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#### Abstract

The convergent iterative procedure for solving the groundstate Schroedinger equation is extended to derive the excitation energy and the wave function of the low-lying excited states. The method is applied to the one-dimensional quartic potential problem. The results show that the iterative solution converges rapidly when the coupling $g$ is not too small.
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## 1. Introduction

Consider the N -dimensional Schroedinger equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(-\frac{1}{2} \nabla^{2}+V(q)-E\right) \psi(q)=0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, \cdots, q_{N}\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\nabla^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial q_{i}^{2}}
$$

Through a linear transformation of the coordinates $q_{1}, q_{2}, \cdots, q_{N},(1.1)$ can be applied to most of the nonrelativistic many body problems. Similarly, in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$, the same equation can also be extended to relativistic bosonic field theories. However, except in a few special cases, it is difficult to derive analytical solutions. Recently, for a class of Schroedinger equations we have succeeded in deriving a convergent iterative series solution for the groundstate[1-4]. These include the Sombrero shaped potential in any space dimension and arbitrary angular momentum[5]. In this paper, we discuss possible extension to low lying excited states.

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{g d}(q)=e^{-S(q)} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $E_{g d}$ be the ground state wave function and the ground state energy. Denote the corresponding ones for the first excited state as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{e x}=e^{-S} \chi \quad \text { and } \quad E=E_{g d}+\epsilon \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We assume the potential $V(q)$ to have high barriers and low valleys, so that the excitation energy $\epsilon$ is very small. As an example, take $q$ to be a one-dimensional space $x$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(x)=\frac{g^{2}}{2}\left(x^{2}-1\right)^{2} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $g$ large. The first excited state would have an excitation energy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon \sim e^{-\frac{4}{3} g} \ll 1 \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For clarity, we shall also assume that, in the general case of $V(q)$, there is only one such low-lying state, as in the example of the one-dimensional quartic potential.

Let the Schroedinger equations for $\psi_{g d}=e^{-S}$ and $\psi_{e x}=e^{-S} \chi$ be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(-\frac{1}{2} \nabla^{2}+V-E_{g d}\right) e^{-S}=0 \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(-\frac{1}{2} \nabla^{2}+V-E_{g d}\right) e^{-S} \chi=\epsilon e^{-S} \chi \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying (1.7) by $e^{-S} \chi$ and (1.8) by $e^{-S}$, we obtain from their difference,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{1}{2} \nabla \cdot\left(e^{-2 S} \nabla \chi\right)=\epsilon e^{-2 S} \chi \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replace (1.9) by a series of iterative equations: for $n \geq 1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{1}{2} \nabla \cdot\left(e^{-2 S} \nabla \chi_{n}\right)=\epsilon_{n} e^{-2 S} \chi_{n-1} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and when $n=0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-S} \chi_{0}=\text { a trial function } \psi_{\text {trial }} \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

which satisfies the orthogonality condition between $\psi_{g d}$ and $\psi_{\text {trial }}$; i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int e^{-2 S} \chi_{0} d^{N} q=0 \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $\chi_{n-1}$ is known, the iteration of (1.10) gives only the ratio $\chi_{n} / \epsilon_{n}$. To perform the next order iteration it is necessary to separate $\epsilon_{n}$ and $\chi_{n}$. For this purpose, we may choose a fixed point $q^{0}$ and set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{n}\left(q^{0}\right)=\chi_{0}\left(q^{0}\right) . \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, different choices of $q^{0}$ will yield different sequences of $\chi_{n}(q)$ and $\epsilon_{n}$, as we shall discuss.

For given $e^{-S}$ and $\chi_{n-1},(1.10)$ can be viewed as an analog problem in electrostatics with a dielectric constant $\kappa$ and an external electric charge $\sigma_{n}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa=e^{-2 S} \quad \text { and } \quad \sigma_{n}=\epsilon_{n} e^{-2 S} \chi_{n-1} \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\vec{E}_{n}$ be the corresponding electrostatic field and $\vec{D}_{n}$ the displacement field. We write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{E}_{n}=-\frac{1}{2} \nabla \chi_{n} \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{D}_{n}=\kappa \vec{E}_{n} . \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, (1.10) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \cdot \vec{D}_{n}=\sigma_{n} . \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

