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#### Abstract

W e study the Landau-ZenerP roblem for a decaying tw o-level-system described by a non-herm itean H am iltonian, depending analytically on tim e. U se of a super-adiabatic basis allow s to calculate the non-adiabatic transition probability $P$ in the slow-sw eep lim it, w ithout specifying the $H$ am iltonian explicitly. It is found that P consists of a \dynam ical" and a \geom etrical" factors. The form er is determ ined by the com plex adiabatic eigenvalues $\mathrm{E} \quad(\mathrm{t})$, only, whereas the latter solely requires the know ledge of ( $t$, the ratio of the com ponents of each of the adiabatic eigenstates. B oth factors can be split into a universal one, depending only on the com plex level crossing points, and a nonuniversal one, involving the full tim e dependence of $E \quad(t)$. This general result is applied to the A kulin-Schleich m odel where the in itial upper level is dam ped with dam ping constant. For analytic pow er-law sw eepswe nd that Stuckelberg oscillations ofP exist for sm aller than a critical value ${ }_{c}$ and disappear for $>c_{c}$. A physical interpretation of this behavior $w$ ill be presented by use of a dam ped ham onic oscillator.


PACS num bers: $34.10 .+x, 32.80 \mathrm{Bx}, 03.65 . \mathrm{V} f$

## I. IN TRODUCTION

In $m$ any cases one can reduce the quantum behavior of a system to that of a two-level system (T LS), which corresponds to a (pseudo-)spin one half. $T$ he spin-dow $n$ and spin-up state $w i l l$ be denoted by $j 1 i$ and $2 i$, respectively. If the TLS is in state $j$ oi at time to one obtains $j(t) i$ by solving the Schrodinger equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
i h \frac{d}{d t} j(t) i=H(t) j(t) i \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with initialcondition $j\left(t_{0}\right) i=j{ }_{j} i . N$ ote, that weallow for an explicit tim e dependence of $H$. O ne of the quantities of particular interest is the survival probability

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=\lim _{t!1} \lim _{t_{0}} \text { h }\left(t_{0}\right) j(t) i j^{2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

that the system rem ains in its initial state. For a T LS w ith a levelspacing depending linearly on tim e the result for $P$ as function of the sw eep rate $v$ has been derived approxim ately by Landau $[\underline{[1]}]$ and Stuckelberg $[\underline{[2}]$ and rigorously by Zener $\left[\frac{3}{1}\right]$ and $\bar{M}$ a jorana $[\overline{4} \overline{4}]$. $P$ will depend sensitively on the t-dependence of $H$ and can not be calculated analytically, except in lim titing cases, only. O ne of them is the adiabatic lim it. In that lim it it is known that $j$ ( $t$ ) i converges to a superposition of the adiabatic states $j u_{0}$; ( t$) \mathrm{i}$ which are solutions of the eigenvalue equation:

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
H \quad \text { (t) } j u_{0} ; & \text { (t) } i=E & \text { (t) } j u_{0} ; & \text { (t) } i \tag{3}
\end{array}
$$

w ith E (t) the adiabatic eigenvalues. A though $\mathrm{E}_{+}$( t$)$ and $E$ ( $t$ ) may not cross in real time (avoided levelcrossing) this $w$ ill happen for complex times $t_{c}^{\mathrm{k}} ; \mathrm{k}=$ 1;2;:::;N .

In case of a realsymmetric $H$ am iltonian $m$ atrix $h$ H $(t) j^{0}{ }_{i} ; \quad ;{ }^{0}=1 ; 2$ which is analytic in $t$ and for a single crossing point $t_{c}$ in the upper com plex $t-p l a n e$ ( $\mathrm{Im} \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{c}}>0$ ) 辻 was shown by D ykhne $\left.{ }^{[5]}\right]$ (see also earlier work by Pokrovskiiet al. [G]) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=\exp [2 \operatorname{lm} z(t)] \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the adiabatic lim it. The new variable $\mathrm{z}(\mathrm{t})$ is given by

$$
\left.z(t)=Z_{0}^{Z^{t}} d t^{0} \mathbb{E}_{+}\left(t^{0}\right) \quad E \quad\left(t^{0}\right)\right]:
$$

D avis and Pechukas $[\underline{1} / 1]$ have perform ed an exact proof
 that the pre-exponential factor equals one. Therefore it is som etim es called the D ykhne-D avis $P$ echukas (D D P ) form ula. Form ore than one crossing point with $\operatorname{Im} z_{c}^{k}=$ Im $z\left(t_{c}^{k}\right)>0$ a generalization of ( $\left.\overline{4}\right)$ has been suggested
 and referencesw here-in). A rigorous prove of the generalization ofD D P-form ula including even herm itean H am itonians has been provided by Joye et al. [1] $]$. M ore than one crossing point leads to interferences which generate oscillations in $P$ as function of control param eters, like the sw eeping rate (see below).

For Ham iltonian $m$ atrioes which are not realsym $m$ etric, but herm itean, Berry [1] [1] and Joye et al. [1] $]$ m ade an interesting observation which is that P obtains also a \geom etrical" factor besides the \dynam ical" one, Eq. (4, $\mathbf{I}_{1}$ ), where the form er also depends on the crossing points $t_{c}^{k}$, only. For those who are less fam iliar w ith this kind of physics let us explain the choice of this nom enclature. Below we will see that one of the factors of $P$ is entirely determ ined by the adiabatic eigenvalues and
the other by the adiabatic eigenstates. Since the form er is im portant for the tim e evolution it is called \dynam ical" whereas the latter is related to the geom etry in the H ilbert space, particularly through a condition for parallel transport (Eq. $\left.\cdot(2]_{1}^{1}\right)$ ) and accordingly it is called \geom etrical".

TLS will be in uenced by their environm ent, e.g. by phonons. The spin-phonon coupling leads to dissipation of the (pseudo-) spin dynam ics which will in uence the probability P. A though there exist $m$ icroscopic m odels for the spin-boson system [13], and sim pli ed m odels where the bath is described by uctuating elds $[14,15,1], 1]$ equation. T his willbe achieved by using a non hem itean H am iltonian for the TLS.A particular version of such a m odelhas been suggested by A kulin and Schleich [1]. In their m odel, called A S-m odel in the follow ing, the upper level (at the initial tim e to ) experiences a dam ping (see section III).

The survival and transition probability for nonherm itean TLSHam iltonians has already been investigated by $M$ oyer [-d]. This has been done by mapping the original di erential equation to the $W$ eber equation, which can be solved exactly. By use of the $W$ eber equation as the appropriate \com parison_equation" it was
 extended [20]. H ow ever, this extension does not contain a \geom etric" contribution, although one expects that it exists sim ilarly to what has been proven for her$m$ itean $m$ atriges $\left[1 \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}, 1 \overline{2}\right]$. On the other hand $G$ arrison and $W$ right $\left[\overline{2} \overline{1}_{1}^{1}\right]$ have investigated the geom etrical phase for dissipative system s but not the non-adiabatic transition probability.

