Sum rules and the dom ain after the last node of an eigenstate

C .V .Sukum ar

D epartm ent of P hysics, U n iversity of O x ford T heoretical P hysics, 1 K eble R oad, O x ford O X 1 3N P

M arch 29, 2024

A bstract

It is shown that it is possible to establish sum rules that must be satis ed at the nodes and extrem a of the eigenstates of con ning potentials which are functions of a single variable. At any boundstate energy the Schroedinger equation has two linearly independent solutions one of which is norm alisable while the other is not. In the dom ain after the last node of a boundstate eigenfunction the unnorm alisable linearly independent solution has a simple form which enables the construction of functions analogous to G reen's functions that lead to certain sum rules. One set of sum rules give conditions that must be satis ed at the nodes and extrem a of the boundstate eigenfunctions of con ning potentials. Another sum rule establishes a relation between an integral involving an eigenfunction in the domain after the last node and a sum involving all the eigenvalues and eigenstates. Such sum rules may be useful in the study of properties of con ning potentials. The exactly solvable cases of the particle in a box and the simple harm onic oscillator are used to illustrate the procedure. The relations between one of the sum rules and two-particle densities and a construction based on Supersym m etric Q uantum M echanics are discussed.

PACS:02.30Gp,03.65.-w,11.30Pb

1 Introduction

There is a well de ned procedure for constructing G reen's functions for describing solutions to linear second order di erential equations with inhomogeneous terms (Morse and Feshbach 1953 [1]). This procedure may be en ployed to study solutions to the Schroedinger equation in one dimension. For problem s with spherical sym m etry partial wave decom position e ectively reduces the three-dimensional Schroedinger equation to a radial equation in r-space and hence the techniques for constucting G reen's functions in onedimension are applicable. The Green's functions may be used to establish trace form u lae in which the integrals over the G reen's function m ay be related to sum s involving the eigenvalues of the hom ogeneous di erential equation (Berry 1986 [2], Sukum ar 1990 [3], Voros 2000 [4]). Such trace form ulae are very useful in checking the accuracy of the num erically computed eigenvalues. The recent interest in the real spectra of non-herm itian Ham iltonians exhibiting PT symmetry (Bender and Boettcher 1998 [5], Mezincescu 2000 [6], Bender and W ong 2001 [7]) has initiated accurate num erical com putation of eigenvalues of PT symmetric Hamiltonians and the trace formulae have proved to be useful.

In this work we consider the two linearly independent solutions to the Schroedinger equation at an eigenenergy and show that it is possible to construct functions which are suitable for studying various sums over eigenstates in the domain outside the last node of a chosen eigenfunction. In section 2 it is shown that it is possible to construct new sum rules involving all the eigenstates and eigenvalues. In section 3 the examples of a particle in a box and the simple harm onic oscillator are used to illustrate the sum rules. The relations between the sum rules, two particle densities and Super Symmetric Quantum M echanics are discussed in section 4. Units in which $\sim = 1$ and the m ass $=\frac{1}{2}$ are used throughout the paper so that $\frac{2}{2} = 1$.

2 Nodes, Extrem a and Sum rules

We develop a formalism in this section which would be suitable for applications to either the radial Schroedinger equation for a speci c partial wave in the domain [0;1] or the full line [1;+1]. We consider the solutions to

$$\frac{d^2}{dr^2}$$
 j = (V E j) j (1)

satisfying the boundary conditions at the lower and upper end points of the dom ain at x_0 and x_1

$$Lt_{r! x_0 j} ! 0; Lt_{r! x_1 j} ! 0$$
 (2)

for the normalised eigenstates $_{j}$ corresponding to the eigenvalues E_{j} . The state with j = 1 corresponds to the groundstate with no nodes and the state $_{j}$ has $(j \ 1)$ nodes. Let the nodes of the eigenstate $_{n}$ be at $r = R_{0}$ and let the outerm ost node be at $r = R_{0}$. Then $_{n}$ has no nodes in the dom ain $R_{0} < r < x_{1}$. The second linearly independent solution at E_{n} is then given by

$$\sim_{n} (r) = _{n} (r) \sum_{R}^{Z} \frac{1}{\frac{2}{n} (y)} dy$$
 (3)

where R is a constant which may be chosen according to some appropriate requirement. If we choose $R > \tilde{R_0}$ then in the domain $R < r < x_1$ there can be no in nities arising from the denom inator inside the integral and \tilde{n} is well de ned in this domain. The W ronskian relation

$${}_{n} \frac{d}{dr} {}^{n} {}_{n} {}^{n} \frac{d}{dr} {}_{n} = 1$$
(4)

shows that $Lt_{r! R_0} \sim_n \in 0$ but has a nite value determ ined by the derivative of $_n$ at $r = R_0$.

