D ecay of discrete state resonantly coupled to a continuum of nite width

E.Kogan

Jack and Pearl Resnick Institute, Physics Department, Bar Ilan University, Ram at Gan 52900, Israel

(D ated: June 10, 2022)

A simple quantum mechanical model consisting of a discrete level resonantly coupled to a continuum of nite width, where the coupling can be varied from perturbative to strong, is considered. The particle is initially localized at the discrete level, and the time dependence of the amplitude to nd the particle at the discrete level is calculated without resorting to perturbation theory and using only elementary methods. The deviations from the exponential decay law, predicted by the Ferm i's G olden Rule, are discussed.

PACS num bers: 03.65 X p

The transition of a quantum particle from an initial discrete state of energy into continuum of nal states is considered in any textbook on Quantum Mechanics. It is well known that perturbation theory approach, when used to solve the problem, leads to Ferm i's Golden Rule, which predicts the exponential decrease of the probability to nd the particle in the discrete state. It is also well known that, even for a weak coupling between the discreet state and the continuum, this result (exponential decrease of probability) has a nite range of applicability, and is not valid either for very sm all or for very large time (see e.g. Cohen-Tannoudjiet. al. [1]). This com plies with the theorem proved 50 years ago, and stating that for quantum system whose energy is bounded from below, i.e., (0;1) the exponential decay law cannot hold in the fulltim e interval [2, 3, 4]. Lately the interest in the decay of m etastable states was renewed, in particular in connection with the optical Zeno e ect [5]. In the present communication we would like to consider the problem of tunneling into continuum, bounded both from below and above. The calculations are alm ost trivial, but the results seem interesting.

The state of the system consists of the continuum band, the states bearing index k, and the discrete state d. The Ham iltonian of the problem is

$$H = \bigvee_{k} \frac{x}{kihkj} + \frac{x}{jlihdj} + \bigvee_{k} \frac{x}{kihdj} + h c:; (1)$$

where jki is the band state and jli is the state localized at site d; h.c. stands for H erm itian conjugate. The wavefunction can be presented as

$$(t) = a(t)jdi + b(k;t)jki; (2)$$

with the initial conditions a(0) = 1, b(k;0) = 0. Schroedinger Equation for the model considered takes the form

$$i\frac{da(t)}{dt} = a(t) + \bigvee_{k} V_{k} b(k;t)$$

$$i\frac{db(k;t)}{dt} = !_{k}b(k;t) + V_{k}a(t)$$
(3)

Making Fourier transform ation

$$a(!) = a(t)e^{i!t}dt;$$
 (4)

we obtain

$$i + !a(!) = a(!) + V_{k}b(k;!)$$

$$!b(k;!) = !_{k}b(k;!) + V_{k}a(!): (5)$$

For the amplitude to nd electron at the discrete level, straightforward algebra gives

$$a(t) = \frac{1}{2i} \int_{1+is}^{2i+is} g(!) e^{i!t} d!;$$
 (6)

where locator g(!) is

$$g(!) = \frac{1}{!}$$
 (7)

and

$$(!) = \frac{X}{k} \frac{y_{k} f}{!}$$
(8)

For tunneling into continuum, the sum in Eq. (8) should be considered as an integral, and Eq. (8) takes the form

$$(!) = \frac{\sum_{E_{t}}^{E_{t}}}{\frac{(E)}{! E}} dE; \qquad (9)$$

where

$$(\mathbf{E}) = \int_{k}^{X} \mathbf{y}_{k} \mathbf{j} (\mathbf{E}_{k}); \qquad (10)$$

where and the lim it of integration are the band bottom E_b and the top of the band E_t . We would like to calculate integral (6) closing the integration contour by a sem icircle of an in nite radius in the lower half-plane. Eq. (9) de nes function analytic in the whole com plex plane, save an interval of real axis between the points E_b and E_t , so the integral is determined by the integral of the



FIG.1: Contour used to evaluate integral (6). Radius of the arc goes to in nity.

sides of the branch cut between the points E_b and E_t . The real part of the self-energy 0 is continuous across the cut, and the imaginary part 00 changes sign

