U ltraslow Propagation of Squeezed Vacuum Pulses with E lectrom agnetically Induced Transparency

Daisuke Akamatsu¹, Yoshihiko Yokoi¹, Manabu Arikawa¹,

Satoshi Nagatsuka¹, Takahito Tanim ura¹, Akira Furusawa², and Mikio Kozum a^{1,3}

¹Department of Physics, Tokyo Institute of Technology,

2-12-1 O kayam a, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 152-8550, Japan

²D epartm ent of Applied Physics, School of Engineering,

The University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8656, Japan and

³PRESTO, CREST, Japan Science and Technology Agency, 1-9-9 Yaesu, Chuo-ku, Tokyo 103-0028, Japan

(D ated: February 14, 2021)

We have succeeded in observing ultraslow propagation of squeezed vacuum pulses with electrom agnetically induced transparency. Squeezed vacuum pulses (probe lights) were incident on a laser cooled ⁸⁷Rb gas together with an intense coherent light (control light). A hom odyne method sensitive to the vacuum state was employed for detecting the probe pulse passing through the gas. A delay of 3.1 s was observed for the probe pulse having a tem poral width of 10 s.

PACS num bers: 42.50 D v, 42.50 G y

E lectrom agnetically induced transparency (EIT) is used to modify the absorption coe cient and refractive index of a medium for a probe light [1]. There is a steep dispersion within the transparency window, so that the speed of a probe light pulse is signic cantly reduced. The pulse is spatially com pressed as a consequence of this ultraslow propagation, which enables us to store photonic inform ation in the medium [2, 3].

Recently, several groups have independently succeeded in storing and retrieving a single-photon state by collective atom ic excitation [4, 5]. These experiments have demonstrated nonclassical characteristics of the retrieved light eld, such as photon antibunching and the violation of classical inequalities. Such nonclassical features are not sensitive to linear optical loss, and the experiments were performed using a photon counting method. In order to fully determine the state of the eld, we have to utilize an optical hom odyne method, which is sensitive to the vacuum . W hile B. Julsgaard et al. have demonstrated quantum memory of light using a hom odyne method [6], they have thus far only reported an experiment with a coherent state of light.

W hile EIT was observed using a squeezed vacuum in our previous study [7], storage and retrievalofa squeezed vacuum could not be realized, mainly due to poor squeezing of the light source as well as the transparency window being too broad. In the present Letter, we report the successful observation of the ultraslow propagation of a squeezed vacuum pulse, which is an important step toward the demonstration of a genuine quantum memory for non-classical light states. The storage of the squeezed vacuum corresponds to that of quantum entanglem ent and enables us to squeeze atom ic spins determ inistically, which is useful for quantum noise-lim ited m etrology. Perform ing a single photon count for the eld retrieved partially from the squeezed atoms, a highly nonclassical atom ic state will be generated [8].



FIG.1: (color online) Schem atic diagram of the experim ental setup.BS:beam splitter, AOM : acousto-optic modulator, PD : photodetector, SA : spectrum analyzer, Amp.: RF am pli er. AOM 5 consists of two AOM s to cancel the frequency shift due to the di raction. AOM 6 also consists of two AOM s, where, rather than the 1st-order beam, the Oth-order beam was used.

Our experim ental setup is shown schem atically in Fig. 1. A Tisapphire laser (Tis laser 1) was tuned to the D₁ line $(5^2 S_{1=2}, F=1!, 5^2 P_{1=2}, F'=2)$, which corresponds to a probe transition. The beam from the other Tisapphire laser (Tis laser 2) was di racted by an acousto-optical modulator (AOM) 1 and was used for the control eld. The frequency of the control light was stabilized using a feed-forward method [9], and the control light was able to be scanned around the F = 2 ! F' = 2 transition by tuning the frequency of a synthesizer. W e em ployed a lasercooled atom ic ensemble of ⁸⁷Rb as an EIT medium. One cycle of our experiment comprised a cold atom preparation period and a measurem ent period. The preparation period and the m easurem ent period had durations of 8.7 m s and 1.3 m s, respectively. A fter 5.5 m softhem agnetooptical trapping stage in the preparation period, only the

magnetic eld was turned o . A fier the eddy current ceased (3 m s), both the cooling and repumping lights were turned o , and a pump light, which was tuned to F=2 ! F'=2 transition, was incident on the gas for 100 s to prepare the cold atom s in the F=1 state, the optical depth of which was 4.

