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A bstract
It is shown that it is possible to establish sum rules that m ust be satis ed at the nodes and extrem a of the eigenstates of con ning potentials which are functions of a single variable. At any boundstate energy the Schroedinger equation has two linearly independent solutions one ofw hich is norm alisable while the other is not. In the dom ain after the last node of a boundstate eigenfunction the unnorm alisable linearly independent solution has a sim ple form which enables the construction of functions analogous to $G$ reen's functions that lead to certain sum rules. O ne set of sum rules give conditions that m ust be satis ed at the nodes and extrem a of the boundstate eigenfunctions of con ning potentials. A nother sum rule establishes a relation between an integral involving an eigenfunction in the dom ain after the last node and a sum involving all the eigenvalues and eigenstates. Such sum rules $m$ ay be usefiul in the study of properties of con ning potentials. The exactly solvable cases of the particle in a box and the simple harm onic oscillator are used to illustrate the procedure. The relations betw een one of the sum rules and two-particle densities and a construction based on Supensym $m$ etric $Q$ uantum $M$ echanics are discussed.

PACS: $02.30 \mathrm{G} \mathrm{p}, 03.65 . \mathrm{w}, 11.30 \mathrm{~Pb}$

## 1 Introduction

There is a well de ned procedure for constructing $G$ reen's fiunctions for describing solutions to linear second order di erential equations w ith inhom ogeneous term s (M orse and Feshbach 1953 [1]). This procedure $m$ ay be em ployed to study solutions to the Schroedinger equation in one dim ension. Forproblem sw ith sphericalsym m etry partialw ave decom position e ectively reduces the three-dim ensional Schroedinger equation to a radial equation in $r$-space and hence the techniques for constucting $G$ reen's functions in onedim ension are applicable. The $G$ reen's functions $m$ ay be used to establish trace form ulae in which the integrals over the $G$ reen's function $m$ ay be related to sum $s$ involving the eigenvalues of the hom ogeneous di erential equation (Berry 1986 [2], Sukum ar 1990 [3], Voros 2000 [4]). Such trace form ulae are very useful in checking the accuracy of the num erically com puted eigenvalues. The recent interest in the real spectra of non-hem itian H am ittonians exhibiting PT sym m etry (Bender and Boettcher 1998 [5], M ezincescu 2000 [6], B ender and W ong 2001 [7]) has initiated accurate num erical com putation of eigenvalues of PT sym m etric Ham iltonians and the trace form ulae have proved to be useful.

In this work we consider the two linearly independent solutions to the Schroedinger equation at an eigenenergy and show that it is possible to constuct functions which are suitable for studying various sum $s$ over eigenstates in the dom ain outside the last node of a chosen eigenfunction. In section 2 it is shown that it is possible to construct new sum nules involving all the eigenstates and eigenvalues. In section 3 the exam ples of a particle in a box and the simple harm onic oscillator are used to illustrate the sum rules. The relations between the sum rules, two particle densities and Super Sym m etric Q uantum $M$ echanics are discussed in section 4. Units in which $\sim=1$ and the $m$ ass $=\frac{1}{2}$ are used throughout the paper so that $\frac{\tilde{\alpha}^{2}}{2}=1$.

## 2 N odes, Extrem a and Sum rules

W e develop a form alism in this section which would be suitable for applications to either the radialSchroedinger equation for a speci c partial w ave in the dom ain $[0 ; 1$ ] or the fiull line [ 1 ;+1]. W e consider the solutions to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2}}{d r^{2}}{ }_{j}=\left(V \quad E_{j}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfying the boundary conditions at the low er and upper end points of the dom ain at $\mathrm{x}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{x}_{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
L t_{ \pm!x_{0}} j!0 ; L t_{ \pm!x_{1}} j!0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the norm alised eigenstates $j$ corresponding to the eigenvalues $\mathrm{E}_{j}$. The state with $j=1$ corresponds to the groundstate $w$ ith no nodes and the state
$j$ has ( $j$ ) nodes. Let the nodes of the eigenstate ${ }_{n}$ be at $r=R_{0}$ and let the outerm ost node be at $r=R_{0}$. Then $n$ has no nodes in the dom ain $\mathrm{R}_{0}<\mathrm{r}<\mathrm{x}_{1}$. The second linearly independent solution at $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}$ is then given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim_{n}(r)={ }_{n}(r) \sum_{R}^{Z_{r}} \frac{1}{{\underset{n}{n}}(y)} d y \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R$ is a constant which $m$ ay be chosen according to som e appropriate requirem ent. If we choose $\mathrm{R}>\mathrm{R}_{0}$ then in the dom ain $\mathrm{R}<\mathrm{r}<\mathrm{x}_{1}$ there can be no in nities arising from the denom inator inside the integral and $\sim_{n}$ is well de ned in this dom ain. The W ronskian relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{dr}} \sim_{\mathrm{n}} \quad \sim_{\mathrm{n}} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{dr}} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}}=1 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

