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#### Abstract

The linear optical creation of $G$ aussian cluster states, a potential resource for universal quantum com putation, is investigated. W e show that for any $G$ aussian cluster state, the canonicalgeneration schem $e$ in term $s$ of Q N D type interactions, can be entirely replaced by o -line squeezers and beam splitters. M oreover, we nd that, in term $s$ of squeezing resources, the canonical states are rather w astefiul and we propose a system atic w ay to create cheaper states. A s an application, we consider G aussian cluster computation in multiple-rail encoding. This encoding $m$ ay reduce errors due to nite squeezing, even when the extra rails are ach ieved through o -line squeezing and linear optics.


PA C S num bers: $03.67 \mathrm{Lx}, 42.50 \mathrm{D}$ v, 42.25 Hz

Introduction. | The chuster-state $m$ odel for quantum com putation $[\underline{1}[1]$ is a conceptually interesting altemative to the $m$ ore conventional circuit $m$ odel $\bar{Z}]$. O nce a suitable multi-party entangled cluster state has been prepared, universalquantum gates can be e ected through the cluster via only single-party pro jectivem easurem ents and feedforw ard. T hough originally based upon qubits, the cluster-state model can be also applied to other discrete-variable system s_(qudits) as well as to continuous quantum variables

Linear optics represents one of the most practical approaches to the realization of quantum inform ation protocols, both for discrete-variable (DV) [ilill and continuous-variable (CV) im plem entations [5-1]. In the DV case, e cient entangling gates cannot be achieved with single photons and linear optics. N onetheless, probabilistic gates can be applied o -line to an entangled multiphoton state that eventually serves as a resource for the on-line com putation [G]. A sim ilar approach uses DV photonic cluster states, leading to a signi cant reduction in the resource consum ption $\left.{ }^{[11}, 1,1\right]$. H ow ever, the generation of the optical cluster states rem ains highly probabilistic in this case.

A though up to six-qubit single-photon cluster states have been created via postselection using nonlinear and linear optics $\left[\begin{array}{l}\underline{1}, 1,1 \\ 1\end{array}\right]$ tional realization of optical cluster states w ould be based on continuous variables. H ere, the resources are squeezed states of light and the $G$ aussian chuster statesm ay be created via quadratic quantum nondem olition (QND) interactions $[1][1]$. T hese interactions, how ever, cannot be realized through beam splitters alone. A dditional \on-line" squeezers are needed for every single link of the cluster state, again rendering the $m$ echanism for chuster generation rather ine cient w ith current technology. M oreover, the squeezing of the resource states $w$ ill alw ays be nite, inevitably resulting in errors in the cluster com putation. In this paper, we w ill address both issues: the avoidance ofon-line squeezing in chuster-state generation and the reduction of nite-squeezing induced errors in cluster-state com putation.

W e will show that for any G aussian cluster state, the canonicalgeneration schem e in term sofQ ND type interactions, can be entirely replaced by o -line squeezers and beam splitters. M oreover, we propose a system atic way on how to build altemative cluster-type states from potentially cheaper squeezing resouroes than needed for the canonical states. In any of these linear-optics schem es, the resource states require correspondingly $m$ ore squeezing to com pensate for the lack of extra squeezing in the beam-splitter netw ork that replaces the QND coupling of the cluster nodes. $N$ onetheless, the $m$ ain features of the canonical Q ND $-m$ ade chusters can be preserved. A s an exam ple, we consider $G$ aussian cluster com putation in m ultiple-railencoding. This encoding $m$ ay reduce errors caused by nite squeezing. W ew ill see that multiple-rail encoding w ith linear-optics-m ade clusters, though requiring supposedly $m$ ore o -line squeezing for the extra links of the larger clusters, can still lead to the same error reduction as for the canonical cluster states.