The di erential equation satis ed by the eigenstates m ay be used to represent the W ronskian between the states j and k (j \in k) in terms of the

overlap integrals between the di erent orthonorm al eigenstates in the form

where the dot denotes a derivative with respect to r. If we now de ne

$$G (\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{r}) = \frac{X_{j(\mathbf{r}) j(\mathbf{r})}}{(E_n E_j)}$$
(6)

where the sum is over a complete set of eigenstates excluding the state n, then using the equality in eq. (5) it can be established that

$$\sum_{n} (\mathbf{r}) \frac{\theta}{\theta \mathbf{r}} - \sum_{n} (\mathbf{r}) \quad \mathbf{G} \quad (\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{r}) = \sum_{j \in n}^{X} \sum_{n} \sum_{j \in n}^{2 - \mathbf{r}} \sum_{j \in n} (\mathbf{y}) \quad \mathbf{y} \quad$$

The G reen's functions G (r;r;E) considered in the usual textbooks (M orse and Feshbach[1], for example) are constructed at energies E which are not one of the eigenenergies E_n . In contrast the function G considered here is constructed with $E = E_n$. Using the completeness relation satis ed by the eigenstates

X

$$j(\mathbf{r}) \quad j(\mathbf{y}) = (\mathbf{r} \quad \mathbf{y}) \quad n(\mathbf{r}) \quad n(\mathbf{y})$$
(8)
 $j \in n$

it can be shown that

$$\sum_{n} (\mathbf{r}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{r}} - (\mathbf{r}) \mathbf{G} (\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{r}) = \sum_{n} (\mathbf{r}) (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}) + (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}) \sum_{n}^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{r}}$$
(9)

where (z) is the unit step function which vanishes when z < 0 and has value 1 when z > 0.

2.1 Sum rules at nodes of $_n$

Various interesting relations follow from the dimensionle equation (9). If we choose $r = R_0$ where R_0 is a node of the state n at which $n(R_0) = 0$ then we get the relation

In particular setting $r = R_0$ in eq. (10) leads to

$$\frac{X}{(E_n E_j)} = 0: \qquad (11)$$

Squaring both sides of eq. (10), integrating over the variable r in the full range $[x_0;x_1]$ and using the orthonorm ality of the states j it may be shown that

$$\frac{X}{\sum_{j \in n}^{2} (R_{0})} = \frac{1}{-\sum_{n=1}^{2} (R_{0})} \sum_{x_{0}}^{Z_{R_{0}}} \sum_{n=1}^{Z_{R_{0}}} \frac{Z_{x_{1}}}{\sum_{n=1}^{2} dy} \sum_{n=1}^{2} dz :$$
(12)

At any node of any eigenstate the rest of the eigenstates must ful 11 the conditions implied by eqs. (11) and (12).

2.2 Sum rules at extrem a of $_n$

Another special case of of eq. (9) arises when $r = R_1$ where R_1 is an extrem um of $_n$ which satis es $\neg_n (R_1) = 0$. Eq. (9) simplifies to

$$\frac{X}{j \in n} \frac{-j (R_1) j (r)}{(E_n E_j)} = \frac{n (r)}{n (R_1)} \qquad (R_1 r) \sum_{R_1} \frac{Z_{x_1}}{(r R_1)} \qquad (r R_1) \sum_{R_1} \frac{2}{n} dy$$
(13)

which when dimensional evaluated with respect to r and evaluated at $r = R_1$ leads to the identity

$$X = \frac{-2j}{j (R_1)} (R_1) = (r R_1) j_{r=R_1} :$$
(14)

U sing the completeness relation of the eigenstates the above relation m ay also be given in the form

$$\frac{X}{(E_{n} E_{j})} + \frac{2}{j}(R_{1}) = \frac{2}{n}(R_{1}) :$$
(15)

I

Squaring both sides of eq. (13), integarting over the variable r in the full range $[x_0;x_1]$ and using the orthonorm ality of the states j it can be shown that

$$\frac{X}{\sum_{j \in n}^{2} (R_{1})} = \frac{1}{\sum_{n=1}^{2} (R_{1})}$$

At any extrem um of any eigenstate the derivative of all other eigenstates m ust satisfy the conditions in plied by eqs. (15) and (16).