It is one of our $m$ ain goals to derive a generalized DDP-form ula in the adiabatic lim it containing a \geo$m$ etrical" and a \dynam ical" contribution for a general non-herm itean T LSH am iltonian. W e will dem onstrate that both contributions consist of a universal and a nonuniversalpart. T he form er depends only on the com plex crossing points w hereas the latter requires the know ledge of the com plete tim e dependence of $H$. Instead of using a \com parison equation" we apply the concept of a superadiabatic basis, put forw ard by B erry [2륵, to nonherm itean TLSHam iltonians. A s a result we will nd that the \dynam ical" contribution to the non-adiabatic transition probability (which equals the survival probabillty in the adiabatic lim it) is determ ined by the com plex, adiabatic eigenvalues E (t), only. The corresponding \geom etrical" part solely requires the know ledge of
(t)), the ratio of the com ponents of each of the adiabatic eigenstates.

A second $m$ otivation is the application of our results to the A S m odel. It has been show $n$ that the survivalprobability $P$ does not depend on the dam ping coe cient of the upper level, provided the bias of the T LS varies linearly in time, and the coupling betw een both levels is tim e-independent [ [1] $]$. Therefore it is interesting to investigate non-linear tim e dependence and to check
whether or not $P$ rem ains insensitive on . For nonlinear tim e dependence $m$ ore than one com plex crossing points $m$ ay occur, such that interference e ects can govem the dependence of $P$ on the sw eeping rate [1d]. Speci c exam ples w ith $=0$ for which this happens were discussed in recent years $\left[\underline{2}, 1,23_{1}^{\prime}\right]$. T here it w as found that critical values for the sw eeping rate exists at which the survival probability vanishes, i.e. com plete transitions occur betw een both quantum levels. C onsequently, one $m$ ay ask: A re these com plete transitions reduced or even suppressed in the presence of dam ping?

O ur paper is organized as follow s. The next section w ill contain the general treatm ent of the non-herm itean Ham iltonian and the presentation of the generalized DDP-form ula. In section III we will apply the results from the second section to the AS-m odel w ith power law tim e dependence. The results for the A S-m odel for power law sweeps can be interpreted by the dynam ics of a dam ped ham onic oscillator. This will be show $n$ in section $\mathbb{I V}$. A short sum $m$ ary and som e conclusions are given in the nal section.

## II. GENERAL FORMULA FOR

NONADIABATICTRANSITION PROBABILITY
In this section, we will derive a generalized DDPformula for the non-adiabatic transition problem of a decaying TLS. The Ham iltonian can be represented as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(t=h)=\frac{1}{2}_{j=1}^{X^{3}} B_{j}(t=h)_{j} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with ${ }_{j}$, the Paulim atrioes and $B_{j}$ a tim e dependent eld. $>0$ is the adiabaticity param eter. Because this $m$ odel should be dissipative, at least one of the $B_{j} m$ ust contain a nonzero im aginary part. A ccordingly H is nonherm itean. In the follow ing we will assum e that $B_{j}$ is analytic in $t$. Introducing a new tim e variable

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\quad t=h \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq. $\left[\begin{array}{l}1 \overline{1}) \\ \text { becom es }\end{array}\right.$

$$
\begin{equation*}
i d j() i=H() j() i ; \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where d = @=@ . j ( )i can be expanded with respect to $j i$

$$
\begin{equation*}
j() i=X_{=1}^{X^{2}} c() j i: \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ ith $j(0) i$, the initial state, its survival probability is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.P \quad P()=\lim _{!1} \lim _{0} \text { in } \quad \text { ( } 0\right) j() i j^{2}: \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that $P$ is the survival probability $w$ ith respect to the diabatic basis. $W$ th respect to the adiabatic basis $P$ is the nonadiabatic transition probability.

To calculate $P$ for $\quad 1$ we introduce the adiabatic basis of H (). This can be done as in Ref. [2] [1] where a biorthonorm al set of right-eigenstates w as used or alternatively by use of left-and right-eigenstates. W ew ill use the latter, as it tums out to be $m$ ore elegant. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{0} ;() i=X_{=1}^{x^{2}} e() j i \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the adiabatic right-eigenstates. They are solutions of
H ( ) jop; ( )i=E ( ) jop; ( )i
with E ( ), the adiabatic eigenvalues. N ote that E ( ) are com plex in generaland that the norm of $j_{0}$; ( )iand of $j$ ( )i is not conserved, since $H()$ is nonherm itean. Follow ing B erry [22], we introduce a hierarchy of superadiabatic right-eigenstates $j u_{n}$; ( )i, $n=0 ; 1 ; 2 ;::$ : and expand the solutions $j() i$ of Eq. ${ }^{\prime}(\$)$ w ith respect to the superadiabatic basis:

$$
\begin{align*}
& j \quad(\quad) i=\exp ^{4} \frac{i}{}^{Z} d{ }^{0} E \quad\left({ }^{0}\right)^{3} x^{X^{3}} \quad{ }^{m} j u_{m} ;() i: \\
& m=0 \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting j ( )i into Eq. $\overline{(1)}(\$)$ yields the recursion relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{H}() \quad E()] j_{0} ;() i=0 ; \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

id $\left.j u_{m} 1 ;() i=\mathbb{H}() \quad E()\right] j_{m} ;() i ; m \quad 1:$
 progress we introduce the adiabatic left-eigenstates

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \mathfrak{o r}_{0} ;() j=X_{=1}^{X^{2}} e()^{2} j \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are solutions of
han; ( ) fir ( ) = E ( )how; ( ) j
and are norm alized such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { hato }_{0} ;\left(\text { ) } \mathrm{ju}_{0} ; 0 \text { ( )i= } 0\right. \text { : } \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let be:

$$
\begin{equation*}
()=\frac{\mathrm{e}^{2}(\mathrm{)}}{\mathrm{e}^{1}()^{\prime}} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

the ratio of the components of the adiabatic righteigenstate $j_{0}$; ( )i. Then it is straightforw ard to prove thatm m

$$
e()=\frac{}{[+()} \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll} 
 \tag{20}\\
{\left[+e^{1}()\right.} & 1 ;
\end{array}\right.
$$

which de nes the left-eigenstate from the righteigenstate. M ultiplication of Eq. (175 (1) for $m=1 \mathrm{w}$ ith hor; ( ) jleads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { hato; ( ) jd ju } 0_{0} \text { ( )i } 0 ;=\text { : } \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

 generalized to nonherm itean H am iltonians.
 m 1 with respect to $j b$; ( $i$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{m} ;() i=a_{n}() j j_{0} ;() i+b_{n}() j_{0 ;+}() i: \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substitution of Eq. (2zí) into Eq. (1-15i') and multiplying by hor; ( ) jyields w ith Eqs. (1-4), (1 (1母) form 1:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{\mathrm{nn}} \quad 1()=() b_{n} \quad 1() \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$


where_denotes derivative $w$ ith respect to and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { ( ) = hoo; } \quad(\quad) \dot{j d} j u_{0} ; \quad(\quad) i \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

are the nonadiabatic coupling functions, responsible for the nonadiabatic transitions. If ( ) 0, we get from Eqs. (23) and (24)

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{m}() \quad a(0) ; b_{m}()=\frac{i}{E_{+}() \quad E()} b_{m}(): \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sim ilar equations follow for $a_{m}^{+}()$; id ( ), which how ever, will not be needed. N ext we $x$ the initial condition for j ( )i:

$$
\begin{equation*}
j \quad(0) i=j \mu_{0} ;(0) i ; \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., we start in the adiabatic right-eigenstates. From


$$
\begin{array}{cccccc}
a_{0}(1) & 1 ; & b() & 0 & & \\
a_{m}(0)=0 ; & b_{m}(0)=0 ; & m & 1: & \text { (28) }
\end{array}
$$

 provided ( ) 0.This $m$ akes obvious the absence of nonadiabatic transitions.

