

# Scalable solid-state quantum computation in decoherence-free subspaces with trapped ions

Li-Xiang Cen,<sup>1,2</sup> Z. D. Wang,<sup>2,3</sup> and S. J. Wang<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Physics, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610065, China

<sup>2</sup> Department of Physics & Center of Theoretical and Computational Physics,  
University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam Road, Hong Kong, China

<sup>3</sup> National Laboratory of Solid State Microstructures, Nanjing University, Nanjing, China

We propose a decoherence-free subspaces (DFS) scheme to realize scalable quantum computation with trapped ions. The spin-dependent Coulomb interaction is exploited, and the universal set of unconventional geometric quantum gates is achieved in encoded subspaces that are immune from decoherence by collective dephasing. The scalability of the scheme for the ion array system is demonstrated, either by an adiabatic way of switching on and off the interactions, or by a fast gate scheme with comprehensive DFS encoding and noise decoupling techniques.

PACS numbers: 03.67.Pp, 03.67.Lx, 03.65.Vf

The practical accomplishment of quantum computation requires accurate control of quantum coherent evolution to perform the information storage for quantum bits (qubits), the processing of information by quantum gates, and a means of final readout [1]. The quantum computer model based on ion trap systems, which encodes and manipulates information via long-lived internal states of ions, was identified as one promising candidature proposal and has witnessed rapid development in the past decade [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12]. In recent literatures [7, 10, 11, 12], it was suggested that quantum gates could be realized via certain spin-dependent Coulomb interactions. These theoretical scenarios relax significantly the physical constraints to execute gate operations, hence offer a robust manner to implement quantum information processing.

The scalability of the model, i.e. the extension of quantum processors from two qubits to large numbers of ion units, however, is quite challenging due to the growing complexity of the ion vibrational mode spectrum. An alternative way to achieve the scalability, which is pursued by many current efforts, is to devise sophisticated microtrap architecture and design a series of reliable ion shuttling [7, 8]. The scaling scenario concerning a large array of ion crystals without ion shuttling has also been suggested in recent proposals [11, 12], where a prerequisite that the gate interactions should be comparable with the local ion oscillation frequency is indicated.

In this paper we propose a scheme to realize scalable ion trap quantum computation in decoherence-free subspaces (DFS) [13] with an extended unconventional geometric scenario [14], which possesses the advantages of the DFS and geometric strategies: the former is immune from decoherence induced by collective dephasing while the latter is thought to be insensitive to certain random errors in the operation process. We exploit the spin-dependent Coulomb interactions and construct a universal set of unconventional geometric quantum gates in encoded subspaces. The potential to scale up the ion array

system for quantum computation without ion shuttling is further investigated. Two different interaction configurations, including an adiabatic way of switching on and off the interactions and a scenario to execute rapidly the interaction pulses combined with a noise cancellation technique, are presented.

The system we employed consists of  $N$  trapped ions arrayed by a convenient structure. Two stationary internal states of each ion, denoted as  $|j_i\rangle$  and  $|j_{i'}\rangle$ , are selected to represent physical qubits. We assume that the ions are separated by some suitable distance so that on one hand the ions could be addressed individually and on the other hand the mutual Coulomb interactions of ions could be taken into account. In the absence of external forces, the potential  $V$  of the system is normally approximated by the second-order expansion (the harmonic approximation) for small vibrations around the equilibrium configuration  $(\mathbf{q}_i^{(0)}; \mathbf{q}_N^{(0)})$ . The motional degrees of freedom of the ions is therefore treated collectively, and the Hamiltonian in normal coordinates reads

$$H_{\text{vib}} = \sum_k \left( \frac{1}{2m} p_k^2 + \frac{m}{2} \omega_k^2 Q_k^2 \right) \quad (1)$$