2.3 Integral realtions valid outside the last node of $_n$

The di erential equation (9) satis ed by the function G de ned by eq. (6) is a rst order di erential equation which can be brought to the form

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{r}} \frac{\mathbf{G} (\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{r})}{\frac{n}{n} (\mathbf{r})} = \frac{\frac{n}{n} (\mathbf{r})}{\frac{2}{n} (\mathbf{r})} \qquad (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}) \sum_{\mathbf{r}}^{\mathbf{Z} \times 1} \frac{\mathbf{z}}{n} d\mathbf{z} \qquad (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}) \sum_{\mathbf{x}_0}^{2} d\mathbf{z} \qquad (17)$$

and can be integrated from an arbitrary point r_2 to get the relation

It is also possible to establish a di erential equation for G (r;r). Using eqs. (5) and (6) and the limiting value $Lt_{z! 0}$ (z) = 1=2 it can be established that

S (r) G (r; r) =
$$\frac{X_{j}}{(E_n - E_j)}$$
 (19)

satis es

$${}_{n}^{2}\frac{d}{dr}\frac{S}{{}_{n}^{2}} = {}_{r}^{Z}{}_{n}^{x_{1}} = {}_{n}^{Z}dy {}_{n}^{x_{1}} =$$

This equation can be integrated from any point r_2 to give

D i erent choices of r and r_2 in eq. (18) lead to di erent integral relations. If we set $r = r_2$ in eq. (18) then the resulting expression can be rearranged to give

$$\frac{G(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{r}_{2})}{(\mathbf{r}_{1},\mathbf{r}_{2})} = \frac{G(\mathbf{r}_{2};\mathbf{r}_{2})}{(\mathbf{r}_{2},\mathbf{r}_{2})} = \frac{Z_{r}}{(\mathbf{r}_{2},\mathbf{r}_{2})} = \frac{$$

By interchanging the labels r and r_2 another relation like the one given above m ay be derived and by addition of the two relations we can show that

$$\frac{X}{\frac{j (r)}{p \in n}} \frac{1}{\sum_{n} \sum_{j} \frac{j (r)}{n (r)}} \frac{j (r_2)}{n (r_2)} = \frac{Z}{\sum_{r>} \frac{dy}{r_2}} \frac{dy}{r_2}$$
(23)

where $r_{<}$ ($r_{>}$) is the smaller (larger) of ($r_{r}r_{2}$). The integrand in eq. (23) is free of singularities in the domain of integration if both r and r_{2} are greater than the last node \mathbb{R}_{0} of n.

Various integral relations follow from eq. (23). For example multiplying eq. (23) by $\frac{2}{n}$ (r) $\frac{2}{n}$ (r₂), integrating over both the variables from \mathbb{R}_0 to x_1 and using the notation

$$A_{jk} = \int_{\vec{K}_0}^{z_{x_1}} (y) _{k} (y) dy$$
 (24)

and noting that when $r = R_0$ is a node of _n eq. (5) gives

$$A_{nj} = \frac{-n (R_0) j (R_0)}{(E_n E_j)}; j \in n;$$
 (25)

we can establish that

$$A_{nn} \frac{A_{jj}}{(E_{n} E_{j})} = -\frac{2}{n} R_{0} \frac{X}{jen} \frac{\frac{2}{j} R_{0}}{(E_{n} E_{j})^{3}} = \frac{Z_{x_{1}}}{\binom{2}{n} (r)dr} \frac{Z_{x_{1}}}{\binom{2}{n} (r)dr} \frac{dy}{\binom{2}{x_{1}}} \frac{Z_{x_{1}}}{\binom{2}{n} (y)} \frac{dy}{y} = \frac{2}{n} (r)dr$$

$$= \frac{\frac{2}{n} (r)dr}{\binom{2}{x_{1}} \frac{dr}{\binom{2}{n} (r)}} \frac{Z_{x_{1}}}{\binom{2}{n} (y)dy} = \frac{2}{n} (r)dr$$

$$= \frac{2}{R_{0}} \frac{\frac{2}{n} (r)dr}{\binom{2}{n} (r)} \frac{2}{n} (y)dy = \frac{2}{n} (r)dr$$