We de ne chuster-type states as those multi-m ode G aussian states for which certain quadrature correlations becom e perfect in the $\lim$ 五 of in nite squeezing ["I [1] ],

$$
\hat{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{b} 2 \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{a}}}^{\mathrm{X}} \hat{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{b}}!\mathrm{O} ; \quad \mathrm{a} 2 \mathrm{G}:
$$

Perfect correlations uniquely de ne the corresponding graph state (for the DV case, see [1] [1]). H ere we use the dim ensionless \position" and \m om entum " operators, $\hat{x}$ and $\hat{p}$, corresponding to the quadratures of an optical m ode w ith annihilation operator $\hat{a}=\hat{x}+i \hat{p}$. Them odes a 2 G correspond to the vertiges of the graph, while the $m$ odes $b 2 \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{a}}$ are the nearest neighbors of m ode a .

C anonical cluster states via linear optics.| The canonical way to build CV cluster states would be to send a num ber of single-m ode squeezed states through a corresponding netw ork of Q ND gates []$\left.\left._{1}, ~ i\right]_{1}^{1}\right]$. Each individual QND gate could be realized via two beam splitters and a pair of on-line squeezers [1]ㄴㄱㄱ]. H ow ever, by including the initial single-m ode squeezers into the QND netw ork, the resulting total circuit corresponds to a big quadratic

H am iltonian applied to a num ber ofvacuum modes. This total transform ation can be decom posed into a linearoptics circuit followed by single-m ode squeezers and a second linear-optics circuit $[1]$ optics circuit has no e ect on the vacuum modes. Eventually one has just one linear circuit applied to a num ber of single-m ode squeezed states; in principle, this works for any cluster (or graph) state. Let us explain this in a little $m$ ore detail.

The canonical generation of $C V$ cluster states [ [1] $]_{1}^{[1]}$ from m om entum -squeezed vacuum $m$ odes via Q ND type interactions can be described by $\hat{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{a}}^{0}=\hat{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\hat{\mathrm{p}}_{a}^{0}=$ $\hat{p}_{a}+{ }^{\mathrm{P}}{ }_{\mathrm{b} 2 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{a}}} \hat{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{b}}$, where $\hat{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{a}}=\mathrm{e}^{+\mathrm{r}_{\hat{x}_{a}}^{(0)}}$ and $\hat{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{a}}=e^{{ }^{\mathrm{r}}} \hat{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{a}}^{(0)}$, 8 a 2 G , w ith vacuum m odes labeled by superscript (0). $N$ ote that, according to Eq. $\left[\overline{I n}_{1}^{1}\right)$, the canonical cluster states becom e perfectly correlated for $r$ ! 1 . W e have the follow ing linear B ogoliubov (LU B O ) transform ation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{a}_{a}^{0}=\hat{x}_{a}^{0}+i \hat{p}_{a}^{0}!_{12 G}^{X} A_{a l} a_{l}^{(0)}+B_{a l} A_{1}^{(0) y}: \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing $\hat{a}_{1}^{(0)}={\hat{x_{1}}}_{1}^{(0)}+i \hat{i}_{1}^{(0)}$, we can extract the LUBO m atrix elem ents for canonical cluster generation: $\mathrm{A}_{\text {aa }}=\cosh \mathrm{r}$, $B_{a a}=\sinh r, A_{a b}=B_{a b}=(i=2) e^{+r}\left(8 b 2 N_{a}\right)$, and $A_{a l}=B_{a l}=0\left[81 \bar{Z} N_{a}(1 \in a)\right]$. Now the input $m$ odes of the LUBO transform ation are vacuum $m$ odes instead of the squeezed input modes of the QND network. The next step is to decom pose this LU BO transform ation into a linear-optics circuit $V^{Y}$, a set of singlem ode squeezers, and another linear-optics circuit $U$ [13를. $U$ sing the singular value decom position for $A$ and $B$, we have to satisfy $V=A^{Y} U A_{D}{ }^{1}=B^{T} U B_{D}{ }^{1}$ and $U=A V A_{D}{ }^{1}=B V B_{D}{ }^{1}$ for the unitary $m$ atrices $U$ and $V$. Here $A_{D}^{2}$ is the diagonalized version of $A A^{y}$ and $A^{y} A$; sim ilarly, we use $B_{D}^{2}$ for $B B^{y}$ and $\left(B^{Y} B\right)^{T}$. The singularvalue $m$ atrix equations lead to the conditions for $U$,

