

# Simplification of additivity conjecture in quantum information theory

Motohisa Fukuda  
 Statistical Laboratory,  
 Centre for Mathematical Sciences,  
 University of Cambridge

March 29, 2024

## Abstract

We simplify some conjectures in quantum information theory; the additivity of minimal output entropy, the multiplicativity of maximal output  $p$ -norm and the superadditivity of convex closure of output entropy. We construct a unital channel for a given channel so that they share the above additivity properties; we can reduce the conjectures for all channels to those for unital channels.

## 1 Introduction

It is natural to measure the noisiness of a (quantum) channel by the minimal output entropy (M O E); how close can the output be to a pure state in terms of the von Neumann entropy. It is then important to ask if a tensor product of two channels can ever be less noisy in the sense that some entangled input can have its output closer to a pure state than the product of the optimal inputs of the two channels. This leads to the additivity conjecture of M O E. Actually, the additivity of M O E has been shown [3], [20], [18] to be equivalent globally to several other fundamental conjectures in quantum information theory; the additivity of Holevo capacity, the additivity of entanglement of formation and the strong superadditivity of entanglement of formation. In this paper we write not only about the additivity of

---

Email: m.fukuda@statslab.cam.ac.uk

M O E but also about two other conjectures; the multiplicativity of maximum output p-norm, which measures how close can the output be to a pure state in terms of p-norm, and the superadditivity of convex closure of output entropy. Note that the multiplicativity of maximum output p-norm implies the additivity of M O E [10], and the superadditivity of convex closure of output entropy the additivity of entanglement of formation [16],[20]. In this sense, these two conjectures are stronger than the above four equivalent conjectures.

Curiously, most channels for which the additivity of M O E has been proven are unital: unital qubit channels [11], the depolarizing channel [8],[13],[2], the Werner-Holevo channel [17],[4],[1], the transpose depolarizing channel [7],[5], and some asymmetric unital channels [6]. By contrast, non-unital channels have been extremely resistant to proofs. Of course there are some proofs on non-unital channels; entanglement-breaking channels [19],[12], a modification of the Werner-Holevo channel [22] and diagonal channels [14]. However in the paper [9] we had to extend the result on the depolarizing channel, which is unital, to non-unital ones.

In this paper we simplify the three conjectures; the additivity of M O E, the multiplicativity of maximum output p-norm and the superadditivity of convex closure of output entropy. We show that proving these conjectures for a product of any two unital channels is enough by using some unital extension of channels. This is significant because having proven this we don't have to consider non-unital channels as long as these conjectures are concerned.

Let us give some basic definitions. A (quantum) state is represented as a positive semidefinite operator of trace one in a Hilbert space  $H$ ; this is called a density operator. We denote the sets of all bounded operators and all density operators in  $H$  by  $B(H)$  and  $D(H)$  respectively. A (quantum) channel from  $H_1$  to  $H_2$  is a completely positive (CP) trace-preserving (TP) map (CPTP map) from  $B(H_1)$  to  $B(H_2)$ . A channel is called bistochastic if

$$(I_{H_1}) = I_{H_2} :$$

Here  $I_{H_1} = I_{H_1}/\dim H_1$ , called the normalised identity, where  $I_{H_1}$  is the identity operator in  $H_1$  ( $I_{H_2}$  is similarly defined). When  $H_1 = H_2$  bistochastic channels are called unital channels.

The M O E of a channel is defined as

$$S_{\min}(\Phi) := \inf_{\rho \in D(H_1)} S(\Phi(\rho)); \quad (1.1)$$

where  $S$  is the von Neumann entropy:  $S(\rho) = -\text{tr}[\rho \log \rho]$ . The additivity conjecture of M O E [15] is that

$$S_{\min}(\Phi \otimes \Psi) = S_{\min}(\Phi) + S_{\min}(\Psi) \quad (1.2)$$

for any channels  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$ . Note that the bound  $S_{\min}(\Phi \otimes \Psi) \geq S_{\min}(\Phi) + S_{\min}(\Psi)$  is straightforward.

The maximal output p-norm of a channel  $\Phi$  is defined as

$$\chi_p(\Phi) \doteq \sup_{\rho \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H})} \|\Phi(\rho)\|_p; \quad (1.3)$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_p$  is the Schatten p-norm:  $\|\rho\|_p = (\text{tr} |\rho|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ . The multiplicativity conjecture of maximal output p-norm is that

$$\chi_p(\Phi \otimes \Psi) = \chi_p(\Phi) \chi_p(\Psi) \quad (1.4)$$

for any channels  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$ , and any  $p \in [1; 2]$ . The multiplicativity was conjectured to be true for  $p \in [1; 1]$  before a counterexample was found [21]. Note that the bound  $\chi_p(\Phi \otimes \Psi) \leq \chi_p(\Phi) \chi_p(\Psi)$  is straightforward.

