Local noise can enhance entanglem ent teleportation

Ye Y∞

Department of Physics, National University of Singapore, 10 Kent Ridge Crescent, Singapore 119260, Singapore

Abstract

Recently we have considered two-qubit teleportation via mixed states of four qubits and de ned the generalized singlet fraction. For single-qubit teleportation, Badziag et al. [Phys. Rev. A 62, 012311 (2000)] and Bandyopadhyay [Phys. Rev. A 65, 022302 (2002)] have obtained a family of entangled two-qubit mixed states whose teleportation delity can be enhanced by subjecting one of the qubits to dissipative interaction with the environment via an amplitude damping channel. Here, we show that a dissipative interaction with the local environment via a pair of time-correlated amplitude damping channels can enhance delity of entanglement teleportation for a class of entangled four-qubit mixed states. Interestingly, we not that this enhancement corresponds to an enhancement in the quantum discord for some states.

Composite systems of two or more quantum objects A;B; have interesting properties that are absent in quantum systems composed of single object. Specifically, the principle of quantum superposition gives rise to the phenomenon of entanglement – a mysterious connection between separated quantum objects, which Einstein, Podolsky and Rosen [1] pointed out was a feature of quantum mechanics. For two-qubit systems, the locally unitarily equivalent Bell basis states, $j_{Bell}i_{AB} = (u_A u_B^0)(j00i_{AB} + j11i_{AB}) = \frac{p}{2}$, are a class of maximally entangled states. Here, u^0 is the 2 2 identity matrix; $u^1 = 1$, $u^2 = i^2$, $u^3 = 3$, and $u^3 = 1;2;3$ are the Paulimatrices.

Interactions with the environment and imperfections of preparation result in noisy or mixed states described by density operators. For instance, j $_{\rm Bell}i_{\rm AB}\,h$ $_{\rm Bell}j$! $_{\rm AB}=E\,(j_{\rm Bell}i_{\rm AB}\,h$ $_{\rm Bell}j$ = $^{\rm P}$ $E_{\rm AB}\,j$ $_{\rm Bell}i_{\rm AB}\,h$ $_{\rm Bell}E_{\rm AB}^{\rm y}$, where E is a quantum operation or channel (E_{AB}'s are the corresponding K raus operators) [2], which mathematically describes the noise and the resulting decoherence. In general, the dissipative elects of noise degrade quantum entanglement. A density operator $_{\rm AB}$ is separable if it can be written as a convex sum of separable pure states [3]: $_{\rm AB}=^{\rm P}{}_{\rm k}\,p_{\rm k}\,j^{\rm k}i_{\rm A}\,h^{\rm k}\,j^{\rm y}\,j^{\rm k}i_{\rm B}\,h^{\rm k}\,j^{\rm y}$ where fp_kg is a probability distribution and, j $^{\rm k}i_{\rm A}$ and j $^{\rm k}i_{\rm B}$ are vectors belonging to Hilbert spaces H $_{\rm A}$ and H $_{\rm B}$ respectively. For two-level systems, a necessary and sulcient condition for separability is that a matrix, obtained by partial transposition of $_{\rm AB}$, has only non-negative eigenvalues [4]. In fact, as a measure of the amount of entanglement associated with a given two-qubit state $_{\rm AB}$, we may consider the negativity [5]: N [$_{\rm AB}$] maxf0; 2 $^{\rm P}_{\rm m}$ mg, where m is a negative eigenvalue of $_{\rm AB}^{\rm TB}$, the partial transposition of $_{\rm AB}$. Here, we focus on two-level system s.

Recent investigations have indicated that there are other properties associated with two-qubit states. Groism an et al. [6] argued that $j_{Bell}^0 i_{AB}$ contains one bit of \quantum correlation" and one bit of \classical correlation". The total amount of correlation in a bipartite quantum state $_{AB}$, $C_{total}[_{AB}]$, is equal to the quantum mutual information I(A:B) $S[_A] + S[_B]$ $S[_{AB}]$, where $_A = tr_B(_{AB})$, $_B = tr_A(_{AB})$, and von Neumann entropy $S[_B] = tr[_B log_B]$. Clearly, for $j_{Bell}^0 i_{AB}$, we have $C_{total}[j_{Bell}^0 i_{AB}] = 2$. To obtain the amount of classical correlation associated with $j_{Bell}^0 i_{AB}$, they determined $C_{total}[_{AB}]$, where $_{AB} = (j_{Bell}^0 i_{AB} h_{Bell}^0 i_{AB}) + j_{Bell}^0 i_{AB} h_{Bell}^0 i_{AB}$, where $_{AB} = (j_{Bell}^0 i_{AB} h_{Bell}^0 i_{AB}) + j_{Bell}^0 i_{AB} h_{Bell}^0 i_{AB}$ $= C_{total}[_{AB}^0 i_{Bell}^0 i_{AB}] + C_{total}[_{Bell}^0 i_{AB}] + C_{total}$

