};$$
(13)

Hence, unlike the other perturbation theories, Eq. (8) and its expansion, Eqs. (11)-(14), give a exibility for the easy calculations of the perturbative corrections to energy and

wave functions for the nth state of interest through an appropriately chosen perturbed superpotential.

III. APPLICATION TO THE HELLM ANN POTENTIAL

Considering the recent interest in various power-law potentials in the literature, we work through the article within the frame of low screening parameter. In this case, the Hellmann potential can be expanded in power series of the screening parameter as [13,17,20]

$$V(r) = \frac{a}{r} + \frac{b}{r} \exp(r) = \frac{a}{r} + \frac{b^{\frac{1}{2}}}{r} V_{i}(r)^{i};$$
 (15)

where the perturbation coe cients V $_{\rm i}$ are given by

$$V_1 = +1$$
; $V_2 = 1=2$; $V_3 = 1=6$; $V_4 = 1=24$; (16)

We now apply this approximation method to the Hellmann potential with the angular momentum barrier

$$V(r) = \frac{a}{r} + \frac{b}{r} \exp(-r) + \frac{(+1)h^2}{2m r^2} = V_0(r) + \frac{(+1)h^2}{2m r^2} + V(r);$$
 (17)

where the rst piece is the shape invariant zeroth-order which is an exactly solvable piece corresponding to the unperturbed C oulom b potential with $V_0(r) = (a b) = r$ while $V(r) = b + (b^2 = 2)r$ (b $^3 = 6)r^2 + (b^4 = 24)r^3$ is the perturbation term. The literature is rich with examples of particular solutions for such power-law potentials employed in dierent elds of physics, for recent applications see Refs. [21,22]. At this stage one may wonder why the series expansion is truncated at a lower order. This can be understood as follows. It is widely appreciated that convergence is not an important or even desirable property for series approximations in physical problems. Specifically, a slow by convergent approximation which requires many terms to achieve reasonable accuracy is much less valuable than the divergent series which gives accurate answers in a few terms. This is clearly the case for the Hellmann problem [23]. However, it is worthwhile to note that the main contributions come from the rst three terms. Thereby, the present calculations are performed up to the

third-order involving only these additional potential terms, which suprisingly provide highly accurate results for small screening parameter:

A.G round State Calculations
$$(n = 0)$$

In the light of Eq. (7), the zeroth-order calculations leading to exact solutions can be carried out readily by setting the ground-state superpotential and the unperturbed exact energy as

$$W_{n=0}(r) = \frac{h}{2m} \frac{+1}{r} + \frac{r}{2} \frac{m}{(+1)h}; \quad E_n^{(0)} = \frac{m (a b)^2}{2h^2 (n + + 1)^2}; \quad n = 0;1;2; \dots$$
(18)

and from the literature, the corresponding normalized Coulomb bound-state wave function [13,17,24]

$$n_{n}^{(C)}(r) = N_{n,1}^{(C)} r^{+1} \exp[-r] L_{n}^{2+1} [2 r];$$
 (19)

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{in which N}_{n;1}^{(C)} = \frac{h}{\frac{2m \; (a \; b)}{(n+\; '+\; 1)h^2}} \frac{i}{\frac{1}{(n+\; '+\; 1)}} \frac{r}{\frac{1}{(n+\; '+\; 1)}} \frac{r}{\frac{m \; (a \; b)n\; !}{h^2 \; (n+\; 2\; '+\; 1)!}} \text{ is a norm alized constant, } & = \frac{m \; (a \; b)}{(n+\; '+\; 1)h^2} \\ \text{and L}_n^k \; (x) = \frac{P}{m}_{n=\; 0} \; (\quad 1)^m \frac{(n+k)\; !}{(n\; m\;)\; !(m+k)\; !m\; !} x^m \quad \text{is an associate Laguarre polynom ial function [25].} \end{array}$

For the calculation of corrections to the zeroth-order energy and wavefunction, one needs to consider the expressions leading to the rst to the third-order perturbation given by Eqs. (11) { (14). Multiplication of each term in these equations by $\frac{2}{n}$ (r), and bearing in mind the superpotentials given in Eq. (6), one can obtain the straightforward expressions for the rst-order correction to the energy and its superpotential:

$$E_{n}^{(1)} = \sum_{n=1}^{Z_{1}} (r) \frac{b^{2}}{2} r dr; W_{n}^{(1)}(r) = \frac{p - 1}{h} \frac{1}{x_{n}^{2}(r)} \sum_{n=1}^{Z_{1}} (x) E_{n}^{(1)} \frac{b^{2}}{2} x dx; \qquad (20)$$

and for the second-order correction and its superpotential:

$$E_{n}^{(2)} = \begin{bmatrix} Z_{1} & & & \\ & & 2\\ & & n \end{bmatrix} (r) \begin{bmatrix} & b^{3}\\ & 6 \end{bmatrix} r^{2} W_{n}^{(1)} (r) W_{n}^{(1)} (r) dr;$$

$$W_{n}^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{P \frac{1}{2m}}{b} \frac{1}{X_{n}^{2}(\mathbf{r})} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbf{r}}{n} (\mathbf{x}) E_{n}^{(2)} + W_{n}^{(1)}(\mathbf{r}) W_{n}^{(1)}(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{b^{3}}{6} x^{2} dx;$$
(21)

and for the third-order correction and its superpotential:

$$E_{n}^{(3)} = \sum_{1}^{2} \sum_{n}^{2} (r) \frac{b^{4}}{24} r^{3} \quad W_{n}^{(1)} (r) W_{n}^{(2)} (r) dr;$$

$$W_{n}^{(3)} (r) = \frac{p}{2m} \frac{1}{x^{2}(r)} \sum_{n}^{2} (x) E_{n}^{(3)} + W_{n}^{(1)} (x) W_{n}^{(2)} (x) \frac{b^{4}}{24} x^{3} dx; \qquad (22)$$

for any state of interest. The above expressions calculate $W_n^{(1)}(r)$; $W_n^{(2)}(r)$ and $W_n^{(3)}(r)$ explicitly from the energy corrections $E_n^{(1)}$; $E_n^{(2)}$ and $E_n^{(3)}$ respectively, which are in turn used to calculate the moderating wave function $u_n(r)$:

Thus, using Eqs. (20)-(22), one indicate the zeeroth order energy shift and their moderating superpotentials, for a $\frac{1}{6}$ b; as

$$E_{0}^{(1)} = \frac{h^{2}b(3N_{0}^{2} L)}{4(a b)m}^{2}; W_{0}^{(1)}(r) = \frac{hbN_{0}^{2}}{2} \frac{hbN_{0}^{2}}{2m(a b)} r;$$

$$E_{0}^{(2)} = \frac{h^{4}bN_{0}^{2}(5N_{0}^{2} 3L + 1)}{12(a b)^{2}m^{2}}^{3} \frac{h^{6}b^{2}N_{0}^{4}(5N_{0}^{2} 3L + 1)}{16(a b)^{4}m^{3}}^{4};$$

$$W_{0}^{(2)}(r) = \frac{hN_{0}^{(1)}(a b)mr + h^{2}N_{0}N_{1}^{(1)} 3h^{2}b^{2}N_{0}^{2} + 4mb(a b)^{2}^{1}}{2mm^{2}(a b)^{4}} r;$$

$$E_{0}^{(3)} = \frac{h^{6}bN_{0}^{2}(5N_{0}^{2} 3L)(5N_{0}^{2} 3L + 1)}{96(a b)^{3}m^{3}}^{4} + \frac{h^{8}b^{2}N_{0}^{4}(5N_{0}^{2} 3L + 1)(9N_{0}^{2} 5L)}{48(a b)^{5}m^{4}}^{5}$$

$$+ \frac{h^{10}b^{3}N_{0}^{6} (5N_{0}^{2} 3L + 1) (9N_{0}^{2} 5L)}{64 (a b)^{7}m^{5}} ^{6};$$
 (23)

where N₀ = ('+ 1); N₁ = ('+ 2) and L = '('+ 1): Therefore, the analytical expressions for the lowest energy and full radial wave function of the Helm ann potential are then given by

$$\begin{split} E_{n=0;\cdot} &= E_{n=0}^{(0)} \quad b + E_0^{(1)} + E_0^{(2)} + E_0^{(3)} + & \underset{\vec{n}=0;\cdot}{i_{0}}(r) & \underset{n=0;\cdot}{(C)}(r) u_{n=0;\cdot}(r); \\ u_{n=0;\cdot}(r) &= \exp & \frac{p}{2m} Z_r & ! \\ u_{n=0;\cdot}(r) &= \exp & \frac{p}{h} Z_r & (24) \\ \end{split}$$

Hence, the explicit form of the full wave function in (24) for the ground state is

$$\int_{n=0; \cdot}^{n} (r) = \frac{2m (a b)}{('+1)h^{2}}^{\#'+1} \frac{1}{('+1)} \frac{\int_{u}^{v}}{\frac{(a b)m}{h^{2}(2'+1)!}} r^{'+1} \exp(P(r));$$
 (25)

with P (r) = $\frac{P}{i=2} p_i r^i$ is a polynomial of third order having the following ∞ exients:

$$p_2 = \frac{bN_0^2}{4(a \ b)} \frac{N_1h^2c}{m} \quad 1; \quad p_3 = \frac{1}{6}bc^2;$$
 (26)

in which

$$c = \frac{N_0}{12m (a b)^3} h^3 h^2 b N_0^2 + 4m (a b)^2$$
 (27)

B.Excited state calculations (n 1)

The procedures applied in the calculations of the ground states becomes extremely cumbersome in the description of radial excitations when nodes of wavefunctions are taken into account, in particular during the higher order calculations. A lithough several attempts have been made to bypass this diculty and improve calculations in dealing with excited states, (cf. e.g. [26], and the references therein) within the frame of supersymmetric quantum mechanics.