$$= \frac{2}{R_{0}} \frac{\frac{2}{n} (r)dr}{\binom{2}{n} (r)} \frac{2}{n} (y)dy = \frac{2}{n} (r)dr$$

$$= \frac{2}{R_{0}} \frac{\frac{2}{n} (r)dr}{\binom{2}{n} (r)} \frac{2}{n} (y)dy = \frac{2}{n} (r)dr$$

$$= \frac{2}{R_{0}} \frac{2}{n} (r$$

A special case of the above relation arises if we consider the groundstate with n = 1 for which $\tilde{R}_0 = x_0$ and for all the eigenstates $j \tilde{R}_0 = 0$. We thus get the sum rule

$$\frac{X^{d}}{\sum_{j=2}^{r} (E_{1} - E_{j})} = \sum_{x_{0}}^{Z_{x_{1}}} (r) dr \sum_{r}^{Z_{x_{1}}} \frac{dy}{\sum_{j=2}^{r} (y)} (r) dr = \sum_{r}^{Z_{x_{1}}} (r) dr (r$$

which expresses the inverses of the separation of the eigenvalues of a con ning potential from the groundstate eigenvalue in terms of an integral over the nodeless groundstate eigenfunction.

The main results derived in this paper are the relations expressed in eqs. (9), (11), (12), (15), (16), (23), (26) and (27). In the following sections exactly solvable examples will be used to illustrate the sum rules derived in this section.

3 Examples of sum rules at nodes and extrem a

3.1 Particle in a Box

In this section we consider the example of a free particle con ned in a box with in nite walls at $x_0 = 0$ and $x_1 =$. The norm alised eigenfunctions and

eigenenergies are given by

$$r = \frac{r}{2} \sin jr$$
; $E_j = j^2$; $j = 1;2....$ (28)

There is a node of the eigenfunction $_{n}$ at $R_{0} = (n \quad 1) = n$. We rst exam ine the sum

$$G (\mathbf{r}; \mathbf{r}) = \frac{2}{n} \frac{X}{j \in n} \frac{\sin j \mathbf{r} \sin j \mathbf{r}}{(n^2 j^2)}$$
(29)

which can be simplied using partial fractions, addition form use for trigonometric functions and standard sums over sine functions (Gradshteyn and Ryzhik 1965 [8]) to the form

$$G(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{r}) = \frac{\sin \operatorname{nr} \sin \operatorname{nr}}{n} \frac{1}{2n} + \operatorname{r} \operatorname{cotnr} + \operatorname{r} \operatorname{cotnr}_{>}$$
(30)

where r> is the larger of (r;r). U sing

$$Z_{R_{0}}^{2} = \frac{R_{0}}{n} = \frac{n}{n} = \frac{n}{n}$$
(31)

and

$$-_{n} (R_{0}) = \frac{r}{2} n \cos(n - 1) = (1)^{n - 1} n \frac{r}{2}$$
(32)

it is simple to show that for $r = R_0$ eq. (30) becomes

$$G(\mathbf{R}_{0};\mathbf{r}) = \frac{\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{r})}{-\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{R}_{0})} \quad (\mathbf{r} \quad \mathbf{R}_{0}) \frac{\mathbf{n} \quad \mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{n}} \quad (\mathbf{R}_{0} \quad \mathbf{r}) \frac{\mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{n}}$$
(33)

thereby verifying eq. (10). For the choice $\mathbf{r} = R_0 = -n$ eqs. (29) and (33) can be used to give

$$\frac{X}{j \in n} \frac{\sin^2 j R_0}{(n^2 j^2)} = 0$$
(34)

verifying eq. (11). Eq. (33) can be squared and integrated over r to show that

$$\frac{X}{j_{\text{fm}}} \frac{\sin^2 j_{\text{R}_0}}{(n^2 j^2)^2} = \frac{2}{4} \frac{n}{n^4}$$
(35)

which is the sum rule arising from eq. (12) in this case.