The next step is the calculation of ( ). For this we need $e^{1}$ ( ), which can be determ ined from (2才). A s a result we nd
$e^{1}(1)=e^{1}(0) \exp 4 \quad d^{2} \frac{-\left(0^{0}\right)}{+\left(0^{0}\right)\left(0^{0}\right.}{ }^{5} ;$
and taking Eq. $\left(\underline{2} \mathbf{2}_{1}^{1}\right)$ into account we obtain the general result

$$
\begin{aligned}
& ()=\frac{e^{1}(0)}{e^{1}(0)} \exp 4^{2} d^{Z^{0}} \frac{+\left({ }^{0}\right)+\ldots\left({ }^{0}\right)^{3}}{+\left(0^{0}\right)}\left(^{0}\right)^{3} \\
& \frac{-()}{+() \quad()} \exp 4 \mathrm{~d}_{0}^{\mathrm{Z}} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{0}+\left({ }^{0}\right)+\ldots\left({ }^{0}\right)^{3}}{+(50)} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

where the expression has been split into a -independent ( rst line) and a -dependent factor (second line). Fol-
 n -th level

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.j{ }_{n} ;() i=\exp ^{4}{\underset{\sim}{i}}^{2} d^{0} E \quad{ }^{0}\right)^{3} \underbrace{X^{n}}_{m=0}{ }^{m} j u_{m} ;() i: \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

and expand j ( )i:

$$
\begin{equation*}
j() i={ }^{X} C_{n} ;() j n ;() i: \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

As initial condition we choose:

$$
\begin{equation*}
j(0) i=j \quad(0) i ; \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}} ; \quad(0)=1 ; \quad \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}} ;+(0)=0 ; \mathrm{n}!1: \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Introducing a corresponding truncated state

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{n} \sim_{n}() j=f_{n} ;()_{m=0}^{X^{n}}{ }^{m} h \mathfrak{x}_{m} ;() j \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the -dependent prefactor $f_{n}$; ( ) has not to be speci ed we obtain an equation of $m$ otion for $c_{n}$; ( ), after Eq. ( $\left.\overline{3} \overline{2} \bar{z}_{1}\right)$ has been substituted into Eq. $(\bar{q})$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { i } G_{n} ;()={ }_{0}^{X} H_{n} ; 0()_{G} ; 0() \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}} ; ~ 0()=\mathrm{X}_{\infty=}\left(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{1}()\right) \quad 00 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}} ; \infty \quad 0()
\end{aligned}
$$

Eq. (3-G) can be rew ritten as an integralequation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \exp 4 \stackrel{i}{-}^{2} d^{\infty} H_{n} ; \quad\left({ }^{\infty}\right)^{5}: \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

A part from the truncation, Eq. $\left(3 \bar{n}_{1}\right)$, the results are still exact. Eq. (3)


$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{n} ; \quad 0()=f_{n} ;() \exp 4 \stackrel{i}{-}^{Z} d^{0} E\left({ }^{0}\right)^{2} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

 tiplying by $h^{\sim}{ }_{n}$; ( ) jand $m$ aking use of Eqs. (12), (22) and (3)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}} ;{ }_{2}^{0}()={ }^{\mathrm{n}+1} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{n}} ;\left({ }_{3}\right) \mathbb{E}() \mathrm{E} \circ\left({ }^{0}\right)\right] \\
& \exp ^{4} \stackrel{i}{-}^{Z} \mathrm{~d}^{0} \mathrm{E} \circ\left({ }^{0}\right)^{5}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}+1}^{0}()^{0} ;+b_{n+1}^{0}()_{;+}^{i}+O\left({ }^{n+2}\right) \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which follow s
$N$ ote that the prefactor $f_{n}$; ( ) has cancelled. The diagonal elem ents of $H_{n}()$ are of order ${ }^{n+2}$ and the nondiagonal ones of order ${ }^{n+1}$. Therefore it follow from


The tim e dependence of $H()$ is chosen such that
$N$ ote that the adiabatic states at in itialtime 0 are nor-


$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{0} j(0) i=j 1 i \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain from Eq. (10 $\overline{10}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) for the nonadiabatic transition probability in leading order in
$P()=C_{n} ;(1) h 1 j_{0 ;+}(1) i \exp 4 \underline{-}^{2} d{ }^{Z} \quad{ }^{3} E_{+}\left({ }^{0}\right)^{5}$;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{0!} j \mathrm{j}_{0} ;(\mathrm{o}) \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{jli} ; \lim _{0} u_{0 ;+}(0) i=2 \mathrm{Zi}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{n} ;+()=i^{n} \frac{e^{1}(0)}{e_{+}^{1}(0)} \exp 4^{2} \mathrm{Z}^{0} \frac{0+\left({ }^{0}\right)+\ldots\left({ }^{0}\right)^{3}}{+\left(0^{0}\right)}\left(^{0}\right)^{3} \\
& \text { Z } \\
& \left.d^{0} a_{n+1}\left({ }^{0}\right) \frac{\left[+\left({ }^{0}\right)\left({ }^{0}\right)\right]}{+\left({ }^{0}\right)} \mathbb{E}+\left({ }^{0}\right) \quad E \quad\left({ }^{0}\right)\right]: \\
& \exp ^{2} Z^{0} d^{\infty} \frac{+\left({ }^{\infty}\right)+-\left({ }^{\infty}\right){ }^{3}}{+\left({ }^{\infty}\right)} 5^{\left({ }^{\infty}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{n} ; \quad 0()={ }^{n+1} h_{n+1}^{0}() ;+b_{n+1}^{0} i^{i}
\end{aligned}
$$


 we get w th Eqs. (112), (29) and $\lim 0!1 e^{1}(0)=-1$ (due to Eq. (43는) )