Note that the normal coordinates relate to the local one by  $Q_k = \sum_j D_{jk} q_j$ , where  $D$  is an orthogonal matrix that diagonalizes the Hessian  $v_{ij} = \left( \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial q_i \partial q_j} \right) (\mathbf{q}_i^{(0)}; \mathbf{q}_N^{(0)})$  and  $\omega_k$  ( $k = 1; \dots; N$ ) account for the characteristic frequencies of the normal modes. Suppose, in order to realize the qubit-qubit coupling, that two of the ions located at  $\mathbf{q}_i$  and  $\mathbf{q}_j$  are exerted by certain acceleration forces that are dependent of ion internal states by  $\mathbf{F}^{(i)}(t) = f^{(i)}(t) \hat{\sigma}^{(i)}$  with  $\hat{\sigma}^{(i)} = i, j$ . The related new interaction term hence takes a form  $H_F(t) = \sum_{i,j} f^{(i,j)}(t) \hat{\sigma}^{(i)} \hat{\sigma}^{(j)}$ . We have assumed a general dependence of the forces on the qubit states, where  $\hat{\sigma}^{(i)}$  with  $\hat{\sigma} = x, y, z$  represent the three Pauli operators acting on the states  $|j_i\rangle$  and  $|j_{i'}\rangle$  of the qubit at site  $i$ . It is readily seen that, by introducing the Fock operators  $a_k = (m \omega_k Q_k + i p_k) / \sqrt{2m \omega_k}$  with

$[a_k; a_k^\dagger] = 1$ , the Hamiltonian in the rotation picture with respect to  $H_{\text{vib}}$  takes the following form (setting  $\hbar = 1$ )

$$H(t) = \sum_{k=1}^N \left[ g_i^k(t) \sigma_i^{(i)} + g_j^k(t) \sigma_j^{(j)} \right] a_k e^{i\omega_k t} + h.c. \quad (2)$$

where  $g^k(t) = D_k f(t)$  for  $i, j$  and  $D_k = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega_k}}$ .

To investigate the internal state evolution of the ions, we employ a gauged representation with respect to the unitary transformation  $G(t) = \exp[-i \int_0^t H(t') dt']$ . The evolution operator of the system is given by  $U(t) = G(t)U_g(t)$ , where  $U_g(t)$  satisfies the covariant equation  $i\partial_t U_g(t) = H^g(t)U_g(t)$  and the gauged Hamiltonian  $H^g(t)$  is obtained as [15]

$$H^g(t) = G^{-1} H G - iG^{-1} \partial_t G = \sum_{k=1}^N J_{ij}^k(t) \sigma_i^{(i)} \sigma_j^{(j)} + \phi_0(t); \quad (3)$$

where

$$J_{ij}^k(t) = \int_0^{Z_k(t)} [g_i^k(t)g_j^k(t') + g_i^k(t')g_j^k(t)] \sin(\omega_k(t-t')) dt'; \quad (4)$$

and  $\phi_0(t)$  is merely a c-number parameter. The equation (3) manifests explicitly the "spin-spin" couplings between the ion qubits  $i$  and  $j$ . Especially, as the external force is controlled with a particular configuration such that  $\int_0^{R_T} H(t) dt = 0$ , the transformation  $G(T)$  becomes an identity operator and the evolution operator of the system at time  $T$  is exactly the one  $U_g(T) = e^{-i \int_0^T H^g(t) dt} = e^{-i \int_0^T (2\sum_{i,j} J_{ij}^k(t) \sigma_i^{(i)} \sigma_j^{(j)} + \phi_0(t)) dt}$  with  $\phi_0(T) = \sum_{k=1}^N \int_0^{R_T} J_{ij}^k(t) dt$ . A key observation is that the generated transformation  $U_g(T)$  contains only Pauli operators acting on the ion qubits, therefore the induced qubit operation is irrelevant with the ion motion degree of freedom, hence is insensitive to the vibrational temperature of ions. More intriguingly, similar to the case addressed in Ref.[14], the present  $U_g(T)$ -gate may be viewed as an extended version of the unconventional geometric operation [14] whose advantages have been demonstrated in literatures [4, 9, 10, 16, 17]. This sort of gate will be utilized as a basic one to construct the universal set of gate operations for quantum computation in DFS.