At $\infty, \psi_{g d}=e^{-S}=0$. Therefore $\kappa(\infty)=0, \sigma_{n}(\infty)=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{D}_{n}(\infty)=0 \tag{1.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (1.17) over all space we find, on account of (1.18),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \sigma_{n} d^{N} q=\int e^{-2 S} \chi_{n} d^{N} q=0 \tag{1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, the orthogonality condition (1.12) is now carried over to all $n \geq 1$.
Expand $e^{-S} \chi_{n}$ in terms of the set of all eigenstates $\left\{\psi_{a}\right\}$ of (1.1). We have, because of (1.19),

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-S} \chi_{n}=\sum_{a \neq g d} c_{a}(n) \psi_{a} \tag{1.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{a}(n)=\frac{1}{E_{a}-E_{g d}} \epsilon_{n} \cdot c_{a}(n-1) \tag{1.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

For problems like the one-dimensional quartic potential (1.5), when the coupling $g^{2}$ is large, only the first excited state $a=1$ has an excitation energy $\epsilon=E_{1}-E_{g d}$ that is exponentially smaller than all other $E_{a}-E_{g d}$. Thus, the corresponding $c_{1}(n)$ becomes exponentially large compared with all other $c_{a \neq 1}(n)$, in accordance with (1.21). Hence the iteration process (1.10) becomes rapidly convergent.

For a large class of problems in which $V(q)$ has high barriers and low valleys, there are often only a finite number of low-lying excited states.

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=1,2, \cdots, m \tag{1.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

with excitation energies $\epsilon_{a}=E_{a}-E_{g d}$ comparable to each other, but exponentially smaller than those of $a>m$ states. By maintaining the orthogonality
relations between these $m$ low-lying excited states, the iteration process (1.10) can be readily generalized to such problems.

## 2. One Dimensional Problem

In one dimension, we replace $\left\{q_{i}\right\}$ by a single $x$. For simplicity, consider the special case

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(x)=V(-x) . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the groundstate wave function $e^{-S(x)}$ is an even function of $x$ and the first excited state $e^{-S(x)} \chi(x)$ an odd function. We assume that $e^{-S(x)}$ is already known, e.g. by following the method discussed in Refs.[1-4]. We further assume that the first excited state has an excitation energy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon \ll 1, \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

as would be the case if $V(x)$ is like the quartic potential (1.5) with large $g$. In one-dimension, (1.9) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d x}\left(e^{-2 S} \frac{d \chi}{d x}\right)=\epsilon e^{-2 S} \chi . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The corresponding series of iterative equations (1.10) for $n \geq 1$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d x}\left(e^{-2 S} \frac{d \chi_{n}}{d x}\right)=\epsilon_{n} e^{-2 S} \chi_{n-1} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\chi_{0}$ a properly chosen trial function. As in (1.13), in order to separate $\chi_{n}$ and $\epsilon_{n}$ from the ratio $\chi_{n} / \epsilon_{n}$ we choose a fixed point $x^{0}$ and set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{n}\left(x^{0}\right)=\chi_{0}\left(x^{0}\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $n$.
In terms of the electrostatic analog (1.14)-(1.16), write

$$
\begin{align*}
\kappa & =e^{-2 S}, \quad \sigma_{n}=\epsilon_{n} e^{-2 S} \chi_{n-1} \\
E_{n} & =-\frac{1}{2} \chi_{n}^{\prime} \quad \text { and } \quad D_{n}=\kappa E_{n} \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

with ' denoting $d / d x$. Thus, (1.17) and (1.18) become

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{n}^{\prime}(x)=\sigma_{n}(x) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{n}( \pm \infty)=0 \text { and } \sigma_{n}( \pm \infty)=0 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.7) and (2.8), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{n}(x)=-\epsilon_{n} \int_{x}^{\infty} e^{-2 S(z)} \chi_{n-1}(z) d z \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{n}(x)=e^{2 S(x)} D_{n}(x) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

can also be readily expressed in terms of $\chi_{n-1}$. Since $\chi_{n}(x)$ is odd in $x$, we need only to consider $x \geq 0$. From (2.6) and (2.10), we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{n}(x)=2 \epsilon_{n} \int_{0}^{x} e^{2 S(y)} d y \int_{y}^{\infty} e^{-2 S(z)} \chi_{n-1}(z) d z . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $e^{-S(x)}$ is the groundstate, it has no zero at finite $x$. Thus, the factor $e^{2 S(y)}$ in the $y$-integration of (2.11) is always finite. This is an important fact that enables us to extend the effectiveness of the iterative procedures of Refs.[1-5] for the groundstate to the low-lying excited state.