Using Eqs. (6), (18) and (19), the superpotential W $_{\rm n}$ (r) which is related to the excited states can be readily calculated by m eans of Eqs. (20)-(22). Therefore, the energy shifts in the rst excited state, with a \pm b; are:

$$E_{1}^{(1)} = \frac{h^{2}b(3N_{1}^{2} L)}{4m (a b)}^{2}; W_{1}^{(1)}(r) = \frac{hbN_{1}^{2}}{2^{7} 2m (a b)}r;$$

$$E_{1}^{(2)} = \frac{h^{4}bN_{1}^{2}(5N_{1}^{2} 3L + 1)}{12 (a b)^{2}m^{2}}^{3} \frac{h^{6}b^{2}N_{1}^{4}(5N_{1}^{2} 3L + 1)}{16 (a b)^{4}m^{3}}^{4};$$

$$W_{1}^{(2)}(r) = \frac{hN_{1}^{(1)}(a b)mr + h^{2}N_{1}N_{2}^{(1)}(a b)^{4}}{24^{7} 2m m^{2}(a b)^{4}}r;$$

$$E_{1}^{(3)} = \frac{h^{6}bN_{1}^{2} (5N_{1}^{2} 3L) (5N_{1}^{2} 3L) (5N_{1}^{2} 3L + 1)}{96 (a b)^{3}m^{3}} {}^{4} + \frac{h^{8}b^{2}N_{1}^{4} (5N_{1}^{2} 3L + 1) (9N_{1}^{2} 5L)}{48 (a b)^{5}m^{4}} {}^{5}$$

$$+ \frac{h^{10}N_{1}^{6} (5N_{1}^{2} 3L + 1) (9N_{1}^{2} 5L)}{64 (a b)^{7}m^{5}} {}^{6};$$
 (28)

Therefore, the approximated energy value of the Hellmann potential corresponding to the rst excited state is

$$E_{n=1}$$
; $= E_1^{(0)}$ b $+ E_1^{(1)} + E_1^{(2)} + E_1^{(3)} +$ (29)

The related radial wavefunction can be expressed in an analytical form in the light of Eqs (20), (21) and (24), if required. The approx ation used in this work would not a ect considerably the sensitivity of the calculations. On the other hand, it is found analytically that our investigations put forward an interesting hierarchy between $W_n^{(1)}(r)$ terms of different quantum states in the rst order with a Θ b after circum venting the nodal disculties elegantly,

$$W_{n}^{(1)}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{hbN_{n}^{2}}{2^{n} (a b)} \mathbf{r};$$
 (30)

where $N_n = (n + ' + 1)$: Therefore, for the second excited state (n = 2) leads to the rst-order energy shift and superpotential

$$E_{2}^{(1)} = \frac{h^{2}b(3N_{2}^{2} - L)}{4m (a b)}^{2}; W_{2}^{(1)}(r) = \frac{hbN_{2}^{2}}{2^{2} - 2m (a b)}r;$$
(31)

where N $_2$ = ('+ 3): Thus, the use of W $_2^{(1)}$ (r) in Eq.(21) gives the energy shift and supersymmetric potential in the second-order with a \in b as

$$E_{2}^{(2)} = \frac{h^{4}bN_{2}^{2} (5N_{2}^{2} 3L + 1)}{12(a b)^{2}m^{2}} {}_{3} \frac{h^{6}b^{2}N_{2}^{4} (5N_{2}^{2} 3L + 1)}{16(a b)^{4}m^{3}} {}_{4};$$

$$W_{2}^{(2)}(r) = \frac{hN_{2}(a + b)m r + h^{2}N_{2}N_{3}^{2} + 4mb(a + b)^{2}^{1}}{24^{2}m(a + b)^{4}m^{2}}r;$$
(32)

Finally, we obtain the third-order energy shift as

$$E_{2}^{(3)} = \frac{h^{6}bN_{2}^{2} (5N_{2}^{2} 3L) (5N_{2}^{2} 3L + 1)}{96 (a b)^{3}m^{3}} {}^{4} + \frac{h^{8}b^{2}N_{2}^{4} (5N_{2}^{2} 3L + 1) (9N_{2}^{2} 5L)}{48 (a b)^{5}m^{4}} {}^{5}$$

$$+ \frac{h^{10}b^{3}N_{2}^{6} (5N_{2}^{2} 3L + 1) (9N_{2}^{2} 5L)}{64 (a b)^{7}m^{5}} ^{6} :$$
 (33)

