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#### Abstract

W e describe tw $\circ$ BQ P-com plete problem s conceming properties of sparse graphs having a certain sym $m$ etry. T he graphs are speci ed by e ciently com putable functions which output the adjacent vertices for each vertex. Let $i$ and $j$ be two given vertioes. The rst problem consists in estim ating the di erence betw een the num ber of paths of length $m$ from $j$ to $j$ and those which from $i$ to $j$, where $m$ is polylogarithm ic in the num ber of vertices. T he scale of the estim ation accuracy is speci ed by som e a priori know n upper bound on the grow th of these di erences $w$ ith increasing $m$. The problem rem ains B Q P hard for regular graphs w th degree 4.

The second problem is related to continuoustim e classical random walks. The walk starts at som e vertex $j$. The prom ise is that the difference of the probabilities of being at $j$ and at $i$, respectively, decays


[^0]with $O(\exp (t))$ for som e $>0$. The problem is to decide whether this di erence is greater than $a \exp (\mathrm{~T}$ ) or sm aller than bexp ( T ) after som e tim e instant $T$, where $T$ is polylogarithm ic and the di erence $a \quad b$ is inverse polylogarithm ic in the num ber of vertices. Since the probabilities di er only by an exponentially sm allam ount, an exponential num ber of trials would be necessary if one tried to answer this question by running the walk itself.

A m odi cation of this problem, asking whether there exists a pair of nodes forwhich the probability di erence is at least a $\exp (\mathrm{T})$, is Q CM A -com plete.

## 1 Introduction

A though it is com m only believed that quantum com puters would enable us to solve several $m$ athem atical problem smore e ciently than classical com puters, it is di cult to characterize the class of problems for which this is expected to be the case. T he class ofproblem swhich can be solved e ciently on a classical com puter by a probabilistic algorithm is the com plexity class BPP. T he quantum countenpart of this com plexity class is BQP, the class of problem s that can be solved e ciently on a quantum com puterw ith bounded error. T hus, the exact di erence betw een the com plexity classes BPP and BQP rem ains to be understood.

A $n$ im portant way of understanding a complexity class is to nd problem swhich are com plete for the latter. M eanw hile, som e exam ples of BQ P com plete problem s are known $\left[\begin{array}{l}1,1 \\ \hline\end{array}\right.$ the ideas of $\left[{ }_{1}^{1},\right]$ are cnucial. W e rephrase the $m$ ain idea and proof of this article since they provide the basis for the present work. T he problem is stated
 sparse if it has no more than $s=p o l y l o g(\mathbb{N})$ non-zero entries in each row and there is an e ciently com putable function which speci es for a given row the non-zero entries and their positions.

W e have form ulated a decision problem conceming the estim ation of the jth diagonalentry of them th pow er ofA and proved that it is B Q P -om plete. The proof that this problem is B Q P hard as well as the proof that it is in BQP both rely on the follow ing observation. The jth diagonal entry of the $m$ th power is the $m$ th statisticalm om ent of the probability distribution of outcom es when a m easurem ent of the \observable" A is applied to the jth basis vector. Thus, the BQP-com plete problem consists in estim ating
the probabilities of the outcom es in an A m easurem ent. M oreover, we have show in ["] [hat the problem rem ains $B Q P$ hard if it is restricted to $m$ atrices w ith entries $1 ; 0$.

It is not surprising that questions related to decom positions of vectors $w$ th respect to eigenspaces of large $m$ atrioes lead to hard com putational problem s and that quantum com puter could be m ore powerful in dealing w ith such problem s. A fter all, the dynam ics of a quantum system is determ ined by the spectral resolution of its initial state. In this context, the interesting questions and challenges are to determ ine to what extent it is possible to identify natural problem s related to spectral resolutions which are not $m$ ere reform ulations of questions about the dynam ical behavior of quantum system s.

C alculating spectral decom positions w ith respect to large real sym m etric $m$ atrices is certainly not a problem which only occurs in quantum theory. For exam ple, $m$ ethods in data analysis, $m$ achine leaming, and signal processing rely strongly on the e cient solution of the principle com ponent analysis [9].]. H ow ever, data $m$ atrioes typically occurring in these applications are in general not sparse and, even worse, their entries are usually not speci ed by an e ciently com putable function. The data are rather given by observations.

In our opinion, it is an interesting challenge to construct $B Q P$-problem s conceming spectra of sparse $m$ atrioes having only 0 and 1 as entries since problem s of this kind are related to com binatorial problem s in graph theory. It is quite natural to assum e that a graph is sparse in the above sense; the e ciently com putable function specifying the non-zero entries in each row is then a function which describes the set of neighbors of a given vertex and it is assum ed that the number of neighbors is only polylogarithm ic in the num ber of nodes.

The paper is organized as follow s. In Section 'ī1 we present a quantum algorithm for $m$ easuring functions of observables. This algorithm uses the quantum phase estim ation algorithm as a subroutine. W e use this algorithm to show that the problem s \D iagonalE ntry Estim ation" (Section $\left.{ }_{-1 / 3}^{-1}\right)$, \D i erence of N um ber ofP aths" (Section ${ }_{-1, \overline{4}}^{1}$ ), and $\backslash \mathrm{D}$ ecay ofP robability D i erences" (Section ('5-1) are all in BQP. Even though the rst problem was already described in $\left[{ }_{-1}^{5}\right]$ w w have rst to extend these results in Section $i_{-1}^{13}$ since the proofs for the $B Q P$-com pleteness of the other two problem s are based on such an extension. In Section ${ }_{-1 / \overline{4}}^{1 /}$ we present a BQ P-com plete problem which (at rst) strongly resembles the problem \D iagonalentry Estim ation". The im portant di erence is that it deals w ith adjacency m atrioes (that is, 0-1 m atrioes)
instead of $m$ atrices $w$ th entries $1 ; 0 . W$ e describe how the problem is related to $m$ ixing properties of a classical random walk with discrete tim e. In Section' erties of continuoustim e classical random walks on regular sparse graphs. In Section' '-̨ we describe a m odi cation of the m ixing problem which is Q CM A com plete.

## 2 M easuring continuous functions of observables

Before we explain what it $m$ eans to $m$ easure functions of observables we have to introduce som e term inology. The spectral m easure induced by a self-adjoint $N \quad N$ m atrix $A$ and a state vector jii $2 \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{N}}$ is de ned as the $m$ easure on $R$ supported by the set of eigenvalues $j$ ofA $w$ ith probabilities $p_{j}:=h Q_{j} j$ i, where $Q_{j}$ is the spectral projection corresponding to $j$.

Let $f: R!R$ be a function. The spectralm easure induced by $f(A)$ and $j i$ is then them easure on $R$ supported by the values $f(j) w$ th probabilities $p_{j}$, where $p_{j}$ and $j$ are as above.