## 3. An Analytically Soluble Example

As an analytically soluble example, we consider the following simple one dimensional example

$$
V(x)=\lambda \delta(x)+ \begin{cases}0, & |x|<1  \tag{3.1}\\ \infty, & |x|>1\end{cases}
$$

The unnormalized groundstate solution is

$$
e^{-S(x)}=\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
\sin p(1-x) & & 0<x<1  \tag{3.2}\\
0 & \text { for } & |x|>1 \\
\sin p(1+x) & & -1<x<0
\end{array}\right.
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
p \equiv \pi-\delta \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\lambda=-p \cot p=(\pi-\delta) \cot \delta
$$

For very large $\lambda, p$ is very close to $\pi$, so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \ll 1 . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The exact lowest excited state is, for $|x|<1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-S} \chi=\sin \pi x \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the excitation energy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon=\frac{1}{2}\left(\pi^{2}-p^{2}\right)=\pi \delta-\frac{1}{2} \delta^{2} \ll 1, \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $x \geq 0, \chi$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi(x)=\frac{\sin \pi x}{\sin p(1-x)} . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to test the effectiveness of the iterative solution (2.11) and the supplementary condition (2.5), we shall start from the groundstate solution (3.2) and a trial function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{0}=x \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since (2.11) yields only the ratio $\chi_{n}(x) / \epsilon_{n}$, we follow (2.5) by choosing

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{0}=1 \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{n}(1)=\chi_{0}(1)=1 . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that the trial function

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-S} \chi_{0}=x \sin p(1-x) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

is not a very good guess of the first excited state (3.5), nor does (3.8) resembles (3.7), except that at $x=0, \chi_{0}(0)=\chi(0)=0$. Nevertheless, we shall show that the iterative solution (2.11) with the supplementary condition (3.10) does lead to a rapidly convergent sequence.

Substituting (3.2) and (3.8) into (2.11), we find, for $x \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{4 p}{2 \epsilon_{1}} \sin p\right] \chi_{1} e^{-S}=\sin p x-(\sin p)\left[\frac{x}{p} \sin p(1-x)+x^{2} \cos p(1-x)\right] \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The supplementary condition (3.10) leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{1}=\frac{2 p^{2}}{1-p \cot p} . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\delta=\pi-p$ small, (3.13) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{1}=2 \pi \delta-4 \delta^{2}+2\left(\frac{1}{\pi}+\frac{\pi}{3}\right) \delta^{3}-2 \delta^{4}+O\left(\delta^{5}\right) \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

whereas the exact $\epsilon$ is given by (3.6) with $\epsilon_{1} \cong 2 \epsilon$. However, on second and third iterations, we find

$$
\begin{gather*}
\epsilon_{2}=\pi \delta+\left(\frac{1}{\pi}-\frac{\pi}{3}\right) \delta^{3}+\left(\frac{1}{3}-\frac{2}{\pi^{2}}\right) \delta^{4}+O\left(\delta^{5}\right)  \tag{3.15}\\
\epsilon_{3}=\pi \delta-\frac{1}{2} \delta^{2}+\frac{-6+\pi^{2}}{12 \pi} \delta^{3}-\frac{15}{8 \pi^{2}} \delta^{4}+O\left(\delta^{5}\right) \tag{3.16}
\end{gather*}
$$

Comparing to the exact value, the second order solution $\epsilon_{2}$ gives the correct $\pi \delta$ and the third order $\epsilon_{3}$ gives the correct first two terms: $\pi \delta-\frac{1}{2} \delta^{2}$.

For $\delta=0.1$, (3.14)-(3.16) yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{1}=0.59086, \quad \epsilon_{2}=0.31348, \quad \epsilon_{3}=0.30924 \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be compared with the exact solution

$$
\epsilon=0.30916
$$

The $\chi_{n}(x)$ for $n=0,1,2,3$ are plotted in Fig.1, together with the exact solution $\chi(x)$. In fact, $\chi_{2}, \chi_{3}$ and $\chi$ are on the same curve. This shows the rapid convergence of the iterative process.


Fig. $1 \chi$ and $\chi_{n}$ for $n=0,1,2,3$ for the soluble problem (Section 3).