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P()=\exp \quad\left(F_{g}^{n s}+\frac{1}{-} F_{d}^{n s}\right) \\
& \left.n^{\text {Z }} d_{a_{+1}}()_{-()}^{+()} \mathbb{E}_{+}() \quad E()\right] \\
& { }^{1} z \quad(0)+(0)^{3} \\
& \exp ^{4} d \frac{0+\left({ }^{0}\right)+\ldots\left({ }^{0}\right)}{+\left({ }^{0}\right)} 5
\end{aligned}
$$

w th the nonsingular \geom etrical" and \dynam ical" contribution

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{g^{n s}}^{Z^{n}}=2 \operatorname{Re}^{4} d \frac{-+()}{+()} \\
& d \frac{-()}{+()()^{5}} \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{d}}^{\mathrm{ns}}=\operatorname{lm}_{\mathbb{Z}^{2}}^{\mathrm{Im}_{0}} \mathrm{dE} \mathrm{E}_{+}()+\mathrm{Z}_{1}^{0} \mathrm{dE}()^{5} ; \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. N ote that $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{d}}^{\mathrm{ns}}=0$, for a herm itean H am iltonian, since $E$ ( ) are real. The expressions for $F_{g}^{n s}$ and $F_{d}^{\text {ns }}$ put som e constraints on $H()$, because both quantities should be larger or equal to a constant c > 1 , which requires that $\operatorname{Im} E$ ( ) decays fast enough for ! 1 .
The -integral in Eq. $(4)$ is dom inated by the singularities of $E_{+}() \quad E$ ( ), for ! 0. The adiabatic eigenvalues and ( ) have the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{E}()=\frac{1}{2}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{~T}()^{\mathrm{P}} \frac{\mathrm{~T}^{2}() 4 \mathrm{D}()^{i}}{\mathrm{i}}  \tag{49}\\
()=\frac{\left.\mathrm{H}_{11}()+\mathrm{H}_{22}()^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{~T}^{2}() 4 \mathrm{D}( }\right)}{2 \mathrm{H}_{12}(\mathrm{)}} ; \tag{50}
\end{gather*}
$$

where T and D, is respectively, the trace and the deter$m$ inant of the $H$ am iltonian $m$ atrix $H \quad 0=h$ j $j^{0} i$. Ac $c^{-}$ cordingly, the singularities are the branch points $c(k)$; $\mathrm{k}=1 ; 2$; : : : of $\mathrm{E}_{+}(\mathrm{l}$ E ( ). Introducing a new vari$\mathrm{able}\left[10_{1}^{\prime}, 22_{1}^{\prime 2}\right]$

Z

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.z()=d^{0} \mathbb{E}_{+}\left({ }^{0}\right) \quad E\left({ }^{0}\right)\right] \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$





F IG . 1: u-dependence of the adiabatic eigenvalues for a pow er law sweep wo $(\mathrm{u})=\mathrm{u}^{3}$ and $\sim=0: 5<\sim_{c}=1$ (a) real part of E (u), and (b) im aginary part of $E$ (u)
it is show $n$ in the A ppendix A that after taking the lim it n ! 1 the nonadiabatic transition probabillity is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P()=\exp \quad\left(F_{g}^{n s}+\frac{1}{-} F_{d}^{n s}\right) \quad \exp _{\mathrm{g}}^{\mathrm{s}}(\mathrm{k}) e^{\dot{i}_{z_{c}(k)}}{ }^{2} \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith the singular \geom etrical" contribution

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\mathrm{g}}^{\mathrm{s}}(\mathrm{k})=\int_{0}^{\mathrm{zz}(\mathrm{k})} \mathrm{dz} \frac{\frac{d \mathrm{~d}+}{\mathrm{dz}(z)} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dz}(\mathrm{z})}}{+(z)} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the singular points $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{C}}(\mathrm{k})=\mathrm{z}(\mathrm{c}(\mathrm{k}))$, which are above the contour $C=f z\left(\_\right) j 1 \quad 1 \mathrm{~g}$. The nal result of this section, Eq. (521), is the generalization of the D D P form ula (as it has been rigorously proven for herm itean T LSH am iltonians [1]]) to nonherm itean ones, describing dissipative TLS.T he reader should note that the use of the superadiabatic basis leads to a pre-exponential factor in Eq. (52) which is equal to one, which is identical to the case w ithout dissipation. The result, Eq. (52.), exhibits that the \dynam ical" contributions follow from the adiabatic eigenvalues and their branch points, whereas the \geom etrical" contributions involve ( ), only. If we param etrize for a TLS w ith herm itean H am ittonian the extemal _eld com ponents $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{j}}, \mathrm{Eq}$. ( (G), as it has been done in Ref. [1] $]$, one recovers that $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{g}}^{\mathrm{ns}}=0$ and that Eq. [53 becom es:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{g}}^{\mathrm{s}}=\int_{0}^{Z^{(k)}} \mathrm{d}-() \cos ()
$$

in agreem ent with the result in Ref . $\left.[1]_{1}\right]$.

> III. APPLICATION TO THE AKULIN-SCHLEICH MODEL

The A Sm odel is given by [1]

$$
H(t)=\frac{1}{2}\left[\begin{array}{l}
\text { (t) } \left.z+\quad x+i\left(\begin{array}{ll}
z & 0
\end{array}\right)\right] \tag{55}
\end{array}\right.
$$

(a)

(b)


FIG. 2: Sam e as Fig. 1, but for $\sim=1: 1>\sim_{c}$
w th the extemal eld $\mathrm{W}(\mathrm{t})$, the tunnelling m atrix elem ent , and the dam ping constant 0 of level $2 i \triangleq j " i$. 0 is the 2 unit $m$ atrix. Let us introduce dim ension less quantities:

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(u)=\frac{W(t)}{w} ; \quad u=\frac{v t}{} ; \quad \sim=-; \quad \sim=\frac{2}{h v}: \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ote that the tim e variable of the previous section is not dim ensionless. A fter the replacem ent of by $u$, Eq. ( $\underline{1}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) takes the form of Eq. ( $\mathbf{l}_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ ) w ith:

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\sim^{1}: \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$



$$
\begin{align*}
& E \quad(u)=\frac{1}{2}^{h} \quad i \sim \quad p{\left.\frac{(n \sim(u)}{}(u)+\right)^{2}+1}^{i}  \tag{58}\\
& (u)=(n \pi(u)+i \sim) \quad P \overline{(w \pi}(u)+i \sim)^{2}+1 ; \tag{59}
\end{align*}
$$

where the branch of the square root has been chosen such
 ReE (u), and Im E (u), respectively, for an analytical power law sweep $w(u)=u^{3}$ and for $\sim<1$. The corresponding result for $\sim>1$ is show $n$ in $F$ igures, In the follow ing we w ill consider crossing sweeps, only. For those it is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{1} w(u)=1: \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Retuming sweeps for which $\lim u$ ! 1 wo $(u)=1$ (or +1 ) can be treated analogously. It is easy to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E \quad(u)=\frac{1}{2} w(u)+\frac{1}{2 w^{2}(u)} \quad \frac{i \sim}{2 w^{2}(u)}+O\left(w^{3}(u)\right) \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

foru! 1 and

$$
\begin{equation*}
E \quad(u)=\frac{1}{2} \quad w(u) \quad 2 i \sim \frac{1}{2 w(u)}+O\left(w w^{2}(u)\right) \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

for u! 1 :
From Eqs. $\left(\frac{\overline{6}}{\mathbb{R}} \overline{1}\right)$ and (40) it follows that $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{d}}^{\mathrm{ns}}$ is nite provided du $w^{0}{ }^{3}\left(u^{0}\right)$ exists for $u$ ! 1 . This