show sthat $L t_{t!R_{0}} \sim_{n} \in 0$ buthasa nite value determ ined by the derivative of $n$ at $r=R_{0}$.

The di erentialequation satis ed by the eigenstates $m$ ay be used to represent the $W$ ronskian between the states $j$ and $k(j \notin k)$ in term $s$ of the
overlap integrals between the di erent orthonorm al eigenstates in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{x_{0}}^{Z_{k}(y)} j_{j}(y) d y=Z_{x_{1}}^{Z_{k}}{ }_{j}(y) \quad j(y) d y=\frac{k_{j}-_{k}}{\left(E_{k} E_{j}\right)} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here the dot denotes a derivative $w$ ith respect to $r$. If we now de ne
where the sum is over a com plete set of eigenstates excluding the state $n$, then using the equally in eq. (5) it can be established that

$$
\begin{equation*}
n(r) \frac{@}{@ r} \quad \neg_{n}(r) \quad G(r ; æ)=X_{j \neq n}^{X} \quad j(£) \sum_{x_{1}}^{Z}{ }_{n}(y) \quad j(y) d y: \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The G reen's functions $G(r ; r ; E)$ considered in the usual textbooks (M orse and Feshbach [1], for exam ple) are constructed at energies E which are not one of the eigenenergies $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}$. In contrast the fiunction G considered here is constructed with $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}$. U sing the completeness relation satis ed by the eigenstates

$$
{ }_{j \in n} \quad j(x) \quad j(y)=(x \quad y) \quad{ }_{n}(x){ }_{n}(y)
$$

it can be shown that

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{n}(r) \frac{@}{@ r} \quad \neg_{n}(r) \quad G(r ; æ)=  \tag{9}\\
& n \text { (x) } \\
& \text { (r }
\end{align*}
$$

where ( $z$ ) is the unit step function which vanishes when $z<0$ and has value 1 when $\mathrm{z}>0$.

### 2.1 Sum rules at nodes of $n$

Various interesting relations follow from the di erential equation (9). If we choose $r=R_{0}$ where $R_{0}$ is a node of the state $n_{n}$ at which ${ }_{n}\left(R_{0}\right)=0$ then we get the relation

In particular setting $æ=R_{0}$ in eq. (10) leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{j \in \mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{X}} \frac{{ }_{j}^{2}\left(R_{0}\right)}{\left(E_{n} E_{j}\right)}=0: \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Squaring both sides of eq. (10), integrating over the variable $x$ in the full range $\left[x_{0} ; x_{1}\right]$ and using the orthonorm ality of the states $j$ 进 $m$ ay be show $n$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{j \neq n} \frac{{ }_{j}^{2}\left(R_{0}\right)}{\left(E_{n} E_{j}\right)^{2}}=\frac{1}{-_{n}^{2}\left(R_{0}\right)}{ }_{x_{0}}^{R_{0}}{ }_{n}^{2} d y{ }_{R_{0}}^{Z}{ }_{n}^{2} d z: \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

At any node of any eigenstate the rest of the eigenstates $m$ ust ful 11 the conditions im plied by eqs. (11) and (12).