A weak coherent probe light was used to observe the frequency width of the EIT window. Note that, in the later experiment, we used a squeezed vacuum for the probe light, where the squeezed vacuum was generated using a sub-threshold optical param etric oscillator (OPO) with a periodically poled KT iOPO₄ crystal [10]. We injected a light in a coherent state into the OPO cavity in the absence of a second-harm onic light from a doubler and used the output as the probe light. The procedure described above enabled us to employ a coherent probe light with a spatial mode that was identical to that of the squeezed vacuum . The cavity length was actively stabilized so that the probe frequency was equal to the resonant frequency of the cavity. The probe light (<1 pW) from the OPO cavity and the control light (100 W) were incident on the gas with a crossing angle of 2.5 . The radii of the probe and the control lights were 150 m and 550 m, respectively. Both the probe and the control lights were circularly polarized in the same direction. During the measurem ent period, the probe light was incident on the atom ic gas and its transm itted intensity was monitored using an avalanche photodiode (not shown in Fig. 1). Figure 2(a) represents a typical transmission spectrum for the probe light obtained by scanning the frequency of the control light, where the m edium was alm ost transparent around two-photon resonance.

In order to observe ultraslow propagation of a squeezed vacuum pulse with EIT, it is necessary to measure the quadrature noise of the frequency components within the EIT window. However, it is di cult to observe the quadrature squeezing for such a low -frequency region by the conventional hom odyne method using a spectrum analyzer, which is due to the low-frequency noise of a spectrum analyzer. W hile several schemes are available [8, 11], we employed the hom odynem ethod with a bichrom atic localoscillator (LO) to observe quadrature squeezing around the carrier frequency. The frequency of the LO of the conventional hom odyne method is the same as the carrier frequency of the squeezed vacuum 0. The noise powerm easured using a spectrum analyzer having a center frequency of in the zero span mode is given by[12]

S () = Tr[
$$[X'(;)X''(;) + X''(;)X'(;)]$$
]: (1)

Here, represents the density operator of the squeezed vacuum and represents the relative phase between the squeezed vacuum and the LO. The quadrature operator is de ned as $\hat{X}(;) = \hat{a}_{0+} e^{i} + \hat{a}_{0}^{Y}$ e^{i} . How ever, when the bichrom atic LO having the frequency components of



FIG.2: (color online) (a) D ependence of the transm ission of the coherent probe light on two-photon detuning. (b) Q uadrature noise of the probe light in the squeezed state that passed through the EIT medium. Circles (Squares) indicate the quadrature noises when the relative phase between the LO and the squeezed vacuum was set to = 0 (=2).

 $_0$ is employed, the noise measured by the spectrum analyzer with the center frequency of is given by

$$S() = Tr[[(\hat{X}(0;))\hat{X}^{y}(0;) + \hat{X}^{y}(0;)\hat{X}(0;))=2 + (\hat{X}(2;)\hat{X}^{y}(2;) + \hat{X}^{y}(2;)\hat{X}(2;))=2]]: (2)$$

C om paring Eq. (1) and Eq. (2), the following simple formula can be obtained, S () = S_0 ()=2 + S_2 ()=2. The

rst term represents the single-m ode quadrature noise at $_0$, and the second term represents the two-m ode quadrature noise consisting of $_0$ 2 . N am ely, both the carrier and sideband frequency components contribute to the m easured noise. W hen the medium has su cient optical thickness and the width of the EIT window is narrow er than 2, the sideband frequency components are com – pletely absorbed and thus the noise amplication and deamplication are caused by only the carrier frequency component. Note that in such a case, the second term in Eq. (2) approaches 1/2 (the vacuum noise) and the observable squeezing level is limited to 3 dB.



FIG. 3: (A) Balanced hom odyne signals of the probe light with (a) a monochrom atic LO and (b) a bichrom atic LO. (B) Shot noise level. We norm alized the quadrature noise levels using the shot noise.