Therefore, the approximated energy eigenvalue of the Hellmann potential corresponding to the second excited state is

$$E_{n=2} = E_2^{(0)} b + E_2^{(1)} + E_2^{(2)} + E_2^{(3)} +$$
 (34)

In general, from the supersymmetry, we not out the nth state energy shifts together with their supersymmetric potentials with a ϵ b as

$$E_n^{(1)} = \frac{h^2 b [3N_n^2 L]}{4 (a b)m}^2 ; W_n^{(1)} (r) = \frac{h b N_n^2}{2^2 [2m]} (a b)^2 r$$
:

$$E_{n}^{(2)} = \frac{h^{4}bN_{n}^{2} [5N_{n}^{2} 3L + 1]}{12 (a b)^{2}m^{2}} {}_{3} \frac{h^{6}b^{2}N_{n}^{4} [5N_{n}^{2} 3L + 1]}{16 (a b)^{4}m^{3}} {}_{4};$$

$$W_{n}^{(2)}(r) = \frac{hN_{n}(a + b)m r + h^{2}N_{n}N_{n+1}^{2} 3h^{2}b^{2}N_{n}^{2} + 4mb(a + b)^{2}}{24^{9} 2m m^{2}(a + b)^{4}} r;$$

$$E_{n}^{(3)} = \frac{h^{6}bN_{n}^{2} [5N_{n}^{2} 3L] [5N_{n}^{2} 3L + 1]}{96 (a b)^{3}m^{3}} + \frac{h^{8}b^{2}N_{n}^{4} [5N_{n}^{2} 3L + 1] [9N_{n}^{2} 5L]}{48 (a b)^{5}m^{4}} + \frac{h^{10}b^{3}N_{n}^{6} (5N_{n}^{2} 3L + 1) (9N_{n}^{2} 5L)}{64 (a b)^{7}m^{5}} {}^{6};$$
(35)

where $N_{n+1} = (n + 1 + 2)$: Consequently, the total energy for the nth state is

$$E_{n}$$
; $= E_{n}^{(0)}$ b $+ E_{n}^{(1)} + E_{n}^{(2)} + E_{n}^{(3)} +$ (36)

IV.NUM ERICAL RESULTS

For the num erical work, we take a=2 and thus our b is to be identied as the corresponding rescaled parameter in Adam ow ski's paper. Consequently, our energy eigenvalues are like those obtained by Adam ow ski. In Tables 1 and 2, we compute the binding energies, $E_{n;l}$; of the lowest-lying states (from 1s up to 4f) for various values of b=1; 2; 4; 10

as functions of the screening parameter obtained from the analytic expressions given in Eqs. (18), (35) and (36). The dependance of the energy levels on b is shown in Table 3 for the states 1s up to 3d: The results for the higher excited states (from 5s up to 7i) are presented in Table 4. The energy eigenvalues 1s 6h for the attractive Yukawa potential with = 0:1 as functions of b are shown in Table 5. The predicted results are then compared with the accurate energy eigenvalues [1] obtained by A dam ow ski using a high precision variational technique.

Therefore, we display our results in Tables 1 and 2 only for some sets of values of b and : A lthough we do not present here all the energy eigenvalues considered by Adam owski, our calculation reveals certain interesting features of this approximation method.

The present calculations show that the binding spectra of the Hellm ann potential possess the following properties.

- (i) For low and strong coupling of b in Yukawa part, the energy eigenvalues obtained from the perturbation method are in good agreement with the variational results for low values of the screening parameter . O briously, when is small the Coulomb eld character prevails and the perturbation method has been adjusted, of course, to that. However, the results become gradually worse as the screening parameter becomes large. Appreciable discrepancy of our results from the variational calculations occurs almost for most states if > 0.2: We suspect that this happen because the perturbative parts of potential becomes so shallow and its minimum shifts appreciably from the minimum of the true potential. For certain values of , the perturbation potentials for some states becomes so shallow that the expansion for the energy seies becomes divergent in the sense that higher perturbation terms in Eq.(35) dominate over the unperturbed term in Eq.(18) and cousequently one gets anomalous results.
- (ii) For alm ost m ost strongly attractive (negative) bbut small , it is possible to determ ine the binding energy eigenvalues for 1s up to 4f states.
- (iii) For a given n; the results of the energy eigenvalues, E_n increase with increasing 'if the Yukawa potential is attractive (b < 0), and E_n decrease with increasing 'if the Yukawa

potential is repulsive (b > 0); i.e., for '> '; E_n , E_n , O or O or O or O if b < 0 or O if b < 0 or O; respectively. This is found to be consistent with the level ordering theorem of O rose and O artin [27]. The s levels are mostly split of from the hydrogenlike levels O if O if O and upwards for O or O if O if O if O is a period of O and upwards for O if O is a period of O increase. The shift of the energy levels with respect to O is due to an in which of the nite range Yukawa potential in Eq.(2).