W e de ne $m$ easuring a function $f$ of an observable $B$ in the state $j$ i as a quantum process which allow sus to sam ple from a probability distribution that coincides $w$ th the spectralm easure induced by $f(B)$ and $j$ i. N ote that when $f$ is the identity function, then this corresponds what is considered to be a von- N eum ann $m$ easurem ent of an observable in quantum mechanics. A $s$ in [][] the $m$ ain tool for im plem enting such $m$ easurem ents is the quantum phase estim ation algorithm tī] 1 . The idea is that for each observable $B$ w th $\mathrm{kBk}<\quad$ we can implem ent the $m$ easurem ent of $B$ by applying quantum phase estim ation to the unitary $\exp (i B)$, i.e., the $m$ ap that describes the corresponding dynam ics according to the $H$ am iltonian B. For the class of self-adjoint operators considered here an e cient sim ulation of the dynam ics
 to a given state vector $j$ i we can sam ple from the spectralm easure induced by $B$ and $j$ i.

The $m$ ain statem ent of this section is that we can also sam ple from the spectralm easure induced by $f(B)$ since we can im plem ent such von $-N$ eum ann $m$ easurem ents of $B$ and then apply $f$ to the outcom es. H ence, no im plem entation of $\exp (i f(B)$ ) is needed (this is im portant because, for sparse $B$, the
$m$ atrix $f(B)$ will in general not be sparse and therefore it is not clear how to sim ulate the corresponding dynam ics). In particular, we can estim ate the expectation value of a given $f w$ ith respect to the spectralm easure. How ever, for our proofs it is essential how the accuracy behaves when certain $s m$ oothness assum ptions are posed on $f$. This is $m$ ade precise in Lem $m$ a'극.

But rst we have to recallhow quantum phase estim ation works tion. W e add a p-qubit ancilla register to the $n$ qubits on which $V:=\exp (i B)$ acts. Then we replace the circuit im plem enting $V$ w ith a controlled $V$ gate by replacing each elem entary gate w ith its controlled analogue (note that this cannot be done in a black-box setting). The ancilla register is initialized to the equally weighted positive supenposition of allbinary words, then we use copies of the controlled gate to apply the $2^{j}$ th power of $V$ to the $n$ qubits if the jth ancilla qubit is in the state ji. Finally, we apply the inverse Fourier transform to the ancilla register and $m$ easure the ancillas in the com putationalbasis. G iven that the $n$-qubit register $w$ as in an eigenstate of $V$ w th eigenvalue $\exp \left(\mathrm{i}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}\right.$ ) the obtained binary word a 2 f0; $1 ;::: 2^{p} 1 \mathrm{~g}$ provides a good estim ation for 2 ' in the follow ing sense:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Pr}\left(\mathcal{j}^{\prime} \quad a=2^{p} j<\quad\right)>1 \quad ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ; > 0 and the num ber of ancillas is given by '[1] $]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
p:=d \log (1=) e+d \log 2+(1=(2) e: \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, the distance $j \quad a=2^{p} j$ is to be understood w ith respect to the cyclic topology of the unit circle which identi es ${ }^{\prime}=1 \mathrm{w}$ ith ${ }^{\prime}=0$. In contrast to the convention in $\left[1 \overline{1} 1 i_{1}\right]$ we reintenpret throughout the paper a as phases x in the interval [ ; ) by de ning $x:=a 2=2^{p}$ ifa $2^{p 1}$ and $x:=a 2=2^{p} \quad 2$ otherw ise. $W$ e referto $x$ as the outcom e of the m easurem ent procedure. Then we have:

## Lem mall (C ontinuous Functional C alculus)

G iven a self-adjoint n-qubit operator $B$ with $k B k \quad 1$ and a quantum circuit U with $\mathrm{kU} \exp (\mathrm{iB}) \mathrm{k}$ for som e $>0$ such that the decom position of $U$ into elem entary gates is known. Let $j$ i be an n-qubit state whose decom position into $B$-eigenvectors contains only eigenvectors whose eigenvalues are in the closed (but possibly in nite) interval I. Let f:I! R be a Lipschitz continuous function with constant $K$, i.e., ff (x) $f(y) j$ j $y$ j $k$ for all $x ; y 2 I$.

First，we apply the phase estim ation（with unitary U）to $j i$ ，where the num ber p of ancilla qubits is given by eq．（2，）．N ext，we apply $f$ to the outcom e x if x 2 I，otherw ise we replace x by the value in I closest to it and apply $f$ to the latter．The expectation value of the random variable $f(X)$ in this experim ent，denoted by $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{j} i}(\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{X}))$ ，satis es

$$
\Psi_{j i}(f(X)) h \text { f }(B) j i j<\left(2+2^{+1}\right) \mathrm{kfk}_{1}+2 \mathrm{~K} \text {; }
$$

where ；and p are related to each other as in eq．＇（T）and $k f \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{i}}$ denotes the norm given by the suprem um of ff $(x) j$ ．

Proof：We rst assum e we could im plem ent $V=\exp (i B)$ instead of its approxim ation $U$ ．In this case，ifwe apply phase estim ation to an eigenvector $j j^{i}$ of B with eigenvalue $j$ ，then the outcomex is likely to be close to $j$ in the sense that

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(j_{j} \quad x j<2 \quad\right)>1 \quad:
$$

This follows from ineq．（ ${ }^{1} 1$ ）and the fact that the values $a=2^{p}$ have to be rescaled by 2 ．We conclude

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}_{j_{j i}}(f(X)) \quad f\left({ }_{j}\right) j 2 \mathrm{kfk}_{1}+2 \mathrm{~K}: \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second term on the right of ineq．（3），corresponds to the case that x deviates from $j$ by at $m$ ost 2 （note that the probability for this event is not decreased by replacing all outcom es lying outside from I w ith the closest values in I）．Then the Lipschitz condition ensures that the error of $f(x)$ is sm all．If the error of $x$ is large（which happens w ith probability at most ），the error of $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})$ is still upper bounded by $2 \mathrm{kf} \mathrm{k}_{1}$ ．If we replace the eigenvectors $j{ }_{j} i$ with som e vector $j i={ }_{j} C_{j} j{ }_{j} i$ ，the distribution of outcom es in the phase estim ation procedure is a $m$ ixture of the distributions for each $j j_{j}$ with weights $j_{j} j$ ．This is easily checked by analyzing the standard phase estim ation．Thus we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ji}}(\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{X})) \mathrm{h} \text { 迁 }(\mathrm{B}) \mathrm{j} \mathrm{ij} 2 \mathrm{kfk}_{1}+2 \mathrm{~K} \quad: \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

from ineq．（3⿹勹巳一）by convexity argum ents．
Now we take into account that we have only an approxim ation $U$ of V．Since the procedure uses $2^{\mathrm{p}+1} 1$ copies of the controlled－U respective，
controlled $-V$, the norm distance betw een the phase estim ation circuits is less than $2^{p+1}$. Let $q(a)$ and $q(a)$ denote the probability of obtaining the result a $w$ hen the phase estim ation is im plem ented $w$ ith $U$ and $V$, respectively. T hen the $l^{1}$-distance betw een the $m$ easures $q$ and $q$ satis es (for technical details see [5] [1]

$$
\mathrm{kq} \quad \mathrm{qk}_{1}:={\underset{\mathrm{a}}{\mathrm{X}}(\mathrm{a}) \quad \mathrm{q}(\mathrm{a}) j}^{\mathrm{j}} 2^{\mathrm{p}+2} \quad:
$$

T he error in the expectation value of $f(X)$ caused by applying $f$ to outcom es $x$ com puted from a when $a$ is sam pled according to of instead of $q$ is hence less than $2^{\mathrm{p}+2} \quad \mathrm{kfk}_{1}$.