FIG.3: Branch points (open circles) $u_{c}(k) \circ f E_{+}$(u) $E$ (u) for a power law sweep wo $(u)=u^{3}$ and $\sim<\sim_{c}$. The thick solid lines are the branch cuts. The radius of the inner and outer circle is $(1 \quad \sim)^{1=3}$ and $(1+\sim)^{1=3}$, respectively
is fullled if wo ( $u$ ) decays faster than $u^{1=3}$. O therw ise $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{d}}^{\mathrm{ns}}=1$ which makes P ( ) to vanish. Figs. dem onstrate that there exists a critical value for $\sim_{c}$. For $0 \sim<\tilde{c}_{c}=1$ we have ReE $+(\mathrm{u}) \quad \mathrm{E}$ (u)]>0 for allu and $\operatorname{Im} E$ (u) is continuous whereas $\left.R e E_{+}(u) \quad E \quad(u)\right]$ vanishes if $w(u)=0$ and $\operatorname{Im} E \quad(u)$ becom es discontinuous, provided E (u) are de ned by Eq. (58i).

The nonsingular geom etricalpart, Eq. (471), can be calculated w ithout specifying the u-dependence of w. Substituting (u) and $=$ (u) from Eq. ( $5 \mathrm{SO}_{1}$ ) into Eq. (47) , both integrals in Eq. [4]-1), becom e a sum "oftw o integrals. O ne of them can be calculated by the introduction of a new integration variable $=w+i \sim$ and the other by noticing that its integrand can be rew ritten as a derivative of a logarithm $w$ ith respect to $u$. W ithout restricting generality we assum e that w $(0)=0$. Then we obtain w ith Eq. ( $66^{-1}$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{g}}^{\mathrm{ns}}(\sim)=2 \operatorname{Re} \ln \left(\mathrm{i} \sim+\mathrm{G} \overline{1 \quad \sim^{2}}\right): \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

The nonsingular \dynam ical" and both singular contributions require the explicit $u$-dependence of w. As said above we will consider crossing sw eeps only. T herefore we restrict ourselves to pow er law sw eeps w (u) = $u^{n}$ w ith $\mathrm{n}>0$ and n odd. n should not be confused with the truncation number $n$ in the previous section. Since $w(\mathrm{u})=w(\mathrm{u})$ we can rew rite $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{d}}^{\mathrm{s}}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{d}^{\mathrm{ns}}(\sim)=2^{\mathrm{Z}} \quad \mathrm{du}{ }^{\mathrm{h}} \sim \quad \mathrm{Im}^{\mathrm{p}} \frac{\left(\mathrm{w}^{(u)}(\mathrm{u})+\mathrm{i} \sim\right)^{2}+1}{i}: \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{g}}^{\mathrm{ns}}(0)=0 ; \quad \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{d}}^{\mathrm{ns}}(0)=0 ; \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\sim=0$. Hence, the nonsingular contributions to the nonadiabatic transition probability vanish if there is no dissipation. In this case the result (52-) reduces to that found by B erry [ $\left.1 \overline{1}_{1}^{1}\right]$ for herm itean $H$ am iltonians and for a single com plex crossing point contributing to Eq. ([52). . $W$ hat rem ains is the determ ination of the singular points $\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathrm{k}), \mathrm{k}=1 ; 2$; : : : and the calculation of $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{C}}(\mathrm{k})$ and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{g}}^{\mathrm{s}}(\mathrm{k})$. $T$ hese singular points are the branch points of $E_{+}(u)$ E (u). Their location depends on whether $0 \quad \sim<\tilde{c}_{c}$ or $\sim>\sim_{c}=1$. Let us start $w$ ith the rst case $0 \sim<\tilde{c}_{c}$. From $\left(u^{\mathrm{n}}+\mathrm{i} \sim\right)^{2}+1=0, \mathrm{n}$ odd, we nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{c}(k)=(1 \quad \sim)^{\prime}=\exp \text { i } \frac{1}{2 n}+k \frac{2}{n} \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

fork $=0 ; 1 ;::: ; \mathrm{n} \quad 1$; which are show n togetherwith the branch cuts in $F$ igure 3 for $n=3$. From Eqs. ( $51 \overline{1}_{1}^{1}$ ) and (6-1) we obtain the corresponding singular points in the com plex z-plane:

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{c}(k)=h_{h}(\sim) \exp i \frac{}{2 n}+k \frac{2}{n} \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{\mathrm{n}}(\sim)=\mathrm{I}^{\left(1 Z^{\sim}\right)^{1=}} \mathrm{p} \overline{1} \overline{(\sim \quad \mathrm{z})^{2}}: \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the $m$ apping $z(u)$ is analytic in the complex $u-$ plane, except at the branch lines, it is conform al. A ccordingly, for those $u_{c}(k)$ which are in the upper u-plane the corresponding $z_{c}(k) w i l l$ be above the integration contour $C$ and therefore $w$ ill contribute to $P$ (see end of the second section). A fter the determ ination of the singular points we can proceed to calculate their \geom etrical" and \dynam ical" contribution to P. From Eqs. (53 (5영) it follow s :

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{g}^{s}(\mathrm{k})=\mathrm{u}_{0}^{\mathrm{u}(\mathrm{k})} \mathrm{du} \frac{+(\mathrm{u})-(\mathrm{u})}{+(\mathrm{u}) \quad(\mathrm{u})} \\
& 0 \\
& =w_{w(0)+i \sim}^{w\left(u_{c} Z^{(k)}\right)+i \sim} d p \frac{1}{2+1} \\
& =\quad \ln \left(\quad\left(u_{c}(k)\right)\right)+\ln \left(i \sim+\frac{q}{1 \quad \sim^{2}}\right): \tag{69}
\end{align*}
$$

Because $\left(w^{*}\left(u_{c}(k)\right)+i \sim\right)+1=0$ we get from Eq. (59) that $\left(u_{c}(k)\right)=1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{g}^{s}(k)=\ln \left(i \sim+\frac{q}{1 \quad \sim^{2}}\right)+i \quad F_{g}^{s}(\sim): \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he reader should note that $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{g}}^{\mathrm{s}}$ is independent on k . C onsequently it can be taken in front of the sum in


FIG. 4: C om parison of the num erical exact (solid line) and the asym ptotic result, Eq. ( $711_{1}^{1}$ ), (dashed line) for P $(\sim ; \sim)$ and a power law sweep w(u) $u \bar{u}^{5}$. (a) $\sim=0: 1$, (b) $\sim=0: 3$, (c) $\sim=0: 7$ and (d) $\sim=0: 9$