### 2.2 Sum rules at extrem a of

n
A nother special case of of eq. (9) arises when $r=R_{1}$ where $R_{1}$ is an extrem um of $n$ which satis es $\neg_{n}\left(R_{1}\right)=0$. Eq. (9) simpli es to
which when di erentiated w ith respect to $x$ and evaluated at $x=R_{1}$ leads to the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{j \in n} \frac{-_{j}^{2}\left(R_{1}\right)}{\left(E_{n} E_{j}\right)}=\quad\left(\rightsquigarrow \quad R_{1}\right) \dot{j}_{k=R_{1}}: \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing the com pleteness relation of the eigenstates the above relation m ay also be given in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{X}  \tag{15}\\
& j \in \mathrm{n}
\end{align*} \frac{-_{j}^{2}\left(R_{1}\right)}{\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{E}_{j}\right)}+{ }_{j}^{2}\left(R_{1}\right)={ }_{n}^{2}\left(R_{1}\right):
$$

Squaring both sides of eq. (13), integarting over the variable $x$ in the full range $\left[x_{0} ; x_{1}\right]$ and $u$ sing the orthonorm ality of the states $j$ it can be show $n$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{j \in n} \frac{-_{j}^{2}\left(R_{1}\right)}{\left(E_{n} E_{j}\right)^{2}}=\frac{1}{n_{n}^{2}\left(R_{1}\right)}{ }_{x_{0}}^{Z}{ }_{n}^{2} d y{ }_{R_{1}}^{Z}{ }_{n}^{2} d z: \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

At any extrem um of any eigenstate the derivative of all other eigenstates m ust satisfy the conditions im plied by eqs. (15) and (16).

### 2.3 Integral realtions valid outside the last node of

n
The di erentialequation (9) satis ed by the fiunction $G$ de ned by eq. (6) is a rst order di erential equation which can be brought to the form

$$
\frac{@}{@ r} \frac{G(r ; x)}{n(r)}=\frac{n(x)}{2_{n}^{2}(r)} \quad\left(\begin{array}{rl}
x & Z_{x_{1}}^{x_{1}}{ }_{n}^{2} d z \quad(x \quad r){ }_{x_{0}}^{Z_{n}}{ }_{n}^{2} d z ~ \tag{17}
\end{array}\right.
$$

and can be integrated from an arbitrary point $r_{2}$ to get the relation

It is also possible to establish a di erential equation for $G(r ; r)$. U sing eqs. (5) and (6) and the lm iting value $L t_{z!} 0 \quad(z)=1=2$ it can be established that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(r) \quad G(r ; r)=X_{j \in n}^{X} \frac{\sum_{j}^{2}(r)}{\left(E_{n} E_{j}\right)} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

satis es

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{\mathrm{n}}^{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{dr}} \frac{\mathrm{~S}}{\underset{\mathrm{n}}{2}}=\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{x}}^{\mathrm{x}_{1}}{\underset{\mathrm{n}}{2} \mathrm{dy}}_{\mathrm{X}_{0}}^{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}}^{2} \mathrm{dy}} \quad: \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his equation can be integrated from any point $r_{2}$ to give

D i erent choiges of $x$ and $r_{2}$ in eq. (18) lead to di erent integral relations. If we set $\rightsquigarrow=r_{2}$ in eq. (18) then the resulting expression can be rearranged to give

By interchanging the labels $r$ and $r_{2}$ another relation like the one given above $m$ ay be derived and by addition of the two relations we can show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{j \neq n} \frac{1}{E_{n} E_{j}} \frac{j(r)}{n(r)} \frac{{ }_{j}\left(r_{2}\right)^{2}}{n\left(r_{2}\right)}=Z_{r_{<}} \frac{d y}{n_{n}^{2}(y)} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r_{<}\left(r_{>}\right)$is the sm aller (larger) of $\left(r ; r_{2}\right)$. The integrand in eq. (23) is free of singularities in the dom ain of integration ifboth $r$ and $r_{2}$ are greater than the last node $R_{0}$ of $n$.

Various integral relations follow from eq. (23). For exam ple multiplying eq. (23) by ${ }_{n}^{2}(r){ }_{n}^{2}\left(r_{2}\right)$, integrating over both the variables from $R_{0}$ to $x_{1}$ and using the notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{j k}=Z_{x_{0}}^{Z_{1}}{ }_{j}(y) \quad k(y) d y \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and noting that when $r=R_{0}$ is a node of $n$ eq. (5) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n j}=\frac{\overbrace{n}\left(R_{0}\right){ }_{j}\left(R_{0}\right)}{\left(E_{n} E_{j}\right)} ; j \in n ; \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

we can establish that

A special case of the above relation arises if we consider the groundstate $w$ ith $\mathrm{n}=1$ for which $\mathrm{R}_{0}=\mathrm{x}_{0}$ and for all the eigenstates $\mathrm{R}_{0}=0 . W$ thus get the sum rule
which expresses the inverses of the separation of the eigenvalues of con ning potential from the groundstate eigenvalue in term $s$ of an integral over the nodeless groundstate eigenfunction.