W e next exam ine

$$G_{-}(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{r}) = \frac{2}{n} \frac{X}{j \cdot n} j \frac{\cos j \mathbf{r} \sin j \mathbf{r}}{n^2 + j^2}$$
(36)

which can be evaluated by taking the derivative of the relation in eq. (30) with respect to r. There is an extrem um of $_n$ at $R_1 = = (2n)$. Hence

$$G_{-}(R_{1};\mathbf{r}) = \frac{\sin n\mathbf{r}}{m} (R_{1} \operatorname{cscn} R_{1} + \operatorname{cscn} R_{1} (R_{1} \mathbf{r})): (37)$$

Using

$$Z_{R_{1}}^{2} = \frac{R_{1}}{n} dy = \frac{R_{1}}{n}$$
(38)

it can be shown that

which together with eq. (37) veri es eq. (13) at the last extrem um of $_n$ at R_1 . D i erentiation of eq. (37) with respect to r, evaluation at the point $r = R_1$ and use of the completeness relation leads to

$$\frac{2}{n} \sum_{j \in n}^{X} j^{2} \frac{\cos^{2} jR_{1}}{(n^{2} j^{2})} = -\frac{2}{n} \sum_{j}^{X} \sin^{2} jR_{1} :$$
(40)

It is possible to prove this directly by starting from the equality in eq. (30) for r = r and show that for $R_1 = = (2n)$

$$\frac{1}{2} G (R_{1};R_{1}) = \begin{cases} X & \frac{\sin^{2} jR_{1}}{n^{2} j^{2}} = \frac{1}{4n^{2}}; \\ \frac{jen}{4} & \frac{e^{2}}{e^{2}}G (r;r) & \frac{j}{2}=R_{1} \end{cases} = \begin{cases} X & \frac{j^{2}\cos 2jR_{1}}{n^{2} j^{2}} = \frac{3}{4} \\ \frac{jen}{4} & \frac{e^{2}}{e^{2}} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$
(41)

which leads to the relation

$$\begin{array}{cccc} X & \frac{j^2 \cos^2 j R_1}{(n^2 \ j^2)} + \sin^2 j R_1 &= 1 = \sin^2 n R_1 \end{array}$$
(42)

thereby verifying eq. (15). By squaring eq. (37) and integrating over r it can also be shown that

$$\frac{X}{j \in n} \frac{j^2 \cos^2 jR_1}{(n^2 j^2)^2} = \frac{2}{16} \frac{2n}{n^2} \frac{1}{n^2}$$
(43)

which is the sum rule arising from eq. (16) in the present case.

We next exam ine eq. (21) which in this example becomes

$$= \frac{G(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{r})}{\frac{2}{n}(\mathbf{r})} \quad \frac{G(\mathbf{r}_{2};\mathbf{r}_{2})}{\frac{2}{n}(\mathbf{r}_{2})} = \frac{Z_{r}}{\frac{dy}{\mathbf{r}_{2}}} \frac{Z_{r}}{\sin^{2}y} \quad \frac{Z_{r}}{\frac{dy}{\sin^{2}nz}} = \frac{Z_{r}}{\frac{dy}{\sin^{2}nz}} \frac{Z_{r}}{\frac{dy}{\sin^{2}nz}} = \frac{Z_{r}}{\frac{dy}{\sin^{2}nz}}$$
(44)

Using eq. (30) it can be shown that

$$= \frac{2r}{2n} \operatorname{cotnr} \frac{2r_2}{2n} \operatorname{cotnr}_2$$
(45)

in agreem ent with the direct evaluation of the integral on the right hand side of eq. (44).

W e next exam ine eq. (23) which in this exam ple gives the relation

$$\frac{G(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{r})}{\frac{2}{n}(\mathbf{r})} + \frac{G(\mathbf{r}_{2};\mathbf{r}_{2})}{\frac{2}{n}(\mathbf{r}_{2})} = \frac{G(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{r}_{2})}{\frac{2}{n}(\mathbf{r})} = \frac{2}{\frac{2}{n}(\mathbf{r}_{2})} = \frac{2}{2} \frac{dy}{dy} = \frac{dy}{2n} (\cot n \mathbf{r}_{2}) = \frac{dy}{dt}$$
(46)

77

U sing eq. (30) to express the various term s on the left hand side of eq. (46) it is easy to check that the sum of the term s on the left hand side yields the expression on the right hand side of the equation.

The triple integral on the right hand side of eq. (27) for this example can be evaluated to give

$$\frac{2}{2} \int_{0}^{Z} \sin^{2} x \, dx = \frac{Z}{\sin^{2} y} \int_{y}^{Z} \sin^{2} z \, dz = \frac{3}{4}$$
(47)

and using partial fractions it may be shown that

$$\frac{X^{4}}{j=2} \frac{1}{(1 - j^{2})} = -\frac{3}{4}$$
(48)

thus verifying eq. (27).

