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#### Abstract

R ecovering tra jectories of quantum system sw hose classical counterparts display chaotic behaviour has been a sub ject that has received a lot of interest over the last decade. H ow ever, $m$ ost of these studies have focused on driven and dissipative system s. The relevance and im pact of chaotic-like phenom ena to quantum system $s$ has been highlighted in recent studies which have show $n$ that quantum chaos is signi cant in som e aspects of quantum com putation and inform ation processing. In this paper we study a three body system com prising of identical particles arranged so that the system's classical trajectories exhibit H am iltonian chaos. H ere we show that it is possible to recover very nearly classical-like, conservative, chaotic trajectories from such a system through an unravelling of the $m$ aster equation. Firstly, this is done through continuous $m$ easurem ent of the position ofeach system. Secondly, and perhaps som ew hat surprisingly, we dem onstrate that we still obtain a very good $m$ atch between the classical and quantum dynam ics by weakly $m$ easuring the position of only one of the oscillators.


PACS num bers: 05.45 M t $03.65 . \mathrm{w}$ 05.45.P q

## I. INTRODUCTION

Q uantum $m$ echanics is perhaps the $m$ ost pow erfiuland usefiul theory of physics to date. Indeed, w th the possible em ergence of $m$ any new quantum technologies in areas such as com putation, com m unication, cryptography and $m$ etrology this trend looks set to continue well into the fiuture. W ith such strong interest in applications of quantum m echanics com es a concom itant interest in the $m$ easurem ent process and the interaction between \classical" and quantum system s. Indeed, as we $w$ ish to understand and apply quantum $m$ echanics $w$ ithin the context ofm odem technology we w ill need to develop our understanding ofw hat actually constitutes a classical device and how such ob jects interact w ith quantum system s . H ow ever, the recovery of classicalm echanics is not alw ays as sim ple as a im plied by a na vete interpretation of the correspondence principle. This essential require$m$ ent of any physicaltheory can, for quantum $m$ echanical system s , be stated as:
\If a quantum system has a classical analogue, expectation values of operators behave, in the lim it ~ ! 0, like the corresponding classical quantities" [1]

W e observe that interpretation of this statem ent can be problem atic if, for exam ple, we consider quantum system sthat lack a speci c dependence on $P$ lanks constant [2]. Further di culties arise when attem pting to recover the classical tra jectories of classically nonlinear and chaotic system $s$ as the Schrodinger equation is strictly linear.

[^0]W e note that these concems are no longer just of interest to those studying either the $m$ easurem ent problem or the correspondence principle and the em ergence of the classical world. Indeed this area has a direct im pact on quantum technologies. In order to filly leverage the power a orded by these em erging elds we m ust not only understand in depth the $m$ easurem ent process but also $m$ any body quantum system s coupled to real environm ents. T his is highlighted by the recent observation of chaos in the spectrum of Shor's algorithm [3] as well as in other studies involving quantum inform ation processing and quantum chaos [4, 5, 6].

A solution to the correspondence problem for chaotic system s which has been em ployed w ith great success is found by utilising quantum tra jectories $m$ ethods $\mathbf{7}, 8,8$, $10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17]$. H ere, introduction of environm ental degrees of freedom and unravelling the m aster equation yield stochastic Schrodinger equations from which chaotic-like trajectories $m$ ay be recovered. This process can be considered as com prising of several steps. Firstly, we $m$ ake the quantum system of an open one. $T$ his is archived by coupling the quantum ob ject to an environm ent which $m$ ay take the form of a $m$ easurem ent device. O nce the environm ent has been introduced we $m$ odel the evolution of the system 's density operator (in the presence of the environm ent) using a linear m aster equation. H ow ever, $m$ aster equations are sim ilar to the Langevin equation insofar as they only predict a set of probable outcom es over an ensemble of system s or experim ents. Therefore, in order to get som e idea of the possible behaviour of an individual experim ent we next unravel the $m$ aster equation. In essence, this process involves nding a stochastic di erential equation for the system 's state vectorw the the proviso that the dynam ics given by the $m$ aster equation are retumed in the ensem ble average over $m$ any solutions. There are an in nitely $m$ any ways to do this each representing a di erent phys-
ical process. In this work we em ploy the quantum state di usion ( $Q$ SD ) unravelling which corresponds to a unite ciency heterodyne $m$ easurem ent (or am bi-quadrature hom odyne detection) on the environm ental degrees of freedom [16] (for an detailed introduction to this approach please see [18]). H ere the evolution of the state vector $j i$ is given by the ItO increm ent equation [13, 14]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& j i=\frac{i}{\sim}{ }_{\sim}^{i} j i d t
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& x^{j} \\
& +\quad \llbracket_{j} \quad h L_{j i} i j i d  \tag{1}\\
& \text { j }
\end{align*}
$$

