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We define the disentangling power of a unitary operator in a similar way as the entangling power defined by Zanardi, Zalka and Faoro [PRA, 62, 030301]. A general formula is derived and it is shown that both quantities are directly proportional. All results concerning the entangling power can simply be translated into similar statements for the disentangling power. In particular, the disentangling power is maximal for certain permutations derived from orthogonal latin squares. These permutations can therefore be interpreted as those that distort entanglement in a maximal way.

PACS numbers: 03.67.-a, 03.67.Mn

## I. INTRODUCTION

The dynamics of a closed quantum system can be described by a unitary operator. In the bipartite scenario, it is natural to study these operators with respect to their entanglement generating and breaking abilities. In particular one can study the so-called entangling and disentangling power of unitaries acting on pure states. These attempt to quantify the increase or decrease of the entanglement of a bipartite state under a unitary operation. There are several ways of defining the (dis)entangling power. We follow the approach of Ref. 1] (for an alternative approach see Ref. 2] and references therein). In Ref. [3] we studied the entangling power of permutations, in particular we gave a complete classification of the permutations maximizing the entangling power. It turns out that the maximum value over all permutations is the maximum that can be attained over all unitaries, with possible exception for $6 \otimes 6$ systems. In this note, we derive a general formula for the disentangling power of permutations, which turns out to be proportional to the entangling power. Thus the permutations with highest entangling power, also have highest disentangling power and therefore change entanglement in a maximal way.

## II. ENTANGLING POWER OF UNITARIES

In this section we briefly review the definitions and results of Ref. [1, 4] in the notations of Ref. 3].

Let $\mathcal{H}_{A}, \mathcal{H}_{B}$ and $\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{H}_{A} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{B}$ be Hilbert spaces where $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{H}_{A}=\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{H}_{B}=d$. As pure state entanglement measure we use the normalized linear entropy $S_{L}(\cdot)$

[^0]of the reduced density matrix. It is defined as
$$
S_{L}(|\psi\rangle):=\frac{d}{d-1}\left(1-\operatorname{Tr} \rho^{2}\right), \quad \text { where } \quad \rho=\operatorname{Tr}_{B}|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|
$$
for $|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$. We define the entangling power $\epsilon(U)$ of a unitary $U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}) \cong U\left(d^{2}\right)$ as the average amount of entanglement produced by $U$ acting on a distribution of product states:
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon(U):=\int_{\left\langle\psi_{1} \mid \psi_{1}\right\rangle=1} \int_{\left\langle\psi_{2} \mid \psi_{2}\right\rangle=1} S_{L}\left(U\left|\psi_{1}\right\rangle\left|\psi_{2}\right\rangle\right) d \psi_{1} d \psi_{2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where $d \psi_{1}$ and $d \psi_{2}$ are normalized probability measures on unit spheres.

With each operator $X$ on $\mathcal{H} \cong \mathcal{H}_{1} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{3}$ we can associate a state vector $|X\rangle$ in $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H} \cong \mathcal{H}_{1} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{3} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{4}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
|X\rangle_{A \mid B}=|X\rangle_{12 \mid 34}:=\left(X_{13} \otimes I_{24}\right)\left|\Psi_{+}\right\rangle_{13 \mid 24} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\left|\Psi_{+}\right\rangle_{13 \mid 24}=\frac{1}{d} \sum_{i, j=1}^{d}|i j\rangle_{13} \otimes|i j\rangle_{24}
$$

and $I$ stands for the identity operator. It easily follows that $S_{L}(|I\rangle)=S_{L}(|S\rangle)=1$, with $S=\sum_{i j}^{d}|i j\rangle\langle j i|$ the swap operator. This isomorphism allows us to rewrite equation (1) in a form that doesn't require averaging, as in the following theorem.

Theorem 1 (Zanardi [4]) The entangling power of a unitary $U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon(U)=\frac{d}{d+1}\left[S_{L}(|U\rangle)+S_{L}(|U S\rangle)-S_{L}(|S\rangle)\right] \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that $0 \leq \epsilon(U) \leq \frac{d}{d+1}$.
The maximum $\epsilon(U)=d /(d+1)$ is reached for special permutations (except for $d=2,6$ ) constructed from orthogonal latin squares, see Ref. [3].