Eq. (52 $\left.\underline{Z}^{\prime}\right)$ which yields $\exp \left(2 R e F_{g}^{s}\right)$ and just cancels the non-singular \geom etrical" factor $\exp \left(2 R e F_{g}^{\text {ns }}\right.$ ), due to Eq. (6331). Therefore we nd that no \geom etrical" factor occurs for the A S-m odel. This will change if we apply an additionaltim e-dependent eld in the $x$-and $y$-direction. W hat rem ains is the calculation of the singular \dynam ical" factor. Because we are interested in the adiabatic lim it ! 0, we have to take into account in Eq. (5) 5 those singularities in the upper $z-p l a n e ~ w i t h ~ s m ~ a l l e s t ~$ im aginary part. $T$ hese are $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{c}}^{+}(\mathrm{k}=0)$ and $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{c}}^{+}(\mathrm{k}=$ ( $\mathrm{n} \quad 1$ ) $=2$ ), forwhich $\operatorname{Rez}_{c}^{+}(0)=\operatorname{Rez}_{c}^{+}\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{n} & 1)=2) \text { and }\end{array}\right.\right.$
 w ith $k=0$ and $k=\left(\begin{array}{ll}n & 1\end{array}\right)=2$ we obtain nally:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{P}=4 \cos ^{2} \sim \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{h}}^{+}(\sim) \cos \frac{\ln }{2 \mathrm{n}} \exp \left[\sim \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{d}}^{\mathrm{ns}}(\sim)\right] \\
\exp \quad 2 \sim \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{h}}^{+}(\sim) \sin \frac{-}{2 \mathrm{n}}: \tag{71}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let us consider linear sw eeps, i.e. $\mathrm{n}=1$. T hen there exists only one singularity $u_{c}^{+}(0)=i(1 \quad \sim)$ in the upper u-plane and Eq. (5zi) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}=\exp \quad \underline{1} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{d}}^{\mathrm{ns}}+2 \mathrm{Im} \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{c}}^{+}(0) \quad: \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

The exponent can be calculated analytically by using
 nds that the $\sim$-dependence drops out from the exponent. W ith $=\sim^{1}$ one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{e} \quad ; \quad \overline{2}^{\sim}=\frac{2}{2 \mathrm{hv}} \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

consistent w ith the nding in Ref. [1] $\left.{ }_{1}^{2}\right]$. In order to check the validity of Eq. (711), we have solved num erically the tim e dependent Schrodinger equation in order to deter$m$ ine $P$. A com parison between the num erically exact and the asym ptotic result, Eq. ( $7 \mathbf{n}_{1}^{1}$ ), is shown in Fig. $\overline{4}$


FIG.5: Same as Fig. 3 but for $\sim>\sim_{c}$ and w ithout branch cuts. T he radius of the inner and outer circle is $(\sim 1)^{1=3}$ and $(\sim+1)^{1=3}$.


FIG. 6: Sam e as Fig. 4, how ever for $\sim>\sim_{c}$ and w ith the asym ptotic result, Eq. (75). (a) $\sim=1: 01$, (b) $\sim=1: 1$, (c) $\sim=50$ and (d) $\sim=500$
for $\mathrm{n}=3$ and four di erent $\sim-$ values. W e observe that the deviation betw een both results, e.g., for $\sim=5$ and $\sim=0: 3$, is about 1.6 per cent, only. Sim ilarly good agreem ent has been found for $n>3$. From Eq. (711 $1_{1}^{\prime}$ ) it follows that there exist an in nite num ber of critical values $\sim_{c}^{()}(\sim) ;=1 ; 2 ; 3 ;:::$ at which the oscillatory prefactor in Eq. [711) vanishes. From this we can conclude that these Stuckelberg oscillations proven to exist for TLS without dissipation [1_] and discussed later in Refs. $\left[\frac{10}{1}, \overline{1} \overline{2} \overline{3}\right]$ for $\sim=0$ survive even in presence of dissipation, provided $\sim<\sim_{c}=1$. Indeed, we will see below that they disappear for $\sim>\sim_{c}$. It is not only the survival


F IG . 7: ~dependence of P $(\sim ; \sim)$ for wo $(u)=u^{3}$ and $\sim=$ $10 ; 15 ; 20 ; 25 ; 30$ (from top to bottom ). N um erical exact result (solid line) and the asym ptotic one (dashed line)
of the oscillations, but also the survival of the com plete transitions from state $\mathfrak{j l} 1 今 j \# i$ to state $2 i 今 j " i$ found in


N ow, we tum to the second case $\sim>\sim_{c}$. For this case we nd:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{c}(k)=(\sim \quad 1)^{1=n} \exp i \frac{}{2 n}+k \frac{2}{n} \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $k=0 ; 1 ;::: ; n \quad 1$; which are shown in $F$ ig. i\$t for $\mathrm{n}=3$. The m ain di erence to the case $0 \sim \sim \tilde{c}_{\mathrm{c}}$ is that there is exactly one singular point am ong $u_{c}(k)$ denoted by $u_{c}^{0}$ for which $z_{c}^{0}=z\left(u_{c}^{0}\right)$ is on the realaxis in the com plex $z-p l a n e . U$ sing the de nition, Eq. (58), of E (u), F ig. on the real $u$ axis. There seem to exist tw o possibilities to dealw ith this problem. First, after having chosen the branch cuts in the complex u-plane one has to deform the integration contour along the realu-axis sucht that $u=0$ is above that contour and that no branch cut is crossed. This kind of reasoning was used by M oyer in Ref. [2d]. Second, one could de ne E (u) such that they are analytic in a strip around the realu-axis. This can be done by interchanging $E_{+}(u)$ and $E(u)$ for $u \quad 0$. $T$ his has the consequence that the contour $z(u)$ for $u$ real is in the right com plex $z$-plane, starting e.g. above the positive real axis for $u=1$, going through $z=0$ for $\mathrm{u}=0$ and then continuing below the positive real axis for $u!+1$. This contour would enclose $z_{0}$ if $R e z_{0}>0$. W hether it can be closed such that the closure does not $m$ ake a contribution is not obvious. Since we are not sure how to solve this problem in a rigorous $m$ anner, we have assum ed that $z_{0}$ is the leading contribution to $P$, Eq. ( $5 \bar{L}_{2}^{1}$ ), for ! 0 . Since $\dot{j}^{i z_{c}^{0}}=j=1$ and due to the absence of a \geom etrical" contribution we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}=\exp \left[\sim \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{d}}^{\mathrm{ns}}(\sim)\right] ; \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{d}}^{\mathrm{ns}}(\sim)$ given by Eq. ${ }^{(64)}(6)$. A comparison betw een the $\sim$-dependence of the num erically exact and the


FIG. 8: C om parison of the critical values $\sim_{c}^{()}(\sim)$ for (a) $w^{*}(u)=u^{5}$ and (b) $w^{*}(u)=u^{51}$. The num erically exact result is show $n$ by the open circles and the result obtained for the oscillator $m$ odel is depicted by the fiull circles. T he solid lines are a guide for the eye.
 we nd a very good agreem ent already for ~ 1. This strongly supports the correctness of our assum ption that $z_{0}$ is them ost im portant singularity. E q. (7그) reveals that the Stuckelberg oscillations as function of ~ have disappeared. W e stress that both asym ptotic results, E q. (711) and (7그) , are valid for all $\sim$ w ith $0 \quad \sim \lll$ and for all ~ larger than $\sim_{c}$, respectively, provided $\sim$ is large enough. $T$ his is dem onstrated in $F i g$. $\overline{7}$, for di erent ~.