The convex closure of output entropy of a channel  $\Phi$  is

$$H(\Phi) = \min_{\{p_i\}} \sum_i p_i S(\Phi(\rho_i)) : \sum_i p_i \rho_i = \rho; \quad p_i \geq 0, \sum_i p_i = 1; \quad (1.5)$$

for a state  $\rho$ . The superadditivity conjecture of convex closure of output entropy is that

$$H(\Phi \otimes \Psi) \geq H(\Phi) + H(\Psi); \quad (1.6)$$

for any channels  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$ , and any state  $\rho \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{K})$ . Here the input spaces of  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  are  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{K}$  respectively, and  $\rho_{\mathcal{H}} = \text{tr}_{\mathcal{K}}[\rho]$  and  $\rho_{\mathcal{K}} = \text{tr}_{\mathcal{H}}[\rho]$ .

We introduce the Weyl operators to be used later. Given a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  of dimension  $d$  let us choose an orthonormal basis  $f_k; k = 0, \dots, d-1$ . Consider the additive cyclic group  $Z_d$  and define an irreducible projective unitary representation of the group  $Z = Z_d \times Z_d$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  as

$$W_z = (x; y) \mapsto U^x V^y;$$

where  $x, y \in Z_d$ ; and  $U$  and  $V$  are the unitary operators such that

$$U|j_k\rangle = |j_{k+1 \pmod d}\rangle; \quad V|j_k\rangle = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i k}{d}\right) |j_k\rangle;$$

Then we have

$$\sum_z W_z W_z^\dagger = d^2 I_{\mathcal{H}}; \quad \forall \mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H}); \quad (1.7)$$

## 2 Result

We were inspired by the Shor's paper [20] to find the following theorem :

Theorem 1. Take a channel  $\mathcal{N}$ .

- 1) The additivity of M O E of  $\mathcal{N}$  for any bistochastic channel  $\mathcal{M}$  would imply that of  $\mathcal{N}$  for any channel  $\mathcal{K}$ .
- 2) Fix  $p \in [1; 1]$ . The multiplicativity of maximal output p-norm of  $\mathcal{N}$  for any bistochastic channel  $\mathcal{M}$  would imply that of  $\mathcal{N}$  for any channel  $\mathcal{K}$ .
- 3) The superadditivity of convex closure of output entropy of  $\mathcal{N}$  for any bistochastic channel  $\mathcal{M}$  would imply that of  $\mathcal{N}$  for any channel  $\mathcal{K}$ .

Proof. 1) Suppose we have a channel

$$\mathcal{N}: B(H_1) \rightarrow B(H_2):$$

Let  $d = \dim H_2$ . Then we construct a new channel  $\mathcal{N}^0$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}^0: B(C^{d^2} \otimes H_1) &\rightarrow B(H_2) \\ &\sim \sum_z W_z (E_z \otimes I_{H_1}) W_z^* \end{aligned}$$

Here  $W_z$  are the Weyl operators in  $H_2$  and  $E_z = (|z\rangle\langle z| \otimes I_{H_1})$ , where  $|z\rangle$  form s the standard basis for  $C^{d^2}$ . Note that this channel is bistochastic by (1.7).

First, we show

$$S_{\min}(\mathcal{N}^0) = S_{\min}(\mathcal{N}); \quad (2.1)$$

for any channel  $\mathcal{K}$ . Suppose  $\mathcal{K}$  is a channel such that  $\mathcal{K}: B(K_1) \rightarrow B(K_2)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} &(\mathcal{N}^0 \otimes \mathcal{K})(j(0;0) \otimes \text{id}(0;0)j) \\ &= (I_{H_2} \otimes \mathcal{K})((\mathcal{N}^0 \otimes I_{K_1})(j(0;0) \otimes \text{id}(0;0)j)) \\ &= (I_{H_2} \otimes \mathcal{K})((\mathcal{N} \otimes I_{K_1})(\cdot)) \\ &= (\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{K})(\cdot); \end{aligned}$$

for any  $\mathcal{K} \in \mathcal{D}(H_1 \otimes K_1)$ . Here  $(0;0) \in Z_d \otimes Z_d$  and  $W_{(0;0)} = I_{H_2}$ .