$$
\operatorname{Im} U_{\mathrm{al}} \quad \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{l}}(\mathrm{r})_{\mathrm{b} 2 \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{a}}}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{ReU}_{\mathrm{bl}}=0 ; \quad 8 \mathrm{a} ; 12 \mathrm{G} ;
$$

w ith

$$
\left.R e U_{a l} \mathbb{D}_{1}(r) \quad M_{N_{a}}\right] \quad \begin{array}{cc}
X & X \tag{4}
\end{array} \quad R e U_{k l}=0 ;
$$

where
$D_{1}(r) \quad\left(\begin{array}{l}B \\ 1\end{array} \cosh ^{2} r \quad{ }_{1}^{A} \sinh ^{2} r\right)=\left[e^{+2 r}\left(\begin{array}{cc}A & B \\ 1 & 1\end{array}\right)=4\right]$, and $\operatorname{ReU} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{al}} \quad \mathrm{Re}\left(\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{al}}\right)$, etc.; $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{N}_{a}}$ represents the num ber of nearest neighbors ofm ode $a$. The double sum in Eq. (4, sum s over all second neighbors ofm ode a (including $m$ ultiple counting of identical neighbors of the nearest neighbors ofm ode a). The expressions $\frac{A}{1}$ are the singular


FIG. 1: D i erent generation schem es for a canonical two$m$ ode cluster state. The input $m$ odes are alw ays vacuum modes; a) canonical generation via QND interaction; b) QND gate replaced by a beam splitter (BS), tw o on-line squeezers, and another beam splitter [13]; c) generation of a standard tw o-m ode squeezed state ( via tw o squeezers and a beam splitter) plus two local squeezers [14]; d) sim plest generation schem ew ith the Q ND gate replaced by a beam splitter.
values of A and sim ilarly for B. Equation ( $\hat{B}_{1}^{-1}$ ) can be incorporated into the colum $n$ vectors of $U$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 011+\mathrm{iC}_{1}\left(r_{1} \mathrm{P} \quad 1\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{NH}_{1}+\mathrm{CC}_{1}(\mathrm{r})^{\mathrm{P}} \quad \mathrm{~b} 2 \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{N}} \quad \mathrm{bl} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

$\mathrm{F}^{\sin } \operatorname{ReU}_{\mathrm{kl}} \quad{ }_{\mathrm{k} 1}$, w ith the constraints of Eq . ( $\left.\overline{4}_{1}^{1}\right)$, and ${ }_{1}\left(u_{1}\right)_{k}\left(u_{1}\right)_{k^{0}}=k k^{0}$ for unitarity. These conditions autom atically satisfy $A=U A_{D} V^{Y}$ and $B=U B_{D} V^{T}$, where the diagonal $m$ atrices $A_{D}$ and $B_{D}$ contain the corresponding singular values. T hus, we e ectively constructed a linear-optics circuit $U$ that exactly outputs the canonical cluster states when applied to the oline squeqzed inpyt $m$ odes $w$ th squeezed quadratures $\hat{\mathrm{x}}_{1} \dot{\mathbf{p}}_{1}=\quad \underset{1}{\mathrm{~A}} \quad \underset{1}{\mathrm{~B}} \hat{\mathrm{x}}_{1}^{(0)} \dot{\mathrm{p}}_{1}^{(0)} \quad \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{R}_{1}} \hat{\mathrm{x}}_{1}^{(0)} \dot{\mathrm{p}}_{1}^{(0)}$. The vacuum modes, $\hat{\mathrm{a}}_{1}^{(0)}=\hat{\mathrm{x}}_{1}^{(0)}+i \hat{\hat{p}}_{1}^{(0)}$, are $\backslash$ rede ned" vacuum modes after the rst linear-optics circuit $\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{y}}$ that has no e ect.