## IV. IN TERPRETATION BY A DAMPED HARMON IC OSCILLATOR

In this section we will give an intuitive explanation of the Stuckelberg oscillations and will present an approxim ate calculation for the critical values $\sim_{c}^{(1)}(\sim)$ for power law crossing sweeps w( $u$ ) $=u^{n}$, $n$ odd. $C$ lose to
the resonance at $u=0$ we $m$ ay neglect w (u). Then the tim e dependent Schrodinger equation for the am plitude of state jli

$$
2 \begin{array}{lll}
2 \mathrm{Zu} & 3
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.c_{1}(u)=c_{1}(u) \exp { }^{4} i \quad d u u^{0} w^{\left(u^{0}\right.}\right)^{5} \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{1}+2 \varepsilon_{1}(u)+!{ }_{0}^{2} e_{1}(u)=0 \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith:

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{\sim \sim}{2} ; \quad!_{0}=\frac{\sim}{2}: \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $t_{\text {trans }}$ be the Landau-Zener transition time. In the adiabatic lim it it is well-known that $t_{\text {trans }}==\mathrm{v}$. Eq. (55]) yields $u_{\text {trans }}=1$. Therefore we will require as initialconditions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{1}\left(u_{\text {trans }}=1\right)=1 \\
& \Theta_{1}\left(u_{\text {trans }}=1\right)=0:
\end{align*}
$$

Eq. $\left(\overline{7} \bar{\eta}_{1}\right)$ is the equation of $m$ otion for a dam ped harm on ic oscillator which can easily be solved. The special solutions are $\exp [i!(\sim ; \sim) u]$ w th

$$
\begin{equation*}
!(\sim ; \sim)=\frac{\sim}{2} \text { i~ } \frac{q}{1} \sim_{\sim}^{2}: \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result $m$ akes obvious the existence of a critical dam ping $\sim_{c}=1$. For $0 \sim<\tilde{c}_{c}$ and $\sim<\sim_{c}$ the oscillator is underdam ped and overdam ped, respectively. This qualitative di erent behavior is the origin of the di erent $\sim$-dependence of $P$ for $0 \sim<\tilde{c}$ and $\sim<\sim_{c}$, found in the third section. This relationship can be deepened m ore by calculating $\left.\sim_{c}^{( }\right)(\sim)$. H aving solved Eq. $(7)_{(7)}^{(1)}$ w ith intial conditions, Eq. (7-9) we approxim ate $P$ by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=\dot{\mathcal{C}}_{1}\left(+u_{\text {trans }}\right)=+1 \tilde{J}=\dot{\mathcal{j}}_{1}\left(+u_{\text {trans }}=+1\right) \mathcal{J}: \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

The zeros (w th respect to ~) ofP yield $\sim_{c}^{()}(\sim)$. A num ericalsolution of the corresponding transcendentalequation leads to the results show $n$ in $F$ igure 8 for wo $(u)=u^{n} w$ ith $\mathrm{n}=5$ and $\mathrm{n}=51$ and $\sim<\sim_{c}$. Figure 8 also contains the result from a num erically exact solution of the tim e dependent Schrodinger equation. C om paring both results we observe that the agreem ent for $\mathrm{n}=5$ is qualitatively good, but quantitatively less satisfactory. H ow ever, increasing $n \mathrm{~m}$ ore and m ore leads even to a rather good quantitative agreem ent, as can be seen for $n=51$. This behavior is easily understood, since wo (u) w ithin the transition range ( $1 ; 1$ ) becom es practically zero for $n$ large enough. Figure 8 also dem onstrates that $\sim_{c}^{()}$increases $m$ onotonically $w$ th $\sim$ which is related to the decrease of Re! ( ; ; ) for increasing $\sim$. The oscillatorm odel can also be used to determ ine a low er bound for $\sim_{c}^{(1)}(\sim=0)$. For $u_{\text {trans }}=1$ one gets

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim_{c}^{(1)}(\sim=0) \quad \overline{2} \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that $\sim_{c}^{()}(\sim) \quad{\underset{c}{1}}_{(1)}^{(\sim)}>\sim_{c}^{(1)}(\sim=0) \quad \ldots=2$, for all ~. It is interesting that the lower bound (82) for $\sim$ is sim ilar to that obtained from the inverse Landau-Zener problem [25]. There, the t-dependent survival probabilIty $P(t ; \sim)$ is given and $W$ ( $t ; \sim)$ is determ ined analytically from $P_{-}(t ; \sim)$. If $P(t ; \sim)=P(t ; \sim u), w$ th $u$ and $\sim$ from Eq. (5d), varies from one (for $t=1$ ) to zero (for $\left.t=+1^{-}\right)$, it is found that a solution $W$ ( $t ; \sim$ ) of the inverse problem only exists, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim>1: \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he latter inequality, as well as inequality ( $\overline{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{z}_{1}^{2}$ ) im plies that the ratio $t_{\text {trans }}=t_{\text {tunnel }}$ of the transit tim $\bar{e} t_{\text {trans }}=$
$=v$ and the tim e period of coherent tunneling $t_{\text {tunnel }}=$ $h=v$, which equals $\sim$, is of order one. It is obvious that com plete transitions can not occur if $t_{\text {trans }}$ is too sm all com pared to $t_{\text {tunnel, }}$ i.e. for $\sim 1$. In that case the quantum system does not have tim e enough to tunnel from the initial state $\mathcal{l l} i$ to state $2 i$.