To check the validity of the m ethod described above, we measured the squeezing using both a monochrom atic LO and a bichrom atic LO.We cut o the weak coherent light and generated a squeezed vacuum by injecting 50 mW of the pump light (397.5 nm) from the frequency doubler into the OPO cavity.We employed three AOMs (AOM 2-4) to create the monochrom atic and bichrom atic LOs. The monochrom atic LO was produced by turning o AOM 4 and driving AOM 2 and AOM 3 with the sam e RF frequency, while the bichrom atic LO was obtained by driving AOM 2, 3, and 4 with RF frequencies of 80 M H z, 79 M H z, and 81 M H z, respectively.

W e m easured the two-m ode quadrature noise consisting of the $_0$ 1 M Hz frequency components using the m onochrom atic LO (Fig. 3(a)). To determ ine the shot noise level, we measured the noise dependence on the intensity of LO and con m ed that the noise level agreed wellwith a theoretical calculation. The squeezing level of

1.60 0.12 dB and the anti-squeezing level of 3.71 0.12 dB were observed with the spectrum analyzer in the zerospan m ode at = 1 M H z. The resolution bandwidth was 100 kH z and the video bandwidth was 30 H z. The 3-dB bandwidth of our hom odyne detector was 2 M H z. Figure 3 (b) shows the experim ental results obtained using the bichrom atic LO, where the squeezing level of 1.53 0.20 dB and the anti-squeezing level of 3.66 0.21 dB were observed. The LO intensity at $_0$ 1 M H z was 1.5 m W each, and the total intensity of LO was monitored and stabilized so that the uctuation was less than 1%. Note that the linew idth of the OPO cavity (10 M H z) was much larger than 2 M H z (2), and thus S₀() and S₂() should have a squeezing level com parable to that obtained in F ig. 3(a). Therefore, there is no discrepancy between the experimental results and the prediction by Eq. (2).

In order to carry out the EIT experiments with a squeezed vacuum, the relative phase between the local oscillator light and the squeezed vacuum has to be stabilized during the measurem ent period. For this purpose, a weak coherent beam (lock light) was injected to the OPO cavity, and the output wasm on itored by a photodetector (not shown in Fig. 1). The relative phase between the lock light and the second harm onic light was locked using PZT1 so that the maximum ampli cation (deampli cation) was obtained. W e locked a relative phase between the LO and the lock light by controlling the PZT2 based on the signal of the hom odyne detector. This procedure enabled us to lock the relative phase between the LO and the squeezed vacuum at = 0(=2) [13]. After the preparation period, the feedback voltage driving a PZT was maintained, and the weak coherent light was turned 0 with AOM 5. Eventually, the relative phase between the LO and the squeezed vacuum was maintained during the m easurem ent period [14].

The quadrature noises of the squeezed vacuum that passed through the cold atom swith the controllight (100 W) were monitored using the bichromatic homodyne method. Figure 2(b) indicates the dependence of the quadrature noise on the two-photon detuning, where the circles (squares) were obtained when the relative phase was set to = 0 (/2). Each data was averaged over 100,000 tim es and both the resolution and video bandwidths of the spectrum analyzer were set to 100 kHz. W hen the relative phase was set to = 0, the squeezing level of 0.44 0.09 dB was detected at the twophoton resonance and the squeezing level decreased with increased detuning, which re ects a property of the transparency window. At around 300 kHz of detuning, the quadrature noise exceeded the shot noise level because the EIT medium provided the additional phase to the probe light and changed the relative phase . Another peak, which was concerned with two-mode quadrature noise S_2 (= 1 M H z), appeared around 2 M H z. W hen

the controllight was detuned by 2 M H z, the frequency component corresponding to $_0 2 \text{ M} \text{ H} z$ passed through the EIT medium, whereas that at $_0 2 \text{ M} \text{ H} z$ was absorbed. Therefore, the quantum correlation between the two frequency modes was lost, and the therm alnoise corresponding to one frequency component was simply observed by the hom odyne detector. Note that the noise



FIG.4: (∞ lor on line) T in e dependence of the m easured noise of the probe pulse with the relative phase (a) = =2 and (b) = 0 and (c) that of the photon ux derived from data (a) and (b). Trace (A) shows the quadrature noises of the squeezed vacuum pulses in the absence of the control lights and the cold atom s. Trace (B) shows the quadrature noises of the squeezed vacuum pulses incident on the cold atom s without the control light. Trace (C) indicates the shot noises. Traces (D), (E), and (F) show the quadrature noises of the squeezed vacuum pulses incident on the cold atom s with control lights of intensities 200, 100, and 50 W, respectively.

levels were identical for both = 0 and =2.