where the $L$ indblad operators $L_{i}$ represent coupling to environm ental degrees of freedom, dt is the tim e incre$m$ ent, and $d$ are com plex $W$ einer increm ents de ned such that $\overline{\mathrm{d}^{2}}=\overline{\mathrm{d}}=0$ and $\overline{\mathrm{d} d}=d t$ [13, 14]. Throughout this work a bar over a quantity denotes the average over stochastic processes whilst the notation $h$ i is used for quantum $m$ echanical expectation values. The rst term on the right hand side of this equation is the Schrodinger evolution of the system while the second (drift) and third ( uctuation) term s describe the decohering e ects of the environm ent on the evolution of the system s state vector.

H ow ever, to date the body of work which uses quantum trajectories to recover classically chaotic-like trajectories has focused on those system $s$ that are dissipative. There are several notable exceptions that dem onstrate that continuous $m$ easurem ent of both driven and undriven conservative system sthat can recover classical like behaviour [19, 20, 21, 22]. H ow ever, these w orks consider system $s$ w th only a single degree of freedom. Recently we becam e interested in whether it was possible, using a sim ilar analysis, to recover chaotic tra jectories of classical, m ulti-com ponent, system s undergoing H am iltonian chaos. Intially we w ished to consider the traditional three body problem of classical $m$ echanics for particles w th sim ilar m asses [30]. This problem, although historically very signi cant, is non-trivial to solve. C onsequently, in this work we consider a som ew hat sim pli ed system com prising of three coupled one-dim ensional anharm onic oscillators.

## II. $\quad \mathrm{BACKGROUND}$

The Ham iltonian for our chosen three body system, com prising of one-dim ensional anharm onic oscillators w th a quartic potential and unit m ass, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{1}{2}_{i=1}^{X^{3}} p_{i}^{2}+2 \frac{q_{1}^{2} q_{2}^{2}+q_{2}^{2} q_{3}^{2}+q_{1}^{2} q_{3}^{2}}{2}+\frac{q_{1}^{4}+q_{2}^{4}+q_{3}^{4}}{32} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The classicaldynam ics associated with this $H$ am iltonian can be chaotic and are known to have positive Lyapunov
exponents [23]. W hen we consider classical m echanics $q_{i}$ and $p_{i}$ are taken to represent the classical values of position and $m$ om entum. H ow ever, when we consider the quantum $m$ echanics they are replaced by their operator counterparts. A s we shall alw ays be clear as to which description we are considering at any one tim e this does not lead to any am biguity.

W e have already stated one expression of the correspondence principal in quantum $m$ echanics. A $n$ altemative de nition, which we nd preferable, is to
\consider ~ xed (it is) and scale the H am ittonian so that the relative $m$ otion of the expectation values of the observable becom e large when com pared with the $m$ inim um area ( $\sim=2$ ) in the phase space".

In either case this is the role of the term in the H am itonian, i.e. ~! ~ so that the sm aller the larger the dynam ics when com pared to a plank cell.

## III. RESULTS

From the Ham iltonian (2]) we nd the three classical equations ofm otion are

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{i}+2^{<} \stackrel{8}{<} \frac{q_{i}^{3}}{8}+{ }_{i \notin j} q_{i} q_{j}^{2}=0 ; \text { where } i ; j=1 ; 2 ; 3: \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

W hen we solve these coupled equations of $m$ otion $w$ th the initial conditions $q_{1}=0: 2=; q_{2}=0: 05=; q_{3}=$ $0: 15=$ and $p_{i}=0$ for all iwe nd that the dynam ics are chaotic. We show the phase portrait for the solutions to these equations in gure 1 (a) where, w ithout loss of generality, we have set $=1 . \mathrm{W}$ e note as an interesting aside, one feature of this system is that if $q_{i}=p_{i}=0$ for any $i$ then $q_{i}=p_{i}=0$ always.