Let us consider the exam ple of the canonical tw o-m ode chuster state. It corresponds to tw $\circ \mathrm{m}$ om entum -squeezed $m$ odes (squeezed by r) coupled through a quadratic Q ND gate $e^{2 \text { ix }} \times$, see $F$ ig ${ }_{1}^{1} 1 \mathrm{l}$. In this case, we have ${ }_{1}^{A}$ $\cosh ^{2} r+e^{+2 r}=4,{ }_{1}^{B} \quad \sinh ^{2} r+e^{+2 r}=4, C_{1}(r)=C_{2}(r)$ $C^{1}(r)$, and $D_{1}(r)$ 1. Thus, in the equivalent linearoptics schem e ( $F$ ig ${ }_{1}^{1} 1 \mathrm{l}$ d), tw o equally squeezed m odes are com bined at a beam splitter described by $U$ w ith colum $n$ vectors as in Eq. ( $\overline{6} \mathbf{- 1}), l=1 ; 2, N=2$; the constraints in Eq. (4, $\overline{1}$ ) are always satis ed.

A possible solution for $U$, choosing $12=21=0$, is

$$
U=p \frac{1}{1+C^{2}(r)} \quad \begin{align*}
& C(r)  \tag{7}\\
& i
\end{aligned} \quad \begin{aligned}
& i \\
& (r)
\end{align*}:
$$

Ead input $\mathrm{q} \frac{\mathrm{m} \text { ode }}{\mathrm{B}}$ is m om entum -squeezed w ith $\mathrm{R}_{1}=$ $\ln \quad \underset{1}{\mathrm{~A}}+\underset{1}{\mathrm{~B}} \quad \mathrm{R}$. For $\mathrm{r}=0$, we obtain $\underset{1}{A} \quad 5=4$ and $\underset{1}{B} \quad 1=4$, and thus $R=\ln \left[\left(1+{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{5}\right)=2\right]$. $T$ his is the
residual squeezing com ing from the Q ND gate, which is now additionally applied o -line before the beam splitter. $N$ ote that the Q ND gate here is not sim ply replaced by a beam splitter follow ed by tw o single-m ode squeezens and another beam splitter []i], all together applied to two initial squeezed states ( $F$ ig ${ }_{1}$ cuit is further sim pli ed, and only one pair of squeezers follow ed by one beam splitter operation act upon initial vacuum m odes. H ow ever, in order to produce exactly the canonical state, this circuit is rather wasteful in term $s$ of squeezing resources. The $m$ ost econom ical tw o-m ode entangled state is a standard tw orm ode squeezed state (TM SS) built from two single-m ode squeezed states with a 50/50 beam splitter. Like any pure G aussian tw o-m ode state [14], also the canonical tw orm ode cluster state can be obtained from a TMSS via local G aussian transform ations, including local squeezers ( F ig ',

C om paring resources, for exam ple, an excess noise of one vacuum unit in the quadrature correlations $\hat{p}_{1} \quad \hat{x}_{2}$ and $\hat{p}_{2} \quad \hat{x}_{1}$ can be achieved with a TM SS built from two 3 dB squeezed states (see below). The canonical tw o-m ode chuster state $w$ ith these correlations ( $r=0$ ), would require two 4.18 dB squeezed states combined at the asymm etric beam splitter in Eq. (7, ). In general, the canonical $\mathrm{N} \rightarrow \mathrm{m}$ ode cluster states are alw ays biased in $x$ and $\left.p[]_{1}^{-1} \bar{F}_{1}^{1}\right], h \hat{x}_{a}^{2} i=e^{+2 r} h \hat{p}_{a}^{2} i=e^{2 r}+M_{N_{a}} e^{+2 r}$, unnecessarily requiring extra local squeezing to achieve a certain degree of correlations and entanglem ent; they cannot be obtained from N m ode pure G aussian states in standard form $[1]$-1] w thout the use of local squeezers. In the follow ing, we shall consider a whole fam ily of states producible via linear optics and exhibiting clustertype correlations. T his fam ily will include states cheaper than the canonical cluster states.

