

The Systematic Measurement Errors and Uncertainty Relation

Tinur F. Kamalov

Physics Department, Moscow State Open University

Korchagina, 22, Moscow, 107996, Russia

E-mail: TinKamalov@mail.ru

Inertial effects in non-inertial reference frames are compared with quantum properties of test objects. The real space-time and perfect inertial reference frame can be compared accurately to the uncertainty relation. Complexities of describing micro-object in non-inertial reference frames are avoidable using Ostrogradski's Canonical Formalism.

Keywords: Uncertainty Relation, hidden variables, Ostrogradski's Canonical Formalism.

PACS: 03.65.Jd

Newtonian mechanics is the simplest version of describing mechanical systems in inertial reference frames when the effect of higher derivatives of coordinates with respect to time is negligible. However, perfect inertial systems are very difficult to obtain in practice. A simplified consideration of the real reference frame as inertial, permits one to obtain equations of motion usually solved by traditional methods of mathematical physics. However, in the general case, while considering some real reference frame, to describe dynamics of body motion in a more exact approximation, consideration of complex problems with the equations is not easily solved. Neglecting the effect of inertial forces on test bodies in a non-inertial reference frame, a systematic error of measuring the coordinate and momentum cannot be obtained.

To describe dynamics of body motion in any reference frames, we expand the function $r = r(t)$ in a Taylor series

$$r = r_0 + vt + \frac{at^2}{2} + \frac{1}{3!}at^3 + \frac{1}{4!}at^4 + \dots + \frac{1}{n!}r^{(n)}t^n + \dots \quad (1)$$

denoting the position on space by r , and time by t .

The kinematic formula of classical physics in inertial reference frames restricts consideration to only second derivatives of r with respect to time (acceleration a)

$$r_{\text{Newton}} = r_0 + vt + \frac{at^2}{2} \quad (2)$$

Denoting the hidden variables accounting for additional terms in (1) with respect to (2) as q_F ,

$$q_F = \frac{1}{3!}at^3 + \frac{1}{4!}at^4 + \dots + \frac{1}{n!}r^{(n)}t^n + \dots \quad (3)$$

rewrite (1) in the form

$$r = r_{\text{Newton}} + q_F \quad (4)$$

Comparing the action function for cases (1) and (2)

$$S - S_{\text{Newton}} = nh \quad (5)$$

we denote the upper bound of the difference action functions as h . Here S is the action function in any real reference frames (including inertial) and S_{Newton} - in inertial reference frames.

Then the uncertainty due incompleteness of the description particles in a real reference frames and in inertial reference systems:

$$m \dot{r} - m \dot{r}_{\text{Newton}} \dot{r}_{\text{Newton}} = m (\dot{r} - \dot{r}_{\text{Newton}}) (\dot{r} - \dot{r}_{\text{Newton}}) = nh; \quad (6)$$

where h being an upper bound of hidden action in inertial reference frames. Higher time derivatives of spatial coordinates act as hidden and addition variables complementing the description of sample particles for inertial reference systems.

Ostrogradski's avoided the above disadvantages of Newtonian mechanics, having assumed the Lagrange function to depend on not only first derivatives of coordinates with respect to time, but also on higher derivatives. There are no inertial reference frames in Ostrogradski's model, and it can describe complex motion with higher derivatives of accelerations. Such a model is known in the literature as Ostrogradski's Canonical Formalism.

For inertial reference systems the Lagrangian L is the function of only the coordinates and their first derivatives, $L = L(t; r; \dot{r})$. For non-inertial reference systems, the Lagrangian depends on the coordinates and their higher derivatives as well as of the first one, i.e. $L = L(t; r; \dot{r}; \ddot{r}; \dots; r^{(n)})$. Applying the principle of least action, we get [2]

$$S = \int L(t; r; \dot{r}; \ddot{r}; \dots; r^{(n)}) dt = \int_{n=0}^{X^N} (1)^n \frac{d^n}{dt^n} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial r^{(n)}} \right) r dt = 0. \quad (7)$$

Then, the Euler-Lagrange function for complex non-inertial reference systems takes on the form

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial r} - \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{r}} \right) + \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \ddot{r}} \right) - \frac{d^3}{dt^3} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial r^{(3)}} \right) + \frac{d^4}{dt^4} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial r^{(4)}} \right) + \dots = 0 \quad (8)$$