3.2 Simple Harmonic Oscillator

W e consider an oscillator potential $V = x^2$ in the range [1;1] corresponding to a frequency ! = 2. The oscillator length parameter equals 1 in the units we have used in this paper. The energy levels and the eigenfunctions are given by

$$E_{j+1} = (2j+1); \quad j+1 = \frac{1}{2^{j}j!} \exp x^{2} = 2 \quad H_{j}(x); \quad j = 0; 1; 2; ...$$
(49)

where H_i(x) are Herm ite polynom ials which satisfy

$$\frac{dH_{j}}{dx} = 2j H_{j 1}(x); H_{2j}(0) = ()^{j} \frac{(2j)!}{j!}; H_{2j+1}(0) = 0:$$
(50)

We exam the sum rules arising from the choice n = 2 which corresponds to the rst excited state with has a single node at x = 0. All the antisym – metric states with even values of j vanish at x = 0 and the symmetric states corresponding to odd values of j have $\lim i t ing values at x = 0$ given by

$${}^{2}_{2j+1}(0) = \frac{1}{2^{2j}(2j)!} \frac{1}{2^{2j}(2j)!} \frac{2}{2^{2j}(2j)!} ; j = 0;1;...$$
 (51)

where the rst two factors on the right hand side arise from the norm alisation integrals of the harm onic oscillator eigenfunctions (Pauling and W ilson 1935 [9]) and the last factor arises from the values of the even order Herm ite polynom ials at x = 0 (A bram ow itz and Stegun 1965 [10]). Hence

$$\frac{X^{i}}{E_{2}} \frac{\frac{2}{j}(0)}{E_{2} E_{j}} = \frac{r}{\frac{1}{4}} \frac{X^{i}}{E_{2} E_{j}} \frac{(2k)!}{(2^{k}k!)^{2}} \frac{1}{2k 1} = \frac{r}{\frac{1}{4}} Lt_{z! 1} (1 z)^{1-2} = 0$$
(52)

which veri es eq. (11) for n = 2.

W e next exam ine

$$\frac{X^{i}}{(E_{2} - E_{j})^{2}} = \frac{r}{\frac{1}{16}} \frac{X^{i}}{k=0} \frac{(2k)!}{(2^{k}k!)^{2}} \frac{1}{(2k-1)^{2}} = r \frac{r}{\frac{1}{16}} \frac{1}{16} \operatorname{Lt}_{z! \ 1} \operatorname{zarcsin} z + 1 \quad z^{2^{-1+2}} = \frac{p}{8}: (53)$$

The normalised eigenfunction for n = 2 given by

$$_{2}(x) = -\frac{4}{x} \exp x^{2} = 2$$
 (54)

can be used to show that

$$\frac{1}{-\frac{2}{2}(0)} \int_{1}^{Z_{0}} \int_{2}^{Z_{1}} \int_{2}^{Z_{1}} \int_{2}^{P_{-}} \frac{p_{-}}{2} dz = \frac{p_{-}}{8}$$
(55)

which when considered together with eq. (53) veri es eq. (12) for the n = 2 rst excited state of the simple harm onic oscillator.

To exam the sum rule arising from extrem a of eigenfunctions we consider the groundstate n = 1 which has an extrem um at x = 0. For all the

sym m etric states corresponding to all odd values of j the derivative of the eigenfunction at x = 0 vanishes and for the antisym m etric states corresponding to even values of j the derivative at x = 0 is given by

$$-\frac{2}{2j}(0) = \frac{r}{\frac{1}{2^{2j}}} \frac{1}{(2j)} \frac{1}{(2j)} \frac{(2j)!}{j!}^{2} ; j = 1;2; ...$$
(56)

U sing the values of the eigenfunctions and their derivatives at x = 0 given by eqs. (51) and (56) we can show that

$$X = \frac{-\frac{2}{j}(0)}{E_{1} E_{j}} + \frac{2}{j}(0) = \frac{r}{1} X^{4} X^{4} \frac{(2k)!}{(2^{k}k!)^{2}}$$
$$= \frac{r}{1} = \frac{2}{1}(0)$$
(57)

thereby verifying eq. (15) for the groundstate of the oscillator.