We employ the pair-bit code by which the logical qubit is encoded in a subspace  $C_{11}^2$  as

$$|0_L\rangle_i = |0\rangle_{i_1} |0\rangle_{i_2}; \quad |1_L\rangle_i = |1\rangle_{i_1} |0\rangle_{i_2}; \quad (5)$$

where  $i = 1, \dots, N=2$  indexes logical qubits and schematic array of ions is shown as in Fig. 1. Such an encoding constitutes the well-known DFS [13] against the collective dephasing of the system-bath interaction  $\sum_{i=1}^{N=2} Z_i B$ , where  $Z_i = \sigma_z^{(i_1)} + \sigma_z^{(i_2)}$  and  $B$  is an arbitrary bath operator. Let us denote  $x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $y =$




FIG. 1: Schematic of encoded logical qubits for scalable ion trap quantum computation in decoherence-free subspaces.

$\sigma_z^{(i_1)}$  and  $\sigma_z^{(i_2)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$  as the three Pauli operators of the encoded logical qubit  $i$  ( $j$ ). A logical controlled-phase  $\phi$  on two encoded qubits  $i$  and  $j$ ,  $e^{i\phi \sigma_z^{(i)} \sigma_z^{(j)}}$ , could be generated via aforementioned spin-dependent Coulomb interactions as below. We exploit the acceleration forces of the form  $F(t) = f(t) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ z \end{pmatrix}$ , where the objects could be the two ions  $f = i_1; j_1 g$ , or alternatively the ions  $f = i_2; j_2 g$  with the similar force configuration. According to the previous analysis, as the force configuration is designed so that at time  $T$  there are

$$\int_0^{Z_k(T)} g^k(t) e^{i\omega_k t} dt = 0; \quad k = 1, \dots, N \quad (6)$$

the specified interactions of Eq. (2) shall generate the transformation  $e^{-i \int_0^T \sum_{i,j} J_{ij}^k(t) \sigma_i^{(i)} \sigma_j^{(j)} dt}$  or  $e^{-i \int_0^T \sum_{i,j} J_{ij}^k(t) \sigma_z^{(i_2)} \sigma_z^{(j_2)} dt}$ , corresponding to the two different addressing of forces on ions  $i_1$  or  $i_2$ , respectively. Note that the evolution generated by these interactions falls entirely into the encoded subspace  $C_{11}^2 \otimes C_{11}^2$  all along the time. Moreover owing to the simple fact of  $Z_i = Z_j = 0$ , the actions of both the quadratic operators  $\sigma_z^{(i_1)} \sigma_z^{(j_1)}$  and  $\sigma_z^{(i_2)} \sigma_z^{(j_2)}$  in this restricted DFS are equivalent to that of  $\sigma_z^{(i)} \sigma_z^{(j)}$ . Therefore the gate operation  $e^{i\phi \sigma_z^{(i)} \sigma_z^{(j)}}$  could be exactly achieved by any of the two interacting processes described above.

The commensurability relation (6), which involves all of the ion oscillation modes, might be reached for large  $N$  systems only by an adiabatic manner to carry out the pushing forces on the ions. In detail, let us assume that  $f(t)$  characterizing the configuration of acceleration forces is some smooth function of time and satisfies  $\int_0^T f(t) dt = 0$ . Moreover, we take  $f(t)$  undergoing from  $f(0) = 0$  and after some finite value to an end point  $f(T) = 0$ . It is then direct to see that there is  $\int_0^{R_T} f(t) e^{i\omega_k t} dt = 0$ , therefore the relations  $\int_0^{Z_k(T)} g^k(t) e^{i\omega_k t} dt = 0$  come into existence for all of the oscillation modes  $k$ . The phase of gate operation generated in this case has a simple form  $\phi(T) = \sum_{k=1}^N \int_0^{R_T} g_i^k(t) g_j^k(t) dt$ . Note that the currently proposed DFS scenario to implement qubit operations actually has already tackled partially the intrinsic obstacle associated with the adiabatic action, that the use of slow gates gives decoherence more time to exert its detrimental effects.