Next, we show the converse;

$$S_{\min}(\mathcal{N}^0) = S_{\min}(\mathcal{N}); \quad (2.2)$$

for any channel  $\mathcal{N}$ . Take  $\mathcal{K} \in \mathcal{D}(C^{d^2} \otimes H_1 \otimes K_1)$ . Let  $\mathcal{K}_z = (E_z \otimes I_{K_1}) \wedge (E_z \otimes I_{K_1})$ ,  $c_z = \text{tr}_{K_1} \mathcal{K}_z$  and  $\mathcal{K}_z = \mathcal{K}_z c_z$  for  $z \in Z_d \otimes Z_d$ . Note that  $\mathcal{K}$  can be written in the matrix form :

$$\mathcal{K} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & 1 \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0;0 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ (d-1;d-1) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} C \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ A \end{pmatrix}$$

This is a  $d^2 \times d^2$  block matrix, where each block is an element in  $B(H_1 \otimes K_1)$ . Then, by concavity of the von Neumann entropy,

$$S(\rho^{(0)}) \geq S\left(\sum_z (W_z \otimes I_{K_2}) (\rho^{(0)}(z)) (W_z \otimes I_{K_2})\right) \\ \geq \sum_z S(\rho^{(0)}(z)) \\ \geq S_{\min}(\rho^{(0)}):$$

Finally, since we assumed the additivity for a product of any bistochastic channel and (2.1) and (2.2) show

$$S_{\min}(\rho^{(0)}) = S_{\min}(\rho^{(0)}) = S_{\min}(\rho^{(0)}) + S_{\min}(\rho^{(0)}) = S_{\min}(\rho^{(0)}) + S_{\min}(\rho^{(0)}):$$

2) A proof on the multiplicativity can be obtained in a similar way as above.

3) As in the proof 1) take any channel to have the following result:

$$H(\rho^{(0)}(j(0;0) \otimes \rho^{(0)}(j))) = \min_i \sum_i p_i S(\rho^{(0)}(j(0;0) \otimes \rho^{(0)}(j))) \\ = \min_i \sum_i p_i S(\rho^{(0)}(j(0;0) \otimes \rho^{(0)}(j))) \\ = H(\rho^{(0)}(j(0;0) \otimes \rho^{(0)}(j))) \geq 2 D(H_1 \otimes K_1):$$

To see the first equality note that

$$\rho^{(0)}(j(0;0) \otimes \rho^{(0)}(j)) = \sum_i p_i \rho^{(0)}(j(0;0) \otimes \rho^{(0)}(j)) \quad \rho^{(0)}(j(0;0) \otimes \rho^{(0)}(j)) \geq i:$$

Here,  $i \geq 2 D(H_1 \otimes K_1)$ . By the assumption we have

$$H(\rho^{(0)}(j(0;0) \otimes \rho^{(0)}(j))) \\ = H(\rho^{(0)}(j(0;0) \otimes \rho^{(0)}(j)))_{H_1} + H(\rho^{(0)}(j(0;0) \otimes \rho^{(0)}(j)))_{K_1} \\ = H(\rho^{(0)}(j(0;0) \otimes \rho^{(0)}(j)))_{H_1} + H(\rho^{(0)}(j(0;0) \otimes \rho^{(0)}(j)))_{K_1}$$

QED

Remark. The first part of proof 1) shows that  $\rho^{(0)}$  is a bistochastic extension of  $\rho$ . In the following corollary we form a unital extension of  $\rho$ .

Corollary 2. In each case of theorem 1, the assumption would be implied by proving the conjecture on  $\rho_u$  for all unital channels  $\rho_u$ .

Proof. Consider a unital channel:

$$\omega: B(C^{d^2} \otimes H_1) \rightarrow B(C^{cd} \otimes H_2) \\ \sim \gamma: I_{C^{cd}} \otimes \rho^{(0)}(\sim):$$

Here  $c$  is the dimension of  $H_1$ . Then it is not difficult to see

$$S((\rho^{\otimes c})^{(\wedge)}) = \log c d + S((\rho^{\otimes c})^{(\wedge)})$$

$$k((\rho^{\otimes c})^{(\wedge)})_{\mathcal{P}} = (cd)^{\frac{1}{\mathcal{P}}} k(\rho^{\otimes c})^{(\wedge)}_{\mathcal{P}}:$$

for any channel  $\mathcal{C}$  and any state  $\rho \in \mathcal{C}^{d^2 \times H_1 \times K_1}$ . The results follow obviously.  
QED

Corollary 3. The following statements are true.

- 1) The additivity of M O E of  $\mathcal{C}_u \otimes \mathcal{C}_v$  for any unital channels  $\mathcal{C}_u$  and  $\mathcal{C}_v$  would imply that of  $\mathcal{C}_u \otimes \mathcal{C}_v$  for any channels  $\mathcal{C}_u$  and  $\mathcal{C}_v$ .
- 2) Fix  $p \in [1; \infty]$ . The multiplicativity of maximal output  $p$ -norm of  $\mathcal{C}_u \otimes \mathcal{C}_v$  for any unital channels  $\mathcal{C}_u$  and  $\mathcal{C}_v$  would imply that of  $\mathcal{C}_u \otimes \mathcal{C}_v$  for any channels  $\mathcal{C}_u$  and  $\mathcal{C}_v$ .
- 3) The superadditivity of convex closure of output entropy of  $\mathcal{C}_u \otimes \mathcal{C}_v$  for any unital channels  $\mathcal{C}_u$  and  $\mathcal{C}_v$  would imply that of  $\mathcal{C}_u \otimes \mathcal{C}_v$  for any channels  $\mathcal{C}_u$  and  $\mathcal{C}_v$ .