W e next consider

$$\frac{X^{k}}{(E_{1} - E_{j})^{2}} = \frac{r}{\frac{1}{4}} \frac{X^{k}}{(2^{k} k!)^{2}} \frac{1}{(2^{k} k!)^{2}} \frac{(2k)!}{(2k+1)} = \frac{r}{\frac{1}{4}} \frac{1}{Lt_{z!}} (\operatorname{arcsin} z) = \frac{r}{\frac{1}{16}} : (58)$$

It can be shown that for the norm alised groundstate eigenfunction

$${}_{1}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \exp \left(x^{2} = 2 \right) ; \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \left(\frac$$

which when taken together with eq. (58) veri es the sum rule given by eq. (16) for the n = 1 groundstate of the oscillator.

4 D iscussion

In this paper sum rules which must be satis ed at the nodes and extrem a of boundstate eigenfunctions of con ning potentials have been established. The sum rules in eqs. (11), (12), (15) and (16) have been veri ed for the case of a particle con ned in a box and also explicitly for the case of a sim ple harm onic oscillator in the ground or rst excited states. How ever the sum rules are valid for all states of the oscillator and for all con ning potentials. W hen scattering states are present the expressions have to be modi ed by the addition of an integral to take account of the contribution from the scattering states to the sum over the contribution from the scattering states.

We have shown that in the dom ain after the last node of an eigenfunction the feature that the inverse of the eigenfunction is singularity free may be used to establish a variety of relations between the values of all the other eigenfunctions in this region and integrals involving the nodeless eigenfunction. We have illustrated the sum rules in eqs. (23) and (27) for the case of a particle in a box for which the sum s and integrals converge and can be carried out analytically. For the harm onic oscillator the sum and integral in eq. (27) do not converge.

The antisymmetric wavefunction for two non-interacting identical fermions moving in the same single particle potential V such that one of them is in the state $_n$ and the other in $_j$ is given by

$$r_{nj}(\mathbf{r}_{1};\mathbf{r}_{2}) = \frac{1}{2} (r_{n}(\mathbf{r}_{1}) j(\mathbf{r}_{2}) r_{n}(\mathbf{r}_{2}) j(\mathbf{r}_{1})) : (60)$$

The relationship in eq. (23) may also be given in terms of $_{nj}$ in the form

$$\frac{X}{\sum_{n j}^{2} (r_{1}; r_{2})}{E_{n} E_{j}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n}^{2} (r_{1}) \sum_{n}^{2} (r_{2}) \frac{Z}{\sum_{r_{2}}^{r_{2}} \frac{dy}{2}}{r_{2}}$$
(61)

which sheds an interesting light on the sum rule in terms of joint probability density of two-particle states.

Supersymmetric Quantum Mechanics may be used to interpret the integral on the right hand side of eq. (26). If we consider a potential ∇ which is identical to V in the region outside the last node of $_n$ at \mathbb{R}_0 but has an in nite wall at the last node, then the boundstate solutions in ∇ must vanish at $r = \mathbb{R}_0$ and as $r ! x_1$. The groundstate energy of ∇ must be $\mathbf{E}_1' = \mathbf{E}_n$ because $_n$ goes to zero at $r = \mathbb{R}_0$ and as $r ! x_1$ but has no nodes inbetween. $_n$ is the groundstate eigenfunction of ∇ but has to be renormalised to 1 in the region \mathbb{R}_0 ; x_1]. Let the other boundstate eigenvalues of ∇ satisfying boundstate boundary conditions at \mathbb{R}_0 and x_1 be \mathbf{E}_j ; j = 2; 3; \dots A supersymmetric partner to the potential ∇ constructed by the elimination of its groundstate at $\mathbf{E}_1' = \mathbf{E}_n$ is

$$\nabla_1 = \nabla \qquad \frac{d^2}{dr^2} \ln_n (r); r > \mathcal{R}_0;$$
 (62)

which is free of singularities for $r > \mathcal{R}_0$. This construction which is based on them ethods of Supersymmetric Q uantum Mechanics (Sukum ar 1985 [11]) guarantees that the boundstate spectrum of \mathcal{V}_1 is identical to that of \mathcal{V} except form issing the groundstate of \mathcal{V} at \mathcal{E}_1 (i.e) \mathcal{V}_1 has spectrum \mathcal{E}_j ; $j = 2;3; \ldots$. It may be shown that a solution at the energy \mathcal{E}_n in \mathcal{V}_1 is $\tilde{} = 1 = \frac{1}{n}$. From this solution two other solutions which satisfy boundary conditions at \mathcal{R}_0 and x_1 can be constructed in the form

with the W ronskian

$$W = {}^{2}_{1} \frac{d}{dr} {}^{2}_{2} \qquad {}^{2}_{2} \frac{d}{dr} {}^{2}_{1} = {}^{2}_{R_{0}} {}^{2}_{n} (y) dy : \qquad (64)$$

