Error Exponent in Asymmetric Quantum Hypothesis Testing and Its Application to Classical-Quantum Channel coding

Masahito Hayashi

ERATO-SORST Quantum Computation and Information Project, Japan Science and Technology Agency,
201 Daini Hongo W hite Bldg. 5-28-3, Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan.

Superrobust Computation Project, Information Science and Technology Strategic Core (21st Century COE by MEXT),
Graduate School of Information Science and Technology,
The University of Tokyo, 7-3-1, Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo, 113-0033, Japan.

In the sim ple quantum hypothesis testing problem, upper bound with asym metric setting is shown by using a quite useful inequality by Audenaert et al[1], quant-ph/0610027, which was originally invented for sym metric setting. Using this upper bound, we obtain the Hoe ding bound, which are identical with the classical counterpart if the hypotheses, composed of two density operators, are mutually commutative. Our upper bound in proves the bound by Ogawa-Hayashi[17], and also provides a simpler proof of the direct part of the quantum Stein's lemma. Further, using this bound, we obtain a better exponential upper bound of the average error probability of classical-quantum channel coding.

PACS num bers: 03.67.-a,03.65.Ta,03.67.Hk,03.65.W j

IN TRODUCTION

One of the main diculties appearing in quantum inform ation theory lies in the non-commutativity. Hence, for further development of quantum information theory, it is needed to accumulate the methods to resolve such diculties. Simple quantum hypothesis testing is the simplest problem describing this kind of diculty [2, 3] because this problem is discriminating two quantum states (the null hypothesis and the alternative hypothesis) as the candidates of the true state. This problem is also the fundam ental tool for other problem s in quantum information theory. For example, classical-quantum channel coding [4, 5], classical-quantum wire-tap channel coding [6], and quantum xed-length source coding (Schum acher coding[7]) [8, 9] can be analyzed through simple quantum hypothesis testing In the single-copy case, simple quantum hypothesis testing has been solved by using quantum Neyman-Pearson Lemma in Holevo [10] and Helstrom [11]. However, when the number n of sam ples is large, the asymptotic behavior of the perform ance of this problem has been partially solved. Several problem s have been still open.

In the asymptotic framework, Chemo bound [12], Stein's lemma, Hoe ding bound [13], Han-Kobayashi bound [14] are known as the bounds of the classical simple hypothesis testing. We usually focus on the two kinds of error probabilities, i.e., the rst kind of error probability (the null hypothesis is rejected despite of being correct) and the second kind of error probability (the alternative hypothesis is rejected despite of being correct). Chemo bound gives the optimal decreasing rate of the average of these error probabilities in the symmetric setting. In Stein's lemma, we focus on the optimal decreasing rate of the second error probability under the constant constraint for the rst error probability. In Hoe ding bound,

we treat the same optimal decreasing rate under the exponential constraint for the rst error probability. That is, in this case, we treat the discriminating problem in the asymmetric setting. In fact, Hoe ding bound is more useful than Stein's Lemma for the approximation in the nite-sample case. When the exponential constraint for the rst error probability is too strong, the second error probability goes to 1. That is, the 1 minus the second error probability goes 0. Han-Kobayashibound gives the minimum decreasing rate of this value. This exponent is often called the strong converse exponent. Further, information spectrum approach is known as an elective method for general sequence of information sources. The treatment of the diculty due to non-commutativity is necessary for the quantum extensions of these results.

Now, we trace the history of this research area. First, the quantum extension of Stein's lem ma has been solved by Hiai-Petz[2] and Ogawa-Nagaoka[3]. The upper bound of the quantum extension of Han-Kobayashi bound has been obtained O gaw a-N agaoka [3]. Their proof was extensively simplied by Nagaoka[15]. Hayashi im proved their bound and obtained the tight strong converse exponent in the quantum setting in Chapter 3 of [6]. The quantum extension of information spectrum approach wa obtained by Nagaoka-Hayashi[8]. Concerning the sym m etric setting, H ayashi obtained quantum Cherno bound in Chapter 3 of [6] when two hypothesis are unitarily equivalent with each other. Nussbaum & Szkola [16] obtained its lower bound. Quite recently, Audenaert et al [1] showed that the bound by Nussbaum & Szkola [16] can be attained. In their proof, they derived a quite useful inequality (Lem m a 2 in this paper).