The $m$ ain results derived in this paper are the relations expressed in eqs. (9), (11), (12), (15), (16), (23), (26) and (27). In the follow ing sections exactly solvable exam ples w ill be used to ilhustrate the sum nules derived in this section.

## 3 Exam ples ofsum rules at nodes and extrem a

### 3.1 Particle in a B ox

In this section we consider the exam ple of a free particle con ned in a box w ith in nite walls at $\mathrm{x}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{x}_{1}=$. The norm alised eigenfunctions and
eigenenergies are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
j(r)=\frac{r}{\frac{2}{2}} \sin j r ; E_{j}=j^{2} ; j=1 ; 2:::: \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ here is a node of the eigenfunction $n$ at $R_{0}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}n & 1\end{array}\right)=n$. $W$ e rst exam ine the sum

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(r ; \rightsquigarrow)=\underline{2}_{j \in n}^{X} \frac{\sin j r \sin j \rightsquigarrow}{\left(n^{2} j^{2}\right)} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be sim pli ed using partial fractions, addition form ulae for trigono$m$ etric functions and standard sum $s$ over sine functions (G radshteyn and Ryzhik 1965 [8]) to the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(r ; x)=\frac{\sin n r \sin n \rightsquigarrow}{n} \quad \frac{1}{2 n}+r \cot n r+\rightsquigarrow \cot n \rightsquigarrow \quad \cot n r \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $r$, is the larger of $(r ; \rightsquigarrow) . U$ sing

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{0}^{\mathrm{R}_{0}}{\underset{\mathrm{n}}{2}}^{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{q}) \mathrm{dq}=\frac{\mathrm{R}_{0}}{\mathrm{n} \quad 1} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\neg_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{R}_{0}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{r}}{\underline{2}} \underline{\mathrm{n} \cos (\mathrm{n}} 1\right)=(1)^{\mathrm{n} 1} \mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{r}} \frac{\overline{2}}{\underline{2}} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

it is simple to show that for $r=R_{0}$ eq. (30) becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(R_{0} ; \rightsquigarrow\right)=\frac{n(\rightsquigarrow)}{\overbrace{n}\left(R_{0}\right)} \quad\left(\rightsquigarrow \quad R_{0}\right) \frac{n}{n} \quad\left(R_{0} \quad \rightsquigarrow\right) \frac{1}{n} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

thereby verifying eq. (10). For the choice $\mathfrak{r}=\mathrm{R}_{0}=\quad=\mathrm{n}$ eqs. (29) and (33) can be used to give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j \in n}^{x} \frac{\sin ^{2} j R_{0}}{\left(n^{2} \quad j^{2}\right)}=0 \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

verifying eq. (11). Eq. (33) can be squared and integrated over $x$ to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
{\underset{j 6 n}{ }}_{x}^{\frac{\sin ^{2} j R_{0}}{\left(n^{2} \quad j^{2}\right)^{2}}=\frac{2}{4} \frac{n}{n^{4}}} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the sum rule arising from eq. (12) in this case.
W e next exam ine

$$
\begin{equation*}
G-(r ; x)=\underline{2}_{j \notin n}^{x} j \frac{\cos j r \sin j \rightsquigarrow}{n^{2}} j^{2} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be evaluated by taking the derivative of the relation in eq. (30) $w$ th respect to $r$. There is an extrem um of $n$ at $R_{1}=\quad=(2 n)$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\mathrm{G}-\left(\mathrm{R}_{1} ; \rightsquigarrow\right)=\frac{\sin \mathrm{n} æ}{\left(\mathrm{R}_{1} \operatorname{cscn} R_{1}+\operatorname{cscnR}_{1} \quad\left(\mathrm{R}_{1}\right.\right.} \rightsquigarrow\right)\right): \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing

$$
\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{R}_{1}}^{2}{ }_{\mathrm{n}}^{2} \mathrm{dy}=\underline{\mathrm{R}_{1}}
$$

it can be shown that

$$
\left(\begin{array}{lllll} 
& R_{1}
\end{array}\right){ }_{0}^{Z}{ }_{n}^{2} d y+\quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
R_{1} & \text { § }
\end{array}{ }_{R_{1}}^{Z}{ }_{n}^{2} d y=\quad \underline{R_{1}}+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
R_{1} & \Upsilon \tag{39}
\end{array}\right)\right.
$$

which together with eq. (37) veri es eq. (13) at the last extrem um of $n$ at $R_{1}$. D i erentiation of eq. (37) $w$ ith respect to $x$, evaluation at the point $\mathfrak{r}=R_{1}$ and use of the com pleteness relation leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{2}_{j \in n}^{X} j^{2} \frac{\cos ^{2} j R_{1}}{\left(n^{2} j^{2}\right)}=\underline{2}_{j}^{X} \sin ^{2} j R_{1}: \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is possible to prove this directly by starting from the equality in eq. (30) for $r=æ$ and show that for $R_{1}=\quad=(2 n)$

$$
\begin{align*}
\overline{2} G\left(R_{1} ; R_{1}\right) & =\frac{X}{\frac{\sin ^{2} j R_{1}}{n^{2} j^{2}}=} \frac{1}{4 n^{2}} ; \\
\overline{4} \frac{@^{2}}{@ r^{2}} G(r ; r) \quad \dot{j}=R_{1} & =\frac{X}{j \in n} \frac{j^{2} \cos 2 j R_{1}}{n^{2} \quad j^{2}}=\frac{3}{4} \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$

which leads to the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
{\underset{j 6 n}{ }}_{x}^{j^{2} \cos ^{2} j R_{1}}\left(n^{2} j^{2}\right)+\sin ^{2} j R_{1}=1=\sin ^{2} n R_{1} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

thereby verifying eq. (15). By squaring eq. (37) and integrating over x it can also be shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{j \in n} \frac{j^{2} \cos ^{2} j R_{1}}{\left(n^{2} j^{2}\right)^{2}}=\frac{2}{16} \frac{2 n \quad 1}{n^{2}} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the sum rule arising from eq. (16) in the present case.
W e next exam ine eq. (21) which in this exam ple becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{G(r ; r)}{{\underset{n}{n}}_{2}(r)} \frac{G\left(r_{2} ; r_{2}\right)}{{\underset{n}{2}}_{2}\left(r_{2}\right)}={ }_{r_{2}}^{Z_{r}} \frac{d y}{\sin ^{2} y}{ }_{y}^{Z} \sin ^{2} n z d z \int_{0}^{Z} \sin ^{2} n z d z \quad: \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing eq. (30) it can be show $n$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{2 r}{2 n} \text { cotnr } \frac{2 r_{2}}{2 n}{\operatorname{cotn} r_{2}}^{2} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

in agreem ent w ith the direct evaluation of the integral on the right hand side ofeq. (44).

W e next exam ine eq. (23) which in this exam ple gives the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{G(r ; r)}{{ }_{n}^{2}(r)}+\frac{G\left(r_{2} ; r_{2}\right)}{{ }_{n}^{2}\left(r_{2}\right)} \quad 2 \frac{G\left(r ; r_{2}\right)}{n(r) n_{n}\left(r_{2}\right)}=\overline{2}_{r_{<}}^{r_{>}} \frac{d y}{\sin ^{2} y}=\frac{}{2 n}\left(\operatorname{cotn} r_{>} \quad \operatorname{cotn} r_{<}\right): \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing eq. (30) to express the various term s on the left hand side of eq. (46) it is easy to check that the sum of the term s on the left hand side yields the expression on the right hand side of the equation.

The triple integral on the right hand side ofeq. (27) for this exam ple can be evaluated to give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{2}^{\mathrm{Z}} \sin ^{2} x d x{\underset{x}{\sin ^{2} y}}_{y}^{\mathrm{z}} \sin ^{2} z d z=\frac{3}{4} \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

and using partial fractions it $m$ ay be show $n$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{j}=2}^{\mathrm{A}} \frac{1}{\left(1 j^{2}\right)}=\frac{3}{4} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

thus verifying eq. (27).