We would also like to give some remarks on the combination of our DFS implementation of gate operations

with another scalable approach, i.e., the fast gate scenario by using noise cancelation techniques. In Ref. [11] it was proposed that if the operation speed is comparable with the local ion oscillation frequency, the noise influence due to the complexity of phonon modes could be significantly reduced by designing a multi-cycle conjugation of kicking forces, hence the indicated scalability has been effectively demonstrated. From our formalism, as the commensurability relation (6) is spoiled, the transformation  $G^-(T)$  (or  $G^0(T)$ ) corresponding to the different ion addressing) contained in the evolution operator indicates actually a noise contribution, say,

$$G^-(T) = \exp \left[ i \sum_k \left( f_{i_1, j_1}^{(1)} a_k + h.c. \right) \right] G^-(T) \quad (7)$$

It is seen that such an undesirable influence could be suppressed by using two cycles of force pulses with reversal conjugation. The reason is that the induced noise effects for the two opposite evolution will encounter each other by viewing that the coefficients  $f_{i_1, j_1}^{(1)}(T)$  and  $f_{i_2, j_2}^{(2)}(T)$  of the noise operators  $G^-(T)$  and  $G^0(T)$  associated with two circuits have reversed signs. Besides the direct extension utilizing the noise cancelation via reversed loop evolution, a slightly different approach to remove the noise effects could be achieved for our DFS gate scenario via combining the above specified two interactions with similar force conjugation but different ion addressing on and  $0$ . Note the fact that in the encoded subspace of  $C_1^2, C_2^2$ , there are  $f_{i_1, j_1}^{(1)} = f_{i_2, j_2}^{(2)}$  and  $f_{i_2, j_2}^{(2)} = f_{i_1, j_1}^{(1)}$ . The validity of the new approach relies also on the assumption that the ion array system possesses a periodic structure so that the relations  $g_{i_1, j_1}^k(t) = g_{i_2, j_2}^k(t)$  exist for the specified interactions regarding the translation invariance of the system. Since the requirement of the force conjugation is relaxed, this method actually provides an alternative way to implement the gate operation for large-scale ion array systems.

To obtain fully the ability to perform quantum computation, one needs also construct the general rotation operations for single qubit units. Note that in the DFS schemes, nontrivial couplings between physical qubits are necessary even to build the gates for single logical qubits. We propose below a similar scheme to realize the two universal non-commuting single-qubit gates  $e^{i\pi/4} \sigma_x$  and  $e^{i\pi/4} \sigma_y$  in the specified DFS by utilizing spin-dependent interactions. In detail, we make use of two different forces  $F^-(t)$  and  $F^0(t)$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) to derive the two gates  $e^{i\pi/4} \sigma_x$  and  $e^{i\pi/4} \sigma_y$ , by which  $F^-(t) = f^-(t) \sigma_x^{(1)}$  for the former and  $F^0(t) = f^0(t) \sigma_x^{(1)}$  with  $f^{(1)} = f_x^{(1)}$ ;  $f^{(2)} = f_y^{(2)}$  for the latter, respectively. The corresponding interactions of the form (2) should generate the transformations

$$U_F^-(T) = e^{i\pi/4} \sigma_x^{(1)} \sigma_x^{(2)}; \quad U_F^0(T) = e^{i\pi/4} \sigma_x^{(1)} \sigma_y^{(2)} \quad (8)$$

at time  $T$ , respectively, provided that the relations (6) with  $i = 1, 2$  are satisfied. Note that in the restricted

subspace  $f_{i_1, j_1}^{(1)}; f_{i_2, j_2}^{(2)}$ , actions of the quadratic operators  $\sigma_x^{(1)} \sigma_x^{(2)}$  and  $\sigma_x^{(1)} \sigma_y^{(2)}$  are exactly the same as those of  $\sigma_x$  and  $\sigma_y$ . Therefore the transformations of (8) are actually the two gate operations  $e^{i\pi/4} \sigma_x$  and  $e^{i\pi/4} \sigma_y$ , respectively.