However, concerning the quantum extension of Hoe ding bound, only a lower bound has been obtained by Ogawa-Hayashi[17]. Their approach is valid only in the nite dimensional case. Also, their bound does not work

e ectively in the pure states case. They also suggested the existence of a tighter lower bound. Hence, tighter lower bounds of these problems has been desired. In this paper, we obtain tighter lower bounds of Hoe ding bound by using an extremely powerful inequality by Audenaert et al [1]. This method is valid even in the in nitedimensional case. As a byproduct, a simpler proof of the quantum Stein's lemma is also given.

Fortunately, such an asymmetric treatment of hypothesis testing is closely related to classical quantum channel coding, i.e., the problem of transmitting classical information via quantum channel. In this problem, the asymptotic transmitting rate is obtained by Holevo [18] and Schumacher-Westmoreland [19]. However, there is no good upper bound of error probability with a good nite-length code. Hayashi-Nagaoka [4] derived a good relation between this problem and the asymmetric treatment of hypothesis testing. In this paper, we apply this relation to our result and obtain a good error exponent of the average error probability of classical quantum channel coding, and obtain a better and more natural exponential decreasing rate of error probability than Hayashi-Nagaoka [4]'s rate.

In the following, we outline brie y signi cant results in classical hypothesis testing for probability distributions p^n () versus ${}^n\!\!\!/($), where $p\!\!\!\!/($) and $p\!\!\!\!/($) are independently and identically distributed (i.i.d.) extensions of some probability distributions p() and q() on a nite set X . In the classical case, the asymptotic behaviors of the rst kind error probability $_n$ and the second kind error probability $_n$ for the optimal test were studied thoroughly as follows.

First, when we focus on the average error concerning these two error probabilities in the sym metric setting, it is natural to focus on Chemo [12]'s characterization:

$$\lim_{n \mid 1} \frac{1}{n} \log \min \frac{n + n}{2} = \max_{0 \le 1} (s);$$

where (s) is de ned as (s) $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=}$ $\stackrel{P}{\underset{x \ge X}} p(x)^1 ^s q(x)^s$. Its quantum extension has been done by Nussbaum & Szkola [16] and Audenaert et al [1]. However, in order to treat the asymmetric setting, we need another formulation. When n satisfies the constant constraint n (>0), the error exponent of n for the optimal test is

(> 0), the error exponent of $_{n}$ for the optim altest is written asymptotically as

$$\lim_{n \to 1} \frac{1}{n} \log \min_{n \to n} f_{n} j_{n} \qquad g = D (p) j g$$
 (1)

for any , where D (pjg) is the Kullback-Leibler divergence. The equality (1) is called Stein's lem ma (see e.g. [20], p.115). When n satisfies the exponential constraint n e $^{\rm nr}$ (r > 0), the error exponent of n for the optimal test is asymptotically determined by the Hoeding bound [13]:

$$\lim_{n \to 1} \frac{1}{n} \log_{n} = \max_{0 \le s, 1} \frac{(s)}{s} \frac{(1 + s)r}{s}$$
:

In this paper, we treat their quantum extension. A fler discussing this topic, we proceed to its application to classical-quantum channel coding.

FORM ULATION AND MAIN RESULTS

Let H be a Hilbert space which represents a physical system in interest. We study the simple hypothesis testing problem for the null hypothesis H $_{\rm 0}$: $^{\rm n}$ versus the alternative hypothesis H $_{\rm 1}$: $^{\rm n}$, where $^{\rm n}$ and $^{\rm n}$ are the nth tensor powers of arbitrarily given density operators and on H .

The problem is to decide which hypothesis is true based on the data drawn from a quantum measurement, which is described by a positive operator valued pg easure (POVM) on H , i.e., a resolution of identity $_{i}$ M $_{n;i}$ = I_{n} by nonnegative operators M $_{n}$ = $fM_{n;i}g$ on H ⁿ. If a POVM consists of projections on H ⁿ, it is called a projection valued measure (PVM). In the hypothesis testing problem, however, it is su cient to treat a two-valued POVM fM 0; M 1g, where the subscripts 0 and 1 indicate the acceptance of H₀ and H₁, respectively. Thus, a herm it ian matrix T_n satisfying inequali- T_n I is called a test in the sequel, since T_n is identied with the POVM fT_n ; $T_n^c g$. For a test T_n , the error probabilities of the set kind and the second kind are, respectively, given by $Tr[{}^{n}T_{n}^{c}]$ and $Tr[{}^{n}T_{n}]$, where $T^c = I T$.