C luster-type states via linear optics.| In order to create statesw ith correlations as in Eq. (11) via linear optics, we consider p-squeezed input modes, $\mathrm{a}_{1}=\mathrm{e}^{+\mathrm{R}_{1}} \widehat{\mathrm{~A}}_{1}^{(0)}+$ ie ${ }^{\mathrm{R}_{1}} \hat{\mathrm{Q}}^{(0)}$, and a general linear-optics transform ation, $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}}^{0}={ }_{1} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{kl}} \mathrm{a}_{1}, \mathrm{w}$ th a unitary matrix $\mathrm{U} . \mathrm{U} \operatorname{sing} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}}^{0}=$ $\hat{x}_{k}^{0}+i \hat{p}_{k}^{0}$, one obtains the output quadrature operators, and in order to satisfy the correlations in Eq. (1기), we assum $e$ the large noise term $s$ (those proportional to $e^{+R_{1}}$ ) cancel. This is possible if and only if

$$
\operatorname{Im} U_{\mathrm{al}}{\underset{\mathrm{~b} 2 \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{a}}}{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{ReU}_{\mathrm{bl}}=0 ; 8 \mathrm{a} ; 12 \mathrm{G}:}
$$

A fter inserting these conditions into the excess noise term $s$ (those that vanish for in nite squeezing $R_{1}$ ! 1 ), we nd that every quadrature correlation of Eq. ${ }_{-1}^{(1)}$ (1) labeled by a has an excess noise variance

X | 2 |
| :--- |
| $4_{R e U_{a l}}\left(1+M_{N_{a}}\right)+$ |$\quad \mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{ReU}_{\mathrm{kl}}{ }^{5} \mathrm{e}^{2 \mathrm{R}_{1}}$;

tim es one unit of vacuum quadrature noise ( $1=4$ in our scales) which we om it in the follow ing. These excess noises w ill lead to the errors in the cluster com putation [131]. Let us consider tw o-m ode cluster-type states.

Look at the sim ple circuit described by

$$
U=\begin{array}{llllll}
1 & 0 & p^{1} \overline{\overline{2}} & p^{1} \overline{\overline{1}} & 1 & 0  \tag{10}\\
0 & i & p^{1} \overline{2} & p^{1} \overline{2} & 0 & i
\end{array}=\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{p^{i}}{\overline{2}} \\
& \frac{i}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

applied to two $m$ om entum-squeezed $m$ odes. The circuit is a 50/50 beam splitter w ith Fourier transform s ofm ode 2 before and after the beam splitter. It clearly satis es the conditions in E q. $\left(\bar{q}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. T he resulting state is the standard TMSS up to a local Fourier transform of m ode 2 . N o extra localsqueezers are needed to obtain this clustertype state from standard two-m ode entanglem ent. A ccording to Eq. $\left(\bar{g}_{1}\right)$, the excess noises in the cluster-type correlations are $2 e^{2 R_{1}}$ in $\hat{p}_{1} \quad$ and $2 e^{2 R_{2}}$ in $\hat{p}_{2} \hat{\mathrm{n}}_{1}$, corresponding to the optim al entanglem ent for a given input squeezing. $N$ ote that the solutions in Eq. (1 1$)_{1}^{1}$ ) and Eq. $(\overline{\underline{T}}$, ) coincide for $r$ ! 1 when $C(r)!1$.