Protection of the state leakage throughout the gating period, however, needs to be scrutinized more carefully. It is recognized that the generated evolution of  $U_F^-(t)$  and  $U_F^0(t)$  actually employs the ion levels out of the DFS, the predicted protection against collective dephasing might be spoiled during the gate operations. For convenience, let us consider a simplified model with only one mode with frequency  $\omega$  involved, which accounts physically for sideband addressing by laser beams to select out the particular phonon mode. We assume further a homogeneous dependence of the interactions on the ion internal states, that is, the parameters in that of (2) satisfying  $g_1(t) = g_2(t) = g(t)$ . Note that the evolution of the system now is governed by the overall Hamiltonian

$$H_{\text{tot}}(t) = H(t) + Z_i B; \quad (9)$$

where  $H(t)$  is assumed to be interactions associated with  $F^-(t)$  or  $F^0(t)$  with specified parameters accordingly. It is readily seen that in the formally described gauged representation with respect to  $G(t)$ , one obtains

$$H_{\text{tot}}^g(t) = H^g(t) + Z_i(t) B; \quad (10)$$

where  $Z_i(t) = G^{-1}(t) Z_i G(t)$  and  $H^g(t)$  has a form of Eq. (3) with a degenerated parameter

$$J(t) = 2 \int_0^t g(t') g(t'') \sin(\omega(t' - t'')) dt' \quad (11)$$

In detail, corresponding to the two interactions associated with  $F^-(t)$  and  $F^0(t)$  respectively, the form of  $Z_i(t)$  could be obtained as

$$\begin{aligned} Z_1(t) &= \cos \theta(t) Z_i + \sin \theta(t) (\sigma_y + \sigma_x); \\ Z_2(t) &= \cos \theta(t) Z_i + \sin \theta(t) (\sigma_y - \sigma_x); \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where the operator  $\theta(t) = \int_0^t g(t') dt'$ , and  $\theta(t) = \int_0^t g(t') dt'$ . It is evident to see that, even for the evolution with perfect parameter controls with  $\theta(T) = 0$ , the occurrence of the final terms in the expression (12) for  $Z_1(t)$  and  $Z_2(t)$  should inevitably mix the system and bath degrees of freedom, therefore spoil the desired gate operations.

Notably, it happens that the decoherence effects induced above could be pinned down effectively via a decoupling process by devising a symmetrized multi-circuit evolution. For instance, the first-order of decoupling could be achieved via a two-cycle refocused performance of the interactions indicated by  $\theta(t + T_2/2) = \theta(t)$ , where  $T_2$  denotes the whole time period of the two cycles. In view of the relations  $\int_0^{T_2} \theta(t) dt = 0$  with  $n$  any

odd numbers and the resulted one  $\int_0^{R_{T_2}} \sin \hat{a}(t) dt = 0$ , one obtains readily, by using the Magnus expansion

$$U_g^{\text{tot}}(T_2) = \hat{T} \exp \left[ -i \int_0^{T_2} H_{\text{tot}}^g(t) dt \right] = e^{-i(h_1 + h_2 + \dots)}; \quad (13)$$

the first order of the evolution with

$$\begin{aligned} h_1 &= \frac{1}{T_2} \int_0^{T_2} H_{\text{tot}}^g(t) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{T_2} \int_0^{T_2} H^g(t) dt + \int_0^{T_2} \cos \hat{a}(t) dt Z_{i_1} \otimes B; \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

The last term of Eq. (14) actually contributes nothing in our DFS systems, therefore the decoherence effects have been effectively removed by the above first-order decoupling process. Physically, for the two cycles with reversed interactions, the ions are pushed to the reverse direction, and the unwanted coupling of the qubits with the vibrational degree of freedom induced by the dissipation has a reverse sign. Due to this sign reversal, the decoherence effects from these two cycles encounter each other. The above decoupling process could be achieved to arbitrary high orders by iteratively application of the multiple refocusing cycles [17].

The expected extension of the above operation scheme with the comprehensive DFS encoding and noise decoupling technique to the scalable system is argued as follows. For the scenario with fast execution of interaction pulses, the refocusing concept for noises cancellation for the dissipative effects and for decoherence induced by phonon complexity is actually consistent. That is, we have shown that the noise cancellation by decoupling process is able to remove both the two kind of noises provided that the time scale of the interaction pulse is fast enough comparable with the noise frequencies. On the other hand, for the adiabatic pushing scheme, the validity of the extension requires that  $\hbar(t) \gg \hbar_1$  and  $\hbar(t) \gg \frac{1}{\text{rel}}$ , where  $\hbar_1$  stands for the frequency of the longest wavelength phonon mode and  $\frac{1}{\text{rel}}$  denotes the relaxation rate of the internal state of physical ions.