So the integral along the branch cut is

$$I_{cut} = \frac{\sum_{E_{t}} (E) e^{iEt} dE}{\left[E - \frac{0}{2}(E)\right]^{2} + \frac{2}{2}(E)}; \quad (12)$$

If there can exist real poles of locator, corresponding to bound states for E < E_b or E > E_t , we should add to the integral (38) the residues

$$a(t) = I_{cut}(t) + R_{j};$$
 (13)

where the index j enum erates all the real poles E $_{\rm j}$ of the integrand, and

$$R_{j} = \frac{e^{iE_{j}t}}{1 \frac{d^{0}}{dE_{E}}}$$
(14)

is the appropriate residue, which is just the amplitude of the bound state in the initial state jdi, times the am plitude of the state jdi in the bound state. The survival probability p(t) is

$$p(t) = j_a(t) f$$
: (15)

Before proceeding further, consider two simplem odels. First model is a site coupled to a sem i-in nite lattice. The system is described by the tight-banding Ham iltonian

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \frac{X^{i}}{n=1}$$
 jnihn + 1j+ jn + 1ihn j
+ jdihdj V jdihlj+ jlihdj; (16)

where jni is the state localized at the n-th site of the lattice. The band (lattice) states are described by the H am iltonian

$$H_{0} = \sum_{k}^{K} \cos k jkihkj; \qquad (17)$$

$${}^{0}(\mathbf{E}) = {}_{0}(\mathbf{E} \quad \text{sign} (\mathbf{E}) \stackrel{\mathbf{p}}{\mathbf{E}^{2}} \stackrel{\mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{1}}); \ \mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{j} > 1 \\ {}_{0}\mathbf{E}; \qquad \mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{j} < 1 \\ (\mathbf{E}) = {}_{0}\mathbf{p} \frac{\mathbf{0}; \qquad \mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{j} > 1 \\ {}_{0}\mathbf{E}^{2}; \ \mathbf{j} \in \mathbf{j} < 1 ; \qquad (18)$$

where $_0 = 2V^2$. If we consider the case = 0 and $V^2=2 < 1$ the locator does not have realpoles, so Eq. (12) after substitution of the results of Eq. (18) gives the am plitude of the non-decay am plitude [5]:

a (t) =
$$\frac{1}{2}^{2}$$
 dQ exp (it cosQ) $\frac{1}{1 + 2} \exp(-2iQ)$; (19)

where 2 = 1 0.

Е

For numerical calculations we consider the second model:

$$(E) = _{0} = const:$$
 (20)

The units and zero of energy we'll chose such that $E_b = 1$; $E_t = 1$; thus we get

⁰(E) =
$$_{0} \ln \frac{E+1}{E-1}$$
: (21)

There are two realpoles of the locator, given by the Equation

$$_{0}\ln \frac{E+1}{E-1} = 0: \qquad (22)$$

The time we'll measure in units of the Ferm i's golden rule (FGR) time

$$1 = 2$$
 (): (23)

For the sake of de niteness we'll chose = :4. For $_0 = :02$ (see Fig. 2) we observe the FGR regime, say, up to t = 9. For = :1 (see Fig. 3) the FGR regime is seen up to t = 3. For $_0 = :2$ (see Fig. 4) the FGR regime is absent.

D iscussion of Eqs. (38) and (13) let us start from perturbative regime j⁰()j j⁰⁰()j $E_b; E_t$. Thus the survival probability is determined by the branch cut integral. The main contribution to this integral comes from the region E (+ ⁰()). Hence the integral can be presented as

$$I_{cut} = \frac{Z_{1}}{1} \frac{()e^{iEt}dE}{E_{1}} (24)$$



FIG.2: Survival probability as a function of time for $_0 = 0.02$.