## 4. One-dimensional Quartic Potential

Consider the one-dimensional quartic potential

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=\frac{g^{2}}{2}\left(x^{2}-1\right)^{2} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that the groundstate wave function $e^{-S}$ has been obtained by using the method described in our recent paper[5]. The first excited state $e^{-S} \chi$ satisfies (2.3) and the corresponding $n^{\text {th }}$ order iterative solution is $e^{-S} \chi_{n}$, with $\chi_{n}$ given by (2.11). When $n=0$, we choose the trial function $\chi_{0}$ to be an odd function, with

$$
\chi_{0}(-x)=-\chi_{0}(x)
$$

and for $x$ positive

$$
\chi_{0}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
x(2-x) & \text { for } & 0<x<1  \tag{4.2}\\
1 & \text { for } & x>1
\end{array}\right.
$$

As in (2.5) and (3.9)-(3.10), we choose $x^{0}=1$ and set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{n}(1)=\chi_{0}(1)=1 . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the following, we also set

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=3 . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the iteration method of Refs.[1,5], we find the groundstate energy to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{g d}=2.48291 \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The lowest excitation energy $\epsilon_{n}$ for the first four iterations ( $n=1,2,3,4$ ) based on (2.11) and (4.3) are

$$
\begin{align*}
\epsilon_{1}=0.41776, \epsilon_{2} & =0.41367 \\
\epsilon_{3} & =0.413568, \epsilon_{4} \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}=0.413568 .
$$

Thus, with three iterations, $\epsilon_{3}$ is already accurate to seven significant figures. The corresponding wave functions $\chi_{n}$ are plotted in Figure 2. The groundstate $e^{-S}$ and the first excited state $e^{-S} \chi$ are given in Figure 3. To the same seven
significant figures the eigenvalue for the first excited state can be expressed as $E_{\text {odd }}=E_{g d}+\epsilon_{4}$.

To test the sensitivity to the choice $x^{0}$ we set, instead of (4.3), $x^{0}=1 / 2$ and require

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{n}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=\chi_{0}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) . \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The corresponding excitation energies $\epsilon_{n}$ of the lowest excited state for the first 4 iterations are, in place of (4.6),

$$
\begin{align*}
\epsilon_{1}=0.41363, \epsilon_{2} & =0.41358 \\
\epsilon_{2}=0.413569 . \epsilon_{1} & =0.413568 \tag{4.8}
\end{align*}
$$
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Fig. $2 \chi_{n}$ for $n=0,1,2,3,4$ for the quartic potential (4.1) with $g=3$.


Fig. 3 The groundstate wave function $e^{-S}$ and the first excited state wave function $e^{-S} \chi$ for the quartic potential (4.1) with $g=3$.

## Appendix

In our earlier work[6] an asymptotic expansion of the average $E=\frac{1}{2}\left(E_{g d}+\right.$ $E_{\text {odd }}$ ) and that of the difference $\Delta=\frac{1}{2} \epsilon$ were obtained. To compare our present results with these asymptotic expansions, we take a larger coupling $g=8$. This enables us to use the asymptotic expansion up to order $1 / g^{3}$ for the wave function $\phi_{ \pm}$of Ref.[6]. We find

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\text {asymp }}=7.728854 \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the expressions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta=\frac{\lambda}{2} \frac{1}{\int_{0}^{\infty} \phi_{+}^{2}(x) d x} \tag{A.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=\phi_{+}^{\prime} \phi_{-}-\phi_{+} \phi_{-}^{\prime} \tag{A.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

of Ref.[6], we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{\text {asymp }}=2 \Delta_{\text {asymp }}=0.003027 \tag{A.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $g=8$, the iterative method of Ref.[5] gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{g d}=7.727340 \tag{A.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The corresponding $n^{\text {th }}$ order iterative excitation energy $\epsilon_{n}$ of Sec. 4 for $n=$ $1-4$ are

$$
\begin{gather*}
\epsilon_{1}=0.00310125, \epsilon_{2}=0.00301796 \\
\epsilon_{3}=0.003017947, \epsilon_{4}=0.003017947 \tag{A.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

Keeping the accuracy to seven significant figures, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=E_{g d}+\frac{1}{2} \epsilon=7.728849 \tag{A.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon=0.003018 \tag{A.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the asymptotic values $E_{\text {asymp }}$ and $\epsilon_{\text {asymp }}$ of (A.1) and (A.4) compare favorably well with $E$ and $\epsilon$ of (A.7) and (A.8). However, inclusions of still higher


Fig. $4 \chi_{n}$ for $n=0,1,2,3,4$ for the quartic potential (4.1) with $g=8$.
and higher order terms in the asymptotic expansion would lead to divergent results for $E_{\text {asymp }}$ and $\epsilon_{\text {asymp }}$.

The wave functions $\chi_{n}(x)$ for $g=8$ are plotted in Fig. 4. As we can see, the deviation of the $\chi_{n}(x)$ from 1 happens only at small $x$.
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