Inconporating Eq. $(\overline{8} \overline{1})$ into the colum $n$ vectors of $U$ leads to

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc} 
& 0 & & &  \tag{11}\\
& & 11+i_{\mathrm{P}} & \mathrm{~B} 2 \mathrm{~N}_{1} & \text { bl } \\
\mathrm{B} & \mathrm{~B} & 21+\mathrm{i}^{2} & \mathrm{~b} 2 \mathrm{~N}_{2} & \text { bl } \\
\mathrm{C} & \mathrm{C} \\
\mathrm{~B} & 21
\end{array} ;
$$

$\mathrm{w}^{\text {th }}{ }^{\mathrm{P}}{ }_{1}\left(\mathrm{~m}_{1}\right)_{\mathrm{k}}\left(\mathrm{w}_{1}\right)_{\mathrm{k}^{0}}=\mathrm{kk}^{0}$ for unitarity and again $\mathrm{ReU}_{\mathrm{kl}} \quad \mathrm{kl}_{\mathrm{k}}$. U sing this form alism, for any given graph state, one obtains a set of geom etrical conditions for N real vectors $\sim_{k}^{T} \quad\left(k 1 ; k 2 ;:: ; k_{k N}\right)$. For instance, a linear 4 m ode cluster state has $\sim_{1} \sim_{3}=\sim_{2} \sim_{4}=1=5$, $\sim_{1} \sim_{2}=\sim_{1} \sim_{4}=\sim_{2} \sim_{3}=\sim_{3} \sim_{4}=0, \ddot{j}_{1} \ddot{j}=\ddot{j} \sim_{4} \ddot{j}=3=5$, and $\ddot{j}_{2} \ddot{j}=\ddot{\mathrm{b}}^{3} \ddot{\mathrm{D}}^{2} \overline{\bar{p}} 2=5$, achievable, for example, w th $\sim_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}=(1=\overline{2} ; 1=\overline{10} ; 0 ; 0), \sim_{2}^{\mathrm{T}}=(0 ; 0 ; 2=\overline{10} ; 0)$, $\sim_{3}^{T}=(0 ; 2=\overline{10} ; 0 ; 0)$, and $\sim_{4}^{T}=(0 ; 0 ; 1=\overline{10} ; 1=\overline{2})$. This, together with Eq. (111), where we set $N=4$, de nes a possible solution U. In general, any $4-\mathrm{m}$ ode transform ation $U$ can be decom posed into a netw ork of $4(4 \quad 1)=2=6$ beam splitters [1] [1]. H ow ever, for the linear 4-m ode cluster, there is a m in im al decom position


F IG . 2: E xam ples of cluster-type states producible via linear optics; each optical m ode/chuster node a is represented by a vector ~a (see text) : a) linear 4-m ode cluster, nonlinear 6m ode cluster; b) \EPR/G H Z-type" [181] chisters: linear 2 and $3-m$ ode clusters, $T$-shape and cross-shape clusters.
a）
b）



F IG ．3：Error propagation when an input state is attached to and teleported through a）a linear 3－m ode cluster；b）a 4－ m ode diam ond cluster；c）an N －m ode m ultiple－rail cluster；all clusters are generated via o－line squeezing and linear optics．
of $U$ into a netpo ork of only three beapm splitters $U=$ $\mathrm{F}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{12} \mathrm{~F}_{1}^{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{B}_{34}^{+}(1=\overline{2}) \mathrm{B}_{12}^{+}(1 \stackrel{=}{=}) \mathrm{B}_{23}(1=\overline{5}) \mathrm{F}_{3}^{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{F}_{4}^{\mathrm{Y}}$ ．Here， $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{k}}$（Fourier transform of m ode k ）is the $4-\mathrm{m}$ ode iden－ tity $m$ atrix $I_{4}$ except $\left(F_{k}\right)_{k k}=i, B_{k l}(t)$（beam split－ ter transform ation of m odes k and 1 ）equapls $I_{4}$ except $\left(B_{k 1}\right)_{k k}=t_{1}\left(B_{k 1}\right)_{k 1}=\overline{1 \quad E},\left(B_{k 1}\right)_{1 k}=\overline{1 \quad}$ ，and $\left(B_{k 1}\right)_{11}=\quad t$ ，and the $m$ atrix $S_{12}$ sw aps m odes 1 and 2 ．

