Equilibration of a dissipative quantum oscillator.

Vinay Ambegaokar

Laboratory of Atom ic and Solid State Physics, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853 (Dated: May 25, 2006)

An explicit demonstration is given of a harm onic oscillator in equilibrium approaching the equilibrium of a corresponding interacting system by coupling it to a thermal bath consisting of a continuum of harm onic oscillators.

PACS num bers: 03.75.Ss

In the theory of interacting many-particle systems, an initial condition of thermal equilibrium for the system without interactions is often in posed as a convenient device, with the implicit assumption that time-evolution via the full Ham iltonian will lead to the correct correlation functions. Such \switching on" of interactions goes back a long time [1, 2], and is also a part of more recent discussions [3, 4, 5]. In one form or another, the assumption is to be found in most theories of transport under non-equilibrium conditions [6]. However, and despite its ubiquity, it is rarely [7] addressed, and sometimes questioned [8]. The straightforward and complete demonstration within a simple model o ered here may therefore be of general interest.

To set the calculation in its general context, consider a system consisting of a single particle \sub-system," a many-particle \bath," and interactions between the two. Let the Ham iltonian for the interacting system be H , and let H $_{\rm 0}$ be the Ham iltonian for the complete system with the particle-bath interactions om itted. Now consider two reduced density matrices, one describing true (time-independent) equilibrium , and a second corresponding to the time-evolution of the equilibrium of the uncoupled system and bath. These may be written as

$$(Q_0^0;Q_0^\infty) = \operatorname{Trf}_Q^\infty \operatorname{in}_Q^0 \operatorname{j}_{0} + \operatorname{g}_{0}^{\circ} \operatorname{in}_Q^{\circ} \operatorname{g}_{0}^{\circ} \operatorname{g}_{0}$$

$$\int_{0}^{0} \left(Q_{0}^{0}; Q_{0}^{0}; t\right) = \operatorname{Trf} \left(Q_{0}^{0} \right) \left(Q_{0}^{0}; Q_{0}^{0}\right) e^{-iH t} \int_{0}^{0} e^{+iH t} q$$
(2)

In these equations, the primed quantities refer to eigenvalues of the sub-system position operator Q_0 , Tr indicates a trace over all states of H, th is expf Hg=Trexpf Hgw ith the reciprocal temperature, and $^0_{\rm th}$ is identically dened except that the H of Eq.(1) is replaced by H $_0$. The question being posed is whether the quantity dened in (2) becomes (1) for large times.

The model that will be treated here is widely used to describe a dissipative quantum oscillator:

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{P}_{0}^{2} + {}^{2}_{0} \mathbb{Q}_{0}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} X f \mathbb{P}_{k}^{2} + {}^{2}_{k} \mathbb{Q}_{k} + ({}_{k} \mathbb{Q}_{0} = {}^{2}_{k})^{2} g;$$
(3)

with H $_0$ H ($_k$! 0): Here the oscillator 0 is the subsystem , and the others the bath .

Because the couplings in Eq.(3) are quadratic in the coordinates Q_i , where i is 0 or any one of the ks, the problem is formally solved by an orthogonal normal-mode transformation [9] to new canonical variables q; p,

with identical connections between P_i and p. The norm almode frequencies, call them !, and the transform ation matrices X are obtainable [8, 10, 11] from a G reen function whose inverse is given by

By putting Eq.(5) on a comm on denom inator, and noting that the numerator is then the determinant whose zeros are the normalmode frequencies, one sees that, as a function of the complex variable z, g has the representation [10]

$$g(z) = \frac{Q}{Q} \frac{(z^2 - \frac{2}{k})}{(z^2 + \frac{1}{2})};$$
 (6)

In words, it has poles on the real axis at the norm alm ode frequencies, and (interleaved) zeros also on the real axis at the bath frequencies. Furtherm ore, [8, 10, 11]

$$\frac{1}{X_{0}} = \frac{x}{1 + \sum_{k=0}^{2} (\frac{2}{k} + \frac{1}{2})^{2}}$$

$$= \frac{x}{\frac{1}{2z} \frac{\theta g^{-1}}{\theta z}} = \frac{1}{z} \text{ and}$$

$$X_{k} = \frac{x}{\frac{1}{2} \frac{2}{k}} X_{0} : (7)$$

It is convenient to express the projection operator in Eqs.(1) and (2) as

$$\mathcal{D}_{0}^{0} \text{ihQ}_{0}^{0} \text{j} = \begin{cases}
 Z_{1} \\
 \text{dudvf}(u; v) e^{iP_{0}u} e^{iQ_{0}v} \\
 \text{with}
\end{cases}$$

$$f(u; v) = \frac{1}{2} e^{iQ_{0}^{0}v} (Q_{0}^{0} Q_{0}^{0} u); \qquad (8)$$

proved by taking matrix elements of both sides, to see that I am here asking whether and, if so, how

$$A_0$$
 (t) $h_0^{iP_0}$ (t) $u_0^{iQ_0}$ (t) $v_0^{iQ_0}$! $h_0^{iP_0}$ $u_0^{iQ_0}$ i: (9)