W e now proceed to discuss the quantum $m$ echanical description of these coupled oscillators. U nlike the classicalequations ofm otion the Schrodinger equation for this, or any other, system is strictly linear. We nd that solutions to the Schrodinger equation for this system, even for $m$ oderate values of , delocalise so rapidly that obtaining accurate solutions is not possible for us. This does, how ever, reinforce the lack of correspondence between classical dynam ics and Schrodinger evolution for this system.

Follow ing past work $17,8,6,10,11,12,13,14,15$, 16, 17] on recovering classically chaotic like orbits from a system 's quantum counterpart we solve the unravelling of the $m$ aster equation (1) $w$ ith $H$ am iltonian (2). For this exam ple there are three points of note $w$ th regard to possible choices of the environm ental degrees of freedom . Firstly, coupling to an environm ent helps localise the system 's state vector and hence produce a well dened, classical-like, trajectory. Secondly, as the classicalsystem is H am iltonian and therefore conservative, we


F IG . 1: (colour on-line) An exam ple chaotic tra jectory (a) solutions to the classical equations of $m$ otion (3) for the initial conditions $q_{1}=0: 2, q_{2}=0: 05, q_{3}=0: 15$ for our chosen system and (b) scaled quantum expectation values hqii and
$h p_{i} i$ versus tim efor an unravelling of the $m$ aster equation w ith in itial state $\mathrm{D}_{1}(0: 2=) \mathrm{D}_{2}(0: 05=) \mathrm{D}_{3}(0: 15=) \mathrm{j} 000 \mathrm{i}$ and $=1=2000$. Trajectories for oscillator one are shown in $m$ agenta ( $m$ edium grey), for two in blue (dark grey) and three in green (light grey). N ote, all quantities are dim ension less.


FIG. 2: (colour on-line) A com parison between the classical position $q_{1}$ (dashed grey) and hqii for three di erent couplings ( ) to the environm ent. N ote, all quantities are dim ension less.
$m$ ust chose the environm ent ofeach oscillator so that energy exchange is $m$ inim ised betw een any part of the system and the environm ental degrees of freedom. T hirdly, we should specify a physically reasonable environm ent.

In this work we have chosen initially one of the $m$ ost obvious candidates for the environm ent which satis es all
these conditions. Explicitly we have set each Lindblad $L_{i}=q_{i} ; i=1 ; 2 ; 3$ corresponding to the continuous $m$ easurem ent of position. This unravelling also corresponds to that of the $M$ aster equation for a weakly coupled, high tem perature, therm al environm ent [19]. H ere represents the $m$ agnitude of the coupling betw een each com ponent of the system and its respective environm ent. In this work we use several values of . In gure 1(b) we use an interm ediate coupling ( $=0: 1$ ) whilst in gures 2, 3, 4 and 5 we also present results for weak ( $=0: 01$ ) and strong ( $=0: 5$ ) couplings.

A s our initial state, and for the best possible $m$ atch $w$ ith the classical initial conditions, we chose a tensor product of coherent states for which the quantum expectation values in position and $m$ om entum are centred in $q$ p phase plane at $q_{1}=0: 2=; q_{2}=0: 05=; q_{3}=$ $0: 15=$ and $p_{i}=0 w$ here $i=1 ; 2 ; 3$. A ltematively, we can express this initial condition explicitly as translated vacuum states by $D_{1}(0: 2=) D_{2}(0: 05=) D_{3}(0: 15=)$ j000i $w$ here $D_{i}(:)$ is the displacem ent operator in position for each com ponent of the system. H ere we have chosen
$=1=2000$ as this is the sm allest value for which we can solve (1) both accurately and within a reasonable tim e fram e. In order to help the reader quantify the tim e scale over which our results are presented we note that the log tim e associated w th our chosen value of beta is $\log (1=) \quad 3: 3[24,25]$. This is $m$ uch shorter than the period over which we present the evolution of the system 's trajectories.