FIG.3: Survival probability as a function of time for $_0 = :1$.

and easily calculated to give the well known FGR

$$p(t) = e^{t=}$$
 (25)

However, even in perturbative regine, the FGR has a limited time-domain of applicability [1]. If the locator has one realpole at E_1 , from Eq. (13) we see that the survival probability $p(t) ! R_1 f$ when t ! 1. If there are several poles, this equation gives Rabi oscillations, which we see already at Fig.3 and still more vividly at



FIG.4: Survival probability as a function of time for $_0 = 2$.



FIG.5: Survival probability for $_0 = :02$ (beginning of the process of tunneling).

Fig.4. Notice that in perturbative regime the poles, even if they exist, are exponentially close to the band ends, and their residues are exponentially sm all. In fact, in this regime from Eq. (22) we obtain

$$E_1 = 1 e^{\frac{1+}{0}}; E_2 = 1 + e^{\frac{1}{0}};$$
 (26)

with the residues being equal to

$$R_1 = \frac{e^{\frac{1+}{0}}}{0}; \quad R_2 = \frac{e^{\frac{1}{0}}}{0}:$$
 (27)

M ore interesting is the situation when the locator does not have real poles. In this case, for large time the survival probability is determ ined by the contribution to the integral (38) coming from the end points. This contribution can be evaluated even without assuming that the coupling is perturbative. Let near the band bottom (the contribution from the other end point is similar) (E) (E $E_{\rm b}$), where > 0. Then for large t

$$I_{cut}^{(b)} t^{(+1)}$$
: (28)

For the case = 0, from Eq. (9) follows that near the band bottom

⁰(E) ln(E): (29)

Hence in this case for large t

$$I_{cut}^{(b)}$$
 (tlnt)¹: (30)

The FGR is not valid for smallt either. (From Eq. (3) it is obvious that the expansion of a(t) is $a(t) = 1 + kt^2 + \dots + kt^2 + \dots$

Let us continue the discussion of what seem s to be the (alm ost) trivial result: FGR at perturbative regime. In fact, in this regime Eq. (25) we could obtain directly

from Eq. (6), changing exact G reen function (7) to an approximate one

$$g_{FGR}(!) = \frac{1}{! \quad i^{(0)}()}$$
 (31)

(N otice, that whichever approximation we use for (!), the property a(t = 0) = 1 is protected, provided does not have singularities in the upper half-plane.) Thus approximated, locator has a simple pole at

and the residue gives Eq. (25). The point is, that what determ ines integral (6) is the singularities of the locator (in frequency representation). But the exact and approxim ate locators (Eqs. (7) and (31) respectively) have totally di erent singularities. So the fact that the locators give the same survival probability (even in nite tim e interval) dem ands explanation. This explanation, which we present below, allow s one to better understand analytic properties of the locator.

Let us start from rem inding that the locator, we substituted in Eq.(6), was found from Eq. (5) and was initially de ned for ! real (plus in nitesim al im aginary addition). To calculate the integral (6) the way we did, we had to continue the locator analytically into the lower ! halfplane. We did it quit simply, by substituting into Eqs. (7) and (9), which determ ine the locator, complex ! instead of real. This is the only possible analytical continuation as long as we consider the states in the band as discrete. In this case the only singularities of the locator are poles, and the values of the locator at real axis determ ine locator at the whole lower half-plane unequivocally. But as soon as we made continuum approxim ation, going from Eq. (8) to Eq. (9), the locator acquires branch points and becom es a multi-valued function. Eq. (38) was obtained after particular analytic continuation. O ther choices would give a di erent representation, but of course, the same value of the integral (6).

Let us return to Eqs. (21) and (20), de ning above the real axis. A nalytic continuation, alternative to that we used to obtain Eq. (38), is, for example,

$$(!) = _{0} \log \frac{!+1}{!-1} ; \qquad (33)$$

where log is de ned with the cuts [1; 1 i1) and [1;1 i1), and having the phase at the real axis between 1 and 1. (Sim ilar analytic continuation (for the case of sem ibound spectrum) was used by Onley and K um ar [6].) In this case the result for a (t) would include the integrals along the cuts presented at Fig. 6. This branch also has an additional pole, given by equation

!
$$_{0}\log\frac{!+1}{!-1} = 0;$$
 (34)



FIG.6: A lternative contour to use to evaluate integral (6). Radius of the arc and length of the cuts go to in nity.

and is approximated in the perturbative regime by $g_{FGR}(!)$.