Or

$$\sum_{n=0}^{X^N} (1)^{n+1} \frac{d^n}{dt^n} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial r^{(n)}} \right) = 0 \quad (9)$$

Denoting

$$\begin{aligned}
F &= \frac{\partial L}{\partial r}; p = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{r}} \\
F^{(1)} &= \frac{\partial L}{\partial r^{(4)}}; p^{(1)} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{r}^{(4)}} \\
F^{(2)} &= \frac{\partial L}{\partial r^{(5)}}; p^{(2)} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{r}^{(5)}} \\
&\dots\dots\dots \\
F^{(n)} &= \frac{\partial L}{\partial r^{(2n+1)}}; p^{(n)} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{r}^{(2n+1)}}.
\end{aligned}$$

we get the description of inertial forces for complex non-inertial reference systems. The value of the total force taking into account the Coriolis force may be expressed through momentums in non-inertial reference systems and their derivatives:

$$F + F^{(1)} + F^{(2)} + \dots + F^{(n)} = \frac{dp}{dt} + \frac{d^2 p^{(1)}}{dt^2} + \frac{d^3 p^{(2)}}{dt^3} + \dots + \frac{d^{2n+1} p^{(n)}}{dt^{2n+1}} \quad (10)$$

Denoting the energy brought about by the non-inertial reference system as Q and the constant coefficients as c_i , we get for the potential energy V and kinetic energy W the following expressions:

$$\begin{aligned}
E &= V + W + Q \\
V &= c_1 r^2 \\
W &= c_2 \dot{r}^2 \\
Q &= c_2 \ddot{r}^2 + \dots + c_n \dot{r}^{(n)2} + \dots
\end{aligned}$$

Jacobi-Hamilton equation for the action function takes the form :

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} = \frac{(r \dot{S})^2}{2m} + V + Q; \quad (11)$$

and let us call Q the quantum potential. Here, is the velocity $v = \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} = \frac{r \dot{S}}{m}$, and the acceleration $a = \dot{v} = \frac{r \ddot{S}}{m} = \frac{r^2 \dot{S}}{m}$, where is the continuity equation $\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + v \frac{\partial v}{\partial r} = 0$ for the vector v . Here is $v = r \dot{S}$. Then, denoting $\frac{\partial S}{\partial r^{(n)}} = p^{(n-1)}$, we get the following equation for non-inertial reference systems:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dS}{dt} &= \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial S}{\partial r} \frac{\partial r}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial S}{\partial \dot{r}} \frac{\partial \dot{r}}{\partial t} + \dots + \frac{\partial S}{\partial r^{(n)}} \frac{\partial r^{(n)}}{\partial t}, \\
\frac{dS}{dt} &= \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + \frac{(r \dot{S})^2}{2m} + p^{(0)} a + p^{(1)} \dot{a} + \dots + p^{(n)} \dot{a}^{(n)}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the equation of motion of a sample particle in a complex non-inertial reference system shall be as follows:

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + \frac{(\nabla S)^2}{2m} + Q \quad (12)$$

Here is $Q = p^{(0)}a + p^{(1)}a + \dots + p^{(n)}a^{(n)}$.

In the first approximation $Q = \frac{\hbar^2 S}{2m}$: (the constant is chosen as $\hbar = \frac{h}{2\pi}$). Hence, we get the Schrodinger equation in the first approximation for the function $\psi = e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}S}$.

The distinctive feature of above model lies in an uncertainty of coordinate and momentum of a microobject being explicable not only by quantum axiomatic but also by imperfection of the reference frame due to the effect, e.g. relativistic stationary or static gravitational fields for example. This means that we consider non-inertial reference frames, and the uncertainty in the position of the particle being examined can be related to fluctuations of the reference body and the reference frame connected with it. To estimate such an effect, it is necessary to compare the systematic error of measurements with the quantum uncertainties of the coordinate and momentum in the uncertainty relation.

References

- [1] Lagrange J.L., *Mécanique analytique*. Paris, De Saint, 1788.
- [2] Ostrogradskii M., *Мет. Де ИА cad. Де St.-Peterburg*, v. 6, p. 385, 1850.