On the left, the operators are in the Heisenberg picture corresponding to H and the average is with respect to $^0_{\rm th}$; on the right, the time-independent average is in $^{\rm th}$. This latter quantity is easily evaluated using the method based on the Debye-W aller identity introduced in ref.[11] to obtain

$$\ln A = \frac{1}{2}u^2 h P_0^2 i + \frac{1}{2}v^2 h Q_0^2 i + \frac{i}{2}uv; \qquad (10)$$

For A_0 (t), note that the time development due to the full H for P_0 , Q_0 is most directly calculated by using E_0 .(4) to transform to the norm alm ode variables p, q,

evolving these according to the quantum mechanical (and classical) equations of motion

$$p(t) = p(0)\cos(!t) \quad q(0)! \sin(!t);$$

$$q(t) = q(0)\cos(!t) + p(0)\frac{1}{!}\sin(!t); \quad (11)$$

and then transform ing back, using the second part of Eq.(4). The D ebye-W aller identity m ay again be invoked, because the Schrodinger, i.e. t=0, P_i s and Q_i s are independent oscillator variables for H $_0$, to obtain

$$\ln A_{0} (t) = \frac{1}{2} u^{2} h \times (X_{i}^{c} P_{i} \times X_{i}^{s} Q_{i})^{2} i_{0} + (X_{i}^{c} Q_{i} + X_{i}^{s} P_{i})^{2} i_{0}$$

$$\times (X_{i}^{c} P_{i} \times X_{i}^{s} Q_{i}) \times (X_{i}^{c} Q_{i} + X_{i}^{s} P_{i})^{2} i_{0}$$

$$\times (12)$$

Here the X s are the time-dependent functions

$$X_{i}^{c}(t) \qquad X_{0} X_{i} \cos(! t); \quad X_{i}^{s}(t) \qquad X_{0} X_{i} \frac{1}{!} \sin(! t); \quad \text{and} \quad X_{i}^{s}(t) \qquad X_{0} X_{i} ! \sin(! t); \quad \text{(13)}$$

The double sum s in Eq.(12) collapse to single sum s, again because the oscillators i are independent within H 0, yielding

$$\ln A_{0}(t) = \frac{1}{2}u^{2} X (X_{i}^{c})^{2}hP_{i}^{2}i_{0} + (X_{i}^{s})^{2}hQ_{i}^{2}i_{0} - \frac{1}{2}v^{2} X (X_{i}^{c})^{2}hQ_{i}^{2}i_{0} + (X_{i}^{s})^{2}hP_{i}^{2}i_{0}$$

$$X uv X_{i}^{c}X_{i}^{s}hP_{i}^{2}i_{0} X_{i}^{s}X_{i}^{c}hQ_{i}^{2}i_{0} - \frac{1}{2}(X_{i}^{c})^{2} - \frac{1}{2}X_{i}^{s}X_{i}^{s} :$$
(14)

Thus, Eq.(9) is true if the time-dependent coe cients of u^2 ; v^2 ; and uv in Eq.(14) approach the corresponding time-independent ones in Eq.(10).

The sum s over in Eq.(13) are most easily done using the method of refs.[8, 10, 11]. In particular,

P
$$X_0^2 F (!) = 2 \frac{dz}{2i} zg(z)F(z)$$

= $2 = \frac{Z_0^2 e^2}{2i} g(!) f(!)$: (15)

The contour surrounds the real axis, where the function F has been assumed to be regular and zero for ! < 0. In the last form the upper lim it ! c is the highest norm almode frequency, and the integral has been written as the imaginary part of the forward portion of the contour. Further, using Eq.(7)

P
$$X_{0} X_{k} F (!) = 2_{k} \frac{dz}{2 i} z \frac{g(z)}{(z^{2} \frac{2}{k})} F (z)$$

$$= 2_{k} \frac{Z_{!c}}{0} \frac{d!}{(! i0)^{2} \frac{2}{k}} F (!) : (16)$$

Note that there is no contribution from the poles at z = k because of the zeros in g(z) at these points, evident from Eq.(6). For an amusing illustration of the importance of these zeros, see the nalparagraph.]