Next, we consider this problem in an asymmetric framework. Let us dene the optimal value for $Tr[\ ^nT_n^c]$ under the constant constraint on $Tr[\ ^nT_n]$:

$$_{n}$$
 () $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=}$ m in Tr[n T $_{n}^{c}$ A $_{n}$:test;Tr[n T $_{n}$] ; and let

$$D(k) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Tr[(\log \log)];$$

which is called the quantum relative entropy. Then we have the following theorem, which is obtained by Hiai-Petz[2] and O gawa-Nagaoka[3].

Proposition 1 (The quantum Stein's lem m a) For 0 < 8 < 1, it holds that

$$\lim_{n \to 1} \frac{1}{n} \log_{n} () = D(k):$$
 (2)

This lem m a can be proved by composing of two inequalities, the direct part and the converse part. The direct part is given by B (k) D (k), and the converse part is given by B $^{\text{y}}$ (k) D (k), where

$$B (k) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup_{fT_n g} \quad \underline{\lim}_{n! \ 1} \quad \frac{\log Tr^{-n} T_n}{n} \quad \lim_{n! \ 1} \quad Tr^{-n} T_n^c = 0 ;$$

$$B^{y}(k) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup_{fT_{n}q} \underline{\lim_{n \mid 1}} \frac{-\log Tr^{-n}T_{n}}{n} \underline{\lim_{n \mid 1}} Tr^{-n}T_{n} > 0 :$$

For a further analysis of the direct part, we focus on the decreasing exponent of the error probability of the rst kind under an exponential constraint for the error probability of the second kind. For this purpose, we dene

B (rjk)

$$\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup_{\text{fT}_n \, g} \ \underline{\lim}_{n \, ! \, 1} \ \frac{\text{lim}}{n} \ \underline{n} \ \frac{\text{lim}}{n} \ \underline{\lim}_{n \, ! \, 1} \ \frac{\text{logTr}^{\ n} \, T_n}{n} \ ;$$

where (sj k) $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=}$ logTr 1 s s . Then, we obtain the following theorem .

Theorem 1 The inequality

$$B(rjk) \sup_{0 \le s} \frac{sr(sjk)}{1 s}$$
 (3)

holds.

In fact, 0 gaw a-H ayashi [17] obtained the following lower bound of B (rj k):

B (rj k)
$$\max_{0 \le s \le 1} \frac{\text{sr }^{(sj k)}}{1 - s};$$
 (4)

w here

$$\sim$$
 (sjk) $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=}$ Tr $\stackrel{\text{s=2}}{=}$ s $\stackrel{\text{s=2}}{=}$: (5)

As is shown in Section V of Ogawa-Hayashi [17] our lower bound max $_0$ s $_1$ $\frac{\text{sr}}{1}$ $\frac{(\text{sj k})}{1}$ is greater than their bound max $_0$ s $_1$ $\frac{\text{sr}}{1}$ $\frac{(\text{sj k})}{1}$. The inequality (3) was treated as an open problem in their paper.

PROOF OF MAIN THEOREMS

In the following we abbreviate (sj k) to (s). In order to prove Theorem 1, we use the following lem ma.

$$TrX ^{s}Y^{1 s} TrfX^{1 s} Y^{1 s} 0gY + TrfX^{1 s} Y^{1 s} < 0gX;$$

where for any H erm item atrix C we denote the projection $c_{i\ 0}\,E_{i}$ ($c_{i<\,0}\,E_{i}$) by fG og (fC < 0g) with the spectral decomposition C = ${}_{i}\,c_{i}E_{i}$.

Only the case of s = 1=2 has been proved in Chapter 3 of H ayashi [6].

Substituting n and n e na to Y and X in this lemma, the projection $T_{n;s} := f(^{n}e^{na})^{1-s}$ ($^{n})^{1-s} < 0$ g satis es

for 0 s 1=2. For 1=2 t 1, the projection $T_{n;t} = f(^n e^{na})^t (^n)^t < 0g$ satis es

$$Tr \, ^{n}T_{n;t} \, e^{n(1 t)a}e^{n(t)}$$
 (8)

$$Tr^{n}(I T_{n;t}) e^{nta}e^{n(t)};$$
 (9)

where we substitute 1 t, n , and n e na into s, X , and Y .

Hence, we can easily prove the direct part of quantum Stein's lem ma, i.e., B(k) D(k) from Lem ma1. Putting a = D(k) +, we obtain sa + (s) = s < 0 and (1 s)a + (s) < (D(k))(1 s). Hence, by choosing s to be su ciently small, we obtain B(k) D(k).