introduced the quantum discord

$$D_{A} (A : B)$$
 $M = 0$
 X^{1}
 $M = S[A j_{B}^{m}] + S[B] S[AB];$
(1)

where the projectors $_{B}^{m}=j^{m}i_{B}h^{m}j$ (with $j^{0}i$ cos $\mathfrak{D}i+e^{i}\sin jli$, $j^{1}i=e^{i}\sin j\mathfrak{D}i$ cos jli, and $_{Aj_{B}^{m}}=tr_{B}(_{B}^{m})^{m}a_{AB}^{m})=m$ is the state of A after the measurement outcome measurement outcome

$$D_{m \text{ in }}[AB] = C_{\text{quantum }}[AB];$$
 (2)

when $j^0i = j0i$ and $j^1i = jli$.

M any of the profound results in quantum information theory [9] are impossible without the resource of entanglement. For instance, it enables one to perform teleportation [10] - a way to send quantum information about object(s) to other (distant) object(s) using entanglement. The spatially separated sender (Alice A) and receiver (Bob B) are only allowed to perform local quantum operations and communicate among them selves via a classical channel. Teleportation can indeed serve as a fundam entally in portant operational test of not only the presence but also the quality of entanglem ent. Popescu [11] had explored the di erent aspects of entanglem ent by analyzing the \usefulness" of W erner (channel) states [3] for single-qubit teleportation. He showed that there are Werner states, which do not violate any Bell type inequality, but still can be useful for teleportation. In an equally interesting paper, Badziag et al. [12] presented a class of two-qubit entangled states, which may be made useful or \more useful" for single-qubit teleportation by subjecting one of the qubits to dissipative interaction with the environment via an amplitude damping channel. Lee and K im [13] were the rst to consider teleportation of two-qubit states via two independent, equally entangled W erner states. In their scheme, A lice's pint measurement is decom posable into two independent Bellm easurem ents and Bob's unitary recovery operation

into two local one-qubit Pauli rotations – i.e., theirs is a straightforward generalization of the standard teleportation protocol T_0 [10]. They illustrated that entanglement of the two-qubit input state is lost during the teleportation even when the W emer states have nonzero entanglement, and in order to teleport any nonzero entanglement the channel states should possess a critical value of minimum entanglement. Entanglement is fragile to teleport, teleporting entanglement demands more stringent conditions on the channel states. It can thus reveal other aspects of the nature of entanglement and deserves more detailed studies. In Refs.[14, 15], we obtained some general results for two-qubit teleportation via four-qubit entangled states and introduced the concept of the generalized singlet fraction.

In this paper, we study the e ects of local noise on the usefulness of a class of four-qubit entangled states (;) (Eq.(13)) for two-qubit teleportation. To set the stage, we provide in the next paragraph, a brief introduction to singlet fraction and teleportation delity for single-qubit teleportation; and a sum mary of the results of Badziag et al. This is followed by a presentation of the relevant results from Refs.[14, 15], after which we show that the corresponding generalized singlet fraction can be enhanced by subjecting A lice's qubits to dissipative interaction with the environment via a pair of time-correlated amplitude damping channels [16]. In addition, we show that this enhancement corresponds to an enhancement in the quantum discord for some states.

When A lice and B ob share an arbitrary two-qubit mixed state $_{AB}$ as a resource, T_0 acts as a generalized depolarizing channel $_{B}^{iT_0}$, with probabilities given by the maximally entangled components of the resource [17,18]: $_{B}^{out}$ $_{B}^{iT_0}$ (j i_B h $j) = _{=0}^{P} {}_{3}$ $_{0}$ h $_{Bell}$ j $_{Bell}$ i u_B^{y} j i_B h j_B . Here, j i_B = a_0 j) i_B + a_1 jl i_B , with a_0 ; a_1 2 C^1 and j_{0} j + j_{0} j = 1, is an arbitrary \unknown" (input) state of a qubit. Consequently, at B ob's end, the teleported (output) state $_{B}^{out}$ can only be a distorted copy of the state j i_A initially held by A lice. The reliability for teleportation of a given channel state $_{AB}$ is quantitatively measured by the teleportation delity,

$$[_{B}^{;T_{0}}]$$
 $\overset{Z}{d}_{B}h j_{B}^{out} j i_{B}$
= $\frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{3}F [];$ (3)

where the singlet fraction

F[]
$$h_{Bell}^{0}$$
jj $_{Bell}^{0}$ i: (4)