Further progress requires a speci cation of the spectrum of bath oscillators. On general grounds, one would expect that to damp out oscillations a continuous spectrum is needed [12]. When this is the case it is meaningful to write

$$\frac{X}{2} \left({\begin{array}{*{20}c} {{_{k}^{2}}} & {_{k}^{2}} & {_{k}^{2}} \\ {{_{k}^{2}}} & {_{k}^{2}} & {_{k}^{2}} & {_{k}^{2}} \end{array}} \right) \left({\begin{array}{*{20}c} {!} & {_{k}^{2}} & {_{k}^{2}} \\ {{_{k}^{2}}} & {_{k}^{2}} & {_{k}^{2}} & {_{k}^{2}} \end{array}} \right) \left({\begin{array}{*{20}c} {!} & {_{k}^{2}} & {_{k}^{2}} \\ {{_{k}^{2}}} & {_{k}^{2}} & {_{k}^{2}} & {_{k}^{2}} \\ {{_{k}^{2}}} & {_{k}^{2}} & {_{k}^{2}} & {_{k}^{2}} & {_{k}^{2}} & {_{k}^{2}} \\ {{_{k}^{2}}} & {_{k}^{2}} & {_{k}^{2}} & {_{k}^{2}} & {_{k}^{2}} & {_{k}^{2}} & {_{k}^{2}} \\ {{_{k}^{2}}} & {_{k}^{2}} \\ {{_{k}^{2}}} & {_{k}^{2}} \\ {{_{k}^{2}}} & {_{k}^{2}} \\ {{_{k}^{2}}} & {_{k}^{2}} & {_{k$$

where J(!) is a smooth function of its argument in the region $0 < ! < !_c$ (!_c is the highest bath frequency,) and zero elsewhere. Substituting this form into Eq.(5), and converting the k sum into an integral, yields

im plying = g^{-1} (! iO) = J (!):

The time independent part of Eq.(14) m ay now be extracted. Here I will not exam ine the remaining time-

dependent terms, leaving that to further analysis, below, of a more speciem odel.] From Eqs.(13) and (16),

In the last line a factor of 2 has been rem oved and the evenness of the integrand used to change the lim its of the principal value integral (denoted by P.) There is enough convergence to set $!_{\,\mathrm{C}}\,!_{\,\,}$ 1 . Now, perform the principal value integral by adding one-half of the integral just below to one half of the integral just above the real! axis. Note that the scale of = g(! if) is set by J, which is not small.] C lose the integral in the upper half plane to see that

$$X_k^c(t) = {}_k \langle g(_k \quad i0^{\dagger}) \cos(_k t)$$

= $g(_k \quad i0^{\dagger}) \sin(_k t) + \dots;$ (20)

where the ::: indicate the time-dependent contributions of the singularities of = g(! i0). The distance of the nearest of these singularities from the real axis sets the longest time-scale of decaying terms. In a similar way one nds

$$X_{k}^{s}(t) = k_{k} < g(k_{k}) \text{ if } sin(k_{k}t)$$

 $+ = g(k_{k}) \text{ if } sos(k_{k}t) + sin(k_{k}t)$

Consider the coe cient of u 2 in Eq.(14). The term with i=0 is not relevant for the present purposes. (See below.) Use the therm alaverages

$$hP_{k}^{2}i_{0} = {}^{2}hQ_{k}^{2}i_{0} = \frac{k}{2} ooth \frac{k}{2};$$
 (22)

to see that the contribution of Eqs.(20,21) for all k to the rst term on the right hand side of Eq.(14) is the tim e-independent sum

$$\frac{1}{2}u^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{$$

As the nalstep, use Eq.(17) to convert the sum over k to an integral and exploit $\dot{z}^2 = z^1 = z$ for any complex number to re-express Eq.(23) as

$$\frac{1}{2}u^{2} \int_{0}^{2} \frac{d!}{2!} = g(! \quad i0^{\dagger}) \frac{!}{2} \coth \frac{!}{2} : \quad (24)$$

But this is exactly what Eq.(15) gives for the rst term on the right hand side of Eq.(10), provided that the band width of the normal modes, $!_{c}$, is the same as that of the bath, $!_{c}$. Of course, if this condition were not satisfed, the system would not be embedded in the bath and could not be expected to equilibrate with it.

In a sim ilar way, one can show that the other terms in Eq.(14) contain tim e-independent pieces which reproduce those in Eq.(10).

A lthough there is no time dependence whatsoever in these pieces extracted from Eq.(14), it is of course not the case that the remainder does not contribute signicantly at t=0. In this limit, because of the sinusoidal functions, only X_i^c survives. The completeness condition obeyed by the orthogonal transformation then requires

$$X_{i}^{c}(t=0) = X_{i}^{c}(t=0) = X_{i}^{c}(t=0)$$
 (25)

m aking A $_{0}$ look identical to A , with the important difference that the average is in the uncoupled system .