## V. SUMMARYAND CONCLUSIONS

O urm ain focus has been on the derivation of the nonadiabatic transition probability $P(\sim)$ for a dissipative two-level system $m$ odelled by a general non-herm itean H am iltonian, depending analytically on time. Following for the hem itean case Berry's approach by use of a superadiabatic basis we have found a generalization of the DDP-form ula. Besides a \geom etricall and a \dynam icall factor, com pletely determ ined by the crossing points in the com plex tim e plane, we also have found a non-universal \geom etrical" and \dynam icall contribution to P . T he latter require the know ledge of the H am iltonian's full tim e dependence and are identical to zero in the absence ofdissipation. W ithout speci cation of the TLSH am iltonian, we have shown that both \geom etrical" contributions can be expressed by (u), the ratio of the com ponents ofeach adiabatic states $j_{0}$; (u)i in the basis $j i ; \quad=1 ; 2$, and both \dynam ical" ones by the adiabatic eigenvalues $E$ ( $u$ ), only. In th is respect our result for $P(\sim)$ is independent of a special param etrization of the $H$ am iltonian $m$ atrix. A lthough the result in Ref. $\left.{ }_{2}^{2} \mathbf{0}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$ is not in such an explicit form like Eq. (4]) the existence of this nonsingular \dynam ical" contribution has already been stated there. H ow ever, the nonsingular lgeom etrical" part, Eq. $\left(4 \overline{7}_{1}\right)$, has not been found in that paper.
A s a physical application we have studied the A S $-m$ odel [ 1 I $\left.{ }_{1}^{1}\right]$. This $m$ odel describes a dissipative $T L S$ where the
 that the probability $P$ for a linear tim e dependence of the bias does not depend on the dam ping constant ~ for all ~ . O ur results dem onstrate that this is not generic. For instance, non linear pow er law crossing sw eeps generate a ~dependence ofP. For such sweeps a critical value $\sim_{c}=1$ exists. Below $\sim_{c}$ the non-adiabatic transition probability oscillates and vanishes at critical values $\sim_{c}^{()}(\sim)$, and for
~ > $\sim_{c}$ the oscillations are absent. H ence, the existence of com plete transitions at an in nite set of critical sw eep rates still holds for all ~ below $\sim_{c}$. In the section IV we have show $n$ how the oscillations and their disappearance for $\sim>\sim_{c}$ can be qualitatively explained by a dam ped harm onic oscillator. For power law sweeps w ith rather large exponent, e.g. $\mathrm{n}=50$, this description becom es even quantitatively correct. N o doubt, it w ould be interesting to study a m icroscopic $m$ odel of a T LS coupled to phonons, e.g. a spin-boson $H$ am iltonian as in Ref. [1] $\left.{ }^{-1}\right]$, in order to check whether the $\sim$-dependence ofP exhibits oscillations for power law sweep with $\mathrm{n}>1$ and sm all enough spin-phonon coupling. A nother question concems the interaction betw een the T LS which have been com pletely neglected in our present work. That they can play a crucial role w as show n recently ["G]. W hether the oscillations still exist in the presence of interactions betw een the T LS is not obvious.
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## A ppendix

In this appendix we will describe how the asym p-
 though we follow Berry's approach [1] 1 $m$ ost im portant steps since the non-hem itean property of $H$ does not allow the sim ple param etrization used in
 of Ref. [2ki]. N evertheless we will recover the sam e universal recursion relation for the coe cients $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{m}}$ ( ) as found by Berry [22']. In order to show how Eq. (52 ) can be obtained from Eq. (4) we have to calculate the three pre-exponential factors $\left.\mathrm{E}_{+}()_{2}\right) \mathrm{E}() ;[+()$
()$]=+()$ and $a_{n+1}()$ in Eq. $(4$ ( $\ddagger) \cdot W$ e assum e that the H am iltonian $\mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{O}\right.$ ) is analytic in in $^{-}$. Let c be one of the branch points of $E_{+}($) $E$ ( ). C lose to cwe get:

$$
E_{+}\left(\begin{array}{l}
\text { ) }
\end{array} \quad E\left(\begin{array}{c} 
 \tag{84}\\
\end{array}\right)^{1=2}\right.
$$

w th c a constant, depending on c. Eq. (512) im plies

$$
\begin{equation*}
z \quad z=\frac{2}{3} c(\quad c)^{3=2} ; \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{c}}=\mathrm{z}(\mathrm{c})$. In the adiabatic lim it ! 0 the $m$ ain contribution to the integral (2. line of Eq. (4̄G)) com es from the singular points $c$ and $z_{c}$, respectively. C onsequently we have to calculate the pre-exponential factors (2. line of Eq. (4G)) close to the singularities, only. Let us start w ith [ + ( ) ( ) $=_{ \pm}$( ). U sing Eq. (50 ${ }^{(1)}$ ) it follows w ith $(z)=((z))$ close to $z_{c}$

$$
\left[+(z) \quad(z)={ }_{+}^{0}(z)=6\left(\begin{array}{ll}
z & z_{e} \tag{86}
\end{array}\right) ;\right.
$$

where ${ }^{0}$ denotes derivative $w$ ith respect to $z$. N ote that $\mathrm{H}_{11} ; \mathrm{H}_{12}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{22}$ do not enter in Eq. (8G). The calculation of $a_{n+1}()$ is more evolved. As a rst step we
 (24í) which yields a recursion relation for $a_{m}()$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
a_{n n}()=\frac{i}{E_{+}() E()} a_{m}()=() a_{1}() \\
()+() a_{n} l_{1}(): \tag{87}
\end{gather*}
$$

N ext we calculate the various tem s close to c. From


$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{-(~)}{(~)}=(\quad \text { c })^{1} \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
()+()=\frac{1}{16}(\quad c)^{2}: \tag{89}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expressing the -derivatives of $a_{n}$ and $a_{m} \quad 1$ by derivatives $w$ ith respect to $z$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{m}(1)=c(\quad c)^{1=2} a_{m}^{0}(z) \tag{90}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{m}()=c^{2}(\quad c) a_{m}^{\infty}(z)+\frac{c}{2}(\quad c)^{1=2} a_{m}^{0} \tag{z}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d z=\mathrm{d}_{-}=E_{+}() \quad E()$ and $(8-4)$ was used, we get

$w$ th intial condition (cf. Eq. (28-1)) :

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{0}(z) \quad 1: \tag{93}
\end{equation*}
$$

 exœept the di erent sign in front of the square bracket. The sign change is irrelevant. The exact solution of Eq. (92), (931) can be taken from $R$ ef. [22]:

$$
a_{m}(z)=B_{m}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
z & z_{e} \tag{94}
\end{array}\right)^{m}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{m}=i^{m} \frac{\left(m \quad \frac{7}{6}\right)!\left(m \quad \frac{5}{6}\right)!}{m!\left(\frac{7}{6}\right)!\left(\frac{5}{6}\right)!}: \tag{95}
\end{equation*}
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Z }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z^{1} \\
& ={ }_{c} d z a_{n+1}^{0}(z) \frac{+(z)}{\underset{+}{0}(z)}(z) \quad I(z) \\
& \text { C } \quad X^{Z} \\
& =6(n+1) B_{n+1}{ }_{k} \quad d z \frac{1}{\left(z \quad z_{c}(k)\right)^{n+1}} I(z) \\
& =6(n+1) 2 i \underline{i}^{n} B_{n+1} \quad \mathrm{X}\left(\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{C}}(\mathrm{k})\right) \text {; } \tag{96}
\end{align*}
$$

where the sum over $k$ is restricted to all singular points $z_{c}(k)$ above the contour $C=f z=z() j 11 g$ Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
62(n+1) B_{1}=n!\quad!1^{n+1} ; n!1 \text {; } \tag{97}
\end{equation*}
$$

the prefactor in front of ${ }^{P}$ in Eq. (9-G) equals $(1)^{1+1}$. Substituting (9-1) into Eq. (4-9) ${ }^{n}$ cancels and one obtains the result Eq. (52').
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