The maximum teleportation delity depends on the maximal singlet fraction [18, 19]:

 $[_{B}^{f^{T} \text{opt}}] = 1 = 3 + 2F_{\text{max}}[_{B} = 3$, where $F_{\text{max}}[_{B} = 1]$ is maxuh $[_{B} = 1]$ in $[_{B} = 1]$ in

$${}_{AB} \qquad {}^{X^{1}}_{(u_{A}^{0} \quad K_{B}) j} {}^{0}_{Bell} i_{AB} h {}^{0}_{Bell} j (u_{A}^{0} \quad K_{B}^{Y});$$
 (5)

w here

$$K^{0} = {\stackrel{B}{e}}^{0} {\stackrel{1}{\overline{q}}} {\stackrel{0}{0}}_{\stackrel{C}{X}} {\stackrel{C}{K}}^{1} = {\stackrel{B}{e}}^{0} {\stackrel{0}{p}} {\stackrel{0}{\overline{1}}}_{\stackrel{\overline{q}}{\overline{q}}} {\stackrel{O}{N}}^{0}$$
(6)

with 0 q 1, are K raus operators that de ne an amplitude damping channel. Hereafter, \Re in and \Re illi denote the excited and ground states respectively. The amplitude damping channel is characterized by the parameter q, with 1 q denoting the dissipation strength when a qubit interacts with the environment via this channel. Badziag et al. [12] and Bandyopadhyay [21] showed that subjecting \Re to local noise at A lice's site:

$$_{AB} \quad ! \quad _{AB}^{0} = \overset{X^{1}}{\underset{= 0}{(K_{A} \quad u_{B}^{0})}} (K_{A} \quad u_{B}^{0}) \quad _{AB} (K_{A}^{y} \quad u_{B}^{0})$$
 (7)

m ay improve the maximal singlet fraction. That is, there exist values of q such that though $F_{max}[\] < 1=2$ we can have $F_{max}[\] > 1=2$, and also $1=2 < F_{max}[\] = F_{max}[\]$. This is intriguing because the dissipative interaction with qubit B, which degrades entanglement in the rst place is utilized to improve the quality of $_{AB}$ by applying it to qubit A. Bandy-opadhyay reasoned qualitatively that given any mixed channel state $_{AB}$, the corresponding maximal teleportation delity is determined by both the amount of entanglement N [], and the \classical correlations" between A lice's qubit A and Bob's qubit B; and since N [] cannot be increased by A lice's local operations (in fact, N [0] < N []), her action, Eq.(7), would only have enhanced the \classical correlations". A coording to B andyopadhyay, the enhancement in the maximal singlet fraction is thus due to improved \classical correlations".

In Ref.[14], we gave an explicit protocol E_0 for faithfully teleporting arbitrary two-qubit states, j $i_{A_1A_2} = {}^P {}^1_{i_j j = 0} a_{ij} j i_{j} i_{A_1A_2}$ with $a_{ij} 2 C^1$ and ${}^P {}^1_{i_j j = 0} j a_{ij} j^2 = 1$, employing genuine

four-qubit entangled states

$$j^{00}(_{12};_{12})i_{A_{3}A_{4}B_{1}B_{2}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{J=0}^{X^{3}} jJ i_{A_{3}A_{4}} = jJ^{0}i_{B_{1}B_{2}};$$
 (8)

fjJi = Sjijig and $fjJ^0i = Tjijig$ are orthonorm albases, with

Here, =2 < $_{12}$ 1 $_{2}$ < =2 and =2 < $_{12}$ 1 $_{2}$ < =2, since $0 < _{1}$; $_{2}$; $_{1}$; $_{2}$ < =2. Whenever $_{12}$ = $_{12}$ = 0, j 00 i is reducible to a tensor product of two Bell states: j 00 i $_{A_{3}A_{4}B_{1}B_{2}}$ = j $^{0}_{Bell}$ i $_{A_{3}B_{2}}$ j $^{0}_{Bell}$ i $_{A_{4}B_{1}}$. A lice performs a complete projective measurement jointly on $A_{1}A_{2}A_{3}A_{4}$ in the following basis of 16 orthonormal states:

$$j \quad (_{12}; _{12})i_{A_{1}A_{2}A_{3}A_{4}} \quad (U_{A_{1}A_{2}} \quad U_{A_{3}A_{4}}^{00})j^{00}(_{12}; _{12})i_{A_{1}A_{2}A_{3}A_{4}}; \quad (10)$$