Putting everything together we obtain the desired bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Xi_{j i}(f(X)) h \text { ff }(B) j i j<\left(2+2^{p+2}\right) k f k_{1}+2 k: \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since we have show that the expectation value of the values $f(x)$ in the procedure in Lemm a 'i-1 is close to the expectation value h f (B) j i we can use the average over the values $f(x)$ after a sm all num ber of runs as a good estim ate for the desired expectation value. $T$ he follow ing lem $m$ a states that we obtain an e cient procedure for giving an estim ation up to any desired accuracy which is inverse polynom ial in $n$.

Lem ma2 (Estim ation of Expectation Values)
G iven an e cient algorithm to sim ulate $\exp (i B)$ on $n$ qubits $w$ ith $k B k \quad 1$ in the sense that the resources to obtain a circuit U with $\mathrm{kU} \exp (i \mathrm{~B}) \mathrm{k}$ scale polynom ially in $n$ and $1=$. Given a state $j$ i whose decom position into B -eigenvectors contains only those with eigenvalues in the interval I. Let $f$ be as in Lemma ${\underset{1}{1}}_{1}^{1}$. Then we can estim ate $h$ if ( $B$ ) $j$ i up to an error ( $\mathrm{kf}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{k}+\mathrm{K}$ ) with probability at least 1 such that the time and space resources are bounded by a polynom ial in $n, 1=$, and $\log (1=)$.

P roof: The em pirical average of the values $f(x)$ obtained after a few runs converges exponentially fast to the expectation value $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{i}(\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{X}))$. U sing H oe ding'sbound $\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[2]}\end{array}\right]$ and the fact that $f(X)$ is a random variablew ith bounded range (for details qp. also [5] [1]) one can easily show that the required num ber of runs for estim ating then expectation value up to an accuracy scales inverse polynom ial in and polylogarithm ically in 1= when this error should be guaranteed w ith probability 1 .

It rem ains to bound the resouroes required to ensure that

$$
\Psi_{j i}(\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{X})) \quad \text { h } \dot{\mathbb{F}}(\mathrm{B}) \mathrm{j} \text { ij}<\quad\left(k f_{1} k+K\right):
$$

Taking into account that the number of required ancilla qubits $p$ is only
 bounded by $\left(k f k_{1}+K\right)$ tim es a polynom ialin $1=, 1=, 1=$ and $n$.

In order to $m$ ake the overall error sm aller than ( $\mathfrak{f}(I) j+K$ ) we ensure that each of the three term $\mathrm{s} 2 \mathrm{kfk}, 2^{\mathrm{p}+1} \mathrm{kfk}$, and 2 K on the ms. of ineq. (

To this end, we choose $==(6)$ and $:=6$. Putting these values into eq. (느) we obtain the num ber of required ancilla qubits p. W e choose
such that $\mathbb{Z}^{+2}=3$. N ote that 2 is polynom ial in $1=$, so that $1=$ is polynom ial in $1=$ and $n$. The num ber of controlled $U$ circuits is a multiple of $2^{p}$ and, thus, only polynom ial in $1=$.

Lem mans show sthat there is an e cient quantum algorithm form easuring functions ofobservables provided that the dem anded accuracy is not too high. In the follow ing sections we introduce several problem s and prove that they are BQP-com plete. The proofs that they are in BQP rely on the quantum algorithm form easuring functions of observables.

## 3 D iagonal Entry Estim ation

In this section we extend the results of [5] [1] saying that the estim ation of diagonalentries of $A^{m}$ for a sparse $m$ atrix $w$ ith entries $1 ; 0$ is B Q P -com plete. $H$ ere we argue that the construction in [5] shows also that the problem re$m$ ains B Q P hard ifw hen further restricting to $m$ atriges w ith only 4 non-zero entries. $T$ his is $m$ ade precise in Lem $m$ a $13-1$.

Furtherm ore, Section ${ }_{2} \mathrm{~m}$ akes it possible to provide a unifying picture of
 three problem s w e use spectralm easures of functions of observables to show that they are in BQP.
$W$ e rst state the form alde nition of the decision problem related to the estim ation of the diagonal entries of pow ers of sparse $m$ atriges.

De nition 1 (D iagonalEntry Estim ation)
G iven a sparse symmetric $N \quad N$ matrix A with real entries, an integer
j2 f1；：：：； N g，and a positive integerm＝polylog $(\mathbb{N})$ ，estim ate the diagonal entry $\left(A^{m}\right)_{j j}$ in the follow ing sense：

D ecide if either

$$
\left(\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{m}}\right)_{j j} \quad \mathrm{~g}+\mathrm{b}
$$

or

$$
\left(\mathbb{A}^{m}\right)_{j j} \quad g \quad b^{m} ;
$$

for given $g 2\left[\mathrm{~b}^{\mathrm{m}} ; \mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{m}}\right]$ and $=1=\mathrm{poly} \log (\mathbb{N})$ ，where b is an a priori known upper bound on the operator norm of A．

W e showed in［⿹勹⿺𠃊⿻丷木斤］：
Theorem 1 The problem＂D iagonal Entry Estim ation＂is BQP－com plete． $T$ his rem ains true if the $m$ atrices A have only 1；0 as entries．

W e recall the form alde nition of the com plexity class BQP［13in］．
De nition 2 （The class BQP）
A language L is in BQP if and only if there is a uniform ly generated fam ily of quantum circuits $Y_{r}$ acting on poly（ $r$ ）qubits that decide if a string $x$ of length $r$ is contained in $L$ in the follow ing sense：

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{r} \dot{j} ; 0 i=x ; 0 j 0 i \quad j_{x ; 0} i+{ }_{x ; 1} \mathcal{j} i \quad j_{x ; 1} i \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that
1． $\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{x} ; 1} \mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{f}} \quad 2=3$ if x 2 L and
2． $\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{x} ; 1}{ }^{\mathrm{J}} \quad 1=3$ if x 无 L 。
Equation（ $(\underset{-1}{)})$ has to be read as folbws．The input string $x$ determ ines the rst $r$ bits．Furtherm ore， 1 additional ancilla bits are initialized to 0 ．A fter $Y_{r}$ has been applied we interpret the rst qubit as the relevant output and the rem aining $r+11$ output values are irrelevant．The size of the ancilla register is polynom ial in $r$ ．

To show that $\backslash \mathrm{D}$ iagonalEntry Estim ation＂is in BQP we sim ply apply the quantum algorithm form easuring functions of the observable $A=b$ to the state $\bar{j} i$ ，and the function $f:[1 ; 1]!R$ with $f(x):=x^{m}$ ．Then we can use


Figure 1: C ircuit $U$ constructed from the originalcircuit $Y_{r}$. W henever the answ er of the BQP problem is no, the output state of $U$ is close to the input state $\bar{x} ; 0 i$ $\dot{x}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}} ; 0$;:::;0i. O therw ise, the state $\dot{\mathrm{x}} ; \mathrm{Oi}$ is only restored after applying $U$ tw ioe.