Remark. In order to prove the theorem we generalized the channel extension which Shor used [20] to prove that the additivity of Holevo capacity implies the additivity of M O E. Reading his paper in view of our paper one can notice that proving the additivity of Holevo capacity for all unital channels would imply the additivity for all channels.

### 3 Conclusion

By using the results in this paper we can focus on unital channels to prove the additivity of M O E, the multiplicativity of maximal output  $p$ -norm, the superadditivity of convex closure of output entropy and the additivity of Holevo capacity, or to find a counterexample.

#### Acknowledgement

I would like to thank my supervisor Yuri Suhov for suggesting the problem, constant encouragement and numerous discussions. I also would like to thank Alexander Holevo for giving useful comments and especially pointing out that the theorem also works for the superadditivity of convex closure of output entropy. M. B. Ruskai is thanked for making useful comments.

## References

- [1] R. Alicki and M. Fannes, "Note on multiple additivity of minimal Rényi entropy output of the Werner-Holevo channels", quant-ph/0407033.
- [2] G. G. Amosov, "Remark on the additivity conjecture for the quantum depolarizing channel", quant-ph/0408004.
- [3] K. M. R. Audenaert and S. L. Braunstein, "On Strong Subadditivity of the Entanglement of Formation", Comm. Math. Phys. 246 No 3, 443(452, (2004); quant-ph/0303045.
- [4] N. Datta, A. S. Holevo and Y. Suhov, "A quantum channel with additive minimum output entropy", quant-ph/0403072.
- [5] N. Datta, A. S. Holevo and Y. Suhov, "Additivity for transpose depolarizing channels", quant-ph/0412034.
- [6] N. Datta and M. B. Ruskai, "Maximal output purity and capacity for asymmetric unital qudit channels", quant-ph/0505048.
- [7] M. Fannes, B. Haegeman, M. Mosonyi and D. Vanpeteghem, "Additivity of minimum entropy output for a class of covariant channels", quant-ph/0410195.
- [8] A. Fujiwara and T. Hashizume, "Additivity of the capacity of depolarizing channels", Phys. Lett. A, 299, 469(475 (2002).
- [9] M. Fukuda, "Extending additivity from symmetric to asymmetric channels", J. Phys. A, 38, L753-L758 (2005); quant-ph/0505022.
- [10] A. S. Holevo, "Remarks on the classical capacity of quantum channel", quant-ph/0212025.
- [11] C. King, "Additivity for unital qubit channels", J. Math. Phys. 43, 4641(4653, (2002).
- [12] C. King, "Maximal p-norms of entanglement breaking channels", quant-ph/0212057.
- [13] C. King, "The capacity of the quantum depolarizing channel", IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, 49, 221(229, (2003).
- [14] C. King, "An application of a matrix inequality in quantum information theory", quant-ph/0412046.
- [15] C. King and M. B. Ruskai, "Minimal entropy of states emerging from noisy quantum channels", IEEE Trans. Info. Theory, 47, 192-209 (2001), quant-ph/9911079.

- [16] K. Matsuoto, T. Shimono and A. Winter, "Remarks on additivity of the Holevo channel capacity and of the entanglement formation", *Comm. Math. Phys.* 246 (3) 427{442, (2004).
- [17] K. Matsuoto and F. Yura, "Entanglement cost of antisymmetric states and additivity of capacity of some quantum channels", *J. Phys. A*, 37, L167{L171 (2004).
- [18] A. A. Pomeransky, "Strong superadditivity of the entanglement of formation follows from its additivity", *Phys. Rev. A* 68, 032317 (2003); quant-ph/0305056.
- [19] P. W. Shor, "Additivity of the classical capacity of entanglement-breaking quantum channels", *J. Math. Phys.* 43, 4334{4340, (2002).
- [20] P. W. Shor, "Equivalence of Additivity Questions in Quantum Information Theory", *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 246, Issue 3, 453{472 (2004); quant-ph/0305035.
- [21] R. F. Werner and A. S. Holevo, "Counterexample to an additivity conjecture for output purity of quantum channels", quant-ph/0203003.
- [22] M. M. Wolf and J. Eisert, "Classical information capacity of a class of quantum channels", *New J. Phys.*, 7, 93 (2005).