### 3.2 Simple H arm on ic O scillator

W e consider an oscillator potentialv $=x^{2}$ in the range [ 1 ;1] corresponding to a frequency ! = 2. The oscillator length param eter equals 1 in the units we have used in this paper. The energy levels and the eigenfunctions are given by
$E_{j+1}=(2 j+1) ; \quad{ }_{j+1}=\underline{1}^{1=4} \frac{\overline{1}}{2^{j} j!} \exp \quad x^{2}=2 \quad H_{j}(x) ; j=0 ; 1 ; 2 ;:::$
where $H_{j}(x)$ are $H_{\text {erm }}$ ite polynom ials which satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d H_{j}}{d x}=2 j_{j} H_{1}(x) ; H_{2 j}(0)=()^{j} \frac{(2 j)!}{j!} ; H_{2 j+1}(0)=0: \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ e exam ine the sum rules arising from the choige $n=2 w h i c h ~ c o r r e s p o n d s$ to the rst excited state $w$ ith has a single node at $x=0$. All the antisym $m$ etric states $w$ ith even values of $j$ vanish at $x=0$ and the sym $m$ etric states
corresponding to odd values of $j$ have lim iting values at $\mathrm{x}=0$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{2 j+1}^{2}(0)=\stackrel{r}{1}_{-}^{2^{2 j}(2 j)!} \quad \frac{(2 j)!}{j!}^{2} ; j=0 ; 1 ;::: \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the rst tw o factors on the right hand side arise from the norm alisation integrals of the harm onic oscillator eigenfunctions (P auling and W ilson 1935 [9]) and the last factor arises from the values of the even order H em ite polynom ials at $x=0$ (A.bram ow itz and Stegun 1965 [10]). H ence

$$
\begin{align*}
X_{j \in 2} \frac{\sum_{j}^{2}(0)}{E_{2} \quad E_{j}} & =\frac{r}{\frac{1}{4}} \quad X^{1} \frac{(2 k)!}{\left(22^{k} k!\right)^{2}} \frac{1}{2 k} 1 \\
& =\frac{1}{4} L t_{z!1}\binom{1}{z}^{1=2}=0 \tag{52}
\end{align*}
$$

which veri es eq. (11) for $\mathrm{n}=2$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { W e next exam ine } \\
& \begin{aligned}
X_{j \in 2}^{1} \frac{{ }_{j}^{2}(0)}{\left(E_{2} E_{j}\right)^{2}} & ={ }^{r} \frac{1}{16} X_{k=0}^{1} \frac{(2 k)!}{\left(2^{k} k!\right)^{2}} \frac{1}{(2 k \quad 1)^{2}} \\
& =\frac{1}{16} L t_{z!1} \quad z \arcsin z+1 \quad z^{2}=2=\frac{p-}{8}:
\end{aligned} \tag{53}
\end{align*}
$$

The norm alised eigenfunction for $\mathrm{n}=2$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
2(x)=\underline{4}^{1=4} x \exp \quad x^{2}=2 \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be used to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{-_{2}^{2}(0)}{ }^{Z_{0}} \quad{ }_{1}{ }_{2}^{2} \mathrm{dy}{ }^{\mathrm{Z}_{1}} \quad{ }_{0}^{2} \mathrm{dz}=\frac{\mathrm{p}-}{8} \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

which when considered together with eq. (53) veri es eq. (12) for the $\mathrm{n}=2$ rst excited state of the sim ple harm onic oscillator.