The author w ishes to thank M .K atsnelson for the discussions which, in fact, triggered this work, and R.G ol and H.E lbaz for their constant interest.

APPENDIX

P robably, the readers well aquainted with the G reen's functions im m ediately recognized Eq. (13). But anyhow, Iwould like to add a few comments to it. A dditional bene e t will be that we'll be able to generalize the obtained results. To use m any particles form alism, let us present the H am iltonian (1) using second quantization

$$H = \bigvee_{k}^{X} c_{k}^{y} c_{k} + d^{y} d + \bigvee_{k}^{X} c_{k}^{y} d + h c; ; (35)$$

where $c_k^{Y}(q_k)$ and $d^{Y}(d)$ are creation (annihilation) operators of band states and discrete state respectively. The tunneling of either the electron or the hole from the discrete level into continuum is described by G reen's functions G[>] and G[<] respectively [7]

$$G^{>}(t) = d(t)d^{y}(0)$$

 $G^{<}(t) = d^{y}(t)d(0)$: (36)

where the averaging is with respect to the grand canonical ensemble, and d(t) or $d^{y}(t)$ is the annihilation or the creation operator in H eisenberg representation. B oth G reen's functions are simply connected with the spectral density function, which is just the (m inus) in aginary part of the single-electron G reen's function [7]

$$G^{>}(!) = [I \quad n_{F}(!)]A(!)$$

$$G^{<} = n_{F}(!)A(!); \quad (37)$$

where $n_F(!) = e^{(!)} + 1^{-1}$ is the Fermi distribution function (is the chemical potential and is the inverse tem perature). Thus Eq. (13) is just

$$I_{cut} = \frac{1}{2} g^{0}(E) e^{iEt} dE;$$
 (38)

where $g^{(0)}(E)$ Im [g(E + is)]. The integral has the contribution from the continious part of spectrum, presented by Eq. (12) and possible contribution from the discrete states, presented by Eq. (14). So the generalization of the Eq. (13) for the case of non-interacting Ferm igas at nite tem peratures is

$$G^{>}(t) = \frac{Z_{E_{t}}}{X^{E_{b}}} \frac{(E) [1 \quad n_{F}(E)] e^{iEt} dE}{[E \quad {}^{0}(E)]^{2} + 2 \quad 2 \quad (E)} + [1 \quad n_{F}(E_{j})]R_{j}$$

$$G^{<}(t) = \frac{Z_{E_{t}}^{j}}{X^{E_{b}}} \frac{(E) n_{F}(E) e^{iEt} dE}{[E \quad {}^{0}(E)]^{2} + 2 \quad 2 \quad (E)} + n_{F}(E_{j})R_{j}; \qquad (39)$$

E lectronic address: kogan@ quantum ph biu ac.il

- C.Cohen-Tannoudji, B.Diu, and F.Laloe, Quantum Mechanics, (Wiley, New York, 1977), vol.2, pp.1344.
- [2] L. A. Khal n, "Contribution to the decay theory of a quasi-stationary state", Sov. Phys. JETP 6, 1053-1063 (1958).
- [3] L.Fonda, G.C.G hirardi, and A.R im ini, "D ecay theory of unstable quantum systems", Rep. Prog. Phys. 41, 587-631 (1978).
- [4] H. Nakazato, M. Namiki, and S. Pascazio, "Temporal behavior of quantum mechanical systems", Int. J. Mod. Phys. B 10, 247-295 (1996).
- [5] S.Longhi, "N onexponential decay via tunneling in tightbinding lattices and the optical Zeno e ect", Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 110402-1-110402-4 (2006).
- [6] D.S.Onley and A.Kum ar, "T in e dependence in quantum mechanics - Study of a simple decaying system ", Am er.J. Phys. 60, 432-439 (1992).
- [7] G. D. Mahan, Many-Particle Physics, (PLenum Press, New York and London, 1990), Ch. 3.