- (iv) For the attractive Yukawa potential there exist some values of b and for which the energy levels with larger n and smaller 'become lower than the levels with smaller n and larger'; i.e., E_n , E_{n^0} , if $n > n^0 3$ and '< ':
- (v) For the repulsive Yukawa potential there are some values of the strength b and the screening parameter—for which the energy eigenvalues for larger n and 'become lower than those for smaller n and '; i.e., E_n , E_{n^0} , if $n > n^0$ 2 and '> ':

On the other hand, in Tables 6 and 7, we present the ground and excited energies (in units such that $h^2=2m=1$) for several states '= 0;1;2 calculated for the potential in Eq.(2) and compare them with other works [28]. One nds that our results are remarkably good for the '= 0 ground state. As 'increases for a given b; the error increases. However as b increases, the relative error decreases rapidly. This is particularly useful when b is large. For large b; the calculated energies compare very well with high precision numerical calculation presented by other works [11,12,28].

V.CONCLUSIONS

The detailed analysis of the results in terms of various domains of parameters b and of the Hellmann potential reveals a few important facts concerning the application of the perturbed formalism. In the present study the discrete energy eigenvalues for the Hellmann potential have been calculated as functions of the strength b and the screening parameter of the Yukawa potential. For b=0 the spectrum is given by Eq. (18). The energy eigenvalues E_n , for the Hellmann potential are shifted upwards or downwards with respect

to the hydrogenlike levels, Eq. (18), if b > 0 or b < 0; respectively. The absolute values of deviations of E_n , from E_n^H decrease with increasing quantum number '; which results in the corresponding ordering of the energy levels for a given n: T his is due to the in uence of the nite range Yukawa potential (YP), which decreases with increasing ':

The properties of the energy spectrum for the Hellm ann potential obtained in the present work have many analogies in atom ic, solid-state, and quark physics. Property (i), giving the order of the energy levels E_n , with the samen; dependent on 'and on the sign of the YP, has an application to such systems as an exciton and a bound polaron in polar semiconductors and ionic crystals. These systems consist of two oppositely charged particles interacting with them selves through a polarizable medium. The energy levels of both the systems exhibit this case, although the totale ective potential is even more complicated than the Hellm ann potential, being a linear combination of the Coulomb potential and an additional potential, which is a sum of two Yukawa potentials with dierent strengths and screening parameters, and an exponential potential. However, the net contribution of the additional potentials megative for the exciton and positive for the bound polaron and one of the Yukawa potentials dominates at small distances [29,30,31].

Another system, having the energy levels ordered similarly to those for the Hellmann potential with b > 0; is the quarkonium (the bound state of heavy quark-antiquark pair). For each n the energy levels of the quarkonium system increase with increasing ': This can be explained in the frames of the simple model, assuming that the quark interact via the potential being the superposition of the attractive Coulomb potential and the positive linear potential (Comell potential) [32].

ACKNOW LEDGM ENTS

Sam eer M. Ikhdair wishes to thank the president of the Near East University Dr. Suat Gunsel, vice presidents Prof. Dr. Senol Bektas and Prof. Dr. Fakhreddin Mam edov for the partial nancial support. He also dedicates this work to his family members for their

love and assistance. This research was partially supported by the Scientic and Technical Research Council of Turkey.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Adam owski, Phys. Rev. A 31 (1985) 43.
- [2] H. Hellmann, Acta Physicochim. URSS 1 (1935) 913; 4 (1936) 225; 4 (1936) 324; J. Chem. Phys. 3 (1935) 61.
- [3] H. Hellm ann and W. Kassatotchkin, Acta Physicochim. URSS 5 (1936) 23; J. Chem. Phys. 4 (1936) 324.
- [4] P. Gombas, Die Statistische Theorie des Atoms und ihre Anwendungen (Springer, Berlin, 1949), p. 304.
- [5] J. Callaway, Phys. Rev. 112 (1958) 322; G. J. Iafrate, J. Chem. Phys. 45 (1966) 1072; J. Callaway and P. S. Laghos, Phys. Rev. 187 (1969) 192; J. McGinn, J. Chem. Phys. 53 (1970) 3635.
- [6] V.K.Gryaznov, Zh.Eksp.Teor.Fiz.78 (1980) 573 [Sov.Phys.JETP 51 (1980) 288].
- [7] V.A.A lekseev, V.E. Fortov and I.T. Yakubov, Usp. Fiz. Nauk 139 (1983) 193 [Sov. Phys.-Usp. 26 (1983) 99].
- [8] Y.P. Varshniand R.C. Shukla, Rev. Mod. Phys. 35 (1963) 130.
- [9] J N.Das and S.Chakravarty, Phys. Rev. A 32 (1985) 176.
- [10] R. Dutt, U. Mukherji and Y. P. Varshni, Phys. Rev. A 34 (1986) 777.
- [11] B. Gonul, Chin. Phys. Lett. 21 (2004) 1685; B. Gonul, K. Koksal and E. Bak r, [arX iv quant-ph/0507098].
- [12] B. Gonul, Chin. Phys. Lett. 21 (2004) 2330; B. Gonuland M. Kocak, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 20 (2005) 355; B. Gonul, N. Celik and E. Olgar, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 20 (2005) 1683; B. Gonuland M. Kocak, Chin. Phys. Lett. 20 (2005) 2742; ibid. Mod. Phys. Lett. A 20 (2005) 1983.