Lemma $\underset{2}{\underset{2}{2}}$ to show that we can e ciently estim ate hjخA m jii w ith su cient accuracy. To see this, we observe that the Lipschitz-constant $K$ satis es $\mathrm{K} \quad \mathrm{m}$ and we have $\mathrm{kfk}_{1}=1$. Hence we can e ciently estim ate the diagonalentries of $(\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{b})^{m}$ up to $(m+1)$ for any desired inverse polynom ial

- W th ${ }^{0}:=(m+1)$ we have an accuracy ${ }^{0}$. Thus, we may achieve an accuracy of ${ }^{0} b^{m}$ for the diagonal entries of $A{ }^{m}$ for any desired inverse polynom ial ${ }^{0}$. By De nition $\underset{\underline{1}}{\mathbf{1}}$, this is su cient to solve \D iagonal Entry Estim ation".

The idea of our proof of the B Q P -hardness is based on an encoding of the quantum circuit which solves a given BQP-problem into a self-adjoint operator A such that the spectralm easure induced by A provides the inform ation on the solution. To this end, we assum e that we are given a quantum circuit $Y_{r}$ which decides whether a string $x$ is in the given language $L$ in the sense of D e nition $\underline{L}_{1}$.
 $Y_{r}$ as follow $s$ : nst apply the circuit $Y_{r}$, then apply the $z$-gate on the output qubit, and nally apply the circuit $Y_{r}^{Y}$. The resulting circuit $U$ is shown in $F$ ig. $\mathrm{I}_{1}^{1} . \mathrm{W}$ e denote the dim ension of the H ibert space U acts on by $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{T}}$.

Let $U$ be generated by a concatenation of the $M$ elem entary gates

$$
\mathrm{U}_{0} ;:::: ; \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{M}} \quad 1:
$$

W e assum e furtherm ore that $M$ is odd, which is autom atically satis ed ifwe decom pose $Y_{r}^{Y}$ in analogy to $Y_{r}$ and implem ent $a{ }_{z}$-gate betw een $Y_{r}$ and $Y_{r}^{Y}$. W e de ne the unitary

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{W}:{\underset{\mathrm{X}}{\mathrm{X}=0}}_{1}^{\mathrm{X}}+1 \mathrm{ihlj} \mathrm{U}_{1} ; \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

acting on $C^{M} \quad C^{N}$. Here the + sign in the index has alw ays to be read m odulo M .

N ow we de ne the self-adjjint operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
A:=\frac{1}{2}\left(W+W^{y}\right): \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we apply an A m easurem ent to the state

$$
\dot{\mathcal{j}}_{x} i=j 0 i \quad \dot{x} ; 0 i:
$$

Since $j_{x} i$ is a basis state, we can choose $j$ such that $j i=j_{j} i$. To understand why $j 0 j$ in uences the measurem ent statistics it is useful to consider the extrem e cases $j_{1} j=0 ; 1$. One $m$ ay check that the repeated application of W to $\mathrm{j} i \mathrm{i}$ leads for $0=0$ to an orbit (ofm utually orthogonal states) that is periodic after $M$ steps. The spectralm easure induced by $\bar{j} i$ and $W$ is hence the uniform distribution over the $M$ th roots of unity. For $j_{1} j=1$ the orbit is $M$-periodic up to the phase 1. This leads to a uniform distribution over the roots of unity re ected at the in aginary axis. A s shown in [b్l in detail, the spectralm easure induced by $\bar{j} i$ and $A$ depends therefore on $0 ; 1$ in the follow ing way:

T he spectralm easure is the convex sum

$$
\begin{equation*}
P:=j_{0} J^{2} P^{(0)}+j_{1} J^{2} P^{(1)} ; \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P^{(0)}$ is supported by the values ${ }_{1}^{(0)}:=\cos (2 \quad \mathrm{MM})$ w ith probabilities $P_{1}^{(0)}=1=\mathrm{M}$ for $l=0$ and $P_{1}^{(0)}=2=M$ for $l=1 ;::: ; M \quad 1=2$. Them easure $P^{(1)}$ is obtained from $P^{(0)}$ by a re ection at the origin and is hence supported by the values ${ }_{1}^{(1)}:=\cos ((21+1)=\mathrm{M})$ with probabilities $\left.P_{M}^{(1)} \quad 1\right)=2=1=\mathrm{M}$ and $P_{1}^{(1)}=2=M$ for $l<(M \quad 1)=2$. The $m$ easure $P$ can also be $w$ ritten as X

$$
\begin{equation*}
P():=\sum_{1}^{X}\left(j_{0} J_{i}^{\mathcal{J}} ;{ }_{1}^{(0)} P_{1}^{(0)}+j_{1} J_{1}^{(1)} P_{1}^{(1)}\right): \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we obtain the jth diagonal entry of $A^{m}$ as the $m$ th $m$ om ent of the $m$ easure $P$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(A^{m}\right)_{j j} & =\mathrm{X}{ }^{m} P() \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & \left.j_{1} f\right)^{X} & 1_{1}^{(0)}{ }^{m} P_{1}^{(0)}+j_{1} f^{X} \quad{ }_{1}^{(1)}{ }^{m} P_{1}^{(1)}:
\end{array}\right. \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

Since the possible range of $j_{1} j$ is determ ined by the solution of the decision problem the latter also determ ines the range of the possible values for $\left(A^{m}\right)_{j j}$. O ne checks easily that $\left(A^{m}\right)_{j j}$ changes su ciently when changing $j 1 j$ i.e, an estim ation of $\left(A^{m}\right)_{j j} m$ akes it possible to decide whether $\times 2 \mathrm{~L}$.

To se that the proof of $B P$ hardness works also $w$ ith $m$ atrioes having only 1;0 as entries wem ake the follow ing observation. H adam ard-gates and
 containing only H adam ard gates and gates which are concatenations of one H adam ard gate with a To oli gate. Then the above unitaries $U_{1}$ have only $1=\overline{2}$ as entries. Since the decision problem D iagonal Entry Estim ation is form ulated in a scale invariant way, we m ay rescale A by 2 and obtain only entries 1;0.