Sim ilarly，one can nd linear－optics solutions for other cluster－type states（ $F$ ig（＇ح））．The example of a nonlin－ ear 6 m ode cluster in F ig（a potential resource for two－m ode evolutions）leads to two orthogonal subspaces $\mathrm{f} \sim_{\mathrm{k}} ; \sim_{1} ; \sim_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{g}$ w th $\mathrm{fk} ; \mathrm{l} ; \mathrm{mg}=\mathrm{f} 1 ; 3 ; 5 \mathrm{~g}$ and $\mathrm{fk} ; 1 ; \mathrm{mg}=$ f6；4；2g，where $\sim_{k} \sim_{l}=3=10, \sim_{k} \sim_{m}=\sim_{1} \sim_{m}=1=10$ ，
 lution is（ $1=\overline{5} ; 1=\overline{2} ; 0 ; 0 ; 0 ; 0),(1=\overline{5} ; 1=\overline{2} ; 0 ; 0 ; 0 ; 0)$ ， （ $1=\overline{20} ; 0 ; 1=2 ; 0 ; 0 ; 0$ ）for vectors $51 ; 3 ; 59$ prespectively， and also $\left(0 ; 0 ; 0 ; p^{1}=\overline{20} ; 0 ; 1=2\right),(0 ; 0 ; 0 ; 1=\overline{5} ; 1=\overline{2} ; 0)$ ， （ $0 ; 0 ; 0 ; 1=\overline{5} ; 1=\overline{2} ; 0$ ）for $\mathrm{f} 2 ; 4 ; 6 \mathrm{~g}$ ．In general，using the geom etrical conditions for N N －dim ensional vectors， solutions can be recursively constructed for any graph state：start w th $\sim_{1}^{\mathrm{T}}=(11 ; 0 ; 0 ;:::)$ determ ined by the

 $\sim_{1} \sim_{3}, \sim_{2} \sim_{3}$ ，and 前 3 爫 etc．

Redundant encoding for error tration．｜A s an appli－ cation，we consider m ultiple－rail encoding in a G aussian cluster com putation protocol［］T］．Look at the diam ond cluster state in $F$ ig ${ }^{1}$ hb ditions become $\sim_{1} \sim_{2}=\sim_{1} \sim_{3}=\sim_{2} \sim_{4}=\sim_{3} \sim_{4}=0$ ， $\sim_{2} \sim_{3}=\sim_{1} \sim_{4}=2=5$ ，and 开k $\ddot{j}^{2} \quad p_{-}^{3=5}$ ．This can be satis ed，for exam plep via $\sim_{1}^{T}=\left(1=\overline{3} ; \mathcal{p}^{2}=\overline{15} ; 0 ; 0\right)$ ， $\sim_{2}^{T}=(0 ; 0 ; 1=\overline{3} ; 2=\overline{15}), \sim \frac{T}{3}=(0 ; 0 ; 0 ; \overline{3=5})$ ，and $\sim_{4}^{T}=(0 ; \quad \overline{3=5} ; 0 ; 0)$ ．A simple cluster protocol would be to teleport an input $m$ ode onto $m$ ode 1 of the di－ am ond state and from there to mode 4 （ $F$ ig ${ }_{2} \overline{2}$ sim plicity，we assum $e$ that the input $m$ ode is attached to mode 1 through the QND gate $e^{2 \mathrm{ix}_{\text {in }} x_{1}}$ such that $\hat{p}_{1}!\hat{p}_{1}+\hat{x}_{\text {in }}$ and $\hat{p}_{\text {in }}!\hat{p}_{\text {in }}+\hat{x}_{1} ; \hat{x}_{1}$ and $\hat{x}_{\text {in }} r e-$ $m$ ain unchanged．Now p－m easurem ents of the input $m$ ode and modes 1 through 3 （ $w$ ith results $\left.s_{\text {in }}, s_{1}\right)_{3}$ would transform m ode 4 into the input state up to som e know $n$ corrections and excess noise com ing from the im－
perfect diam ond state．A fter the correction operation， $\left.\hat{F}^{y} \hat{X}^{( } \mathrm{s}_{n}\right) \hat{F}^{y} \hat{X}\left(\mathrm{~s}_{1}\right) \hat{F}^{y} \hat{X}\left(\quad\left(\mathrm{~g}+\mathrm{s}_{3}\right)=2\right)$ ， w th an inverse Fourier transform operator $\hat{F}^{y}$ acting as $\hat{x}!\hat{p}$ and $\hat{p}!$
$\hat{x}$ ，and $x$－displacem ents $\hat{x}(s)$ such that $\hat{x}!\hat{x}+s, m$ ode 4 is described by $\hat{x}_{\text {out }}=\hat{x}_{\text {in }}+\left(\begin{array}{lllll}\left(\hat{p}_{1}\right. & \hat{x}_{2} & \hat{x}_{3}\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{lll}\hat{\hat{p}_{4}} & \hat{x}_{2} & \hat{x}_{3}\end{array}\right)$ and $\hat{p}_{\text {out }}=\hat{p}_{\text {in }} \quad\left(\begin{array}{llll}\hat{2} & \hat{x}_{1} & \left.\hat{x}_{4}\right)=2 \quad(\hat{\hat{s}} & \hat{x}_{1}\end{array} \hat{x}_{4}\right)=2$ ．Here， $\hat{p}_{\text {in }}$ and $\hat{p}_{1}$ correspond to the $m$ om entum operators of the input $m$ ode and $m$ ode 1 before the QND coupling．