- [13] S.M. Ikhdair, [arX iv quant-ph/0509004].
- [14] J.McEnnan, L.Kisseland R.H.Pratt, Phys.Rev.A 13 (1976) 532.
- [15] C.H.Mehta and S.H.Patil, Phys. Rev. A 17 (1978) 34.
- [16] R. Dutt and Y. P. Varshni, Z. Phys. A 313 (!983) 143; ibid. Z. Phys. D 2 (!986) 207;
 C. S. Lai and M. P. Madan, ibid. 316 (1984) 131.
- [17] S.M. Ikhdair and R. Sever, [arX iv quant-ph/0511209].
- [18] T. Imbo, A. Pagnam enta and U. Sukhatme, Phys. Lett. 105A (1984) 183.
- [19] F. Cooper, A. Khare and U.P. Sukhatme, Phys. Rep. 251 (1995) 267.
- [20] C.S. Lai, Phys. Rev. A 26 (1982) 2245.
- [21] M. Zonjil, J. Math. Chem. 26 (1999) 157.
- [22] M . A lberg and L. W ilets, Phys. Lett. A 286 (2001) 7.
- [23] D.J.Doren and D.R.Herschbach, Phys. Rev. A 34 (1986) 2665.
- [24] H.A.Bethe and E.E.Salpeter, Quantum Mechanics of One-and Two-Electron Atoms (springer, Berlin, 1957).
- [25] L.S.G radshteyn and I.M.Ryzhik, Tables of Integrals, Series and Products (A cademic, New York, 1965).
- [26] C. Lee, Phys. Lett. A 267 (2000) 101.
- [27] H. Grosse and A. Martin, CERN Report No. TH-3715, 1983 (unpublished).
- [28] B. Chakrabarti and T.K.Das, Physics Letter A 285 (2001) 11.
- [29] S. Bednarek, J. Adam owski, and M. Su cayaski, Solid State Commun. 21 (1977) 1.
- [30] J.Pollm ann and H.Buttner, Phys.Rev.B 16 (1977) 4480; H.Buttner and J.Pollm ann, Physica (Utrecht) 117/118 B (1983) 278.

- [31] J. Adam owski, in Proceedings of the XII Conference on Physics of Semiconducting Compounds, Jaszouweic, Poland, 1983 (ossolineum, Wrochaw, 1983), p.139; and unpublished.
- [32] S.M. Ikhdair and R. Sever, Int. J.M. od. Phys. A 18 (2003) 4215; ibid. A 19 (2004) 1771;
 ibid. A 20 (2005) 4035; ibid. A 20 (2005) 6509; S.M. Ikhdair and R. Sever, Z. Phys. C
 56 (1992) 155; ibid. C 58 (1993) 153; S.M. Ikhdair et al., Turkish. J. Phys. 16 (1992) 510; ibid. 17 (1993) 474.

TABLES

TABLE I. Energy, E_n ; of states 1s 4f for Hellm ann potential as a function of screening parameter: It is expressed in units of $a^2=2h^2$, length in units of $a_0=h^2/a$; and is the reduced mass.