We also choose $s_r \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \arg\max_{0 \ s \ 1} \frac{\text{sr} \ (\text{sj } k \)}{1 \ s}$. Then, we have

Thus, choosing a to be ${}^{0}(s_r)$, (6)-(9) in ply

Therefore, we obtain

B (rj k)
$$\max_{0 \text{ s } 1} \frac{\text{sr} (\text{sj k})}{1 \text{ s}}$$
:

Let now move on to prove Lemma 1. Note that the proof that we present here goes through in in nite dimensions. The proof relies on the following quite powerful lemma.

Lem m a 2 (A udenaert et al [1]) For any two positive-sem ide nite operators A; B and a real number 0 t 1, we obtain

Proof of Lem m a 1. | We apply Lem m a 2 to the case t=s=(1-s), $A=X^{1-s}$ and $B=Y^{1-s}$, where a; b are positive operators and 0 s 1=2. W ith P the projector on the range of ($X^{1-s}-Y^{1-s}$), this yields

$$TrfX^{1 s} Y^{1 s} 0qY^{1 s}(X^{s} Y^{s}) 0$$
:

Subtracting both sides from TrfX 1 s Y 1 s 0g(X Y) then yields

$$TrX sfX^{1} s Y^{1} s Og(X^{1} s Y^{1} s)$$

 $TrfX^{1} s Y^{1} s Og(X Y)$:

Since $fX^{1 ext{ s}} Y^{1 ext{ s}} 0g(X^{1 ext{ s}} Y^{1 ext{ s}})$ ($X^{1 ext{ s}} Y^{1 ext{ s}}$), we have

Using the relation I fX 1 s Y 1 s 3 Og = fX 1 s Y 1 s 3 V 1 s 3 S 4 S 1 S 2 S 1 S 2 S 3 S 4 S 1 S 3 S 2 S 3 S 4 S 2 S 3 S 4 S 4 S 2 S 3 S 4 S 4

$$Tr(I fX^{1 s} Y^{1 s} 0g)X + TrfX^{1 s} Y^{1 s} 0gY$$

 $TrX^{s}Y^{1 s}$:

A PPLICATION TO CLASSICAL-QUANTUM CHANNEL CODING

As is mentioned in Hayashi-Nagaoka[4], the error exponent in classical-quantum channel coding are derived from the error exponent in simple quantum hypothesis testing. Now, we consider the n-th stationary mem oryless channel of the classical-quantum channel x $7_{\rm x}$. De ne the densities R, Sp and p for a distribution p,

In the channel coding, we usually treat the trade-o between the average error probability $P_{\rm e}$ ($^{\rm (n)}$) and the num ber N of transm itted m assages. That is, the receiver should choose the recovered m essage am ong N elements via the received quantum state. This number is called the size.

Then, the inequality (44) in Hayashi-Nagaoka [4] mentioned that for any distribution p and any test T $^{(n)}$, there exists a code $^{(n)}$ with the size N whose average error probability P $_{\rm e}$ ($^{(n)}$) satis es

$$P_{e}(^{(n)})$$
 2(1 TrR $^{n}T^{(n)}) + 4N TrS $^{n}T^{(n)}$: (10)$

This kind of relation between hypothesis testing and channel coding was obtained by Verdu and H an [21], and it was researched by H an [22] m ore deeply [24].

When $N = e^{na}$, applying Lemma 1 to the two cases: $X = S_pN$; Y = R and $Y = S_pN$; X = R, we obtain

$$P_{e}(^{(n)})$$
 4e $^{n (sa'_{p}(s))}$ (11)

for 0 s 1, where

$$'_{p}(s) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \log TrR^{1} {}^{s}S_{p}^{s} = \log p_{x}Tr_{x}^{1} {}^{s} {}_{p}^{s}$$
: (12)

This gives the exponential decreasing rate of error probability. This upper bound in proves the bound given in Hayashi-Nagaoka [4], which was obtained by using Ogawa-Hayashi[17]'s Hoe ding bound. Also, it can be regarded as the generalization Burnashev-Holevo [23]'s result, which gives the the exponential decreasing rate of error probability in the pure states case.