As mentioned below Eq.(20), the time-decaying parts of A $_0$ (t) come from the singularities of = g(! if), and thus depend on the species of the bath. It may therefore be most instructive to treat a simple model. Consider \ohm ic" or linear dissipation [7], in which J(!) = ! for $0 < ! < !_c$. In this situation Eqs.(17) and (5) give

$$g^{1}(z) = z^{2}$$
 $\frac{2}{0}$ $(\frac{z}{z}) \ln \frac{z + !_{c}}{z !_{c}}$
 $!$ z^{2} $\frac{2}{0} + i z sqn (= z); ; ; (26)$

so that

$$=g(! i0') = \frac{!}{(!^2 2_0)^2 + !^2 2}: (27)$$

This function has \sin ple poles at the four points i=2, where $^2=^2_0$ $^2=4$. It is these poles that contribute to the time dependence of the i=0 terms in Eq.(13) and to the parts indicated by the ::s in Eqs.(20) and (21). For an underdam ped oscillator, all of them thus decay like exp (t=2), showing in this case that the damping constant determines the rate at which equilibrium is reached.

This completes the demonstration promised in the abstract to this paper.

As an illustration of the importance of the zeros of g(z) in the evaluation of sums over normal mode frequencies, consider the following proof of the completeness relation $X_k^2 = 1:U$ sing the method outlined in Eqs.(15) and (16) one obtains

$$X = 2^{2} x^{2} = 2^{2} x^{2} \frac{dz}{2 i} z \frac{g(z)}{(z^{2} x^{2})^{2}} = \frac{2^{2} x}{2 x^{2}} \frac{\varrho g}{\varrho z} z^{2} x^{2}$$
(28)

The subtracted term is the residue of the simple pole at $z=\frac{1}{k}$ which remains after taking into account the zero at the same point in g(z). The contour integral can be seen to be zero. The second term can be evaluated by using an identity expressing the invariance of the determinant of the dynamical matrix for the coupled oscillator system, referred to below Eq.(5), under the orthogonal

norm alm ode transform ation,

[Here 2_0 2_0 + P_k 2_k = 2_k is the square of the \bare" system frequency in Eq.(3).] Set z! ${}_k$ and use the product representation Eq.(6) for g(z) to see that the term in question is unity.

I thank Tomas Arias, Piet Brouwer, Jan von Delft, Jim Sethna, and Ben Widom for useful comments. Selman Hersheldmade helpful suggestions; he and his colleagues arranged a most pleasant four week visit to the University of Florida, where this work was in part done.

- [1] L.P.Kadano and G Baym, \Quantum statistical mechanics; Green's function methods in equilibrium and nonequilibrium problems," W.A.Benjamin (New York) 1962.
- [2] L.V.Keldysh, Zh.Eksp.Teor.Fiz.47,1515 (1964) [Sov. Phys.] JETP 20,1018 (1965)].
- [3] J. Rammer and H. Smith, Rev. Mod. Phys. 58, 323 (1986).

- [4] D.C. Langreth, 1975 NATO Advanced Study Institute on Linear and Nonlinear Electron Transport in Solids, J. T. Devreese and V.E. van Doren (eds.), p. 3, Plenum (New York) 1976.
- [5] A. Kamenev, in \Nanophysics: Coherence and Transport," H. Bouchiat, et al. (eds.), p. 177, Elsevier (Amsterdam) 2005.
- [6] See, for exam ple, S. Hersh eld, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 2134 (1993); P. W. Brouwer, A. Lam acraff, and K. Flensberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 136801 (2005).
- [7] An exception is A.O.Caldeira and A.J.Leggett, Physica 121A, 587 (1983), where in Eq.(6.35) a result equivalent to a special case | diagonal part of the density matrix; linear dissipation | of the present work is given, but details are om itted on grounds that the \procedure is straightforward but extremely tedious."
- [8] N.G. van Kampen, J. Stat. Phys. 115, 1057 (2004).
- [9] For an early use of this strategy, see R. J. Rubin, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 90, 3061 (1968), where many yet earlier references are given.
- [10] G.W. Ford, J.T. Lew is, and R.F O 'Connell, J. Stat. Phys. 53, 439 (1988).
- [11] V . A m begaokar, J . Stat. P hys., in press; available on-line from www springerlink ∞ m .
- [12] For a discussion of corrections to the continuum approximation in a particular case, see ref.[9] and citations therein.