with j 00 ($_{12}$; $_{12}$) $i_{A_1A_2A_3A_4}$ $\frac{1}{2}^P$ $_{K=0}^3$ K 0 $i_{A_1A_2}$ K $i_{A_3A_4}$ and U u u. Upon receiving classical information of her measurement result, Bob can always succeed in recovering an exact replica of the original state of A lice's particles A_1A_2 , by applying the appropriate recovery unitary operations to his particles B_1B_2 . If A lice and Bob share a priority two pairs of particles, A_3A_4 and B_1B_2 , in an arbitrary four-qubit mixed state $_{A_3A_4B_1B_2}$ as a resource, E_0 acts as a generalized depolarizing bichannel [15]: $_{B_1B_2}^{E_0}$ (j $i_{B_1B_2}$ h j) = $_{B_1B_2}^{P}$ j $i_{B_1B_2}$ h j $_{B_1B_2}$ h j $_{B_1B_2}$, where we define j $i_{B_1B_2}^{E_0}$ (U $_{B_1B_2}^{V}$) j $_{B_1B_2}^{O_0}$ i. The delity of teleportation

$$\begin{bmatrix} {}_{B_{1}B_{2}}^{E_{0}} \end{bmatrix} = d_{B_{1}B_{2}}h j_{B_{1}B_{2}}^{E_{0}} (j i_{B_{1}B_{2}}h j) j i_{B_{1}B_{2}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{5} + \frac{4}{5}G[]; \qquad (11)$$

where the generalized singlet fraction

G[]
$$\max_{12;12}$$
 fh $^{00}(_{12};_{12})$ j j $^{00}(_{12};_{12})$ ig; (12)

in contrast to Eqs.(3) and (4). We note that the $_{12}$ and $_{12}$, which give G[], determ ine A lice's measurement, Eq.(10). is useful for E₀ if G[] > 1=2 and $_{[B_1B_2]}$ > 3=5.

Now we are ready to present our results. Consider the four-qubit state

$$A_{1}A_{2}B_{1}B_{2}$$
 (;) = X^{1} ($U_{A_{1}A_{2}}^{00}$ $K_{B_{1}B_{2}}$) j 00 (;) $i_{A_{1}A_{2}B_{1}B_{2}}$ h 00 (;) $j(U_{A_{1}A_{2}}^{00}$ $K_{B_{1}B_{2}}^{y}$); (13)

which can be obtained in the following way: A lice prepares the four-qubit state j 00 (;)i (Eq.(8)) locally in her laboratory and sends any two qubits to B ob simultaneously across a pair of time-correlated amplitude damping channels described by the K raus operators [16]

Its generalized singlet fraction is independent of both and , and is a simple function of q given by

G[(;)] =
$$\frac{1}{16}$$
(3 + $p = q$)²; (15)

when $_{12}$ = and $_{12}$ = . Applying the prescription, similar to that in Ref.[21]: A lice allows her pair of qubits A_1 and A_2 to interact with the environment via a pair of time-correlated amplitude damping channels of the same strength as above; we obtain

$$A_{1}A_{2}B_{1}B_{2} (;) ! O_{A_{1}A_{2}B_{1}B_{2}} (;)$$

$$= (K_{A_{1}A_{2}} U_{B_{1}B_{2}}^{00}) A_{1}A_{2}B_{1}B_{2} (;) (K_{A_{1}A_{2}} U_{B_{1}B_{2}}^{00}) : (16)$$

To determ ine the corresponding generalized singlet fraction, we have $_{12} =$ but $_{12}$ is in general a very complicated function of both and q. However, for = = 0, we have

$$G[^{0}(0;0)] = \frac{1}{8}(5 + 2q + q^{2}); \tag{17}$$

when $_{12} = _{12} = 0$. Both G [(0;0)] and G [$^0(0;0)$] are strictly greater than 1=2, and we have G [$^0(0;0)$] G [(0;0)] if 0 < q q_{rit} 0:0338454. The range of values of q for which G [$^0($;)] G [(;)] shrinks as di ers more and more from zero. For instance, when = 0:1, we have q_{rit} 0:0209421. An interesting question is what exactly does this enhancement in generalized singlet fraction physically correspond to?