These solutions may be used to construct a G reen's function for the potential \forall given by

$$\mathfrak{G}_{1}(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{r} > \mathbf{r}) = \frac{\widetilde{\mathbf{r}}_{1}(\mathbf{r}) \widetilde{\mathbf{r}}_{2}(\mathbf{r})}{W}; \ \mathrm{Lt}_{\mathbf{r}! \ \tilde{\mathbf{K}}_{0}} \mathfrak{G} ! \ \mathbf{0}; \ \mathrm{Lt}_{\mathbf{r}! \ \mathbf{x}_{1}} \mathfrak{G} ! \ \mathbf{0}$$
(65)

and $G_1(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{r}) = G_1(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{r})$. The trace of this G reen's function (Sukum ar 1990 [3]) is related to the spectrum of ∇_1 by

$$\overset{Z}{\underset{K_{0}}{\cong}} G_{1}(\mathbf{r};\mathbf{r}) d\mathbf{r} = \frac{1}{W} \xrightarrow{Z_{x_{1}}}_{K_{0}} \frac{d\mathbf{r}}{\frac{2}{n}} \xrightarrow{Z_{r}}_{R_{0}} \xrightarrow{Z_{r}}_{n} dy \xrightarrow{Z_{n}}_{x_{1}} \frac{d\mathbf{r}}{\frac{2}{n}} dz = \overset{X}{\underset{j \in I}{\cong}} \frac{1}{\frac{1}{E_{n} E_{j}}} :$$
(66)

Using eqs. (60), (61), (64) and (66) it may be shown that

$$\frac{X}{e^{j \in n}} \frac{1}{E_{n} E_{j}} \sum_{\substack{K_{0} \in K_{0} \\ K_{0} \in K_{0}}} \sum_{\substack{n \\ r_{j} \in I_{n}}} \sum_{\substack{n \\ r_{j} \in I_{n}$$

expressing the trace of the G reen's function for the Supersymmetric partner potential V_1 with the energy spectrum $(E_j; j = 2; 3; ::)$ in term softwo-particle densities in the potential V with the energy spectrum $(E_j; j = 1; 2; :::)$.

We conclude by reiterating that the sum rules expressed in eqs. (11), (12), (15) and (16) must be satis ed at all the nodes and extrem a of the bound state eigenfunctions of con ning potentials in 1-dimension. Also the sum rule in eq. (23) for con ning potentials is a key result derived in this paper. As noted before it is possible to extend the sum rule to potentials which have scattering states by the addition of an additional integral to include the contribution from the scattering states in addition to the contribution from the discrete states which are included in eq. (23). We have focussed attention on con ning potentials because of the existence of exactly solvable problem s for which the sum rules can be explicitly verified. We have interpreted one of the sum rules using the notion of two particle densities and established a connection with the trace of the G reen's function of a Super Symmetric partner in Super Symmetric Q uantum M echanics.

5 R eferences

1. Morse P. and Feshbach H. 1953 Methods of Theoretical Physics (New York: McG raw-Hill) Vol1, 791-811.

2. Berry M .V. 1986 J.Phys. A: Math. Gen. 19 2281.

3. Sukum ar C .V . 1990 Am . J. Phys. 58 561.

4. Voros A. 2000 J.P.hys A: Math. Gen. 33 7423.

5. Bender C M . and Boettcher S. 1998 Phys. Rev. Let. 80 5243.

6. Mezincescu G A. 2000 J.Phys. A: Math. Gen. 33 4911.

7. Bender C M . and W ang Q . 2001 J.P.hys. A: M ath. Gen. 34 3325.

8. Gradshteyn I.S. and Ryzhik IM . 1965 Tables of Integrals, Series and Products (New York: A cadem ic) 38.

9. Pauling L. and W ilson E.B. 1935 Introduction to Quantum Mechanics (New York: McGraw-Hill) 80.

10 A bram ow itz M and Stegun IA.1965 H andbook of M athem atical Functions (New York: D over) 777.

11 Sukum ar C V . 1985 J P hys A : M ath. G en. 18 2917.