In the follow ing we w ill need the follow ing extension of the results in [it]:
Lem m a 3 The problem D iagonalE ntry E stim ation rem ains BQP hard if A contains only entries 1;0 and the num ber of non-zero entries in each row of $A$ is 4 .

The proof is obvious after observing that A as constructed above has exactly 4 nonzero entries in each colum $n$ and, sim ilarly, in each row. Each gate $U_{1}$ has exactly tw o non-zero entries since it is the em bedding of either a H adam ard gate or a H adam ard gate combined w th a To oli gate. O ne checks easily that $W$ has also two non-zero entries in each row leading to four entries in $W+W{ }^{y}$.

## 4 D i erence of $N$ um bers of $P$ aths

In this section we show that a m odi ed version ofD iagonalEntry Estim ation is still $B Q P$-com plete $w$ hen restricting to $m$ atrix $w$ ith entries $1 ; 0$. Thism akes the problem $m$ ore com binatorial than the original one. In other words, we introduce a problem conceming regular sparse graphs and show that it is BQP-com plete. A graph $G$ is called regular if the degrees of all its vertices are equal. W e call $G$ sparse if its adjacency $m$ atrix $\not A^{\text {in }}$ is sparse, i.e., for each vertex the num ber of adjacent vertioes is polylogarithm ic in the num ber of nodes and there is an e ciently com putable function that com putes the set of adjacent vertices for each vertex. W e de ne the follow ing problem :

Denition 3 ( $D$ i erence of $N$ um bers of $P$ aths)
Let $\AA$ be the adjacency $m$ atrix of a regular sparse graph $G$ on $N$ vertices and $m$ a positive integer $m=p o l y \log (\mathbb{N})$. Let $q$ and $r$ be two vertices such that there exists an autom onphism of $G$ which exchanges $q$ and $r$. Set

$$
{ }_{\mathrm{q} r}^{(m)}:=\left(\mathbb{A}^{\sim m}\right)_{\mathrm{qq}}\left(\mathbb{A}^{m}\right)_{\mathrm{qr}}:
$$

D ecide if either

$$
\underset{\mathrm{qr}}{(\mathrm{~m})} \mathrm{g}+\mathrm{b}
$$

or
$\underset{q r}{(m)} \quad \mathrm{g} \quad \mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{m}}$;
for given $g 2\left[b^{m} ; b^{m}\right]$ and $=1=p o l y \log (\mathbb{N})$, where $b$ is an a priori known upper bound on

$$
\sup _{\mathrm{n}} j_{\mathrm{qr}}^{(n)} \mathrm{j}^{\mathrm{j}=\mathrm{n}}:
$$

Theorem 2 Theproblem \Di erence ofN um bers ofP aths" is B Q P-com plete.
W e prove this theorem by show ing that this problem is BQP hard and is contained in BQP. The proof of BQP hardness is based on a reduction to the problem \D iagonalEntry Estim ation". An e cient quantum algorithm for estim ating the di erence of num ber of paths is obtained by applying the algorithm form easuring fiunctions of observables.

## 4.1 \D i erence of $N$ um bers of $P$ aths" is B Q P hard

W e show that \D iagonalE ntry Estim ation" reduces to $\backslash \mathrm{D}$ i erence of N um ber of Paths". Let A be an N N m atrix w ith entries 1;0 as in De nition The reduction relies on the conversion of A into a 0-1 m atrix $\not \approx \sim$ acting on a space of dim ension $D:=2 \mathrm{~N}$ such that the restriction of $\not \approx \sim$ to som e invariant N -dim ensional subspace is unitarily equivalent to $A$.

The conversion works as follow s. Each 1 in A is replaced by the P auli$m$ atrix $x$ and each 1 by the identity $m$ atrix $1_{2}={ }_{x}^{2}$. Each 0 is replaced by the zero m atrix $\mathrm{O}_{2}$. M ore form ally, the $1-0 \mathrm{~m}$ atrix
is converted to the 0-1-m atrix
where ${ }_{\mathrm{ij}}:=\mathrm{x}$ if $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij}}=1 \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{ij}}:=1_{2}$ if $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij}}=1$, and ${ }_{\mathrm{ij}}:=0_{2}$ if $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij}}=0$.
W e now prove that the restriction of $\not \approx$ to som e $N$-dim ensional subspace is unitarily equivalent to A . To this end, we start by introducing the vectors

$$
j \quad i:=P_{\overline{2}}^{1}\left(j 0 i \quad \text { jli) } 2 C^{2}:\right.
$$

O n the subspace spanned by j i the matrices ij act by multiplying the vectors by the scalars $a_{i j}$, i.e.,

$$
{ }_{i j} j \quad i=a_{i j} j \quad i:
$$

Sim ilarly, on the subspace spanned by $j^{+} i$ they act by m ultiplying the vectors by the scalars $\dot{a}_{i j} j=a_{i j}^{2}$, i.e.,

$$
{ }_{i j} j^{+} i=a_{i j}^{2} j^{+} i:
$$

C onsequently, we have the direct sum decom position

$$
A=j \text { ih } j A+j^{+} i h^{+} j \quad(A \quad A) ;
$$

where A A is the H adam ard product (or entry-w ise product) ofA w ith itself and has therefore only $0 ; 1$ as entries. In the follow ing, it w ill be im portant that $\not \approx$ is invariant under conjugation with $x \quad 1_{N}$. This invariance follows directly from the direct sum decom position and the fact the vectors $j i$ are eigenvectors of x w th corresponding eigenvahes 1 .

A ssum e we want to estim ate $\left(A^{m}\right)_{j j}$. Let j$j i$ be the $j$ th basis vector in $C^{N}$. Then we de ne vectors in $C^{2} \quad C^{N} \quad C^{2 N}$ by $j \quad i:=j i \quad j i$,
 and $r$, respectively. W e have then $j \quad i=(\dot{j} i+j r i)=\overline{2}$. N ote that the autom onphism x 1 exchanges the vertices $q$ and $r$. We reproduce diagonal entries of $A^{m}$ from both diagonal and o -diagonalentries of $\AA^{\sim m}$ as follow $s$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\left(\mathbb{A}^{\sim}\right)_{\mathrm{qq}} \quad\left(\mathbb{A}^{\sim}\right)_{\mathrm{qr}}={\underset{\mathrm{qr}}{(m)}: ~}_{\text {(m }} \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

The second equality follow sfrom the fact that the space $j$ i $C^{N}$ is $\AA$ invariant. T hus, only the com ponent of jpi lying in this subspace is relevant.

The term $s$ in eq. (İ-í) correspond to the num ber of paths of length $m$ from $q$ to $q$ and from $q$ to $r$, respectively. In other words, the problem of estim ating diagonalentries of $\mathrm{A}^{m}$ reduces to estim ating the num ber of paths of length $m$ from $q$ to $q$ and $q$ to $r$ in the graph de ned by $\mathbb{A}$, where $q$ and $r$ have to be chosen suitably.