D ISCUSSIONS

In this paper, we applied A udenaert et al[l]'s inequality to the A sym metric setting of quantum hypothesis testing, and obtained a quantum extension of H oe ding bound m ax $_0$ s $_1$ $\frac{\text{sr}}{1}$ $\frac{\text{(sj k})}{1}$, which improves 0 gaw a-H ayashi[l7]'s bound. We can expect that this bound is tight because the tightness of a similar bound in sym metric setting has been showed by N ussbaum -Szkola [16]. Further, we applied this result to classical-quantum channel coding and obtained a better error exponent.

- [1] K M R. Audenaert, J. Calsam iglia, Ll. Masanes, R. Munoz-Tapia, A. Acin, E. Bagan, F. Verstraete \The Quantum Chemo Bound," quant-ph/0610027
- [2] F.H iai and D.Petz, \The proper form ula for relative entropy and its asymptotics in quantum probability," Commun. M ath. Phys., vol. 143, pp. 99{114, 1991.
- [3] T.Ogawa and H.Nagaoka, \Strong converse and Stein's lemma in quantum hypothesis testing," IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, vol. IT-46, pp. 2428{2433, 2000.
- [4] M. Hayashi and H. Nagaoka, \General formulas for capacity of classical-quantum channels," IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, 49, 1753 (1768 (2003); quant-ph/0206186 (2002).
- [5] T. Ogawa and H. Nagaoka, \A new proof of the channel coding theorem via hypothesis testing in quantum information theory," Proc. 2002 IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory, 73 (2002); quant-ph/0208139 (2002).
- [6] M. Hayashi, Quantum Information: An Introduction, Springer, 2006.
- [7] B. Schum acher, \Quantum coding, Phys. Rev. A, 51, 2738-2747 (1995).
- [8] H. Nagaoka and M. Hayashi, \An information-spectrum approach to classical and quantum hypothesis testing," quant-ph/0206185 (2002).
- [9] M. Hayashi, \Exponents of quantum xed—length pure state source coding," Phys. Rev. A, 66, 032321 (2002); quant-ph/0202002, (2002).
- [10] A.S.Holevo, \An analog of the theory of statistical decisions in noncom mutative theory of probability," Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obsc., 26, 133{149 (1972) (in Russian). (English translation: Trans. Moscow Math. Soc., 26, 133{149 (1972)).

- [11] C . W . Helstrom , Quantum Detection and Estimation Theory, (Academic, New York, 1976).
- [12] H. Chemo, \A m easure of asym ptotic e ciency for tests of a hypothesis based on the sum of observations," Ann. M ath. Stat., 23, 493 (507 (1952).
- [13] W . Hoe ding, \On probabilities of large deviations,"
 Proceedings of Symposium \the Fifth Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability," pp.
 203{219, Berkeley, University of California Press, 1965.
- [14] T.S. Han and K. Kobayashi, \The strong converse theorem for hypothesis testing," IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, 35, 178(180 (1989).
- [15] H. Nagaoka, \Strong converse theorems in quantum information theory," Proceedings of Symposium \ERATO Workshop on Quantum Information Science 2001," p. 33, 2001. (also appeared as Chap. 3 of Asymptotic Theory of Quantum Statistical Inference, M. Hayashieds.).
- [16] M. Nussbaum, A. Szkola \A lower bound of Cherno type for symmetric quantum hypothesis testing," quant-ph/0607216
- [17] T. Ogawa and M. Hayashi, \O n Error Exponents in Quantum Hypothesis Testing," IEEE Trans. Inform.

- Theory, vol. IT-50, pp. 1368{1372, 2004.
- [18] A.S.Holevo, \The capacity of the quantum channel with general signal states," IEEE Trans. Infor. Theory, 44, 269 (1998); quant-ph/9611023 (1996).
- [19] B. Schum acher and M. D. W estmoreland, \Sending classical information via noisy quantum channels," Phys. Rev. A, 56, 131, (1997).
- [20] R.E.Blahut, Principles and Practice of Information Theory, Addison-Wesley, Massachusetts, 1991.
- [21] S. Verdu, T. S. Han, \A general form ula for channel capacity," IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, 40, 1147{1157 1994.
- [22] T.S.Han: Information-Spectrum Methods in Information Theory, (Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 2002) (originally appeared in Japanese in 1998).
- [23] M. V. Burnashev and A. S. Holevo, \On Reliability Function of Quantum Communication Channel," Prob. of Inform. Trans., 34, 97{107 (1998); quant-ph/9703013 (1997).
- [24] Han treated the exponential error rate of the channel coding in the original Japanese version. However, he did not treated this topic in the English translation.