In order to conduct ultraslow propagation of a squeezed vacuum pulse, we created a probe pulse having a tem poralwidth of 10 s from the continuous-wave squeezed vacuum by using two AOM s in series (AOM 6 in Fig. 1). Rather than the 1st-order di racted light,

we used the Oth-order (non-di racted) light as the probe light. The di raction e ciency of each AOM was 80%, and thus the use of the 1st-order beam would have caused signi cant optical loss. Therefore, we used the Oth-order beam for the experiments. Figures 4 (a) and (b) show the quadrature noise of the squeezed vacuum pulses with the relative phase of = = 2 and 0, respectively. The signalwas averaged over 100,000 m easurem ents. Traces (A) and (B) in Fig. 4 show the quadrature noises of the squeezed vacuum pulses without and with the laser cooled gas in the absence of the controllight, respectively. The optically dense medium absorbed the squeezed vacuum pulse, and thus trace (B) almost overlapped the shot noise (trace (C)). When the control lights were incident on the cold atom s, the transm itted squeezed vacuum pulse was delayed. The delay time increased as the intensity of the control light decreased (see traces (D), (E), and (F) in Fig. 4), which is a clear feature of slow propagation caused by EIT [3]. A maximum delay of 3.1 0.11 swas observed for the squeezed vacuum pulse with 50 W of the control light (trace (F) in Fig. 4(b)). The photon ux of the probe light can be calculated by adding the quadrature noises of Figs. 4 (a) and (b). Figure 4 (c) clearly shows that the photon ux was also delayed.

In conclusion, we have successfully observed the squeezing of the probe light after passing through the sub-MHz EIT window with a bichromatic homodyne m ethod. Ultraslow propagation of the squeezed vacuum pulses was demonstrated, where the delay time was increased by decreasing the intensity of the control light. A maximum delay of 3.1 s for 10 spulses is su cient for the storage of a squeezed vacuum pulse [2, 4, 5]. We are currently attempting to store and retrieve squeezed vacuum pulses with electrom agnetically induced transparency.

The authors would like to thank M.Kourogi, K.Im ai, N.Takei, and K.Akiba form any stimulating discussions. One of the authors (D.A.) was supported in part by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science. This study was supported in part by a Grant-in-Aid for Scienti c Research (B) and by MEXT through the 21st Century COE Program \N anom eter-Scale Quantum Physics" at the Tokyo Institute of Technology

- [1] S.E.Harris, Phys. Today 50 (7), 36 (1997).
- D. F. Phillips, A. Fleischhauer, A. Mair, R. L. Walsworth, and M.D.Lukin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 783 (2001).
- [3] M. Fleischhauer and M. D. Lukin, Phys. Rev. A 65, 022314 (2002).
- [4] T. Chaneliere, et al., Nature (London) 438, 833 (2005).
- [5] M.D.Eisam an, et al., Nature (London) 438, 837 (2005).
- [6] B Julsgaard, et al., N ature (London) 432, 482 (2004).
- [7] D. Akamatsu, K. Akiba, and M. Kozuma, Phys. Rev.

Lett.92,203602 (2004).

- [8] J. S. Neergaard-Nielsen, B. M. Nielsen, C. Hettich, K. Molmer, and E. S. Polzik, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 083604 (2006).
- [9] M Kourogi, et al., Opt. Lett. 24, 16 (1999).
- [10] T.Tanimura, et al., Opt.Lett. 31 2344 (2006).
- [11] H.Hansen, et al., Opt.Lett. 26, 1714 (2001).
- [12] B.Yurke, Phys. Rev. A 32, 300 (1985).
- [13] E.S.Polzik, J.Carri, and H.J.K in ble, Appl. Phys. B 55, 279 (1992).
- [14] N. Takei, N. Lee, D. Moriyama, J.S. Neergaard-Nielsen, and A. Furusawa, Phys. Rev. A 74, 060101 (R) (2006).