Taking into account that also o -diagonal entries of powers of sparse m atrices can be estim ated e ciently $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{b}}^{\mathrm{Z}}$, it seem s as ifwe had already shown that estim ating entries of pow ers of adjacency m atrioes is BQP-com plete. H ow ever, this is not the case. There is a subtle but very im portant issue conceming the precision of this estim ation. The accuracy in De nition ${ }_{-1}^{1}$ is given by $b$, where $b$ is an a priori known bound on the operator nom of A. In general, this bound will not be a bound on the norm of $\mathcal{A}$. U sing the direct sum decom position of $\AA$, we see that the norm of $\AA$ is equal to $m$ axfkA $k ; k A \quad A k g$ and is sm aller orequaltomaxfb;Bg askA Ak kA Ak [1] speci ed in term sof the norm of $\mathfrak{A}$, then these values are not good enough to obtain a su ciently precise estim ate of the diagonal entry $\left(A^{m}\right)_{j j}$. A ssum e for instance, that $c k A k=k A \wedge k$ for som e constant $c>1$. Then the entries of $A$ can only be estim ated w th an accuracy that is an inverse polynom ial $m$ ultiple of $\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{kA} \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{m}}$, which is exponentially w orse than the required accuracy
$k A{ }^{m}$. On the other hand, the operator nom $k A k$ of $A$ is not a natural quantity to de ne the required accuracy of the estim ation of the number of paths in $\mathbb{A}$.

For these reasons the estim ation accuracy of the decision problem \D ifference of $N$ um bers ofP aths" is not (and should not be) form ulated in term $s$ of the norm of $\not \approx$. Instead, it is speci ed in term s of a quantity which is su ciently sm all, $m$ aking it possible to reduce D iagonal Entry Estim ation to the form er. For doing so, we recall that for each n 2 N the nth diagonal entry of $A^{n}$ can be expressed in term sof ${ }_{q r}^{(n)}$ due to

$$
\left(\mathbb{A}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)_{\mathrm{jj}}={ }_{\mathrm{qr}}^{(\mathrm{n})}:
$$

W e have therefore

$$
\sup _{n 2 N} j{ }_{q r}^{(n)} j=\sup _{n 2 N} \nrightarrow \quad \overbrace{3} A^{n} j \quad i j=\sup _{n 2 N} j\left(A^{n}\right)_{j j} j \quad k A k^{n}:
$$

$T$ his proves that if we can solve $\backslash \mathrm{D}$ i erence of $N$ um ber of $P$ aths" then we can also decide between the two cases in De nition ${ }_{\underline{1}}^{1} \mathrm{w}$ w ith $\mathrm{b}:=\mathrm{kAk}$.

It rem ains to show that $\mathbb{A}$ is the adjacency $m$ atrix of a regular graph.
 $m$ atrix and the $m$ atrix $x$, respectively, we obtain a $m$ atrix $w$ th four entries in each row, as well H ence all vertices of the graph have degree 4.

## 4.2 \D i erence of $N$ um ber of $P$ aths" is in BQP

To solve the problem \D i erence of $N$ um bers of $P$ aths" on a quantum com puter we observe that ${ }_{p r}^{(m)}$ is an expectation value of a random variable which occurs in an appropriate $m$ easurem ent procedure. In analogy to the preceding paragraph, we de ne

$$
j \quad i=\frac{1}{p_{\overline{2}}}(\dot{q} i+j r i) ;
$$

$w$ ith the essential di erence that the nodes $q$ and $r$ are a priori given and
 nevertheless

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{\mathrm{qr}}^{(m)}=\mathrm{h} \quad 3^{2 \mathrm{c}^{m}} j \text { i; } \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

which follow s here from the sym m etry de ned by the given graph autom orphism. W ithout this sym m etry, we had
 the decom position of $j$ i in $\mathbb{A}$-eigenvectors contains only those eigenvectors whose corresponding eigenvalues satisfy j 2 [ b;b]. We may rescale $\mathbb{A}$ by som e factor $d$ such that its nom is at m ost 1 . On the rescaled interval [ $\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{d} ; \mathrm{b}=\mathrm{d}$ ]we de ne the function $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}):=\mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{m}}$ having Lipschitz-constant K $\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{d})^{\mathrm{m}}$ and sup-nom $k f k_{1}=(\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{d})^{m}$. Then the achievable estim ation error forh $j\left(A^{N}=d\right)^{m} j \quad i={ }_{q r}^{(m)}=d^{m}$ is an inverse polynom ialm ultiple of $(b=d)^{m}$, ie., we can estim ate ${ }_{q r}^{(m)}$ up to any desired inverse polynom ialm ultiple of $\mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{m}}$.

### 4.3 Interpretation of di erence of num ber of paths in term $s$ of discrete-tim e random walks

$N$ ote that there is also an altemative interpretation for the di erence of the num ber of paths. Since $\AA \xlongequal{n}$ describes a regular graph of degree 4, we obtain a doubly stochastic m atrix by

$$
\hat{A^{\wedge}}: \frac{1}{4} \overparen{A^{n}}:
$$

It describes a classical random walk (in discrete tim e) on the corresponding graph. Then the entry $\left(\widehat{A}^{\wedge}\right)_{i j}$ is the probability of reaching position $j$ after $m$ steps given that the initial position was i. For increasing $m$, the di erence $\left(\bar{A}^{\wedge}\right)_{i i} \quad\left(A^{\wedge}\right)_{i j}$ is therefore directly linked to the decay of probability di erences, ie., to m ixing properties of the random walk.

## 5 D ecay of P robability D i erences

$W$ e can also form ulate a $B Q P$-com plete problem in term of continuous-tim e random walks on regular sparse graphs. The dynam ics of a random walk is generated by the Laplacian of the underlying graph. C onsequently, the tim e evolution of an initial probability distribution is determ ined by its spectral resolution into the eigenvectors of the Laplacian.

For regular graphs, the Laplacian coincides $w$ th the adjacency $m$ atrix up to the negative sign and an additive $m$ ultiple of the identity $m$ atrix. H ence, the spectral resolution $w$ ith respect to the adjacency $m$ atrix (being crucial in the preceding section) also determ ines the behavior of the continuous random walk. W e introduce som e notation to $m$ ake these statem ents $m$ ore precise.

Let $\not \approx$ describe a regular graph on $N$ vertioes with degree d. $W$ e de ne its Laplacian by [ī̄]

$$
\mathrm{L}:=\mathrm{d} 1 \quad \AA:
$$

Let $p(t)=\left(p_{1}(t) ;::: ; p_{N}(t)\right)$ be a probability distribution where $p_{j}(t)$ for $j=1 ;::: ; N$ is the probability of being at vertex $j$ for $j=1 ;::: ; N$. The Laplacian $L$ de nes a continuoustim e (classical) random walk by

$$
p(t):=e^{L t} p ;
$$

where $\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{p}(0)$ is the initial probability distribution.