In gure 1(b) we show the dynam ics of the quantum expectation values of the position and $m$ om entum operators for each oscillator. T hese have been scaled by a factor of so that they $m$ ay be com pared with $g$ ure 1(a). H ere we see very good agreem ent initially, and sim ilar characteristics throughout, the displayed dynam ics. Indeed the trajectories are sim ilar enough that it is im possible to determ ine from the graph alone which plot show sthe classicaland which the quantum evolution. W e note that these curves begin to di er after a short period of tim e. H ow ever, this is not unexpected as the system we are analysing is chaotic. In order to $m$ ake the reasonable com parison of these results readily available we also include a graph of the evolution of $q_{1}$ and ho i i as a function of tim e in $F$ ig. 2 for three di erent couplings to the environm ent. It is apparent that there is a very good $m$ atch betw een the quantum expectation values and the classicaltrajectory for $=0: 01$.

W e note that sim ply by including an environm ent our system no longer undergoes H am iltonian evolution. In other words, in order to be able to recover classical like trajectories of quantum system swhose classical counterparts exh ibit H am iltonian chaos we include environm entaldegrees of freedom that im ply non H am iltonian evolution of the quantum system. H ow ever, using a su ciently low coupling strength to the environm ent results in a concom itant reduction both in energy exchange betw een the system and it's environm ent and the localisation of the state vector. W e now verify that the solutions to equa-


FIG. 3: (colour on-line) Total system energy, w ith magnied section inset, com puted by substituting hqii $i$ and hp $p_{i} i$ into the $H$ am iltonian (2) for three di erent couplings ( ) to the environm ent. N ote, all quantities are dim ensionless.
tion (1) are to, good approxim ation, conservative. This does indeed appear to be the case for both interm ediate and weak couplings ( $=0: 1$ and $0: 01$ ) but not for the stronger coupling ( $=0: 5$ ). This can be seen in gure 3 where we show the total energy found by substituting $h q_{i} i$ and $h p_{i} i$ for $q_{i}$ and $p_{i}$ into the $H$ am iltonian (2), i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Energy }=X_{i}^{x} \frac{1}{2} h p_{i} i^{2}+\frac{2}{32} h q_{i} i^{4}+\frac{2}{2} X_{i 6 j} h q_{i} i^{2} h q_{j} i^{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i ; j=1 ; 2 ; 3$. W e note that $w e$ do not com pute hH i as we w ish to com pare directly w ith the equivalent classical calculation.

N ext we verify localisation of the state vector by com puting the uncertainty in position and m om entum for the rst oscillator for three di erent q couplings to the environm ent. Because both $q_{i}=\overline{h q_{i}^{2} i} \quad h q_{i} i^{2} ~ a n d ~$ $p_{i}=\frac{q}{h p_{i}^{2} i \quad h p_{i} i^{2}}$ betw een com ponents behave in a sim ilar fashion, we do not show results for the other two oscillators here. A s is evident from gure 4 the interaction w ith the environm ent causes system 's state vector to localise w thin each of the com ponent spaces. It is also apparent from this gure that the level of localisation is dependent of the coupling between each of the system 's com ponents and their respective environm ents. W ealso note that as the system evolves it's states becom e squeezed in each of the $m$ om entum variables. Unlike the results presented in gure 1(b) we have not scaled these unœrtainty values by $=1=2000$. C onsequently, for direct com parison w th the rst two gures the results presented in gure 4 should be divided by 2000. H ence, the uncertainty in either position or $m$ om entum can be seen to be quite negligible when com pared w th the tra jectory of quantum expectation values plotted in gure (b).

W e can extract further inform ation on the dynam ics of this system sim ply by borrow ing a technique from quantum optics. $N$ am ely through analysing the photon statis-


FIG. 4: (colour on-line) U ncertainty in position (light grey/m agenta) and $m$ om entum (dark grey/blue) as a function of tim efor the rst com ponent for three di erent couplings ( ) to the environm ent. Values beneath the dashed (green) line indicate squeezing. $N$ ote, allquantities are dim en sion less.
tics (bunching of photons) described by the second order correlations 26, 27]

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{i}^{(2)}=\frac{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}^{2} \quad \mathrm{hn}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{i}}{\mathrm{hn}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{i}^{2}} ; i=1 ; 2 ; 3 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

W here n is the num ber operator. Values of $\mathrm{g}^{(2)}$ greater than 1 indicate photon bunching where photons arrive in groups while values of $g^{(2)}$ sm aller than one indicate antibunching, a purely quantum $m$ echanical phenom ena representing precisely regular arrival of photons. H ow ever $g^{(2)}=1$ corresponds to Poissonian statistics and which is what we would expect from the state of our system should it be undergoing a classicallike evolution. A s


F IG . 5: $g_{i}^{(2)}(i=1)$ coe cient, $w$ th $m$ agni ed section inset, as a function of tim efor three di erent couplings ( ) to the environm ent. C lassical-like $m$ otion yields $g_{i}^{(2)}=1$ as this im plies a Poissonian statistics for the state of the system. N ote, all quantities are dim ension less.
we can see from gure 5 this is indeed the case (where, again, we have only show $n$ data for the rst com ponent).