Before concluding, we would like to remark some features of the present scheme for a potential experimental implementation. Firstly, we point out that the initialization of the logical registers on  $|0\rangle_{i_1}$  could be readily accomplished through laser light addressing and manipulating individually the ions  $i_2$ , i.e., initializing the states on  $|1\rangle_{i_2}$ . Moreover, our scheme possesses the following advantages: (i) The noise effects of collective dephasing, which is reported as a major source of decoherence in the ion trap system [18], could be tackled in the scheme. (ii) Since the ion shuttling is not necessary in the scheme, one can design the ion array in any convenient geometry with periodic structure. (iii) There is no cooling require-

ment since the scheme is insensitive to the vibrational temperature of the ions. As has been shown, all of the oscillation modes would not spoil the global operation generated by an adiabatic manner of the evolution. For the fast gate scenario, by making use of the noise cancellation technique, there is actually very weak influence of the vibrational temperature on the gate fidelity [1].

In summary, we have proposed a DFS scheme to implement scalable ion trap quantum computation with an extended unconventional geometric approach. Using the spin-dependent Coulomb interactions, we show that the universal set of quantum gates could be achieved in DFS, either via the adiabatic manner to switch on and off the interactions, or via the scenario to execute rapidly the interaction pulses combined with noise cancellation techniques.

This work was supported by the RGC grant of Hong Kong (HKU 7045/05P), the URC fund of HKU, and the NSFC grants (10375039, 10429401, and 90503008).

---

Electronic address: zwang@hkucc.hku.hk

- [1] A. Steane, Rep. Prog. Phys. 61, 117 (1998); D. P. Di Vincenzo and C. Bennett Nature 404, 247 (2000).
- [2] J. I. Cirac and P. Zoller, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 4091 (1995).
- [3] C. Monroe et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 4714 (1995).
- [4] A. Sorensen and K. Molmer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 1971 (1999); A. Sorensen and K. Molmer, Phys. Rev. A 62, 022311 (2000).
- [5] G. J. Milburn, S. Schneider, and D. F. V. James, Fortschr. Phys. 48, 801 (2000).
- [6] D. Jonathan, M. B. Plenio, and P. L. Knight, Phys. Rev. A 62, 042307 (2000).
- [7] J. I. Cirac and P. Zoller, Nature 404, 579 (2000).
- [8] D. Kielpinski, C. Monroe, and D. J. Wineland, Nature 417, 709 (2002).
- [9] D. Leibfried et al., Nature 422, 412 (2003).
- [10] J. J. Garcia-Ripoll, P. Zoller, and J. I. Cirac, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 157901 (2003).
- [11] L. M. Duan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 100502 (2004).
- [12] S. L. Zhu, C. Monroe, and L. M. Duan, Europhys. Lett. 73, 485 (2006).
- [13] L. M. Duan and G. C. Guo, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 1953 (1997); P. Zanardi and M. Rasetti, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 3306 (1997); D. A. Lidar, I. L. Chuang and K. B. Whaley, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 2594 (1998).
- [14] S. L. Zhu and Z. D. Wang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 187902 (2003); S. L. Zhu, Z. D. Wang, P. Zanardi, ibid. 94, 100502 (2005).
- [15] S. J. Wang, F. L. Li and A. Weiguang, Phys. Lett. A 180, 189 (1993); L.-X. Cen, X. Q. Li, Y. J. Yan, H. Z. Zheng, and S. J. Wang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 147902 (2003).
- [16] C. A. Sackett et al., Nature 404, 256 (2000).
- [17] L.-X. Cen and P. Zanardi, Phys. Rev. A 71, R060307 (2005).
- [18] D. Kielpinski et al., Science 291, 1013 (2001).