which is approximately $0.550976 \sin 2$ if = 0.1 and $q = q_{cit}$ 0.0209421. For $^0(0.1;)$, we obtain $^{^0E_0}_{B_1B_2}$ (j $i_{B_1B_2}h$ j) $= ^{^0E_0}_{B_1B_2}$, but with t_{00} $0.988715 \cos^2 + 0.0112853 \sin^2$, $t_{00} = t_{00}$ $0.508517 \cos \sin t_{00}$, $t_{00} = t_{00}$ $0.508517 \sin t_{00}$, which is smaller than $N = ^{^0E_0}_{B_1B_2}$ (j $i_{B_1B_2}h$ j) $0.508517 \sin t_{00}$, which is smaller than $N = ^{^0E_0}_{B_1B_2}$ (j $i_{B_1B_2}h$ j). In general, we have $N = ^{^0E_0}_{B_1B_2}$ (j $i_{B_1B_2}h$ j) $N = ^{^0E_0}_{B_1B_2}$ (j $i_{B_1B_2}h$ j). This is not unexpected since with the addition of further noise to the channel state t_{00} , the resulting generalized depolarizing bichannel $^{^0E_0}_{B_1B_2}$ becomes more noisy, which degrades entanglement more. One may conclude that, as in the case of single-qubit teleportation, the enhancement in the generalized singlet fraction is due to an improvement in the \classical correlations" and hence would not bring about an enhancement in any quantum property, such as entanglement, of the output states. Surprisingly, we can show that there is enhancement in the quantum discord (Eq.(2)) for some output states whenever there is an enhancement in the generalized singlet fraction. To this end, we calculate

$$D_{\min} \begin{bmatrix} {}^{0}_{E_{0}} \\ {}^{B_{1}B_{2}} \end{bmatrix} (j \ i_{B_{1}B_{2}} h \ j) = {}^{+} \log_{2} + \log_{2}$$

w here

0:5 0:00272371
$$\frac{p}{20765:4 + 12203:4\cos 4}$$
;
+ 0:994553 $\cos^2 + 0:00544741\sin^2$;
0:994553 $\sin^2 + 0:00544741\cos^2$:

Obviously, for 0 < < 0:459496, we have

$$D_{m in} \begin{bmatrix} {}^{0}E_{0} \\ {}^{0}B_{1}B_{2} \end{bmatrix} (j i_{B_{1}B_{2}}h j) > D_{m in} \begin{bmatrix} {}^{E_{0}}E_{0} \\ {}^{0}E_{1}B_{2} \end{bmatrix} (j i_{B_{1}B_{2}}h j)$$
:

In conclusion, we have shown that a dissipative interaction with the local environment via a pair of time-correlated amplitude damping channels can enhance the generalized singlet fraction for a class of entangled four-qubit mixed states. We demonstrate that this enhancement should correspond to an improvement in some quantum property of the four-qubit state by showing that the quantum discord for some output states is enhanced in the process. It is hoped that the results will lead to a better understanding of multipartite entanglement.

- [1] A. Einstein, B. Podolsky and N. Rosen, Phys. Rev. 47, 777 (1935).
- [2] K.Kraus, States, Eects, and Operations (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983).
- [3] R.F.Wemer, Phys. Rev. A 40, 4277 (1989).
- [4] A.Peres, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 1413 (1996).
- [5] G.Vidaland R.F.Wemer, Phys. Rev. A 65, 032314 (2002).
- [6] B.G roism an, S. Popescu, and A.W inter, Phys. Rev. A 72, 032317 (2005).
- [7] H.Ollivier and W.H.Zurek, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 017901 (2002).
- [8] W .H. Zurek, Phys. Rev. A 67, 012320 (2003).
- [9] M.A.N ielsen and I.L.Chuang, Quantum Computation and Quantum Information (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000).
- [10] C.H.Bennett, G.Brassard, C.Crepeau, R.Jozsa, A.Peres and W.K.Wootters, Phys.Rev. Lett. 70, 1895 (1993).
- [11] S.Popescu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 797 (1994).
- [12] P.Badziag, M.Horodecki, P.Horodecki and R.Horodecki, Phys. Rev. A 62, 012311 (2000).
- [13] J. Lee and M. S. Kim, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 4236 (2000).
- [14] Y.Yeo and W.K.Chua, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 060502 (2006).
- [15] Y.Yeo, quant-ph/0607108.
- [16] Y.Yeo and A.Skeen, Phys. Rev. A 67, 064301 (2003).
- [17] G.Bowen and S.Bose, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 267901 (2001).
- [18] S.A Beverio, S.M. Fei and W.L. Yang, Phys. Rev. A 66, 012301 (2002).
- [19] M. Horodecki, P. Horodecki and R. Horodecki, Phys. Rev. A 60, 1888 (1999).
- [20] S.Bose and V.Vedral, Phys.Rev.A 61,040101 (2000).

[21] S.Bandyopadhyay, Phys.Rev.A 65, 022302 (2002).