In the discussion above we have considered what happens when we perform sim ultaneous, continuous, measurem ents of the position of each of the com ponents of the system. As the weak $m$ easurem ent lim it is approached we nd good agreem ent betw een classical and quantum dynam ics and the system becom es, to good approxim ation, conservative. W e now dem onstrate that there exists a weaker condition under which we can produce the same outcome. That is, we show that weak $m$ easurem ent of only one of the position variables is suf-
cient produce, to very good approxim ation, classicallike trajectories. As no one oscillator has a privileged status over the others we set, without loss of generality, $\mathrm{L}_{1}=\mathrm{q}_{1} ; \mathrm{L}_{2}=\mathrm{L}_{3}=0 \mathrm{w}$ ith $=0: 01$ and
$=1=2000$. A gain we solve the unravelling of the $m$ aster equation (1) w ith H am iltonian (2) and the initial state $D_{1}(0: 2=) D_{2}(0: 05=) D_{3}(0: 15=)$ j000i. As we can see from gure 6 even under these conditions we recover quantum trajectories whose expectation values $m$ atch very $w e l l$ indeed $w$ ith those of the equivalent classical system .

## IV . CONCLUSION

For any given and an initially localised state there w illbe som e agreem ent betw een the dynam ics of the classical system and the evolution of the quantum expectation values of the corresponding quantum operators. H ow ever, after a short period of tim e the quantum state vector will begin to delocalise and di erences between the predictions of each theory becom e apparent. W e have dem onstrated, by localising the state vector through $m$ easurem ent of the position of one or all com ponents of the system, that near classical like dynam ics can be re-


FIG. 6: (colour on-line) An exam ple chaotic-like trajectory for the scaled quantum expectation values h$h_{i} i$ and hpii, for weak $m$ easurem ent of the rst oscillator only. $H$ ere $L_{1}=$
$\mathrm{q}_{1} ; \mathrm{L}_{2}=\mathrm{L}_{3}=0$ for $=0: 01$ and $=1=2000$. Q uantum tra jectories for oscillator one are show $n$ in $m$ agenta ( $m$ edium grey), for two in blue (dark grey) and three in green (light grey). The corresp onding classical dynam ics are show n w ith a dashed grey line. N ote, all quantities are dim ension less.
covered through an unravelling the $m$ aster equation. For this work we have chosen quantum state di usion. H ow ever, it is likely that any other un ravelling w ill produce sim ilar results. Such detailed analysis is beyond the scope of this work and would belong in a m ore in depth study. W e also note, as a sub ject for future study, that it would be interesting to determ ine the conditions under which $m$ easurem ent of a subset of the degrees of freedom of an N -body system results in the localisation of the state vector.

F inally we w ould like to observe that follow ing [28] it w ould be interesting to characterise the entanglem ent betw een the com ponents of this system. A s it $m$ ay well be the case that for th is exam ple, as well as the one studied in [28], that the entanglem ent does not necessarily vanish in the classical lim it. U nfortunately current restrictions on com putationalpow er prevent us from conducting this study at the present time. How ever, from the last result presented here we intuitively feel that there must persistently exist at least a sm all degree of entanglem ent betw een the rst com ponent and each of the other two. In order to justify this statem ent we propose the follow ing argum ent. First, consider the extension to the tensor product space of the Lindblad operator, explicitly this is
$\mathrm{q}_{1} \quad 1_{2} \quad 1_{3}$. Now, by exam ining equation (1) we see that if the state of the system w as separable, the last tw o term $s$ (those responsible for the localisation of $j$ i) would not a ect the com ponents of the state vector for the second and third degrees of freedom. As introduction of this environm ental degree of freedom localises the state vector and result in the recovery of a classical like trajectory, we therefore propose that the state vector m ust posses som e non-zero entanglem ent.
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