## III. DISENTANGLING POWER OF UNITARIES

With this we define the disentangling power of a unitary $U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H}) \cong U\left(d^{2}\right)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(U):=1-\int_{V \in \mathcal{U}} \int_{W \in \mathcal{U}} S_{L}\left(U(V \otimes W)\left|\psi_{+}\right\rangle\right) d V d W \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V, W \in U(d), d V, d W$ are the Haar measure on $U(d)$ and $\left|\psi_{+}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \sum_{i=1}^{d}|i i\rangle$. Thus, the disentangling power of a unitary is defined as the average decrease of the entanglement of the states obtained by applying the unitary on random maximally entangled states. Note that we could have chosen $V=I$, but for what follows, the above form is easier to work with.

Following a similar strategy as in [1, 4] we now present the analogue of Theorem 1 for the disentangling power.

Theorem 2 The disentangling power of a unitary $U \in$ $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(U)=\frac{1}{d-1}\left[S_{L}(|U\rangle)+S_{L}(|U S\rangle)-S_{L}(|S\rangle)\right] \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. (sketch) In a first step, we can rewrite Equation4 in a similar form as Equation (3) from Ref. [1]. The method of doing so is completely analogous; one obtains

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta(U)= & \frac{1}{d-1}\left[d \operatorname { T r } \left(\left(U_{12} \otimes U_{34}\right) \Omega\left(U_{12} \otimes U_{34}\right)^{\dagger}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left(S_{13} \otimes I_{24}\right)\right)-1\right], \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Omega=\int_{V, W \in \mathcal{U}}\left(V_{1} \otimes V_{3} \otimes W_{2} \otimes W_{4}\right) P_{13 \mid 24}^{+} \\
& \left(V_{1} \otimes V_{3} \otimes W_{2} \otimes W_{4}\right)^{\dagger} d V d W \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, we have introduced four subsystems, and subscripts denote on which subsystem the operators act. We used $P_{13 \mid 24}^{+}$to denote the maximally entangled state between subsystems 13 and 24 . Integrals of this form can be evaluated using the fact that $V \otimes V$-invariant operators are linear combinations of $I$ and $S$, see Ref. [5]. This particular integral was evaluated as (see Equation (27) in Ref.

$$
\begin{align*}
\Omega & =\frac{2}{d^{3}\left(d^{2}-1\right)}\left[(d-1) P_{13}^{+} \otimes P_{24}^{+}+(d+1) P_{13}^{-} \otimes P_{24}^{-}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{d^{2}\left(d^{2}-1\right)}\left[I_{13} \otimes I_{24}+S_{13} \otimes S_{24}\right] \\
& -\frac{1}{d^{3}\left(d^{2}-1\right)}\left[S_{13} \otimes I_{24}+I_{13} \otimes S_{24}\right] \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

According to Equation 6 in Ref. [4] we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{L}(|U\rangle)=\frac{d^{2}}{d^{2}-1}\left[1-\frac{1}{d^{4}} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\left(U_{12} \otimes U_{34}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left(S_{13} \otimes I_{24}\right) \cdot\left(U_{12} \otimes U_{34}\right)^{\dagger} S_{13} \otimes I_{24}\right)\right] \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting Equation (8) in Equation (6) and using the above expression for $S_{L}(|U\rangle)$ one obtains readily Equation (55).

From this theorem follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(U)=\frac{d+1}{d(d-1)} \epsilon(U) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that the entangling power is proportional to the disentangling power. With this in mind, all results for the entangling power can simply be translated into statements of the disentangling power. For instance we have the following analogue of Theorem 4 and its Corollary from Ref. [3].

Theorem 3 The maximum value of the disentangling power $\delta(U)$ over all unitaries is achieved for the unitaries with maximum entangling power. For $d \neq 2,6$ this maximum value is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(U)=\frac{1}{d-1} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and can be attained by permutation matrices only.
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