To exam ine the sum rule arising from extrem a of eigenfunctions we consider the groundstate $\mathrm{n}=1$ which has an extrem um at $\mathrm{x}=0$. For all the
sym $m$ etric states corresponding to all odd values of $j$ the derivative of the eigenfunction at $x=0$ vanishes and for the antisym $m$ etric states corresponding to even values of $j$ the derivative at $x=0$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
-_{2 j}^{2}(0)={ }^{r} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{2^{2 j 1}} \frac{1}{(2 j 1)!} \frac{(2 j)!^{2}}{j!} ; j=1 ; 2 ;:::: \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

$U$ sing the values of the eigenfunctions and their derivatives at $\mathrm{x}=0$ given by eqs. (51) and (56) we can show that

$$
\begin{align*}
X \quad \frac{-\int_{j}^{2}(0)}{E_{1} E_{j}}+{ }_{j}^{2}(0) & =\mathbb{r}^{\frac{1}{1}} X^{1} \quad X^{1}!  \tag{57}\\
& =r \frac{(2 k)!}{\left(2^{k} k!\right)^{2}} \\
& =\underline{k}=0 \quad{ }_{1}^{2}(0)
\end{align*}
$$

thereby verifying eq. (15) for the groundstate of the oscillator.
W e next consider

$$
\begin{align*}
X_{j=2}^{X^{1}} \frac{-_{j}^{2}(0)}{\left(E_{1} E_{j}\right)^{2}} & =\frac{r}{1}_{X^{1}}^{r} \frac{1}{\left(2^{k} k!\right)^{2}} \frac{(2 k)!}{(2 k+1)}  \tag{58}\\
& =\frac{r-\frac{1}{4}}{} L t_{z!1}(\arcsin z)=\overline{\overline{16}}:
\end{align*}
$$

It can be shown that for the norm alised groundstate eigenfunction

$$
\begin{equation*}
1(x)=\underline{1}^{1=4} \exp \quad x^{2}=2 ; \frac{1}{{\underset{1}{2}}^{1}(0)}{ }_{1}^{Z}{ }_{1}^{2} d y{ }_{0}^{Z_{1}}{ }_{1}^{2} d z=\frac{1}{4} p- \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

which when taken together with eq. (58) veri es the sum rule given by eq. (16) for the $\mathrm{n}=1$ groundstate of the oscillator.

4 D iscussion

In this paper sum nules which must be satis ed at the nodes and extrem a of boundstate eigenfunctions of con ning potentials have been established. The sum rules in eqs. (11), (12), (15) and (16) have been veri ed for the case of a particle con ned in a box and also explicitly for the case of a sim ple harm onic oscillator in the ground or rst excited states. H ow ever the sum rules are valid for all states of the oscillator and for all con ning potentials. W hen scattering states are present the expressions have to bem odi ed by the addition of an integral to take account of the contribution from the scattering states to the sum over the contribution from the discrete states.

W e have show $n$ that in the dom ain after the last node of an eigenfunction the feature that the inverse of the eigenfunction is singularity free $m$ ay be used to establish a variety of relations betw een the values of all the other eigenfunctions in this region and integrals involving the nodeless eigenfunction. W e have ilhustrated the sum nules in eqs. (23) and (27) for the case of a particle in a box for whidh the sum $s$ and integrals converge and can be carried out analytically. For the harm onic oscillator the sum and integral in eq. (27) do not converge.

T he antisym $m$ etric $w$ avefiunction for tw o non-interacting identical ferm ions $m$ oving in the sam e single particle potential $V$ such that one of them is in the state $n$ and the other in $j$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{n j}\left(r_{1} ; r_{2}\right)=\frac{r}{\frac{1}{2}}\left({ }_{n}\left(r_{1}\right) \quad{ }_{j}\left(r_{2}\right) \quad{ }_{n}\left(r_{2}\right) \quad j\left(r_{1}\right)\right): \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

The relationship in eq. (23) may also be given in term $s$ of $n j$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
X \frac{{ }_{n j}^{2}\left(r_{1} ; r_{2}\right)}{E_{n} E_{j}}=\frac{1}{2}{\underset{n}{2}\left(r_{1}\right) \sum_{n}^{2}\left(r_{2}\right){ }_{r_{<}}^{r_{>}} \frac{d y}{2}}_{n} \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

which sheds an interesting light on the sum nule in term $s$ of joint probabilty density of tw o-particle states.