Staten	0:001	0:005	0:01	0:05	0:001	0:005	0:01	0:05	0:1
	b= +1				b= 1				
1s	0250999	0:254963	0259852		2:24900	2:24501	224005	2:20122	
2s	0:063494	0:0673535	0:0719279		0:561502	0:55755	0:552697	0:517151	
2p	0:063495	0 : 0673775	0:0720203		0:561502	0:557541	0:552664	0:516412	
3s	0:0287644	0:0324574	0:0365575		0249004	0245111	0240435	0209717	
3p	0:0287654	0:0324803	0:0366436		0249004	0245103	0240404	0209055	
3d	0:0287674	0:0325260	0:0368131		0249003	0245086	0240341	0207756	
4s	0:0166014	0:0200766	0:0235509		0:139633	0:135819	0:131381	0:106316	
4p	0:0166024	0:0200984	0:0236407		0:139633	0:135811	0:131351	0:105734	
4d	0:0166043	0:0201416	0:0238136		0:139632	0:135796	0:131290	0:104603	
4f	0:0166072	0:0202058	0:0240562		0:139631	0:135772	0:131200	0:102988	
	b= 2				b= 4				
1s	3 : 99800	3:99002	3 : 98007	3 : 90184	8 : 99600	8 : 98002	8 : 96010	8:80247	8 : 60979
2s	0 : 99800	0:990075	0:980297	0 : 907102	2:24600	2:23010	2:2104	2:05964	1:88728
2p	0:998002	0:990062	0:980248	0 : 90596	2:24600	2:23008	2:21033	2 : 05807	1:88135
3s	0:442451	0:434611	0:425103	0:359536	0:996009	0 : 980220	0 : 960885	0:820847	0 : 678082
3p	0:442451	0:434599	0:425055	0:358495	0 : 996008	0 : 980207	0 : 960820	0:819381	0 : 672826
3d	0:442450	0:434574	0:424959	0:356435	0:996007	0:980174	0:960691	0:816464	0 : 662442
4s	0248012	0:240294	0:231150	0:174917	0:558516	0:542894	0:524055	0:397745	0:288281
4p	0248011	0:240281	0:231103	0:173993	0:558515	0:542878	0:523991	0:396397	0284004
4d	0248010	0:240257	0:231011	0:172169	0:558514	0:542845	0:523865	0:393717	0:275519
4f	0:248009	0:240221	0:230872	0:169493	0:558512	0:542797	0:523674	0:389737	0:262966

TABLE II. Energy eigenvalues, E_n ; of states 1s 4f for Helm ann potential as a function of screening parameter : Energy eigenvalues are given in units of Table 1.

Staten	0:001	0:005	0:01	0:05	0:1	0:2
b= 10						
1s	35 : 99	35 : 95	35 : 9001	35:5031	35:0124	34 : 0489
2s	8 : 99	8 : 95012	8 : 90050	8:51527	8:0482	7:18656
2p	8 : 99	8 : 95010	8 : 90042	8:51025	8:04037	7:15688
3s	3 : 99001	3 : 95028	3 : 90112	3 : 52703	3:10435	2:39157
3p	3 : 99001	3 : 95026	3 : 90103	3:52508	3 : 09701	2:36532
3d	3 : 99001	3 : 95022	3 : 90087	3:52120	3:08240	2:31330
4s	2:24002	22005	2:15197	1 : 79676	1:42667	0:88467
4p	2:24002	2:20048	2:15189	1 : 79490	1:41993	0:86351
4d	2:24002	2:20043	2:15173	1 : 79119	1:40652	0:82123
4f	2:24001	220037	2:15148	1 : 78565	1:38656	0 : 757901

TABLE III. Energy eigenvalues, E_n ; of states 1s 3d as function of the strength b of the Hellm ann potential for = 0.01: Energy eigenvalues are given in units of Table 1.

bnState	1s	2s	2p	3s	3p	3d
1	0:259852	0:071928	0:0720203	0:036557	0:0366436	0:0368131
0:5	0:567450	0:145431	0:145463	0:067079	0:0671090	0:0671683
02	0:811983	0:204435	0:204446	0:091858	0:0918682	0:0918884
0	1	0:25	0:25	0:111	0:111	0:111
02	120801	0:300553	0:300545	0:132562	0:132553	0:132537
0:5	1:55753	0:385743	0:385723	0:168871	0:168852	0:168815
1	224005	0:552697	0:552664	0240435	0:240404	0240341
2	3 : 98007	0 : 980297	0 : 980248	0:425103	0:425055	0 : 424959
5	12:2	3:01293	3:01286	1:31206	1:31199	1:31185
10	35 : 9	8 : 9005	8 : 90042	3 : 90112	3 : 90103	3 : 90087
20	120:8	30:051	30:0505	13:2460	13:2456	13:2454

TABLE IV. Energy eigenvalues, E_n ; of states 5s 7i as function of the strength b of the Hellm ann potential for = 0.01: Energy eigenvalues are given in units of Table 1.