O ur BQP-com plete problem considers the follow ing question. A ssume that the random walk starts at vertex $q$. $G$ iven a second vertex $r$, determ ine the decay of the di erence betw een the probabilities $p_{q}(t)$ and $p_{r}(t)$.

For in nite tim e, only the $m$ allest eigenvalue whose eigenvector is contained in the initial state $p$ determ ines the exponent dom inating the decay. For our speci c problem (w here only the di erence betw een the probabilities of two vertioes is considered), only the spectral decom position of jqi jri is relevant. For a random walk which started at vertex $q$, the di erenœ betw een $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{q}}(\mathrm{t})$ and $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{r}}(\mathrm{t})$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{qr}}(\mathrm{t}):=\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{Lt}}\right)_{\mathrm{qq}} \quad\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{Lt}}\right)_{\mathrm{qr}} ; \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we use $(\mathbb{W})_{q r}$ to denote the entry of an arbitrary $m$ atrix $W$ in row $q$ and column r .
$O \operatorname{ur}$ BQP-com plete problem is de ned as follows.
De nition 4 ( $D$ ecay of $P$ robability $D$ i erences)
 graph $G$ on $N$ vertices with degree $d 20(p o l y \log (\mathbb{N}))$ such that there is an e ciently com putable function that determ ines the set of adjacent vertices for every vertex. Let $>0$ and $\mathrm{b}<\mathrm{a}<1$ be two positive num bers with $1=\mathrm{a} ; 1=\mathrm{b} ; 1=(\mathrm{a} \quad \mathrm{b}) 2$ polylog $(\mathbb{N})$ and $T 2$ polylog $(\mathbb{N})$ be some tim e instant. Let $q ; r$ be two vertices for which there is an autom onphism of $G$ exchanging $q$ and $r$. $G$ iven the prom ise that

$$
C_{\text {qr }}(t) 2 O\left(e^{t}\right) ;
$$

decide if either

$$
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{qr}}(\mathrm{~T}) \quad \mathrm{ae}^{\mathrm{T}}
$$

or

$$
C_{q r}(T) \text { be }{ }^{T}:
$$

$N$ ote that in both cases, the exponent of decay $m$ ay be the sam e but only the constants a and b di er.

Theorem 3 The problem \D ecay ofP robability D i erences" is BQP-com plete.
$W$ e prove this theorem by show ing that the problem is $B Q P$ hard and is contained in BQP.

## 5.1 \D ecay of $P$ robability $D$ i erences" is B Q P hard

To see that every problem in B Q P can be reduced to \D ecay of P robability $D$ i erences" we consider the adjacency $m$ atrix $\not \approx$ obtained from the $m$ atrix A as described in Section ' $\overline{1} 1 \mathbf{1}$. A dopting the notation used there, we have
 by $q$ and $r$, respectively and obtain in straightforw ard analogy the follow ing equally:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{qr}}(\mathrm{t})=\text { hqje }^{\mathrm{Lt}}{ }_{\mathrm{jqi}} \quad \text { hqje }{ }^{\mathrm{Lt}}{ }_{j r i} \\
& =\mathrm{P}_{\overline{2} h} \dot{j}^{\mathrm{Lt}} \dot{\operatorname{qqi}} \\
& =h \quad j^{L t} j i \\
& \left.=h \quad \dot{j}{ }^{\left(4 z_{N}\right.} \mathrm{E}^{\sim}\right) t \mathrm{j} \quad i \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

W e need som e properties of the spectral m easure induced by A and jij to prove that \D ecay of Probability $D$ i erences" is B Q P hard. They pre obtained from Section 'īi, after taking into account the rescaling factor $\overline{2}$ (see the end of the section) and read:

1. the largest eigenvalue in the support of the spectralm easure is $\frac{P}{2}$ and the second largest is $\overline{2} \cos (=\mathrm{M})$, and
2. the probability of obtaining the eigenvalue $p \overline{2}$ is equal to j $0 \stackrel{\jmath}{j}=M$.

Set $:=4^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2}$ and $:=4^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2} \cos (=\mathrm{M})$ which are equal to the sm allest and second $s m$ allest eigenvalue, respectively, that occur in the spectralm easure induced by 4 I $A$ and $\bar{j} i . U$ sing these eigenvalues, eqs. (1̄5) im ply

$$
C_{q r}(t) \frac{j 0 \jmath^{2}}{M} e^{t}
$$

and

$$
c_{\mathrm{qx}}(t) \quad \frac{j 0 j^{2}}{M} e^{t}+e^{t}:
$$

Choose the tim e instant $\mathrm{T}:=\ln (6 \mathrm{M})=(\quad)$. In this case, the bounds are

$$
C_{\mathrm{qr}}(\mathrm{~T}) \quad \frac{j 0 \mathrm{~J}^{\mathrm{J}}}{\mathrm{M}} e^{\mathrm{T}}
$$

and

$$
c_{q r}(T) \quad \frac{j 0 j^{j}+1=6}{M} e^{T}:
$$

N ote that $T$ increases only polynom ially w ith $M$ since
scales inverse polynom ially with M. Taking into account that $\mathrm{j}_{0} \mathrm{~J}^{?} \quad 1=3$ if x 2 L and $j$ of $2=3$ otherw ise, we have for x 2 L

$$
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q} x}(\mathrm{~T}) \quad \frac{1}{2 \mathrm{M}} e^{\mathrm{T}} ;
$$

and for x I L

$$
C_{\mathrm{Gr}}(T) \quad \frac{2}{3 M} e^{T}:
$$

$T$ his proves that we can reduce the question whether $\times 2 \mathrm{~L}$ to the estim ation of $\mathrm{CqP}_{\mathrm{q}}(\mathrm{T})$.

## 5.2 \D ecay of $P$ robability $D$ i erences" is in B Q P

In strong analogy to Section ${ }_{-}^{2} \overline{-1}$, the quantity of interest, which is here $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{qr}}(\mathrm{T})$, can be w ritten as an expectation value

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{q r}(T)=h q j e^{L T}{ }^{\mathrm{jq}} \mathrm{i} \quad \text { haje }{ }^{\mathrm{LT}} \text { jri } \\
& =h \quad \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{LT}} \mathrm{j} \mathrm{i} ; \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

where the last equation follows (in analogy to Subsection 'ī1 ${ }^{2}$ '2, from the sym $m$ etry of the graph and not, as in the preceding paragraph, from setting
 random experim ent where the observable $L$ is m easured and the result is converted to $\exp (\quad t)$. To show that $\backslash D$ ecay ofP robability $D$ i erences" is in $B Q P$ we rescale $L$ to $L=b$ where $b$ is a polynom ial upper bound of the norm of $L$. We have, for instance, $k L k \quad 2 d$ since the norm of $\neq$ is given by its degree d [i] w ith $O(\exp (t))$ it follow s that the decom position of $j i$ contains only $L-$ eigenvectors w ith eigenvalues in [ ;1 ], ie., we have only eigenvalues of $L=b$ in $[=b ; 1]$. W ede ne $f:[=b ; 1]!R^{+}$with $f(x):=\exp (x b T)$. Its Lipschitz constant is $K=b T \exp (T)$. M oreover, we have $k f k_{1}=\exp (T)$. Lem man'ī guarantees that we can e ciently estim ate the expectation value of
$f(L=b)$ up to any desired inverse polynom ialm ultiple of (bT + 1) exp ( $T$ ). Since b and $T$ are both polynom ial, we can obtain an accuracy being any desired inverse polynom ialmultiple of $\exp (\mathrm{T})$. This is su cient to solve \D ecay of $P$ robability $D$ i erences"