Supersym m etric $Q$ uantum $M$ echanics $m$ ay be used to interpret the integral on the right hand side of eq. (26). If we consider a potential $V$ which is identical to $V$ in the region outside the last node of $n$ at $R_{0}$ but has an in nite wall at the last node, then the boundstate solutions in $V \mathrm{~m}$ ust vanish at $r=R_{0}$ and as $r!x_{1}$. The groundstate energy of $V \mathrm{~m}$ ust be $\mathrm{E}_{1}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}$ because n goes to zero at $\mathrm{r}=\mathrm{R}_{0}$ and as $r$ ! $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ but has no nodes inbetw een. $n$ is the groundstate eigenfunction of $V$ but has to be renor$m$ alised to 1 in the region $\left.\mathbb{R}_{0} ; x_{1}\right]$. Let the other boundstate eigenvalues of $V$ satisfying boundstate boundary conditions at $R_{0}$ and $x_{1}$ be $E_{j}^{\sim} ; j=2 ; 3 ;:$. A supersym $m$ etric partner to the potential $V$ constructed by the elim ination of its groundstate at $\mathrm{E}_{1}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{1}=\nabla \quad \frac{d^{2}}{d r^{2}} \ln n(r) ; r>R_{0} ; \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is free of singularities for $r>R_{0}$. This construction whidh is based on them ethods ofSupersym m etric Q uantum M echanics (Sukum ar 1985 [11]) guarantees that the boundstate spectnum $\circ \mathrm{FF}_{1}$ is identical to that of $\mathbb{V}$ except form issing the groundstate of $V$ at $E_{1}^{r}$ (i.e) $V_{1}$ has spectnum $E_{j} ; j=2 ; 3 ;::$. It $m$ ay be show $n$ that a solution at the energy $E_{n}$ in $V_{1}$ is $\sim 1={ }_{n}$. From this solution tw o other solutions w hich satisfy boundary conditions at $\mathrm{R}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ can be constructed in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sim_{1}=\frac{1}{n(r)}{ }_{Z^{R_{0}}}^{Z}{ }_{n}^{2}(y) d y ; L t_{r!R_{0}}^{\sim_{1}(r)} \text { ! } 0 ; \\
& \sim_{2}=\frac{1}{n(r)}{ }_{x_{1}}^{2}{ }_{n}^{2}(y) d y ; L t_{r!x_{1}} \sim_{2}(r)!0 \tag{63}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith the W ronskian

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=\sim_{1} \frac{d}{d r} \sim_{2} \quad \sim_{2} \frac{d}{d r} \sim_{1}=\underbrace{\mathrm{x}_{1}}_{R_{0}}{\underset{n}{2}(\mathrm{y}) \mathrm{dy}:}^{2} \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

T hese solutionsm ay be used to construct a G reen's function for the potential $\checkmark$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{1}(r ; \rightsquigarrow>r)=\frac{\sim_{1}(r)^{\sim_{2}(£)}}{W} ; L t_{r!R_{0}} G!0 ; L t_{x!x_{1}} G!0 \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $G_{1}(r ; \mathbb{x})=G_{1}(x ; r)$. The trace of this $G$ reen's function (Sukum ar 1990 [3]) is related to the spectrum of $V_{1}$ by

U sing eqs. (60), (61), (64) and (66) it $m$ ay be show $n$ that
expressing the trace of the $G$ reen's function for the Supersym $m$ etric partner potential $\nabla_{1} w$ th the energy spectrum ( $\mathbb{E}_{j} ; j=2 ; 3 ;::$ ) in term softw o-particle densities in the potentialV $w$ th the energy spectrum $\left(E_{j} ; j=1 ; 2 ;: n ;:::\right)$.

W e conclude by reiterating that the sum rules expressed in eqs. (11), (12), (15) and (16) m ust be satis ed at allthe nodes and extrem a of the boundstate eigenfunctions of con ning potentials in 1-dim ension. A lso the sum rule in eq. (23) for con ning potentials is a key result derived in this paper. A s noted before it is possible to extend the sum nule to potentials which have scattering states by the addition of an additional integral to include the contribution from the scattering states in addition to the contribution from the discrete states which are included in eq. (23). W e have focussed attention on con ning potentials because of the existence of exactly solvable problem s for which the sum rules can be explicitly veri ed. W e have veri ed the sum rules for two exactly solvable con ning potentials. W e have interpreted one of the sum nules using the notion of two particle densities and established a connection w th the trace of the G reen's function of a Super Sym m etric partner in Super Sym $m$ etric $Q$ uantum $M$ echanics.
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