Statenb	+ 1	1	2	4	8	10
5s	0:0165535	0:081148	0:141758	0:322393	0:922921	1:34306
5p	0:0166884	0:0811191	0:141713	0:322331	0 : 922844	1:34298
5d	0:0169435	0:0810614	0:141624	0:322208	0 : 922692	1:34282
5f	0:017289	0:0809751	0:141490	0:322023	0:922463	1:34258
5g	0:0176805	0:0808607	0:141312	0:321776	0 : 922158	1:34225
6s	0:0100105	0:0540995	0:093579	0:213386	0 : 618604	0 : 904359
6p	0:0103092	0:054072	0:0935360	0:213326	0 : 618530	0:904281
6d	0:0108763	0:054017	0:0934503	0:213206	0 : 618380	0:904123
6f	0:011651	0:0539351	0:0933218	0:213027	0 : 618155	0 : 903887
6g	0:0125417	0:0538266	0:0931512	0:212787	0 : 617856	0 : 903572
6h	0:013427	0:0536924	0:0929390	0:212489	0 : 617482	0 : 903178
7s	0:0028019	0:0380167	0:0648977	0:148193	0:435796	0 : 640565
7p	0:0020524	0:0379905	0:0648565	0:148135	0:435723	0:640488
7d	0:0006123	0:0379383	0:0647744	0:148018	0 : 435576	0:640333
7f	0:0014009	0:0378606	0:0646516	0:147845	0 : 435356	0:640101
7g	0:0038107	0:0377579	0:0644887	0:147613	0:435064	0 : 639792
7h	0:0063819	0:0376312	0:0642864	0:147325	0 : 434698	0 : 639405
7i	0:0088204	0:0374816	0:0640455	0:146980	0:434260	0 : 638942

TABLE V. Energy eigenvalues, E_n ; of states 1s 6h for = 0:1: Energy eigenvalues are given in units of Table 1.

Statenb	5	8	10	20	30	50
1s	11 : 7605	24:2118	35:0124	119:014	253 : 014	671:014
2s	2 : 60282	5 : 49595	8 : 0482	28:3034	61:0555	164:057
2p	2 : 59637	5 : 48852	8 : 04037	28:2947	61:0463	164:048
3s	0 : 946371	2 : 0766	3:10435	11:562	25 : 5672	70 : 2385
3p	0 : 94056	2 : 06971	3:09701	11:5536	25 : 5583	70 : 2292
3d	0:929052	2 : 05602	3:0824	11:5367	25:5406	70:2106
4s	0:405183	0 : 92834	1:42667	5 : 76553	13:2138	37 : 4734
4p	0:400245	0:922129	1:41993	5 : 75749	13:2052	37 : 4643
4d	0:390444	0 : 909778	1:40652	5 . 74145	13.188	37.4461
4f	0:37593	0:89143	1:38656	5 . 71747	13.1624	37 . 4189
5s	0:185308	0:44099	0:700006	3.14653	7.56577	22,3833
5p	0:181729	0:43572	0 : 694047	3.13896	7.55755	22.3745
5d	0:174538	0:425204	0 : 682168	3.12385	7.54112	22,3568
5f	0:16367	0:40949	0 : 664442	3.10128	7.51654	22,3304
5g	0:149027	0:38865	0 : 640982	3.07135	7.48388	22,2952
6s	0:099768	0213846	0:348659	1 . 78538	4.56702	14,2628
6p	0:097267	0209807	0:343761	1 . 77836	4.5592	14.2543
6d	0:092169	0201681	0:333949	1.76435	4.54358	14,2372
6f	0:084289	0:189376	0:319193	1.74341	4.5202	14.2116
6g	0:073342	0:172749	0:299444	1 . 71562	4.48915	14.17775
6h	0:058954	0:151614	0:274642	1.68109	4.45051	14.1351

TABLE VI. Som e bound state energies, E_n ; for neutral atom s.

$b = Z e^2$	State	P resent	Calculated [28]	Exact [28]
-4	1s	3. 2 5647	3. 2 19965	3.250536
	2p	0.37172		
	3d	0.003891		
-8	1s	14.4581	14.419973	14.457119
	2s	2.61756		
	2p	2.58365	2.433176	2.583677
	3d	0.54034		
-16	1s	60.8590	60.819285	60.859039
	2s	13.0276	13.027315	13.03259
	2p	12 . 9910	12.837459	12.991055
	3s	4.39692	4.372037	4.405697
	3p	4.36357	4.348041	4.388576
	3d	4.29724		
-24	1s	139 2590	139,22008	139.259362
	2s	31.4314	31.431281	31.43595
	2p	31,3938	31 238464	31.393815
	3s	11.7014	11.699808	11.70926
	3p	11.6662	11.665284	11 . 683877
	3d	11.5959	11 245640	11.595949
	4s	5.05037	5.044185	5.0590
	4p	5.01801	5.013466	5.05410
	4d	4.95352	4.951600	5.00855
	5s	2,21653	2 203309	2.22372
	5p	2.18793	2.177040	2.241432
	5d	2.13080	2.124124	2.24278

TABLE VII. Som e bound state energies, E_{n} ; for neutral atom s.

$b = Z e^2$	1s	2s	2p	3s	3p	4s	4p
13	39 : 7088	8:18765	8:15172	2 : 57025	2:53816	0:82329	0 : 79663
36	316:86	74:0341	73 : 9958	29:3129	29:2763	13 : 9316	13:897
79	1544:51	374 : 5	374:461	158:088	158:05	82 : 6361	82:599