W e see that the im perfect teleportation delities de－ pend on the correlations of the diam ond cluster，Eq．［II） w ith $F$ ig ${ }^{2}$ N3b ．In the corresponding excess noise variances， Eq．（ $\overline{-1})$ ，let us consider only the e ect of nite $R_{3}$ and $R_{4}$ ，assum ing $R_{1} \quad 1$ and $R_{2} \quad 1$ ．$T$ his leads to neg－ ligible excess noises，$a=1$ and $a=4$ ，in $\hat{x}_{\text {out }}$ ，because the vectors $\sim_{1}$ and $\sim_{4}$ live in the two－dim ensional sub－ space $l=1 ; 2$ ．In pout，according to Eq．（9，$\overline{-1})$ w th $a=2$ and $a=3$ ，we nd a total excess noise of $\left(13 e^{2 R}{ }^{2}+\right.$ $\left.5 e^{2 R}{ }_{4}\right)=12=3 e^{2 R}=2$ ，assum ing $R_{3}=R_{4}=R$ ，which is half the excess noise com pared to any linear 3－m ode cluster protocol（ $F$ ig Nana）w ith tw om odes highly squeezed and one nitely squeezed by R ；the generality of this re－
 the 3 －m ode protocol，$w$ th $\hat{p}_{\text {out }}=\hat{p}_{\text {in }}$（ $\begin{array}{lll}\hat{2} & \hat{x}_{1} & \left.\hat{x}_{3}\right) \text { and }\end{array}$ $\hat{x}_{\text {out }}=\hat{x}_{\text {in }}+\left(\hat{p}_{1} \quad \hat{x}_{2}\right)\left(\hat{\hat{\beta}} \quad \hat{x}_{2}\right) \quad \hat{x}_{\text {in }} . M$ ore generally， we obtain a $p$ excess noise of $3 e^{2 R}=m$ for multiple－rail encoding（ F ig $\bar{\sim}$ nodes（num ber of rails）betw een $m$ ode 1 and the output
 tation $m$ ay be reduced by teleporting the input through $m$ ultiple paths．Rem arkably，the linear－opticsm ade clus－ ters achieve the sam e error reduction as obtainable for QND m ade clusters $w$ th QND gates freely available．

Conclusion．｜W e showed that any Gaussian chister state can be built via o－line squeezing and linear optics without Q ND couplings．Sim ple vector conditions lead to potentially cheaper cluster－type states．In $m$ ultiple－rail－ encoded cluster com putation，the sam e error reduction as for the QND－m ade clusters can be achieved．O ur results pave the $w$ ay for experim ental realizations of sm all－scale cluster com putation $w$ th continuous variables．
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