## 6 A Q C M A -com plete m ixing problem

The com plexity class Q CM A, which is one possible quantum analogue of the classical class NP [ī], Roughly speaking, we change the problem by not asking whether a given state $\bar{x} ; 0 i$ is accepted by the circuit $Y_{r}$ in the sense that the output qubit is $w$ ith high probability jli after applying $Y_{r}$ to the state. Instead, the problem is whether there exists a basis state that is accepted. In this case, this basis state is called a \w itness" for the decision problem. T he input string $x$ does not de ne the input state for the circuit. Instead, it de nes the circuit $Y_{x}$ itself. M ore precisely, we have:

De nition 5 (C om plexity C lass QCMA)
A language $L$ is in QCMA if there is a uniform ly generated fam ily $\left(Y_{x}\right)_{x}$ of circuits (where $x$ runs over the problem instances) acting on $r+12$ O (poly ( $\dot{x} \bar{j}$ ) ) qubits such that the follow ing statem ents are true:

Ifwe de ne for every r+ l-qubit basis state j; $0 i$ the $r+1$ 1-qubit states $j_{x ; y ;}{ }^{i}$ and $j_{x ; y ; 1} i$ by
then we have:

1. For every x 2 L there is a $\backslash \mathrm{w}$ itness" y such that

$$
j_{x ; y ; 1} \quad 2=3:
$$

2. For every x $\sqrt{6}$ L one has

$$
j_{x ; y ; 1} j \quad 1=3
$$

for every y 2 f0; $1 g^{x}$.
Then we nd:

Theorem 4 ( $\mathrm{QCM} \mathrm{A}-\mathrm{C}$ om plete M ixing P roblem)
The follow ing problem is Q CM A -com plete:
G iven a regular sparse graph $G$ on 2 N nodes such that there exists an autom onphism of that exchanges allnodes $2 j$ with $2 j+1$ for $j=0 ; 1 ;::: ; N$

1. Let $>0$ and $\mathrm{b}<\mathrm{a}<1$ be two positive num bers w ith $1=\mathrm{a} ; 1=\mathrm{b} ; 1=(\mathrm{a} \quad \mathrm{b}) 2$ polylog (N) and T 2 polylog $(\mathbb{N})$ be some tim e instant.

M oreover, let $N^{\sim} \quad N$ be given with the prom ise that for all $j \quad N$ we have $\mathrm{c}_{2 \mathrm{j} ; 2 j+1}(\mathrm{t}) 2 \mathrm{O}(\exp (\mathrm{t}))$. Decide if either

1. there is a number $j \quad N$ with

$$
c_{2 j ; 2 j+1}(T) \quad \text { ae }{ }^{T}
$$

or
2. for all $j N^{N}$ we have

$$
\mathrm{c}_{2 \mathrm{j} ; 2 \mathrm{j+1}}(\mathrm{~T}) \quad \text { be }{ }^{\mathrm{T}}:
$$

To show that the problem is QCMA hard we construct the graph $G_{x}$ corresponding to a given $Y_{x}$ according to Section ', $\overline{-}$, , but keep in $m$ ind that the input string for the circuit (i.e., the potentialw inness) is here called iy;0i instead of $\bar{x} ; 0 i$. W e reorder the nodes such that the states $2 j i$ correspond to the states $j 01 \quad \dot{y} ; 0 i$ and the states $2 j+1 i$ to $j 1 i \quad j ; 0 i$ for $j=0 ; 1 ;::: ; N$, where $N$ is chosen appropriately. Section ' (2.) in the above theorem correspond to the cases that the state $\dot{y} ; 0 i$ is accepted or not by the circuit. H ence we have for each x a graph such that a pair ( $2 j ; 2 j+1$ ) of nodes exists $w i$ th $j N$ and slow decay of probability di erences if and only if there exists a witness y for the Q CM A -problem .

To see that the problem is in Q CM A we recall from the preceding section that we can e ciently determ ine $\mathrm{c}_{2 \mathrm{j} ; 2 j+1}(\mathrm{t})$ on the appropriate scale by esti$m$ ating appropriate expectation values when applying the phase estim ation procedure to the corresponding states

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\overline{2}}^{1}(2 j i \quad 2 j+1 i): \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The num bers $j$ are therefore the potential witnesss: $x$ is in $L$ if and only if there exists a j which leads to slow decay of probability di erences. W e have
now to construct a circuit where the values $j$ de ne the possible input basis states.

W e de ne a quantum register with dog $\mathbb{N e}+1$ qubits where we identify each state ( $\left.\mathbf{1}_{-1}^{1} \overline{-}_{1}\right)$ w ith

$$
p_{\overline{2}}^{1}(-j 0 i \quad j i) \quad \text { jiji: }
$$

A ssum e we have already constructed a quantum circuit on dlog Ne $+1+$ $r$ qubits (w ith som e appropriate $r$ ) describing the whole phase estim ation procedure inchuding the post-processing of the outcom es with the function $f$ and the repeated sam pling. This construction is rather technical, but in principle straightforward. N ow we m odify the circuit such that it accepts only those $j w i t h j N^{r}$. Finally, we add a H adam ard gate acting on the left com ponent such that the state can be initialized to joi instead of the superposition ${ }^{j 01} \mathrm{jli}$. W e de ne the correspondence

$$
\text { joi 屰i jo } 0 \text { Oi 立;0i; }
$$

where $j$ is now allowed to run over all values $0 ; 1 ;::: ; 2^{\text {dlog } N e}$ instead of only $0 ; 1 ;::: ; \mathbb{N}^{T} . W$ e have now obtained a circuit for which there exists a w itness $y$ (de ning $r$ bits of the $r+$ l-qubit basis state as input for $Y_{x}$ ) i there exists a pair $(2 j ; 2 j+1)$ w th $j N$ that leads to slow decay.

## 7 C onclusions

W e have constructed BQ P-com plete problem s conceming the m ixing properties of classical random walks. R oughly speaking, the problem s are to estim ate how fast the di erence betw een the probability ofbeing at di erent nodes decays in discrete and in continuous-tim e random walks. G iven that the quantum com puter is $m$ ore powerful than the classical com puter in the sense that $B P P G B P$ we have hence show $n$ that the quantum com puter is also $m$ ore pow erfiulin analyzing certain $m$ ixing properties of classical random walks.
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